

Appendix 1.4: Syllogicians, Logicians, and Aspergian logicians

Here we discuss the bodily differences between *extreme logicians* (called p1s), and *syllogician elites* (p0s).

Or rather, we will discuss the differences between logicians and syllogicians.

Syllogical faculty allows one to visualize concepts as functions of pre-existing statements. It is not related to visualizing new concepts that are not merely functions of pre-existing statements; that involves a different talent, **logical talent**. In other words, syllogical faculty involves empirical analysis, logical talent involves metaphysical analysis (that is subsequently confirmed by physical analysis).

The syllogicians are defined as humans in whom the logical talent got estranged from its origins in the Generative System, and adapted to the style of the Receptive System (see below table). They were descended from non-tribal logicians, but were brought up in a tribal-type ecology, due to which they gained the quantitative syllogical faculty (basic associative faculty¹⁷⁹), some of whose blueprints were distilled from logical talent (advanced associative talent). Because of their descent from logicians, the syllogicians inherited the name of Brahmins (or, in the west Abrahamic priesthoods) -- which were supposed to be Promethean, but were not always so, not in their case.

The syllogician elites defined themselves as *moral humanists* -- partly because their people were syllogically defined as "moral", given their descent, and because they existed among a few such good people (*logician elites, like good Brahmins*), who they confounded themselves with (*but the logical Brahmins were logical, not syllogical*). Thus if you say "Brahmins" (*or the western equivalent, such as the writers of the biblical texts*), both types are contained within that term -- some logician elites (*whose power diminished with fall of the p1s*) and, more importantly, as nihilism gained with time -- syllogician elites. The prematurely self-moral-declaring syllogician elites saw not that there are syllogicians and logicians, and that error originates in the former -- not "merely one type of human who can be immoral or moral". They subjectively and wrongly defined morality as compliance to conservative ritual and reactionary "brahminical" customs--yet, nearly all problems were ironically arising from their own nature (*haughty i.e. unwilling to listen to logicians, despite their syllogical errors*), and their "too many cooks spoil the cake" type mismanagement of social leadership, and shoulder shrugging justified by the argument of "fate" (*no longer is that passive style possible, this being the information age*), unjust acceptance of a society of clashing dread mobs pandering subjectivities, which implies rejection of objectivity...

Because of the sheer complexity of the human, there are many details in this analysis, many characterizations, in this divide into logicians and syllogicians, which are summarized in the below table.

Given the way he lives his life in a natural ecology, how can he be defined?	syllogician (hypo-logician)	logician	hyper-logician
What is the lay description of type?	Neo-NT	classical NT	Aspergian


¹⁷⁹ Whose typical application is in verbo-tribal or practical disciplines (communication, algorithmic work etc.).

Type of Dominant thought process	Linear Thinking		Non-linear Thinking
Typical political orientation because of above detail	Conservative	Factional (dangerous)	Liberal
What is the technical description of type?	Hyper-RS		Hyper-GS
Neuron architecture can be defined as mostly	Dendritic		Axonic
Person of this type has a mentality characterized by	More Dopamine processes		More Norepi processes
Main type of signalling in person	Dopaminic Monoconditional signalling, which is called a receptive process since it is relatively stimuli-dependent		Norepic Multiconditional signalling, which is called generative process... because the signalling is not directly dependent on any particular stimuli to produce a reaction.
System dominant in type (Systems can be defined as super-reactions (reaction pyramids) in the Central Nervous System of animals)	Receptive System		Generative System
Native homeland of dominant system	Left brain		Right brain
Purest form of this system naturally occurs in which creature?	Sponge		Hydra/jellyfish
Is exerting this system a disease?	Yes... but, apparently, "No", since it defines the external thriving of man, in a capitalist type of system at least		No; it defines the internal thriving of man.
In the modern dog-eat-dog capitalist "ecology", which among wealth and health does over-exertion of this system entail?	Wealth		Health
Animal populations with overactivity in respective system	Chimpanzees, Dogs, hyenas, <u>lions</u> , Ne-NTs, kangaroos, prosimians, ant-eaters	<u>Tigers</u> , classical Neurotypicals	bonobo apes, cats, <u>leopards</u> , saltwater crocodile, Aspergian type Autists
Which region has most people of type?	America	Areas with undisturbed native populations (Africa, South America etc.)	Japan, Syria, Ukraine etc.

Blood type hypothesis	Type A	Type O	Type B
-----------------------	--------	--------	--------

Hypothesis on human types as split by blood groups


The statements here are hypothetical and included as a bonus. The 3 blood groups O (natural humans), A (Yang), B (Yin) are explained. Due to some undeveloped ideas¹⁸⁰, I think that the Rh+ implies a human type having origins in ancient Afro-Eurasia, and Rh-, a type having origins in ancient Americas (Atlantis). A, B, and AB seem relatively newer variations, evolutionarily, given their absence among the natives of South America. To an extent, they fight... and AB alone can be the bridge!



