Infectious Disease Manual

Infectious Diseases of Concern to Captive and Free Ranging Wildlife in North America

An official publication of the

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Animal Health and Welfare Committee

Updated April 2020

Edited by

Paige Brock, DVM, DACZM, cVMA

Gretchen Cole, DVM, DACZM, DECZM (ZHM)

and

Richard Sim, DVM, DACZM

Introduction:

This publication was designed as a starting reference point for information on the infectious diseases that affect zoo and wild animal species captively housed or free-ranging in North America. This volume complements a similar dynamic and routinely-updated version that exists for the same populations in Europe. While each sheet has been peer-reviewed, often by a topic expert, these fact sheets are not intended to be used as an exclusive source of information, but rather provide quick reference of basic disease properties and concerns. These fact sheets also highlight diagnostics, laboratories, specialists, and treatment recommendations for clinicians, pathologists, and wildlife biologists that encounter an infectious disease.

This compendium acts as a common resource and point of information for this discipline.

It is important to remember that these fact sheets are not to replace state or federal regulations. As such, they are not legally enforceable documents or required standards of care.

From the Editors

We hope that you find the information in this manual helpful. There have been some changes made since the last update of the Infectious Disease Manual. For example, the links to US state reportable diseases have been removed as this information is now easily searchable on the internet. We feel that it is impossible to maintain up-to-date information on these topics in such a quickly changing world. We encourage our readers to contact the specialists listed on each factsheet to obtain the most current information about a disease.

We would like to thank the many authors and reviewers who have contributed to this updated edition as well as the previous editions of this manual. We also thank Melanie Pearson, Class of 2021, who assisted with the formatting/technical editing of many of the updates. Without the hard work by so many people, this manual would not be possible.

Please note that we have elected to update this manual in stages so fact sheets have been updated between 2013 through 2020. The date of the most recent update is noted on the first page of each fact sheet. We will continue to update this publication regularly as new updates become available.

If you find any broken links within this document, please let us know so that we can attempt to correct the issue. If you would like to contribute as a new factsheet author, updating author, or reviewer, we would also love to hear from you. We can be reached at drbrockdvm@gmail.com (Paige Brock), GCole@okczoo.org (Gretchen Cole), and sim.richardr@gmail.com (Richard Sim).

Table of Contents

Click on the name of each disease to be taken to the disease factsheet.

Acanthamoebiasis Acanthocephalosis

Actinobacillus lignieresii ("Wooden Tongue")

Actinomycosis ("Lumpy Jaw")

Adenovirus

Aeromonas ("Red Leg") African Horse Sickness African Swine Fever Aleutian Disease Virus

Anaplasmosis Anatrichosoma Angiostrongylus

Anthrax

Aspergillosis Avian Bornavirus Avian Influenza Avian Poxvirus *Babesia* sp.

Balantidium coli Baylisascaris Bertiellosis Blastomycosis Bluetongue Virus

Bordetella

Borreiliosis ("Lyme Disease")

Botulism toxicosis

See Clostridial disease – Botulism

Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy

Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus

Brucellosis

- Bovine

- Marine Mammal

- Porcine

Callitrichid Hepatitis Virus

See Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis

Virus

Campylobacteriosis

Canine Distemper Virus formerly Chrysosporium anamorph of

Nannizziopsis vriesii

Caprine Infectious Arthritis-Encephalitis Virus

Chagas' disease

See Trypanosoma cruzi

Chimp Coryza

See Respiratory Syncytial Virus

Chlamydiophila abortus ("Enzootic Abortion")

Chlamydiosis/Chlamydophilosis

Chronic Wasting Disease

Circovirus

See Psittacine Beak and Feather Disease

Chytridiomycosis Classical Swine Fever Clostridial disease

Botulism toxicosis

- Tetanus

Coccidioidomycosis

Contagious Bovine Pleuropneumonia

Contagious Ecthyma ("Orf")

Coronavirus Cowpox

Coxiella burnetti ("Q Fever")

Cryptococcosis Cryptosporidiosis Cytauxzoon Cytomegalovirus

Dermatophytosis ("Ringworm")

Dirofilaria immitis ("Heartworm Disease")

Eastern Equine Encephalitis

Ebola/Reston virus Echinococcosis Edwardsiellosis Ehrlichia ruminantium ("Heartwater") Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis **Ehrlichiosis** Koi Herpesvirus Encephalitozoon cuniculi Macropod Herpesvirus Encephalomyocarditis Virus Malignant Catarrhal Fever **Enzootic Abortion** Pacheco's Disease (Herpesvirus in Parrots) See Chlamydiophila abortus Pseudorabies Equine Infectious Anemia Saimariine Herpesvirus Erysipelas Simian Agent 8 (SA 8, Cercopithecine Escherichia coli/colibacillosis Herpesvirus 2) **Testudinid Herpesviruses** Feline Calicivirus Feline Immunodeficiency Virus Histoplasmosis Feline Infectious Peritonitis Human Metapneumovirus Feline Leukemia Virus Inclusion Body Disease Virus Feline Panleukopenia Infectious Hematopoietic Necrosis Foot and Mouth Disease Johne's Disease Giardiasis See M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis Glanders Klebsiella Hantavirus Kyasanur Forest Disease Heartworm Disease Leprosy See Dirofilaria immitis Leptospirosis Heartwater Listeriosis See Ehrlichia ruminantium Lumpy Jaw Helicobacter See Actinomycosis Helminths of Ungulates Lumpy Skin Disease Virus Hemobartonella Lung mites Hemogregarines of Reptiles Lyme Disease Hepatitis A See Borreiliosis Hepatitis B Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis Virus (Callitrichid Herpesviruses Hepatitis Virus) Duck viral enteritis Malaria Elephant Endotheliotropic Herpesvirus See Plasmodium Epstein-Barr Virus Marburg Hemorrhagic Fever Equine Herpesvirus Measles virus Feline Rhinotracheitis Melioidosis Fibropapillomatosis-Associated Meningeal Worms Herpesvirus See Parelaphostrongylus tenuis Herpes B Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) Hominus and Simplex (Types 1 and 2) Monkeypox

Human/Simian Varicella

Mycobacteria Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease Atypical Rabies Avian Ranavirus In elephants Red Leg See Aeromonas *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* Respiratory Syncytial Virus (Chimp Coryza) ("Johne's Disease," Rickettsial tick-borne disease "Paratuberculosis") Rift Valley Fever Non-human primates Ringworm Piscine See Dermatophytosis Ungulates Rotavirus Mycoplasmosis Salmonellosis Oesophagostomiasis Schistosomiasis Ophidian Paramyxovirus Screwworm Orf New World See Contagious Ecthyma Old World Oxyuriasis Paratuberculosis Sealpox See M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis Sheep and Goat Pox Parelaphostrongylus tenuis ("Meningeal Worms") Shigellosis Parvovirus Simian Foamy Virus (SFV) **Pasteurellosis** Simian Hemorrhagic Fever (SHF) Peste des Petits Ruminants Simian Immunodeficiency Virus (SIV) Phocid Distemper Virus Simian Retroviruses (SRV) Plague Simian T-Lymphotropic Viruses (STLV) Spiruroidosis See Yersinia pestis Plasmodium ("Malaria") Spring Viremia of Carp Pneumococcosis St. Louis Encephalitis

Swine Vesicular Disease Virus

See Clostridial disease – Tetanus

Tanapox

Tetanus

Toxoplasmosis

Trichostrongylosis

Pneumocystosis Staphylococcus spp. **Poliovirus** Streptococcus Group C Strongyloidiasis

Pseudogymnoascus destructans

See White Nose Syndrome

Pseudotuberculosis

Q Fever

See Yersiniosis

Psittacine Beak and Feather Disease (Circovirus)

Pythiosis

See Coxiella burnetti

Trichuriasis/Capillariasis

Trypanosoma cruzi ("Chagas' disease")

Tularemia

Ulcerative Stomatitis

Vesicular Exanthema of Swine

Vesicular Stomatitis Virus

Vibriosis

Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia

Virulent Newcastle Disease

Visceral Leishmaniasis

West Nile Virus

Western Equine Encephalitis (WEE)

White Nose Syndrome (Pseudogymnoascus

destructans)

Wooden Tongue

See Actinobacillus lignieresii

Yabapox

Yellow Fever

Yersinia pestis ("Plague")

Yersiniosis ("Pseudotuberculosis")

Authors and Reviewers

Dalen Agnew Roberto Aguilar A. Alonso Aguirre Copper Aitken-Palmer Lowell Anderson Douglas Armstrong Elizabeth Arnett-Chinn Mark. W. Atkinson Frank Austin

Kay A. Backues Laurie A. Baeten Anne Ballmann Priya Bapodra Alan Barrett David A. Bemis Majorie Bercier Tom Besser Beth Bicknese Troy Bigelow Adam Birkenheuer

Peter Black David Blehert Emily L. Blizzard Rebecca Bloch Christopher J. Bonar Diana Boon Rose Borkowski

Robert W. Bradsher Edward B. Breitschwerdt

Robert E. Briggs Ellen Bronson Gayle Brown Kevin Brunner Anne Burgdorf Roy Burns

Walter Boyce

Ronald Mitchell Bush

Salomé Cabrera Paul P. Calle Joseph Camp Sarah A Cannizzo Carol Cardona

Nancy Carpenter Lilian

Silva Catenacci

Shannon Cerveny Sara Childs-Sanford Sathya Chinnadurai Melanie L. Church Sarah Churgin Meredith M. Clancy Elsburgh "Tres" Clarke Leigh Ann Clayton Ryan Colburn Gretchen

Ken Conley Victor Cortese Philip Craig

Thomas Craig Michael R. Cranfield Lara M.

A. Cole Heather Cole

Cusack

Jennifer D'Agostino Robert D. Dahlhausen Sharon L. Deem Kristina M. Delaski Tom Deliberto Pauline Delnatte Thomas W. deMaar Elizabeth Didier George D. Di Giovanni Mark Drew Jitender P. Dubey

Amanda Duffus

Genevieve Dumonceaux Ann E. Duncan

Richard Eberle M. Scott Echols Ginny Emerson James J. England Claire Erlacher-Reid James Evermann

A. Hossain Farid Charles Faulkner Cara Field

Ariana Finkelstein Christine Fiorello

John Flanders Deidre Fontenot Ruth Francis-Floyd

Patrice Frost

Laurie Gage Jackie Gai

Kathryn C. Gamble

Nadia F Gallardo-Romero

Kathryn C. Gamble

Rae Gandolf Franklyn Garry Dorothy Geale Annette Gendron Tim Georoff Francis Gigliotti Kirsten Gilardi John Gilliam Tony Goldberg

Andrea Goodnight Leah

Greer

Ellis C. Greiner **Amy Grooters** Amanda Guthrie Zoltan S. Gyimesi Catherine A. Hadfield Gwen E. Myers Stephanie Kottler Holly Haefele Kristian J. Krause Natalie Mylniczenko Lana Krol Sarah A. Hamer Elizabeth E. Hammond Christopher S. Hanley Yvonne Nadler Kenneth Harkin Julie Napier Claude Lacasse Charles Lamien Eleanor C. Manela Newcomb Craig Harms Julie Harris Ana Cristina Leandro Alisa Newton Tom Nolan M. Camille Harris Alfred M. Legendre Kevin Leiske Tara M. Harrison Kelly Helmick Karn Lekagul Gregory A. Lewbart Lawrence Herbst Danelle M. Okeson Michael Lierz June Olds Christie Hicks Karen Liljebjelke Steve Olsen Clayton Hilton **David Lindsay** Lauren Howard Kortney A. O'Neill Susan M. Lindstedt Francesco C. Origgi Zachary Hoy Martin Hugh-Jones Erica Wilson Lipanovich Remo Lobetti Samantha Lockwood Mark Papich Donna Ialeggio Guy Loneragan Dennilyn Parker Lily Parkinson Charles Innis Rosalia Pastor Ramiro Isaza Douglas R. Mader Linda Peddie Roger Maes Kerri Pedersen S. W. Jack Elizabeth Manning Allan P. Pessier AJ Marlar Donald Janssen **Denise Petty** Rachel Marschang James G. Johnson III David N. Phalen Lynelle Johnson Thomas P. Meehan Nicholas Phelps Priscilla H. Joyner Leonel Mendoza Patrick Pithua Randy Junge Carol U. Metever Roman Pogranichniy Anne Justice-Allen Linda Pote Denise McAloose Michael McBride Lauren V. Powers Stephanie McCain Kate Pritchett David S. Miller Malika Kachani John Kaneene Michele Miller Tanit Kasantikul Vikki Milne Kimberly Rainwater Taranjit Kaur Mark Mitchell Jan Ramer Sarrah Kaye Alex Ramirez Christine Molter Melissa Kennedy Suzanne Benjamin P Monroe Ed Ramsay Kennedy-Stoskopf Susan Montgomery Katrina Ramsell Cornelia J. Ketz-Riley Andrew Moorhead Bonnie Raphael Lester Khoo Gail Miriam Moraru James M. Rasmussen Stephen Raverty Jennifer Kilburn John C. Morrill Patrick Redig Eric Klaphake Pat Morris Hilton Klein Ulrike Munderloh Karen Register

