

g2o versus Toro: Cost Functions

Luca Carlone

When comparing results from g2o [1] and Toro [2] it is important to realize that the two solvers use slightly different cost functions. The optimization problem solved in Toro is:

$$\min_{\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{t}_i} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \left\| \text{Log} \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top \mathbf{R}_i^\top \mathbf{R}_j \right) \right\|_{\Omega_{ij}^r}^2 + \left\| \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{ij} - \mathbf{R}_i^\top (\mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{t}_i) \right\|_{\Omega_{ij}^t}^2 \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{t}_i are the (to-be-computed) rotation and translation at node i (for $i = 1, \dots, n$), $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top$ and $\bar{\mathbf{t}}_{ij}$ are the relative rotation and translation measurements between node i and j , Ω_{ij}^r is the rotation measurement information matrix, Ω_{ij}^t is the translation measurement information matrix, and \mathcal{E} is the set of node pairs (i, j) for which a measurement is available. The symbol $\text{Log}(\cdot)$ denotes the logarithm map for the rotation group, which, roughly speaking, converts a rotation to a vector (in 3D) or a scalar angle (in 2D).

The error definition in g2o is similar, with a small difference in the second summand:

$$\min_{\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{t}_i} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \left\| \text{Log} \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top \mathbf{R}_i^\top \mathbf{R}_j \right) \right\|_{\Omega_{ij}^r}^2 + \left\| \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top (\bar{\mathbf{t}}_{ij} - \mathbf{R}_i^\top (\mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{t}_i)) \right\|_{\Omega_{ij}^t}^2 \quad (2)$$

Developing the Mahalanobis norms on the right, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{t}_i} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \left\| \text{Log} \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top \mathbf{R}_i^\top \mathbf{R}_j \right) \right\|_{\Omega_{ij}^r}^2 + \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top (\bar{\mathbf{t}}_{ij} - \mathbf{R}_i^\top (\mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{t}_i)) \right)^\top \Omega_{ij}^t \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top (\bar{\mathbf{t}}_{ij} - \mathbf{R}_i^\top (\mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{t}_i)) \right) \\ & \quad \Downarrow \\ & \min_{\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{t}_i} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \left\| \text{Log} \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top \mathbf{R}_i^\top \mathbf{R}_j \right) \right\|_{\Omega_{ij}^r}^2 + \left(\bar{\mathbf{t}}_{ij} - \mathbf{R}_i^\top (\mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{t}_i) \right)^\top \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} \Omega_{ij}^t \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top \left(\bar{\mathbf{t}}_{ij} - \mathbf{R}_i^\top (\mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{t}_i) \right) \\ & \quad \Downarrow \\ & \min_{\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{t}_i} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \left\| \text{Log} \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top \mathbf{R}_i^\top \mathbf{R}_j \right) \right\|_{\Omega_{ij}^r}^2 + \left\| \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{ij} - \mathbf{R}_i^\top (\mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{t}_i) \right\|_{\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} \Omega_{ij}^t \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

which also shows that for a fair comparison between Toro and g2o we should use $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} \Omega_{ij}^t \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}^\top$ as information matrices in g2o.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Kümmerle, G. Grisetti, H. Strasdat, K. Konolige, and W. Burgard, "g2o: A general framework for graph optimization," in *Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, Shanghai, China, May 2011.
- [2] G. Grisetti, C. Stachniss, and W. Burgard, "Non-linear constraint network optimization for efficient map learning," *Trans. on Intelligent Transportation systems*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 428–439, 2009.