¹⁸⁰ The rh- and rh+ differentiation might originate from how there is a difference between old world monkeys and new world monkeys. After all, rhesus monkey is defined as "one of the best-known species of Old World monkeys". Check the new world monkeys of the Amazon; if they are rh-, then we've solved a significant puzzle. Even if they are not, it doesn't wholly sink the hypothesis that rh- originates from a few who went to America long ago (before Clovis)... If so, the O+ve in S America looks strange, but 10000 BC invasion may have caused it.

The O group denotes a type of human who is natural; default mode of functioning tends to be logical talent.

The A group denotes a type of human whose default mode of functioning is centered on the syllogical faculty. Much in the rise of the A blood could be very recent, and it seems fair to say that the syllogical hyperfunction is unnatural, and is more associated with depression etc. A prevalent myth might be that blood group is something fixed. Many accounts suggest that it is changeable.



The B group denotes a type of human whose default mode of functioning is logical talent and “militantly” so. It’s clearly related to the “river Anduin” mentioned by Tolkien; these are the self-preserving logicians.

The present author is B+ve (though his mother and younger brother are O-ve).

“Ryu Matsumoto’s abrasive comments towards the governors of Iwate and Miyagi forced him to step down”, whereby he very rightly said, “My blood is type B, which means I can be irritable and impetuous, and my intentions don’t always come across”. That is because a high logical and weak syllogical skill hinders communication, while making the person creative, which has been noted for B+ve types. In a South Korean TV Program, B+ve are declared *evil*, which is absurd but not unexpected. Apparently (at least to me), the debate came to a head during WW2, when, in Germany, we saw a dichotomy between Leftist theory (*p1s who wanted to collect A+ve types in concentration camps, to cure neurosis*), and Nazi practice (*p0s killing B+ve types in concentration camps*).

Though a conflict between these types might be natural, there is really no need to enter conflict. Each blood type has its own beloved uniqueness and civilization should be meant for all types of humans.


From Japan, we see about syllogicians, from “The importance of blood type in Japanese culture” in *Japan Today*:


General personality of people who have type A blood

- Consider things carefully //quantitative, not qualitative care; they avoid careless mistakes, not mistakes
- Can understand other people’s feelings easily //quantitative, not qualitatively so. They cannot understand the complex feelings of logicians
- Kind // often unkind to logicians, seen as "evil".
- Good at hospitality
- Don’t express themselves in order to avoid possible quarrel // this is a major euphemism
- Do things carefully and steadily, and don’t take the next step if they are not satisfied
- Honor student types who don’t go off the rail
- Fastidious
- Big on cleanliness
- Can be calm even when accidents happen
- Strong on taking responsibility //quantitative, not qualitative responsibility. Why always such a characterization? Read my neuroscience thesis; understand syllogic
- Hard workers //or hard
- Safe drivers undoers of good work

There are some inaccuracies, largely tending towards “representing” A+ve types as “good”... and B+ve people as “evil” (that is typical – as such, that misrepresentation is *apparent*); that was corrected.

General personality of people who have type B blood

- Like to go their own way
 - Do what they want without considering other people’s feelings, rules and customs
 - Happy-go-lucky and masters of breaking rules //Nevertheless, Aspergians are not anarchists. We ignore rules that seem non-objectively logical, but insist on rules which are objectively logical and might seem "draconian" because of that
 - Optimistic
 - Friendly and open their heart to anybody
 - Not pretentious
 - Afraid of being alone //Not really accurate And we take great care not to intrude or offend any logical feelings of the other
 - Get lonely easily //It is true that human connection is sought, but I've often been a loner
 - Quick to adapt
 - Flexible thinkers
 - Pragmatists //It can be pejorative (pessimist conservatism), but here logical pragmatism, not
 - Don’t chase a dream much // Syllogicians tend to chase dreams (non-logical ideas)
 - Like to play
 - Love festivals and parties
 - Have been in love many times
 - Don’t get heart-broken over lost love
- 

 the pejorative form, is meant
- 

 //Like these lines. Harmless logical pragmatism, even if breaking the rules of neatness. A+ve types have a different, dislikeable type of pragmatism. For example, syllogician patriarchists declare, "female is weak anyway, so let us oppress"

They discuss O, who is the “natural human”, and does not have these characteristics (overly logical/axonic or overly syllogical/dendritic), which came about as a result of social pressure and conflict.

General personality of people who have type O blood

- Realistic
- Good at developing economic concepts
- Vigorous at earning a living
- Strong in face of adversity
- Romanticists
- Dream of getting rich quick, but actually take a steady approach
- Ambitious
- Go straight toward their goal
- Have leadership ability and often take care of younger people and people below them
- Very cautious
- Don't care about small things, taking a wider perspective instead
- Devoted, but with a strong desire to monopolize

There are some inaccuracies, but this shows the general truth about humans having various types and each having several beloved capabilities and ways in which he can contribute to man - so we must forget propagandas of the type mentioned below (S. Korean film *My Boyfriend is Type B*, which follows the same "evil reptile/snake!" anti-p1 propaganda that is general in myth) and settle all issues.



~~<.:>~~