Hayley Murphy

Janelle Renschler

Christy Rettenmund
Jack C. Rhyan
Bryan J. Richards
Bruce Rideout
Gary Riggs
Branson W. Ritchie
Sam Rivera
Heather Robertson
Carlos Rodriguez

Carlos Rodrigue: Susan Rohrer Pierre E. Rollin Carlos Romero Melinda Rostal James Roth E. Marie Rush

Carlos R. Sanchez
Sam Sander
Sara Childs Sanford
Gene M. Scalarone
Joni Scheftel
Dennis Schmitt
Mark Schoenbaum
David M. Scollard
Wynona C. Shellabarger

Inga F. Sidor Tom Sidwa

Noelia Silva-del-Rio

Rich Sim

Jatinder Singh
Cora Singleton
Owen M. Slater
Rebecca Smedley
Dale A. Smith
Joseph A. Smith
Stephen A. Smith

Danielle R. Graham Snyder Angkana Sommanustweechai

Evan Sorley

Anna Rovid Spickler

Terry Spraker Maria Spriggs Cynthia Stadle

Cynthia Stadler David Stallknecht James Steeil Nadia Stegeman Simone Stoute Betsy Stringer Gerardo Suzán Amy Swinford Jane Sykes

Teresa J. Sylvina

John Sykes

Ginger L. Takle Aubrey M. Tauer Sam Telford Jr. Julie Ter Beest Karen A. Terio Brenda Tesini Charles O. Thoen

Ian Tizard Kristen J Tobin Alfonso Torres Erika Travis-Crook Richard W. Truman Thomas N. Tully, Jr. Claude Turcotte

Kathryn Tuxbury

Steve Unwin

John Vacek

Marc Valitutto
Gediminas Valkiûnas
William Van Bonn
Arnaud Van Wettere
Susan VandeWoude
Michelle L. Verant
Guilherme G. Verocai
Winston Vickers
Raquel Vilela

Allison Wack Ray Wack Thomas Waltzek

Kurt Volle

Jim Watson Lynnette Waugh

Genevieve Vega Weaver

Scott Weber III Glen C. Weiser James Wellehan A Gary West Joe Wheat

Brent R. Whitaker Douglas P. Whiteside

Ellen Wiedner Annabel Wise

Kimberlee B. Wojick Tiffany M. Wolf Peregrine Wolff

Rasana Wongratanachewin

Sarah Woodhouse Arno Wünschmann Janna Wynne

Michael J. Yabsley Enrique Yarto Kyoung-Jin Yoon

Dawn Zimmerman

ACANTHAMOEBIASIS

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected						
-Primates -Dogs -Sheep -Cattle -Horses -Kangaroos -Birds -Reptiles -Amphibians -Fish -Invertebrates	-Source: soil, water -Gains entry via: breaks in skin; respiratory tract; corneal surface; hematogenous spread to central nervous system	-Cutaneous lesions -Sinusitis -Pneumonitis -Neurologic signs -Fever -Nausea -Vomiting	-Asymptomatic in immunecompetent individuals -Frequently fatal in immunocompromised individuals	Pentamidine isethionate; Sulfadiazine; Flucytosine; Fluconazole; Itraconazole Amphotericin B; Azithromycin	- Difficult due to ubiquitous nature of the organism - Limit exposure to dust, soil, and water	-Not directly transmitted -Can cause disease in humans

Fact Sheet compiled by: Laurie Gage

Sheet completed on: April 14, 2011; updated 19 March 2013.

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kimberly Rainwater, Ariana Finkelstein

Susceptible animal groups: Primates, dogs, sheep, cattle, horses, kangaroos, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fish, invertebrates.

Causative organism: Opportunistic protozoan parasites, *Acanthamoeba* spp. (*A. castellanii*, *A. culbertsoni*, *A. hatchetti*, *A. healyi*, *A. polyphaga*, *A. rhysodes*, *A. astronyxis*, *A. divionensis*)

Zoonotic potential: May infect cornea of contact lens wearers and cause disseminated infection in immunocompromised individuals.

Distribution: Ubiquitous worldwide. It may be found in soil; fresh and brackish water; bottled mineral water; cooling towers of electric and nuclear power plants; heating, ventilating, and air conditioning units, humidifiers; Jacuzzi tubs; hydrotherapy pools in hospitals; dental irrigation units; dialysis machines; dust in the air; bacterial, fungal, and mammalian cell cultures; contact lenses and ophthalmic saline flush; aural discharge; pulmonary secretions; feces.

Incubation period: 1 day to 2 weeks

Clinical signs: Granulomatous amoebic encephalitis: depression, nausea, vomiting, low-grade fever, lethargy, cerebellar ataxia, visual disturbances, hemiparesis, cranial nerve deficits, seizures, and coma. Cutaneous lesions: ulcers, nodules, and subcutaneous abscesses. Respiratory: sinusitis and pneumonitis. *Acanthamoeba* keratitis (reported in humans only): ocular pain, photophobia, corneal ulceration, loss of visual acuity, and blindness.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Gross Findings:</u> multifocal encephalomalacia and cerebral hemorrhage; nodular necrosis in the liver, kidney, lung, pancreas; multifocal granulomatous pneumonia; cutaneous granulomas

<u>Histologic Findings:</u> Focal areas of necrosis and granulomatous inflammation in affected tissues; necrotizing vasculitis; [resence of cysts (12-16 um diameter) and trophozoites (14-40 um diameter) in affected tissues

Diagnosis: Direct observation of amoebae in tissues stained with hematoxylin-eosin; indirect immunofluorescence staining using rabbit anti-amoeba sera; polymerase chain reaction to detect amoeba DNA in tissue and cerebrospinal fluid samples; computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, cerebrospinal fluid, formalin-fixed tissue samples, fresh tissue samples for culture (culture should only be done by accredited laboratories with the proper safety equipment)

ACANTHAMOEBIASIS

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia

Treatment: Pentamidine isethionate, sulfadiazine and other sulfa drugs, flucytosine, fluconazole, itraconazole, amphotericin B, and azithromycin.

Prevention and control: Limit exposure to airborne soil particles that may carry cysts to the respiratory system; prevent exposure of open wounds to contaminated soil or water; preventative measures are especially important for immunocompromised individuals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Chlorhexidine, isopropyl alcohol (20%), hydrogen peroxide

Notification Not required

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan None required

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal None required

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: It is not possible due to ubiquitous nature of this organism.

Experts who may be consulted Centers for Disease Control

- 1. Mehlhorn, H. 2008. Encyclopedia of Parasitology Volume 1, 3rd Ed. Springer-Verlag, New York. Pp: 2.
- 2. Schuster, F.L., and G.S. Visvesvara. 2004. Amebae and ciliated protozoa as causal agents of waterborne zoonotic disease. Vet. Parasitol. 126: 91-120.
- 3. Rutala, W.A., D.J. Weber, and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. 2008. Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities, 2008. http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/Disinfection_Nov_2008.pdf. Accessed 14 April 2011.
- 4. Visvesvara, G.S., H. Moura, and F L. Schuster. 2007. Pathogenic and opportunistic free-living amoebae: *Acanthamoeba* spp., *Balamuthia mandrillaris*, *Naegleria fowleri*, and *Sappinia diploidea*. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 50: 1-26.

ACANTHOCEPHALANS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
All vertebrates	Requires ingestion of the intermediate host or a paratenic or transport host	Ill thrift, weight loss, anorexia, diarrhea, abdominal discomfort or colic; clinical signs compatible with peritonitis	Infections can cause clinical disease and mortality. Severity of disease may not be directly correlated with the number of adult parasites present.	There have been treatment difficulties noted in some species. However, ivermectin and doramectin have eliminated <i>Macracanthorhynchus</i> species in dogs and swine. Albendazole has eliminated <i>Moniliformis clarki</i> from cotton-topped tamarins.	Identify presence of infected animals. Removal of intermediate, paratenic, or transport hosts from environment.	Yes, if there is consumption of an intermediate or transport host

Fact Sheet compiled by: Peregrine Wolff

Sheet completed on: updated 9 July 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Rachel Marschang

Susceptible animal groups: Vertebrate species

Causative organism: Phylum Acanthocephala are commonly known as thorny headed worms and are highly specialized, unsegmented parasites of the digestive tract of mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians and fish. Acanthocephala are characterized by their large size (up to 65 cm) and the presence of an anterior, retractable proboscis that is covered with rings of recurved hooks arranged in horizontal rows in almost all species. These hooks are used to attach the parasite to the intestinal mucosa of its final host while it completes its life cycle. Acanthocephalans do not possess a digestive tract and absorb all nutrients through their body wall. It is believed that over 1300 species of Acanthocephalan parasites exist. Within the four orders of Acanthocephalans:

- Neoechinorhynchidea infect turtles, amphibians and fish.
- Echinorhynchidea infect primarily fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds and aquatic mammals. However, a few species are known to infect terrestrial mammals and birds of prey, such as *Corynosoma* reported in free ranging marine mammals and *C. polymorphus* reported in sea ducks.
- Within the order Aporhynchidea, the species *Apororhynchus* is a parasite of birds.
- Gigantorhynchidea contains families and species that infect mammals (*Macracanthorhynchus* [suiids, carnivores], *Prosthenorchis* [primates], *Moniliformis* [rodents], and *Oncicola* [carnivores]) and birds.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Human reports of infection with acanthocephalans are rare and are associated with ingestion of the intermediate host or the transport or secondary hosts and most commonly associated with the consumption of raw fish.

Distribution: Worldwide distribution in aquatic and terrestrial vertebrate species

Incubation period: Adult females lay eggs which contain a fully developed larva called an acanthor which passes into the environment. If the acanthor is ingested by a suitable insect (e.g., cockroaches can serve as intermediate insect hosts in zoos) or crustacean intermediate host it will first enter the acanthella stage before developing in 6-12 weeks into the infective stage larva or cystacanth, which encysts in the intermediate host.

ACANTHOCEPHALANS

If the intermediate host is ingested by a final host then the cystacanth attaches onto the intestinal lumen and matures into an adult in 8-12 weeks. If the intermediate host is ingested by a transport or paratenic host then the cystacanth will penetrate through the gut and encyst in the tissues or organs of this host only completing its life cycle if the transport host is ingested by a final host.

In experimental infections in swine, *Macracanthorhynchus ingens* worms were found embedded in the mucosa of the intestine 3 days after the pig was fed cystacanths contained in a gelatin capsule.

Clinical signs: Depending upon the host, the species of parasite and the parasite burden there may be subclinical to severe clinical signs. Diarrhea, emaciation, restlessness, abdominal pain (often severe) and poor weight gain has been described in pigs infected with *Macracanthorhynchus hirudinaceus*. Diarrhea, emaciation, lethargy, and ill-thrift have been noted in primates infected with *Prosthenorchis elegans*. If the parasite penetrates the serosa of the intestines then clinical signs consistent with peritonitis may be evident. Among birds, ducks, geese, swans, birds of prey, and some species of passerines are most commonly infected. Severe disease outbreaks have been reported in common eiders. All age classes can become infected. Acanthocephalans are actively being studied as bio-indicators of pollution. Parasites in fish have been found to have concentrations of heavy metals orders of magnitude greater than either the tissues of their hosts or the surrounding water. If captive species were fed fish that were heavily parasitized by acanthocephalans with high concentrations of heavy metals perhaps the potential for toxicity exists.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Attachment sites of the adult worms may be visible on the serosal surface of the intestine as circular flat areas of discoloration or as raised, firm, white nodules. The proboscis may penetrate the mucosa, submucosa, muscularis and serosal layers of the intestine with the body of the worm protruding into the lumen. Peritonitis occurs secondary to penetration of the parasite through the wall of the small intestine. Histological changes at the intestinal site of penetration include thickening of the submucosa, muscularis and serosa resulting from cellular infiltration and inflammatory exudates. Intestinal villi at and immediately surrounding the site of attachment may be absent, the cellular architecture may be disrupted and accompanied by leukocytic infiltration. Some species of Acanthocephala may move around the intestine prior to settling on a final attachment site. Gross and histological evidence of worm attachment without the presence of a parasite may be evident. Some individuals can have worm burdens numbering from hundreds to thousands. It is believed that this volume of large parasites within the lumen may cause mechanical blockage contributing to starvation of the host.

Diagnosis: Identification of adults often is based on the pattern of hooks on the proboscis, thus it is important that this portion of the worm is preserved and visible. If required for identification, and no adults are free floating, then the worm should be carefully removed from its attachment site within the intestine and placed in water which creates an osmotic turgor forcing the proboscis to evert. The worm is then fixed in warm alcoholformaldehyde-acetic acid (AFA) a preservative which consists of 85 parts 85% ethanol, 10 parts stock formalin, and 5 parts glacial acetic acid.

Acanthocephalan eggs are large and heavy thus fecal sedimentation techniques utilizing formalin-ethyl acetate are felt to be superior to flotation techniques for identifying acanthocephalan eggs. The eggs are elongated with a thick outer wall and thin inner walls, often appearing to have 3 layers. Within the egg lies the acanthor larva. If the spines at one end of the larva are visible then a positive identification of acanthocephalan can be made. Although when laid by the female the eggs are clear, eggs of some species will appear brown due to fecal staining as they pass along of the intestinal tract of the host.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Feces to determine presence of eggs are needed and fecal centrifugation techniques are preferred. The whole parasite is necessary for species identification. Sections of the intestine with the parasite within the lumen or embedded within the wall to determine degree of pathology associated with the attachment site.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Before submission, confirm with your diagnostic laboratory that they can key out species of adult parasites.

ACANTHOCEPHALANS

Treatment: Albendazole (50 mg/kg b.i.d. x 16 days or 100 mg/kg b.i.d x 3 days and then repeated biweekly for a total of 4 treatments) has been used to successfully treat captive cotton-topped tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*) infected with *Moniliformis clarki*. Swine and dogs have been successfully treated for *Macracanthorhynchus* species with ivermectin and doramectin at dosages typical for the species. Surgical removal of adult *Prosthenorchis elegans* from captive marmoset and tamarin species has also been an effective treatment.

Prevention and control: All incoming animals should be quarantined and receive comprehensive fecal exams. Animals infected with Acanthocephala should be treated. Species should not have the opportunity to infect or ingest possible intermediate or transport hosts that could be infected with acanthocephalan species. Although one species may shed the parasite, another taxonomically unrelated species (accidental host) may ingest the intermediate host and become infected. This transfer can have implications for mixed species exhibits and implementation of an effective pest control program.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Removal of intermediate host – pest control

Notification: None required

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Introductions should not be done unless no opportunity for introduction of a suitable intermediate host exists.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: It is necessary to break the life cycle and remove possible intermediate hosts.

Experts who may be consulted: Most research regarding acanthocephalans revolves around emerging human infections and identification of new species in new hosts. Parasitologists at each veterinary university diagnostic laboratory can be consulted for acanthocephalan identification.

- 1. Amin OM. Classification of the acanthocephalan. Folia Parasitol. 2013;60:273-305.
- 2. Bowman DD. Georgis' Parasitology for Veterinarians 9th ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2009. p. 464.
- 3. ITIS [Internet]. Acanthocephala ITIS Report: c2017 [cited 2019 January 14]. Available from www.itis.gov/servlet/SingleRpt/S
- 4. José Zárate-Ramos JJ, Gómez-Garza MA, Rodríguez-Tovar LE, Escareño JH, Contreras-Lozano JA. An Alternative Treatment Against Acanthocephala (Prosthenorchis elegans) in Captive Squirrel Monkeys (Saimiri sciureus) in Mexico. J Parasitol. 2018;104(5):574-575.
- 5. Richardson DJ, Brink CD. Effectiveness of various anthelmintics in the treatment of moniliformiasis in experimentally infected Wistar rats. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2011;11(8):1151-6.
- 6. USGS. Acanthocephaliasis. In: Friend M, Franson JC (eds.). Field manual of wildlife diseases: general field procedures and diseases of birds. Washington (DC): U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; 1999. p. 241-243. Available from http://www.fwspubs.org/doi/suppl/10.3996/082016-JFWM-065/suppl_file/fwma-08-01-20_ref+s05.pdf
- 7. Weber M., Junge R. Identification and treatment of *Moniliformis clarki* (Acanthocephala) in cotton-topped tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2000;31 (4):503-507.
- 8. Yazwinski TA, Tucker C, Featherston H, Johnson Z, Wood-Huels N. Endectocidal efficacies of doramectin in naturally parasitized pigs. Vet Parasitol. 1997:70:123.

WOODEN TONGUE (Actinobacillus lignieresii)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Primarily cattle,	Normal	Granulomas	Variable; life	Surgical	Early	No
but also sheep,	oropharyngeal	of tongue and	threatening	debridement,	recognition and	
horses, pigs,	and rumen flora	lymph nodes	without	systemic	treatment,	
dogs and rarely	enters tissues	of head and	treatment.	sodium iodide	isolation of	
chickens.	through	neck.		and long-term	affected	
	epithelial			antibiotics	animals.	
	damage.					

Fact Sheet compiled by: Amanda Guthrie

Sheet completed on: 16 March 2011; updated 5 April 2013, updated 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Mark Drew and Nancy Carpenter

Susceptible animal groups: Most commonly cattle are affected, but can infect sheep, horses, pigs, dogs and rarely chickens.

Causative organism: Actinobacillus lignieresii; Gram-negative coccobacillus.

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: Occurs sporadically worldwide, preferentially in areas with copper deficiency or pasture with abrasive weeds.

Incubation period: Unknown

Clinical signs: Disease mainly affects the tongue and the lymph nodes of the head and neck. Characteristic lesion is pyogranulomatous inflammation of the tongue with purulent discharge. Inability to eat or drink may be noticed, as well as excess salivation, rapid weight loss, and painful and swollen ulcerated tongue. With chronicity, the tongue becomes fibrous, shrunken and immobile. Draining lymph nodes in this area may become enlarged and abscessed with purulent discharge, rarely granulomas can form in and around the jaw, lungs, esophagus, udder, skin or internal organs. Sheep frequently are affected by purulent granulomas of the face, lips, nose, jaw, and neck.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Poor body condition, pyogranulomatous lesions containing pus in and around the mouth. Oral ulcers and encapsulated abscesses of the local lymph nodes may be noted. In chronic cases, fibrous connective tissue proliferation of the tongue can be observed.

Diagnosis: Reasonable suspicion based on clinical signs and it may be confirmed with microscopic exam of cytological specimens or by direct culture. Purulent discharge contains small brown-white granules which consist of colonies of Gram-negative rod-shaped bacteria.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Smears of pus, fine needle aspirate samples.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Kansas State University Veterinary Diagnostic Lab

1800 Denison Avenue Manhattan, KS 66506 Phone: 866-512-5650 Fax: 785-532-4481

dlaboffice@vet.k-state.edu

http://www.vet.k-state.edu/depts/dmp/service/

Treatment: Surgical debridement, systemic sodium iodide – which is not labeled for use in food animals; call FARAD about withdrawal times, and antibiotics. Streptomycin is considered the antibiotic of choice; also tetracyclines, erythromycin and tilmicosin are effective but require extended duration.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **WOODEN TONGUE** (*Actinobacillus lignieresii*)

Prevention and control: Early recognition and treatment and isolation of affected animals is critical. Animals with weeping lesions should be isolated and areas should be cleaned routinely as these bacteria only survive for a few days in the environment. Low-quality dry stalky feed, grass seeds, coarse hay and scrub can predispose to disease by causing oral abrasions. Tooth eruption may also allow for entry of bacterium into oral epithelium.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Routine disinfection as organisms only live for a few days outside of an animal host.

Notification: This disease is not reportable.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: None, this organism is normal gastrointestinal flora; it is not considered very contagious.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: None.

Experts who may be consulted:

Kansas State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory

1800 Denison Avenue

Manhattan, KS 66506

866-512-5650

- 1. Overview of Actinobacillosis [Internet]. MSD Veterinary Manual: [cited 2018 Feb 13]. Available from http://www.msdvetmanual.com/generalized-conditions/actinobacillosis/overview-of-actinobacillosis
- 2. Actinobacillosis (Wooden Tongue) [Internet]. AHP Disease Manual: [cited 2018 Feb 13]. Available from http://lrd.spc.int/ext/Disease_Manual_Final/actinobacillosis_wooden_tongue.html
- 3. Lumpy jaw and wooden tongue in cattle [Internet]. NSW Department of Primary Industries: [cited 2018 Feb 13]. Available from https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/animals-and-livestock/beef-cattle/health-and-disease/bacterial-diseases/lumpy-jaw-wooden-tongue
- 4. Carmalt JL, Baptiste KE, Chirino-Trejo JM. Actinobacillus lignieresii infection in two horses. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1999;215:826-8.
- 5. Kokotovic B, Angen, O, Bisgaard M. Genetic diversity of Actinobacillus lignieresii isolates from different hosts. Acta Vet Scand. 2011;53: 6. Available from http://www.actavetscand.com/content/pdf/1751-0147-53-6.pdf.
- 6. Rycroft AN, Garside LH. Actinobacillus species and their role in animal disease. Vet J. 2000;159(1):18-36.
- 7. Caffarena RD, Rabaza A, Casaux L, Rioseco MM, Schild CO, Monesiglio C, Fraga M, Giannitti F, Riet-Correa F. Natural lymphatic ("atypical") actinobacillosis in cattle caused by Actinobacillus lignieresii. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2018;30(2):218-225.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Many mammal species, including humans; birds	Endogenous infection into susceptible tissues or by bite wound	Local abscesses, chronic draining fistulas, bony infections, or infections of body cavities. Clinical signs referable to the involved area	Can be mild if restricted to local infection but can be fatal depending on infection location, spread, and time to diagnosis.	Surgical drainage and debridement. Appropriate antibiotic therapy continued for several weeks after elimination of clinical signs.	Ensure good oral care. Limit the amount of rough forage fed and limit the number of plant awns in environment.	Yes, but most human infections are endogenous.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Rebecca Bloch

Sheet completed on: 24 June 2011; updated 21 December 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Amy Swinford, John Gilliam

Susceptible animal groups: Horses, cattle, small carnivores, goats, sheep, wild ruminants, monkeys, rabbits, squirrels, hamsters, marsupials, humans, river otter, and birds

Causative organism: Actinomyces spp. including A. bovis, A. hodeovulneris, A. israelii, A. naeslundii, A. pyogenes, A. suis, and A. viscosus. These organisms are anaerobic to microaerophilic, Gram positive, rod shaped bacteria that may produce branching filaments. The disease process termed lumpy jaw has many bacterial agents that include Fusobacterium necrophorum, Bacteroides, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, Corynebacterium, Nocardia, and Actinobacillus in addition to Actinomyces species. A. bovis has been stated as being the causative agent of lumpy jaw in cattle but it has also been suggested that Actinomyces infection in exotic bovids is secondary to a tooth root infection, rather than a primary infection (J. Oosterhuis personal communication). If the mandible undergoes a traumatic incident that interrupts the blood supply to a tooth causing it to become devitalized, this damage leads to necrosis and then secondary invasion by various bacteria, including Actinomyces species.

Zoonotic potential: Generally, the disease is not contagious except via bite wounds. The only suggested documented zoonotic infection in the literature was caused by *Actinomyces pyogenes*, since reclassified as *Arcanobacterium pyogenes*.

Distribution: Normal flora of the oral and nasopharyngeal membranes. This species is secondarily found in the gastrointestinal tract. In humans, these organisms are also found in the female genitourinary tract.

Incubation period: This organism requires 24-48h for growth in media but infections are endogenous and require introduction of the bacteria into susceptible tissue to initiate infection generally through tissue trauma or, less frequently, through bite wound.

Clinical signs: Lesions include localized abscesses, chronic draining fistulas, bone infections, or infections of body cavities. Drainage from the lesions is serosanguinous and often contains small yellow granules. Infection may be associated with fever. Clinical signs are referable to the area of involvement. In cattle, humans, and marsupials, *Actinomyces* sp. associated with osteomyelitis is characterized by dislodgement of teeth, inability to chew, and mandibular fractures. In several hosts, this bacterium can cause soft tissue infections. In horses, it may manifest as supra-atlantal or supraspinous bursitis, or sometimes cervical abscesses. *Actinomyces* endopthalmitis has been documented in a dog. *Actinomyces* spp. has been associated with plant awn foreign

ACTINOMYCOSIS

bodies and associated disease such as discospondylitis, in small carnivores. In humans, in addition to other sites of infection, actinomycosis can be associated with contraceptive intrauterine devices.

- A. bovis is associated with osteomyelitis in cattle, typically causing formation of periosteal new bone and fibrosis in the mandible, most commonly on the horizontal ramus. It can occasionally cause ganulomatous abscesses in the soft tissues of the head, esophagus, forestomachs, and trachea.
- A. actinoides is occasionally found in enzootic pneumonia of calves and seminal vasculitis in bulls.
- A. hordoevulneris causes localized abscesses and systemic infections such as pleuritis, peritonitis, visceral abscesses, and septic arthritis in dogs. Infection is associated with migrating plant awns.
- A. israelii is associated with chronic granulomatous infections in humans but has rarely been isolated from pyogranulomatous lesions in pigs and cattle.
- A. neslundii has been isolated from suppurative infections in several animal species, the most common being aborted porcine fetuses.
- A. pyogenes (currently Arcanobacter pyogenes) is associated with infections in many organ systems in many species of animals. Infections include suppurative mastitis, suppurative pneumonia, septicemia, vegetative endocarditits, endometritis, intracranial abscesses or suppurative meningoencephalitis, septic arthritis, wound infections, and liver abscesses.
- A. suis causes pyogranulomatous porcine mastitis. Chronic, deep seated abscesses may fistulate.
- *A. viscosus* causes chronic pneumonia, pyothorax, and localized subcutaneous abscesses in dogs. Thoracic lesions are pyogranulomatous while cutaneous lesions are granulomatous abscesses, often with fistulous tracts. Lesions generally develop after a traumatic injury such as a bite wound.
- *A. denticolens* has been reported to cause mandibular lymphadenopathy in horses with possible fever, nasal discharge, and depression, making it clinically similar to strangles.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Aggregates of Gram positive, filamentous, non-acid-fast bacteria with associated inflammation in the areas of infection. While it is possible to detect *Actinomyces* sp in tissue sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin (sulfur granules are round or oval basophilic masses with a radiating arrangement of eosinophilic terminal clubs), special stains such as Gomori methenamine silver, paminosalicylic acid, McCallen-Goodpasture, and Brown-Benn may be needed.

Diagnosis: Grossly, yellowish particles up to several millimeters in diameter in the lesions or tissue may be observed. These particles, called sulfur granules, are suggestive of *Actinomyces* infection but can also be seen with other types of bacteria (*Nocardia* sp.). In the case of *A. viscosus* infection soft, grayish white granules may be seen in the pus or exudate. Clinical presentation, Gram stain, and histopathologic visualization of the bacteria and granules are supportive of the diagnosis. Definitive diagnosis requires culture but is not always possible as this group of organisms is sometimes difficult to grow.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Culture swab or tissue sample from the affected area. Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any laboratory capable of running bacterial cultures should be able to

culture this organism. Although most strains do not require anaerobic incubation, they do benefit from increased carbon dioxide concentration.

Treatment: Appropriate surgical drainage or debridement in addition to antimicrobial administration. Iodine compounds, penicillin, and isoniazid have been used to treat bovine cases. In small carnivores, a penicillin derivative is the drug of choice but penicillins have difficulty penetrating pyogranulomatous lesions which may necessitate prolonged therapy. Chloramphenicol and clindamycin can also be used. Antibiotic impregnated beads have been used in the treatment of bone infections. A published suggestion for treatment of jaw osteomyelitis includes surgical debridement of the lesion followed by surgical fistulation to allow lavage with sterile water, hydrogen peroxide, 5.25% sodium hypochlorite, and then 2% Betadine. Once infection is eliminated or contained, surgical repair of the tooth and bony defect can occur.

Prevention and control: Reduce feeding of rough or excessively fibrous plant material that might cause

ACTINOMYCOSIS

trauma to the oral cavity. Reduce any environmental stressors such as overcrowding. Good oral care to help prevent food impaction or entry of bacteria in dental caries.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Since *Actinomyces* sp. are normal flora and generally found in the oral cavity of the animals they effect, environmental decontamination of the environment has less importance. However, the bacteria can reside in the environment in organic material and these organisms can be removed through thorough cleaning of any organic material from the environment followed by disinfection with 10% bleach or any of the commercially available disinfectants mixed to manufacturer's instructions.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Since most infections are endogenous, unless the infected animal is likely to bite another animal, no special measures beyond individual health care need to be taken.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Special attention should be paid to husbandry practices and oral care in the animals of concern.

Experts who may be consulted:

Amy K. Swinford, DVM, MS, Dipl. ACVM

Head, Diagnostic Bacteriology

P.O. Drawer 3040

College Station, TX 77841

aswinford@tvmdl.tamu.edu

979-845-3414

- 1. Barnes, L., and B. H. Grahn. 2007. *Actinomyces* endopthalmitis and pneumonia in a dog. Can. Vet. J. 48: 1155-1158.
- Bartlett, J.G. Actinomyces. Johns Hopkins Antibiotic Guide. http://www.hopkinsguides.com/hopkins/ub/view/Johns_Hopkins_ABX_Guide/540005/all/Actinomyces. Accessed 11 July 2013.
- 3. Biberstein, E.L., and D.C. Hirsh. 1999. Pathogenic Actinomycetes (*Actinomyces* and *Nocardia*). *In*: Hirsh, D.C., and Y.C. Zee (eds.), Veterinary Microbiology. Blackwell Sciences, Inc. Malden, Massachusetts. Pp. 250-253.
- 4. Britton, A. P., E. Zabek, and H. Schwantje. 2011. Pleural actinomycosis in a free-ranging river otter (*Lutra canadensis*). J. Zoo. Wildl. Dis. 42:751-4.
- 5. Davidson, W. R., V. F. Nettles, L. E. Hayes, E. W. Howerth, and C. E. Couvillion. 1990. Epidemiologic features of an intracranial abscessation/suppurative meninogencephalitis complex in white-tailed deer. J. Wildl. Dis. 26: 460-467.
- 6. Fagan, D.A., J.E. Oosterhuis, and K. Benirschke. 2005. "Lumpy jaw" in exotic hoofstock: a histopathologic interpretation with a treatment proposal. J. Zoo Wild. Med. 36: 36-43.
- 7. Oostman, O., and R.A. Smego. 2005. Cervicofacial actinomycosis: diagnosis and management. Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep. 7: 170-174.
- 8. Overview of actinomycosis. http://www.merckmanuals.com/vet/generalized_conditions/actinomycosis/overview_of_actinomycosis. html. Accessed 11 July 2013.
- 9. Smith, B.P. 1996. Actinomycosis. *In*: Smith, B.P. (ed), Large Animal Internal Medicine. Mosby-Year Book. St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 796-797.
- 10. Vogelnest, L., and T. Portas. 2008. Macropods. *In*: Vogelnest, L., and R. Woods (eds.), Medicine of Australian Mammals. Csiro Publishing. Collingwood, Victoria, Australia. Pp. 133-225.

ADENOVIRUS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Mammals	Vertical.	Mammal:	Variable:	Supportive/	Vaccination	No as
Birds	Direct	respiratory,	asymptomatic	symptomatic	(carnivores);	virus is
Reptiles	contact.	conjunctivitis, liver	to death.		however, this	highly
	Fecal-oral.	disease, gastro-	Usually		approach is not	host
	Venereal.	enteritis.	disease is		common.	specific.
		Avian: abnormal	sporadic and			
		eggs and production,	limited to the		One case of	
		respiratory disease.	young and		vaccine-	
		Reptile: none to	immune-		induced	
		"poor doer" to	compromised		disease.	
		unexplained death.				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Natalie D. Mylniczenko

Sheet completed on: 29 January 2011; 6 October 2012; update 19 April 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Erika Travis-Crook

Susceptible animal groups:

Mammals:

Infectious canine hepatitis (canine adenovirus 1): foxes, wolves, ferrets, raccoons, skunks, ursids (black bears); Eurasian otter (n=1), hedgehog (n=1) and other small carnivores

Adenoviral hemorrhagic disease virus: cervids

Acute hepatic necrosis: California sea lion (CSLAdV-1)

Dolphin:

Simian adenoviruses: 1-30 (oncogenic and non-oncogenic): SA8 baboons (infants-pneumonia)

Birds

Many avian adenoviruses (quail bronchitis virus, hemorrhagic enteritis, etc.)

Egg drop syndrome/duck adenovirus A: chickens, ducks, quail

Pigeon adenovirus

Reptiles:

Agamid adenovirus 1: bearded dragon

Snake and lizard adenoviruses

Amphibian and fish have adenoviruses that are of no known clinical significance at this time.

Causative organism: Adenovirus. Non-enveloped DNA virus.

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: Mammal 8-10d, avian 3-4d for respiratory, 10-24 for egg production.

Clinical signs: <u>Mammal</u>: "blue eye", young animals, nonspecific gastrointestinal signs. Course is typically peracute or acute.

Birds: young birds, respiratory disease; change in egg quality/production

Reptiles: none to chronic 'poor doer' to death

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: respiratory, gastrointestinal and ocular systems typically affected. Mammals:

Carnivores: hemorrhage of stomach and serosal surfaces (coagulation impairment), hepatic congestion and hepatomegaly. Focal hepatic necrosis.

Cervids: pulmonary edema and hemorrhagic enteropathy.

Birds: enteritis, splenitis (marble spleen disease), hepatitis, bronchitis, pulmonary congestion

Reptiles: enteritis and hepatitis, rarely encephalitis and esophagitis.

ADENOVIRUS

Diagnosis:

<u>Mammals</u>: Antemortem is difficult since signs are non-specific and rapid. Virus isolation, immunofluorescence, or characteristic intranuclear inclusion bodies in the liver or other lesions. Serology is available.

Birds: serology, agar gel immunodiffusion, fluorescent antibody, virus isolation

Reptiles: polymerase chain reaction or electron microscopy

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Mammals: serum, swabs, tissue from liver and lung

Birds: serum, tissue from lesions

Reptiles: tissues from lesions or cloacal/fecal swabs

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Mammals:

Mammalian tests (bovine, canine, equine, porcine, llama)-many veterinary diagnostic labs

Primate: http://www.vrl.net/

http://zoologix.com/primate/index.htm

Birds:

National Veterinary Services Laboratories

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL

Avian Viruses Section

Head: Dr. Mia Kim Torchetti

Phone: (515) 337-7551

E-mail: mia.kim.torchetti@aphis.usda.gov

https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/lab-info-

services/sa diagnostic tests/ct diagnostic tests

Charles River: Avian Vaccine Services

https://www.criver.com/products-services/avian-vaccine-services

Penn State Animal Diagnostic Laboratory

Wiley Lane

University Park, PA 16802

Phone: 814-863-0837 Fax: 814-865-3907 adlhelp@psu.edu

http://vbs.psu.edu/facilities/adl/services/tests/avian-virology

Reptiles:

Zoo Medicine Infectious Disease Lab

University of Florida

2015 SW 16th Ave.

Building 1017 Room V2-238

Gainesville, FL 32610 Contact: April Childress Phone: 352-294-4420 childressa@ufl.edu

http://labs.vetmed.ufl.edu/sample-requirements/microbiology-parasitology-serology/zoo-med-infections/

Treatment: Supportive/symptomatic.

Prevention and control: Vaccination (carnivores)-modified live vaccine for canids.

ADENOVIRUS

Virus shed in urine, nasal and conjunctival secretions, and feces.

Virus persists in the kidney and may be shed for months after recovery.

Do not translocate cervids from affected areas to non-endemic areas.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Adenoviruses are very stable in the environment but are susceptible to 1% sodium hypochlorite, 2% glutaraldehyde and quaternary ammonium compounds.

Notification: Some states require notification with birds and deer.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Vaccination prior to introduction should be considered; however, incidence rate in most species is low. Animals may shed virus in urine and other secretions for up to 6 months.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Outbreaks are not typical.

Experts who may be consulted: See Diagnostic Laboratories.

- 1. Adenoviridae. In: Murphy FA, Gibbs EPJ, Horzinek MC, Studdert MJ (eds.). Veterinary Virology, 3rd ed. Orlando (FL): Academic Press; 1999. p. 327-334.
- 2. Boyce WM, Woods LW, Keel MK, MacLachlan NJ, Porter CO, Lehmkuhl HD. An epizootic of adenovirus-induced hemorrhagic disease in captive black-tailed deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*). Am J Pathol. 1978; 91(2):259–276.
- 3. The Center for Food Safety & Public Health [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 December 27]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/egg_drop_syndrome.pdf.
- 4. Hall NH, Archer LL, Childress AL, Wellehan JFX. Identification of a novel adenovirus in a cotton-top tamarin (*Saguinus oedipus*). J Vet Diagn Invest. 2012;24(2):359-363.
- 5. Hess M. Detection and differentiation of avian adenoviruses: a review. Av Pathol. 2000;29:195-206.
- 6. Katoh H, Ogawa H, Ohya K, Fukushi H. A review of DNA viral infections in psittacine birds. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 2010;72(9):1099-1106.
- 7. McFerran JB, Smyth JA. Avian adenoviruses. Rev Sci Tech. 2000;19(2):589-601.
- 8. Rubio-Guerri C, García-Párraga D, Nieto-Pelegrín E, Melero M, Álvaro T, Valls M, Crespo JL, Sánchez-Vizcaíno JM. Novel adenovirus detected in captive bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) suffering from self-limiting gastroenteritis. BMC Vet Res. 2015;11(1):53.
- 9. Shilton CM, Smith DA, Woods LW, Crawshaw GJ, Lehmkuhl HD. Adenoviral infection in captive moose (*Alces alces*) in Canada. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2002;33(1):73-79.
- 10. Swenson J, Orr K, Bradley GA. Hemorrhagic and necrotizing hepatitis associated with administration of a modified live canine adenovirus-2 vaccine in a maned wolf (*Chrysocyon brachyurus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2012;43(2):375-383.
- 11. Twomey DF, Grierson SS, Martelli F, Higgins RJ, Jeffrey M. Enteritis in an alpaca (*Vicugna pacos*) associated with a potentially novel adenovirus. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2012;24(5): 1000-1003.
- 12. Vereecken M, de Herdt P, Ducatelle R. Adenovirus infections in pigeons: a review. Av Pathol. 1998; 27(4):333-338.
- 13. Woods LW. Adenoviral diseases. In: Williams ES, Barker IK, (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, 3rd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 202-212

AEROMONAS INFECTIONS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Fish, Amphibians, Reptiles, Waterfowl, Marine mammals	Horizontal transmission, close contact with infected individual, ingestion of bacterium, direct inoculation through wounds, especially from contaminated water and detritus. Snake mite (Ophionyssus, natricis) capable of transmitting bacteria	Acute mortality, dermal hyperemia, skin wounds, pustular dermatitis, stomatitis, fasciitis, muscle cavitations, pneumonia, gastrointestinal disease	Mild to severe depending on immune status, and route of infection	Antibiotics, appropriate wound management, supportive care	Ubiquitous in environment and may comprise part of the normal intestinal flora. Opportunistic infection. Prevention through good environ-mental and personal hygiene practices, optimal husbandry, UV irradiation or ozonation of water; vaccination for <i>Aeromonas</i> salmonicida	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Douglas P. Whiteside

Sheet completed on: 31 March 2011; updated 17 July 2013; Updated 27 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Karen Liljebjelke, Stephen Raverty

Susceptible animal groups: Fish (especially salmonids, goldfish, carp), amphibians, reptiles, waterfowl, marine mammals. Occasionally isolated from invertebrates.

Causative organism: Aeromonas hydrophila, A. salmonicida, A. shigelloides, A. formicans, A. sobria, and Aeromonas sp. of which of the at least seven recognized species, four of which are considered more pathogenic. It is a Gram negative, oxidative positive, facultative anaerobic, polar flagellated bacterial rod.

Zoonotic potential: Yes, but also direct environmental exposure. Opportunistic zoonotic pathogen especially in immunocompromised or debilitated individuals.

Distribution: Worldwide distribution. Common in fresh, brackish and salt water environments, particularly with increased detritus or sewage and carried by some invertebrate and vertebrate species.

Incubation period: 24-48 hours

Clinical signs:

<u>Fish</u>: Acute mortality, septicemia, erythema, exophthalmia, hemorrhages in skin, fins, muscle and oral cavity, with skin boils and ulceration. Fecal casts or bloody discharge from vents

<u>Amphibians</u>: Acute mortality, septicemia, anorexia, ventral erythema with cutaneous hemorrhage especially ventral thighs, edema in subcutis, anasarca, hemorrhagic ulcerations of digit tips and jaw. May feature digital amputation due to vasoconstriction, secondary to septicemia.

<u>Reptiles</u>: Acute mortality, septicemia, pneumonia, ulcerative stomatitis particularly in snakes, dermal ecchymoses, epidermal ulceration, anorexia, listless, labored respirations, harsh respiratory sounds, mouth gaping, steady decline in status, rule out predisposing or underlying environmental or host factors.

<u>Waterfowl</u>: Upper respiratory tract infections, salpingitis, enteritis, septicemia, localized abscessation, and arthritis

Marine mammals: Septicemia, pneumonia

<u>Humans</u>: Gastroenteritis, watery diarrhea which can be chronic in nature, septicemia, pustular dermatitis, cellulitis, necrotizing fasciitis, pneumonia, peritonitis, cholecystitis, bacteremia and hepatitis.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings

<u>Fish</u>: Cavitating dermal ulceration, furuncles and myositis, exophthalmus, serosanguineous ascites commonly observed. Splenomegaly and swollen kidneys are common. Multifocal areas of necrosis and hemorrhage in

AEROMONAS INFECTIONS

the spleen, liver, kidney and heart with numerous bacilli. Punctate colonies of extracellular bacteria and a lack of associated inflammatory infiltrate are hallmarks of *A salmonicida* infections in salmonids. Carp erythrodermatitis.

<u>Amphibians</u>: Ventral erythema, hepatosplenomegaly, ascites, anasarca, pulmonary congestion, petechiae and ecchymoses in skeletal muscle, coelomic serosa, kidneys, and spleen

<u>Reptiles</u>: Dermal hyperemia, ulceration, stomatitis, hepatomegaly, exudates in trachea and lungs, ascites, splenomegaly, renomegaly, intestinal edema

Waterfowl: Salpingitis, peritonitis, arthritis, or septicemia

Marine mammals: Severe pneumonia, septicemia, ulcerative dermatitis

<u>Humans</u>: Pustular dermatitis, cellulitis, necrotizing fasciitis, osteomyelitis, pyomyositis, pneumonia, bacteremia, peritonitis and meningitis

Diagnosis: Isolation on routine media (heart infusion agar, blood agar, MacConkey, Tryptone soya agar) with subsequent identification, commercial systems, molecular identification (amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis). Results must be taken in context of clinical signs and pathologic findings.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Transport media (Cary-Blair medium is most suitable). Transport at room/environmental temperature yields greatest recovery.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any laboratory that can perform bacteriological isolation, identification, and antimicrobial resistance.

Treatment: Antibiotic selection is dependent on susceptibility testing. In general, these bacteria are susceptible to aminoglycosides, carbapenems, extended spectrum cephalosporins, azithromycin, monobactams, nitrofurans, extended spectrum penicillins (piperacillin, piperacillin-tazobactam), phenicols, fluoroquinolones, and tetracyclines, with variable susceptibility to potentiated antifolates (trimethoprim-sulfas).

Aeromonas spp. produce strong *B*-lactamases, so they resistant to narrow spectrum penicillins (e.g. amoxicillin, ampicillin, ampicillin-sulbactam, ticarcillin, oxacillin, penicillin) and cephalosporins (e.g. cefoxitin), sulfamethoxazole, erythromycin, and clarithromycin.

Prevention and control: In species other than fish, infections are often opportunistic or secondary to debilitation or immunosuppression. Maintain good environmental hygiene, water quality and optimal husbandry conditions; ultraviolet irradiation or ozonation of water sources; proper food storage and follow safe cooking and thawing recommendation; follow all wound care procedures recommended by veterinarian or physician; practice good hygiene; wash hands often.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: UV irradiation or ozonation of water sources is possible. Most disinfectants are effective such as sodium hypochlorite, chlorhexidine, quaternary ammonium products, phenolics, accelerated hydrogen peroxide, Virkon®

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Ubiquitous and opportunistic bacteria. Good quarantine procedures. Tank water to discharge or if recirculating, ensure appropriate treatment and disinfection. Ideally isolate infected animals for treatment.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Environmental hygiene, povidone iodine disinfection of fish eggs.

Experts who may be consulted:

Ruth Francis-Floyd, DVM, MS, DACZM University of Florida IFAS Extension PO Box 100136 2015 SW 16th Ave

Gainesville, FL 32608-0136

Phone: 352-294-4197 Fax: 352-392-8289

rffloyd@ufl.edu

AEROMONAS INFECTIONS

- 1. Batra P, Mathur P, Misra MC. *Aeromonas* spp as a causative agent for nosocomial infection in trauma patients. J Infect. 2015;70:687-689.
- 2. Boseret G, Mainil JG. *Aeromonas* spp. as pathogens for marine mammals in systemic and skin disease. In: Proc 41st Internat Symp Dis Zoo Wild Anim; 2003. p. 157-160.
- 3. Cipriano RC, Bullock GL. Furunculosis and other diseases caused by *Aeromonas salmonicida*. National Fish Health Research Laboratory; 2001.
- 4. Higgins, R. Bacteria and fungi of marine mammals: a review. Can Vet J. 2000;41:105-116.
- 5. Jacobson, ER. Bacterial diseases of reptiles. In: Jacobson, ER (ed.). Infectious Diseases and Pathology of Reptiles. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2007. p. 463.
- 6. Janda JM, Abbott SL. The Genus *Aeromonas*: Taxonomy, Pathogenicity, and Infection. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2010;23(1):35–73.
- 7. Jauniaux T, Petitjean D, Brenez C, Borrens M, Brosens L, Haelters J, Tavernier T, Coignoul F. Postmortem findings and causes of death of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) stranded from 1990 to 2000 along the coastlines of Belgium and Northern France. J Comp Pathol. 2002;126(4):243-53.
- 8. Kaiser L, Surawicz CM. Infectious causes of chronic diarrhoea. Best Practice & Research Clinical Gastroenterology. 2012;26(5):563-571.
- 9. Kong RYC, Lee SKY, Law TWF, Law SHW, Wu RSS. Rapid detection of six types of bacterial pathogens in marine waters by multiplex PCR. Water Res. 2002;36:2802-2812.
- 10. Semel JD, Trenholme G. *Aeromonas hydrophila* water-associated traumatic wound infections: a review. J Trauma. 1990;30:324-327.
- 11. Taylor SK, Green DE, Wright KM, Whitaker BR. Bacterial diseases. In: Wright KM and Whitaker BR (eds.). Amphibian Medicine and Captive Husbandry. Malabar (FL): Krieger Publishing; 2001. p. 161-166
- 12. Thorton SM, Nolan S, Gulland FM. Bacterial isolates from California sea lions (*Zalophus californicus*), harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*), and northern elephant seals (*Mirounga angustirostris*) admitted to a rehabilitation center along the central California coast, 1994-1995. J Zoo Wildl Med. 1998;29:171-176.
- 13. Zhou Z, Guo Q, Dai H. Identification of differentially expressed immune-relevant genes in Chinese soft-shelled turtle (*Trionyx sinensis*) infected with *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2008;125(1-2): 82-91.

AFRICAN HORSE SICKNESS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Equidae, carnivores, camel	Infectious, non- contagious vector borne disease transmitted by Culicoides midge; mechanical transmission by biting flies is possible; ingestion of virus infected meat	Respiratory form: fever, dyspnea, nasal discharge, conjunctivitis. Cardiac form: fever, swollen head and neck, colic. Mixed form: combination of respiratory and cardiac form signs. Fever form: fever	Mortality depends on serotype and species affected - most severe in horses and mules, typically acute or sub-acute illness with high morbidity and mortality of respiratory, cardiac and mixed forms, fever form is mild with no mortality	No effective treatment but supportive care warranted	Vaccinate, reduce exposure to vector, test and quarantine prior to importation	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Priscilla H. Joyner

Sheet completed on: 31 March 2011; updated 1 February 2018

Fact Sheet reviewed by: John Sykes

Susceptible animal groups: Equidae, carnivores. Horses are highly susceptible with a mortality rate as high as 95% with per-acute disease, while mules are less susceptible. African donkeys, zebra, elephants and camels are generally resistant to disease. Antibodies to all 9 serotypes have been reported in elephants and zebra. Dogs are susceptible to disease if they ingest virus infected meat. Wild African carnivores are less susceptible.

Causative organism: Orbivirus of the family Reoviridae including 9 serotypes (1-9)

Zoonotic potential: No. However vaccine strains have caused encephalitis and retinitis in humans following trans-nasal transmission

Distribution: Endemic in Africa with outbreaks reported in the Middle East and Europe. Dependent on climatic factors favoring the *Culicoides* vector such as warm, humid weather and high rainfall. Distribution of disease has potential to expand with changes in climate and potential vector distribution. Virus transmission is greatly reduced when biting midge activity is reduced following onset of winter and frost.

Incubation period: 3-14 days depending on form of infection: acute respiratory form 3-5 days, cardiac form 1-2 weeks, fever form 4-14 days.

Clinical signs: The respiratory form can be acute or peracute causing fever (40-42 °C), respiratory distress (RR>50), paroxysmal coughing, nasal discharge, congested conjunctiva, and abnormal stance. Mortality rate may reach 95%. The cardiac form causes fever (39-41 °C), swelling of the supraorbital fossa extending to head and neck causing dyspnea and colic. Mortality rate may be as high as 50%. The most common form is the mixed form. A combination of respiratory signs with head and neck swelling is seen with a mortality rate of 70%. The fever form is characterized by mild pyrexia with occasional congestion of conjunctiva, depression and inappetence but minimal mortality. In endemic areas, this disease can be confused with equine encephalosis or equine viral arteritis. Dogs usually develop the respiratory form of disease. Zebra may develop a mild fever.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

Gross lesions vary based on the form of disease. In the respiratory form, lesions include pulmonary edema, hydrothorax, frothy fluid in the trachea, bronchi and bronchioles, occasional pleural effusion, edematous lymph nodes, congestion and hyperemia of abdominal viscera, and petechiae of the epicardium and endocardium. In the cardiac form yellow, gelatinous infiltrations of subcutaneous and intramuscular tissues of the head and neck, as well as hydropericardium, myocarditis with petechiae of the endocardium and epicardium, petechiae of the peritoneum and ventral tongue, flaccid or slightly edematous lungs, and hemorrhagic gastritis may be seen. The mixed form of disease produces a combination of lesions characteristic of the respiratory and cardiac forms.

Diagnosis: Virus neutralization is the gold standard test although RT-PCR is used for rapid screening samples

AFRICAN HORSE SICKNESS

from suspected clinical cases. Serology (ELISA, complement fixation, virus neutralization, lateral flow assay, Luminex assay) and virus isolation are also available. For determination of serotype use virus neutralization or RT-PCR. Outbreaks should be diagnosed using more than one test when possible.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, whole blood, (Lithium heparin or EDTA blood tubes), fresh tissue not frozen (spleen, lymph node, lung), formalin fixed tissue (10:1).

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Call prior to sample submission

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory for PCR testing of sick animal samples: must be in packages USDA-APHIS- FADDL

40550 Route 25

579 Edwards Ave, Calverton, NY 11933 (This is a hold location address and must be included on way bill)

Orient Point, NY 11957

For Friday fed ex shipping: must check box 6 on label to ensure Saturday delivery

Director: Dr. Kimberly Dodd Telephone: (631) 323-3256 Fax: (631) 323-3366

Email: Kimberly.A.Dodd@aphis.usda.gov

National Veterinary Services Laboratory for VI, ELISA, and PCR testing

USDA-APHIS-NVSL

P.O. BOX 844, 1920 DAYTON AVENUE, AMES, IA 50010

Telephone: (515) 337-7514

Treatment: None, but supportive care is warranted.

Prevention and control: Imported equids should be free of clinical signs on day of export and should not have received AHS vaccine within 40 days (infective period) prior to export, and be quarantined in vector protected facilities for 14-40 days prior to export and throughout transportation. Importation of equine semen follows the same guidelines. Review the OIE website for the most up to date recommendations on export/import requirements. In endemic areas, vaccinate susceptible animals using approved vaccines. Reduce vector exposure by stabling equids at peak times of vector activity. Establish vector control methods.

During an outbreak, quarantine the area, stop all equid movement in or out, test suspect cases, vaccinate susceptible equids and conduct epidemiological investigation. Do not feed carcasses from infected individuals to carnivores.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Commercial chlorine, iodine and quaternary ammonia based compounds

Notification: Reportable to the OIE

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Do not introduce naive animals to infected animals. Animals at risk of exposure should be vaccinated prior to introduction to new groups.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Clean areas with appropriate disinfectants.

Experts who may be consulted:

Alan Guthrie, MMedVet, PhD

Director, Equine Research Centre

University of Pretoria

Onderstepoort, South Africa

alan.guthrie@up.ac.za

- 1. USDA APHIS [Internet]. United States Department of Agriculture; [cited 2018 March 1]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth
- 2. OIE [Internet]. World Organization for Animal Health; [cited 2018 March 1]. Available from

AFRICAN HORSE SICKNESS

http://www.oie.int/animal-health-in-the-world/official-disease-status/african-horse-sickness/

- 3. McKenna T. Overview of African Horse Sickness. In: Aiello SE, Moses MA (eds.). The Merck Veterinary Manual, 11th ed. Kenilworth (NJ): Merck & Co; 2016. p. 696-698.
- 4. Alexander KA, Kat PW, House J, House C, O'Brien SJ, Laurenson MK, McNutt JW, Osburn BI. African horse sickness and African carnivores. Vet Microbiol. 1995;47:133-140.
- 5. Binepal VS, Wariru BN, Davies FG, Soi R, Olubayo R. An attempt to define the host range for African Horse Sickness virus (Orbivirus, Reoviridae) in East Africa, by a serological survey in some Equidae, Camelidae, Loxodontidae and Carnivore. Vet Microbiol. 1992;31:19-23.
- 6. Guthrie AJ, Maclachlan NJ, Joone C, Lourens CW, Weyer CT, Quan M, Monyai MS, Gardner IA. Diagnostic accuracy of a duplex real-time reverse transcription quantitative PCR assay for detection of African Horse Sickness virus. J Virol Methods. 2013;189:30-5.
- 7. Mellor PS, Hamblin C. African Horse Sickness. Vet Res. 2004;35:445-466.
- 8. Shirai J, Kanno T, Tsuchiya Y, Mitsubayashi S, Seki RJ. Effects of chlorine, iodine, and quaternary ammonium compound disinfectants on several exotic disease viruses. Vet Med Sci. 2000;62:85-92.

AFRICAN SWINE FEVER

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Domestic and wild pigs and peccaries	Direct: oral and nasal routes, skin wounds. Indirect: feeding uncooked infected pork products, fomites or bites of soft ticks (<i>Ornith-odoros</i> spp.).	Acute: pyrexia, weak hind legs, cyanotic skin, hemor-rhages. Chronic: emaciation, joint swelling, abortion.	Inapparent disease to acute death.	None	Prevention: no vaccine, control soft ticks, do not feed uncooked pork. Control: test, slaughter, quarantine, disinfect.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cora Singleton

Sheet completed on: 1 March 2011; updated 1 October 2012.

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Pat Morris, Alex Ramirez

Susceptible animal groups: Swine. Warthogs (*Pheochoerus aethiopicus*), bushpigs (*Potamochoerus porcus*), and giant forest hogs (*Hylochoerus meinertzhageni*) act as reservoir hosts in Africa. Ticks of the genus *Ornithodoros* are considered the natural arthropod host.

Causative organism: African swine fever virus is a large icosahedral DNA virus, the only member of the genus *Asfivirus* in the Asfarviridae family.

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: Africa, parts of Europe (Spain and Portugal), the Caribbean

Incubation period: 3-19 days; acute form 3-7 days.

Clinical signs: Acute disease – Pyrexia, severe depression, weak hind legs, ocular discharge, erythema, cyanotic skin blotching, extensive hemorrhages, diarrhea, cough, convulsions. High mortality.

Chronic disease – Pyrexia, depression, emaciation, joint swelling, pneumonia, necrotic skin patches, abortion. Low mortality, persistent viremia.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Widespread petechial and ecchymotic hemorrhages (lymph nodes, kidneys, skin, larynx, urinary bladder), dark red to purple areas on skin, occasional button ulcers in cecum, enlarged spleen.

Diagnosis: Agent identification – Culture, hemadsorption test, fluorescent antibody test (FAT), PCR; serology – ELISA, indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFA), immunoblotting test, counter immunoelectrophoresis. OIE prescribed test for international trade – ELISA (alternative IFA)

Material required for laboratory analysis: Contact regulatory agencies prior to collecting and shipping samples which should include tissues (lymph node, kidney, spleen, lung) and blood (serum and EDTA-anticoagulated whole blood).

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL-FADDL

40550 Route 25 (for packages)

AFRICAN SWINE FEVER

Orient Point, NY 11957 P.O. Box 848 (for letters) Greenport, NY 11944-0848

Director: Dr. Fernando Torres-Velez

Phone: (631) 323-3256 Fax: (631) 323-3366

Email: Fernando.J.Torres-Velez@aphis.usda.gov

Treatment: No effective treatment.

Prevention and control: Prevention – vaccines are not effective. Prevention includes control of pig movements and implementation of serological surveys to detect carrier pigs; control of natural reservoirs (soft ticks); and avoidance of feeding uncooked pork products. Control measures include depopulation of infected pigs, disinfection of premises, area quarantine, and control of pig movement.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Sodium hydroxide, hypochlorites, formalin, sodium carbonate, ortho-phenylphenol, iodine compounds.

Notification: Reportable to the USDA/APHIS through the State Veterinarian or the federal Area Veterinarian in Charge. The disease is also reportable to the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE).

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Foreign animal disease – reportable.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Infections must be reported to USDA/APHIS for management.

Experts who may be consulted:

USDA State Veterinarians or federal Area Veterinarians in Charge

- 1. The Center for Food Security and Public Health, Iowa State University, College of Veterinary Medicine. 2010. African swine fever.
 - http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/disease.php?name=african-swine-fever&lang=en. Accessed 3 September 2013.
- 2. Jackson, P. G. G., and P. D. Cockcroft. 2007. Handbook of Pig Medicine. Saunders Elsevier, London, England. Pp. 184-185.
- 3. Sanchez-Vizcaino, J. J. 1999. African swine fever. *In:* Straw, B. E., S. D'Allaire, W. L. Mengeling, D. J. Taylor (eds.). Diseases of Swine, 8th ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 93-102.
- 4. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services. 2012. National Animal Health Laboratories Network.
 - http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahln/labs.shtml. Accessed 3 September 2013.
- 5. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services. 2011. National Veterinary Services Laboratories, Diagnostic Tests Available. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/diagnos_tests.shtml. Accessed 3 September 2013.
- 6. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE). 2013. African swine fever. *In:* Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2012.
 - $http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.08.01_ASF.pdf\ Accessed\ 3\ September\ 2013.$
- 7. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE). 2013. List of Tests for International Trade. *In:* Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2012. http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/0.02_PRESCRIBED
 - http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/0.02_PRESCRIBEL _TESTS_2012.pdf. Accessed 3 September 2013.

ALEUTIAN DISEASE

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Mustelids;	Vertical (not	Chronic wasting,	The disease	No effective	Biosecurity in	No.
most common	reported in	weakness,	can be	therapy	facilities; test and	
in farm-raised	ferrets) and	reproductive	fatal; some	although;	cull positive	
mink; rarely	horizontal	failure, melena,	pet ferrets	anti-inflam-	animals or	
observed in	transmission	CNS signs, and	are carriers	matory or	minimally isolate	
ferrets over	(air, direct	renal failure.	and may	immune	in pet situations.	
last several	contact with		not show	suppression		
years.	urine, feces, or		clinical	treatment may		
	blood; contact		signs for	minimize		
	with		years.	organ damage		
	contaminated			and clinical		
	fomites.			signs in pet		
				ferrets.		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Gwen E. Myers

Sheet completed on: 1 February 2011; updated 15 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: A. Hossain Farid; Katrina Ramsell

Susceptible animal groups: Mustelids; notably, mink, weasels, and ferret.

Causative organism: Parvovirus.

Zoonotic potential: None has been identified. However, rare reports of a possible relationship between Aleutian mink disease parvovirus (AMDV) and human infection are noted. Two mink farmers with vascular disease and microangiopathy similar to that in mink with Aleutian disease were found to have AMDV-specific antibodies and AMDV DNA. These findings raise the suspicion that AMDV may play a role in human disease. See article at the end of sheet marked.

Distribution: Worldwide; predominantly on mink farm operations; uncommonly reported in pet ferrets recently.

Incubation period: Variable, but long period inapparent carrier state can occur. AMDV can be detected in blood by PCR in most animals within 10 days post-infection. Viral replication reached its peak around 10 days post-infection thus incubation period is considered short.

Clinical signs: Pathogenesis of this disease is an immune system response of producing a large increase in antibodies resulting in a hypergammaglobulinemia. The formed antigen/antibody complexes are unable to neutralize the virus but they are deposited and cause damage within various tissues and organ systems, including kidneys, liver, bile ducts, respiratory system, spinal cord, gastrointestinal tract, urinary bladder, and blood vessels. Subsequently, inflammation occurs with an elevation in plasmacytes and lymphocytes and significant inflammation will result in disease with the organs affected. However, ferrets with mild inflammation may have no clinical signs.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Gross</u>: Hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, renal changes (varying from swelling, petechiation to atrophy and pitting), and enlarged mesenteric lymph nodes. Infected ferrets may have few or no gross lesions.

<u>Histologic</u>: Plasma cell infiltration in the kidneys, liver, spleen, lymph nodes, and bone marrow; bile duct proliferation; membranous glomerulonephritis and fibrinoid arteritis; lymphoplasmacytic meningitis.

Diagnosis: Presumptive diagnosis is based on clinical signs and hypergammaglobulinemia. Common testing modalities: counterimmunoelectrophoresis (CEP), ELISA, and PCR. Tissue biopsies usually done post-mortem.

ALEUTIAN DISEASE

Material required for laboratory analysis: Blood; serum for CEP/ELISA; urine, saliva, feces, tissues for PCR.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

PCR and virus sequencing:

Weymouth AD Laboratory, Weymouth Nova Scotia (CIEO)

Nova Scotia Department of Agriculture Pathology Laboratory, Truro, Nova Scotia (CIEP)

Dalhousie University Faculty of Agriculture

c/o Hosain Farid, Ph.D.

Department of Plant & Animal Sciences

Agricultural Campus

P.O. Box 550

Truro, Nova Scotia, B2N 5E3, Canada

a.farid@dal.ca

(902) 893-6727

PCR and ELISA testing:

Blue Cross Animal Hospital

Attention: Dr. Blau – CEP tests

401 N. Miller Avenue Burley, Idaho 83318 Phone: (208) 678-5553

Fax: 208-677-8957

PCR and ELISA:

University of Georgia

Infectious Diseases Laboratory

110 Riverbend Rd.

Riverbend North, Room 150

Athens, GA 30602 (706) 542-8092

PCR:

Wisconsin Veterinary Diagnostic Lab

445 Easterday Lane

Madison, Wisconsin 53706

(608) 262-5432

Treatment: No effective treatment. Selective breeding for mink that can tolerate the virus.

Prevention and control: Test and cull on mink farms; no vaccine option. Strict biosecurity and quarantine in ferret colonies and shelters. Ferrets in a seropositive household should have no exposure to ferrets outside of the household although cagemates are considered already exposed.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Clean environment with 10% bleach solution. Steam clean pens and spray with 2% sodium hydroxide.

Notification: None required.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended - infected animals should be isolated or culled.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Following removal of infected animals and

ALEUTIAN DISEASE

environmental cleaning, restocking can be considered. Identify the source and route of infection to prevent reinfection.

Experts who may be consulted:

Hosain Farid, Ph.D.

Dalhousie University

Department of Plant & Animal Sciences

Agricultural Campus

PO Box 550

Truro, Nova Scotia, B2N 5E3

Canada

a.farid@dal.ca

(902) 893-6727

- 1. Allendar, M., J. Schumacher, K.V. Thomas, S.L. McCain, E.C. Ramsay, E.W. James, A.G. Wise, R.K. Maes, and D. Reel. 2008. Infection with Aleutian disease virus-like virus in a captive striped skunk. J. Amer. Vet. Med. Assoc. 232: 742-746.
- 2. Farid, A.H. 2013. Aleutian mink disease virus in furbearing mammals in Nova Scotia, Canada. Acta. Vet. Scand. 55: 10.3.
- 3. Morrisey, J.K. and M.S. Kraus. 1997. Aleutian disease. *In*: Quesenberry K.E. and J.W. Carpenter (eds.). Ferrets, Rabbits, and Rodents, Clinical Medicine and Surgery. Saunders, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 71-73.
- 4. Jepsen, J.R. 2009. Aleutian mink disease and humans. Emerg. Infec. Dis. 15: 2040-2042.
- 5. Langlois, I. 2005. Viral diseases of ferrets. Vet. Clin. Exot. Anim. Pract. 8: 139-160.
- 6. Brown, S.A. 2012. Aleutian disease in ferrets. http://www.veterinarypartner.com/Content.plx?P=A&A=483. Accessed 28 August 2013.
- 7. Ohshima, K., D.T. Shen, J.B. Henson, and J.R. Gorham. 1978. Comparison of the lesions of Aleutian disease in mink and hypergammaglobulineinia in ferrets. Amer. J. Vet. Res. 39: 653-657.
- 8. Williams, B.H. Aleutian disease virus http://miamiferret.org/fhc/aleutian.htm Accessed 28 August 2013.

Two groups in Anaplasmataceae: <u>Group 1</u> - infects red blood cells; <u>Group 2</u> - infects other blood cells							
Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic	
Group 1 – Ruminants Group 2 - Wide range of mammals (including humans)	Group 1+2 - Biological transmission via ticks; mechanical transmission by infected blood cell transfusion; transplacental. Group 1 mechanical transmission (e.g. biting flies)	Group 1 Anemia, lethargy, pale mucous membranes Group 2 Headache, pyrexia, chills, myalgia, anemia. Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea. In latter stages, bleeding problems, respiratory and organ failure, death.	Group 1 - Severity increases with age Group 2 - typically mild, more severe in mature or immuno- suppressed animals, or with co- infections	Group 1 – Oxytetra- cycline, chlortetra- cycline (in feed) Group 2 - Doxy- cycline	Group 1 - Control ticks and biting flies; prevent entry of carriers; vaccination; prophylactic antibiotics. Group 2 - control tick exposure, insect repellents	Group 1 - no Group 2 - yes	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Dorothy Geale and Enrique Yarto

Sheet completed on: 20 Jan 2019

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Gretchen Cole

Susceptible animal groups:

Group 1 - Cattle, sheep, goats, mule deer and other ruminants.

<u>Group 2</u> - Mammals: humans, cattle, sheep, goats, camels (dromedary), donkeys, pigs, domestic dogs and cats, small mammals; birds and many wildlife species.

Infected domestic cattle become persistent carriers after recovery and play an important role in the epidemiology of the disease. Due to global warming, vector tick distribution and increase in the horse industry, horses should be considered as a potential reservoir for A. phagocytophilum and cross infectivity should be assessed.

Causative organism: Obligate intracellular bacteria: Order Rickettsiales, Family Anaplasmataceae, Genus *Anaplasma*.

Group 1 - infect red blood cells (A. marginale, A. ovis)

<u>Group 2</u> – infects white blood cells and platelets (A. phagocytophilum, A. bovis, A. platys)

Biological transmission by ticks occurs in both groups. Iatrogenic mechanical transmission by transfer of infected blood cells occurs in Group 1 by contaminated scalpels, needles or tattooing, dehorning and castration equipment and in Group 2, in humans by blood transfusions or organ transplants. Natural mechanical transmission is effected in <u>Group 1</u> by biting flies (most commonly horse and stable flies). For <u>Group 1</u>, transplacental transmission is reported especially with acute infection in the 2nd or 3rd trimester. It also occurs in <u>Group 2</u> but its epidemiological role is not well characterized for either group. Group 1

- *A. marginale* infects cattle and is the agent of bovine anaplasmosis. Major reservoirs are cattle and ticks. Less pathogenic is *A. marginale* subspecies *centrale* which is never reported in North America and used as a live vaccine strain in South Africa, Israel and some South American countries.
- A. ovis infects sheep, goats, deer (mule deer and reindeer), red fox primarily in North America.

ANAPLASMOSIS

Group 2

• A. phagocytophilum (previously Ehrlichia phagocytophila, E. equi, and the human granulocytic ehrlichiosis agent) infects a wide variety of mammals including ruminants (cattle, white tailed deer), horses, rabbits, pigs and small rodents (e.g., white-footed mice, wood rats, gray squirrels), marsupial (Virginia opossum), skunk, hedgehog, bears, fox, and raccoon. Additional snakes (northern alligator lizard, Pacific gopher snake) have been reported.

Red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) have been identified as hosts of Anaplasma spp. (A. phagocytophilum, A. ovis, A. platys) and may contribute to the maintenance of A. phagocytophilum in Europe.

Raccoons have (Procyon lotor) have been reported as hosts for A. bovis, A. phagocytophilum and other Anaplasmacetae playing a role in the maintenance of A. phagocytophilum in the USA and Europe. In camels, age has been identified as a risk factor for the prevalence of A. phagocytophilum in a farmed camels (C. dromedarius) in Iran where camels less than 5 yr had a prevalence of 44.3% while camels older than 5 yr had a prevalence of 25.4%.

- Anaplasma bovis (previously Ehrlichia bovis) infects cattle, deer, and raccoon dogs which are reservoirs in Asia and Africa.
- *Anaplasma platys* (previously *Ehrlichia platys*) is reported in dogs and rarely in cats, red fox, impala, and sheep in Asia, Europe and South America and is the only *Anaplasma* to infect platelets.

Zoonotic potential: Group 1 *Anaplasma* sp. are not infective for humans and therefore not zoonotic.

A. phagocytophilum of Group 2, first described in sheep in Europe, has become an emerging pathogen of humans in both Europe and the U.S. It is transmitted by *Ixodes* ticks as biological vector. Blood transfusions or organ transplants also pose a zoonotic risk. Additionally, red deer and wild boars have been found to be infected with human pathogenic variants of this bacteria.

Distribution:

<u>Group 1</u> – *A. marginale* occurs worldwide and in all states of US, except Hawaii. It is endemic throughout the Gulf Coast states and several of the Midwestern and Western states. Outbreaks are often seasonal and coincide with the emergence of arthropod vectors in warmer months (spring, early summer, and early fall). <u>Group 2</u> - *A. phagocytophilum* also occurs worldwide and in US primarily in the west, upper midwest and northeast but future distribution may change with tick vectors. States reporting the highest incidence in 2010 included Minnesota, Wisconsin, New York, New Jersey, Rhode Island, and Connecticut.

Norway, UK, Sweden, Switzerland, and Germany have reported infections in ruminants, dogs, and people. Infections in camels in Iran is reported with regional variation.

Infections in wild felids have been reported in Brazil and Africa.

Incubation period:

<u>Group 1</u> - ranges from 7 to 60 days (*A. marginale*, *A. ovis*, *A. marginale* subsp. *centrale*) depending on dose. Rickettsemia doubles every 24 hours with acute clinical disease in 7-10 days in susceptible species. Group 2 – ranges from 7 to 14 days in humans, sheep and dogs.

Clinical signs:

<u>Group 1</u> – Clinical signs are highly variable, ranging from subclinical infection in calves under a year to severe peracute disease in adult naïve cattle, characterized by significant production losses (milk or weight gain), bull infertility, severe anemia, icterus, inappetence, dehydration, constipation, dark yellow urine, weight loss, pyrexia, abortion and death. The acute form generally occurs in cattle from 1-3 years old, with similar but more moderate clinical signs. All recovered animals become persistent carriers and reservoirs of infection for life.

<u>Group 2 – Humans (A. phagocytophilum)</u>: Clinical disease is associated with acute parasitemia of which the duration and severity is variable. Co-infection with other pathogens results in greater severity of symptoms. Genetic variants of *A. phagocytophilum* and be associated with mild or flu-like clinical signs or rash in 10%

of patients. The aged and immunosuppressed show more severe signs. These signs include headache, pyrexia, chills, myalgia, nausea, ataxia, organ failure, susceptibility to opportunistic infections, neuritis or respiratory complications. The US case fatality rate from 2000-2010 was 1% with a rise in incidence from 1.4 to 6.1 cases per million. In 2016, the Centers for Disease Control reported 4151 human cases of anaplasmosis in the US, a 14% increase between 2015 and 2016.

<u>Dogs</u> (*A. platys*; *A. phagocytophilum*): Most often disease is subclinical or a mild, flu-like presentation that is self-limiting. Animals with an acute infection often have vague signs including pyrexia, malaise, lethargy, anorexia, and general muscle pain resulting in reluctance to move. *A. phagocytophilum* most commonly causes clinical disease in dogs older than 8 years with joint pain and lameness so it must be distinguished from Lyme disease. Gastrointestinal, respiratory and neurological signs may also occur. Infections may be subclinical or in a carrier state. In endemic areas, over 40% of dogs may be seropositive, while morbidity is low. Dogs co-infected with *Borrelia burgdorferi* and *A. phagocytophilum* are nearly twice as likely to develop clinical disease. *A. platys* produces clinical disease related to a cyclic thrombocytopenia (typically <20,000/μl for 1-2 days then repeats in 1-2 weeks). Although usually mild, more severe clinical signs including pyrexia, lethargy, pale mucus membranes, petechial hemorrhages, epistaxis, and lymphadenopathy occur.

Other animals (A. phagocytophilum): Sheep may have mild clinical signs of lethargy with abortions in ewes. Similarly in endemic areas, dairy cattle exhibit abortions, drop in milk production and respiratory disease. Complications occur due to secondary bacterial infections (e.g., pastuerellosis, septicemic listeriosis). Other ruminants and cervids may exhibit anorexia, dullness, fever, weight loss, coughing, abortion, and low fertility. Horses may have acute onset with older animals developing fever, lethargy, inappetence, limb edema while young animals typically have mild disease. A report in maned wolves (Chrysocyon brachyurus) describes coughing and tachypnea due to severe pulmonary congestion, splenomegaly, ataxia, anorexia, lethargy, dehydration; mild jaundice, petechiation; leukocytosis, anemia, hyperfibrinogenemia.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

Group 1 - include anemia, jaundice, splenomegaly and the liver may be enlarged with a deep orange color. Hepatic and mediastinal lymph nodes may appear brown and the gall bladder distended with thick brown or green bile. Serous effusions may occur in body cavities, edema, petechial hemorrhages in the epi- and endocardium often accompanied by severe gastrointestinal stasis. Reticuloendothelial phagocytosis of erythrocytes may be evident microscopically in various organs, most notably in the spleen. Group 2 - A. phagocytophilum is only one of four human neutrophil intracellular organisms forming morulae (cytoplasmic vacuole containing multiple coccoid to ellipsoid basophilic rickettsia) approximately 1.5 μ m to 2.5 μ m in diameter (reported up to 6 μ m). During acute rickettsemia, the organism has been demonstrated in the alveolar macrophages, Kupffer cells, and other tissue macrophages. Sites of persistence in-between recurrent rickettsemia remain to be established.

Diagnosis:

Hematology

<u>Group 1</u> - Initially based on clinical signs, history of tick exposure and clinical pathology (lymphopenia, mild to severe thrombocytopenia, mild to moderate nonregenerative anemia, elevated ALP, mild to moderate hypoalbuminemia and hyperfirbrinogenemia may occur). In the acute phase, the presence of characteristic intracellular inclusions (marginal bodies) on Giemsa/Wright's/Diff-Q-stained blood smears (buffy coat recommended) along the margins of the erythrocyte (*A. marginale*) or more centrally (*A. marginale* subsp. *centrale*).

Group 2 - Neutrophils infected by *A. phagocytophilum* (1-27%) contain distinctive granulocytic morulae which appear in the peripheral blood at 4-14 days and persist up to 8 days. In animals with polyarthritis, synovial fluid may exhibit decreased viscosity and an increased leukocyte count (>3000 cells/ul;

ANAPLASMOSIS

predominantly neutrophils but $\leq 1\%$ may contain morula). *A. platys* morulae may be found in circulating platelets. Hematology is not reliable for pre-symptomatic or carriers.

Antibody serology

<u>Group 1</u> - Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) marketed by VMRD will not differentiate between *Anaplasma* species as the test is based on major surface protein 5 (MSP5) which is highly conserved in the genus. The reported *A. marginale* sensitivity 95% and specificity 98% is limited by cross-reactivity, low early sensitivity and low specificity for true negative cattle after oral chlortetracycline treatment. The complement fixation (CF) and card agglutination tests (CAT) are no longer considered to be valid and thus not used for diagnosis of bovine anaplasmosis.

<u>Group 2</u> - ELISA (IDEXX SNAP 4Dx) for *A. phagocytophilum* (sensitivity 99.4%, specificity ~100%), reportedly detects as early as 8 days post-inoculation (dogs with *Ehrlichia ewingii*) do not likely cross-react, some cross-reactivity with *A. platys*). The indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA) detects a 4-fold increase in IgG-specific antibody titer to *A. phagocytophilum* antigen in paired serum samples (taken the 1st week of illness and 2-4 weeks later). Note: IgM tests are not always specific, and that the IgM response may be persistent. Seroconversion in dogs may occur as soon as 2-5 days after morulae appear in the peripheral blood. Positive titer \geq 1:80, most will have titer \geq 1:320.

Antigen detection

<u>Group 1 + 2</u> - polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is the most specific method (nested PCR theoretically detects 0.0000001% rickettsemia or 30 infected red blood cells per ml); can distinguish between species, but poses problems due to non-specific amplification, requiring confirmation of the amplified fragment (sequencing). Should be repeated if negative in suspect carriers (*A. marginale*).

Other means of diagnosis

Group 1 + 2 – Immunohistochemistry can demonstrate *Anaplasma* antigen in a biopsy/necropsy sample. The organism can be isolated in cell culture (mainly research as it is impractical for clinical cases). The gold standard for *A. marginale* is the demonstration of the organism 4-8 weeks after inoculation of suspect blood into splenectomized calves.

Material required for laboratory analysis: For both Group 1 + 2:

Anticoagulant blood, thin and thick blood films.

At necropsy: thin blood films of liver, kidney, spleen, lungs; and peripheral blood.

PCR: whole blood (EDTA)

Sample blood prior to starting antimicrobials to avoid false negative test results.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: *Anaplasma* sp. can be diagnosed at most accredited diagnostic laboratories using ELISA (in-house SNAP 4Dx, IDEXX for *A. phagocytophilum*); cELISA for *A. marginale* (VMRD, Antech (FastPanelTM PCR Canine Ehrlichiosis/Anaplasmosis Profile) for *A. platys* and *A. phagocytophilum*, cross-reacts with *Ehrlichia*. Zoologix PCR (*A. platys*) also offers tickborne disease PCR panel, that includes *A. platys*.

Treatment:

<u>Group 1</u> – In acute outbreaks, parenteral oxytetracycline (cattle) is used as recommended by extension specialists. The survival rate is high in the early stages of the disease (PCV >15%). Blood transfusions, electrolyte solutions, and hematinic drugs may be beneficial in later stages of the disease. Convalescent period of up to 3 months. Cattle remain immune for life but become persistent carriers.

<u>Group 2</u> - Treatment may be difficult as clinical signs often do not appear until the disease has progressed. Testing for co-infections with other tick-borne organisms is recommended. Tetracycline antibiotics (usually doxycycline in humans and dogs) for 10-14 days (or at least until 3 days after fever subsides). A marked improvement is usually seen in 24-48 hours.

ANAPLASMOSIS

Prevention and control: Group 1 + 2 organisms need control of tick vectors. Additionally for Group 1, strict sanitation with needles and surgical/dehorning instruments; remove carriers from herd; and chlortetracycline during vector season (medicated salt-mineral blocks or feed blocks).

In South Africa, Australia, Israel, and South America, live *A. centrale* is used as a vaccine. Universal vaccines are not available that are effective for geographically diverse strains for A. marginale or A. phagocytophilum. A conditional killed vaccine made from a Mississippi strain is available in some southern states. In California, a modified live vaccine (Anavac®) is available for cattle <11 months but lacks efficacy and is rarely used.

Identification of regional vectors are important to control zoonotic anaplasmosis. Use of Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) registered insect repellents is also recommended.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: No disinfectant. Application of acaricides and removal of leaf litter/brush (tick habitat) can be effective.

Notification: *A. marginale* is a reportable disease in ~ 30 states and tracked nationally through National Animal Health Reporting System (USDA) in ~ 48 states. *A. phagocytophilum* is monitored through National Notifiable Disease System (CDC).

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Infected animals become carriers and act as a reservoir of infection for life. In endemic areas, early infection or vaccination in cattle promotes lifelong immunity. With no killed vaccines available in the US, separation of carriers and non-infected introduced mature animals is essential.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an animal outbreak:

<u>Group 1</u> - Carriers may relapse when immunosuppressed (e.g. corticosteroids), when infected with other pathogens, or after splenectomy. As a lifelong reservoir of infection, they should be removed. No antimicrobials are approved in the US for eliminating *A. marginale* infections in cattle. Allegedly, the carrier state may be eliminated with a long-acting oxytetracycline treatment, but experimental work at Kansas State University in the mid -2000s found chemosterilization inconsistent. Although, long term oral tetracycline at high extra-label doses had greater success, parenteral administration was less so, and conflicting results suggests differences in susceptibility of *A. marginale* strains. Chemosterilized animals are fully susceptible to re-infection.

Experts who may be consulted:

Katherine M. Kocan

Oklahoma State University

Veterinary Pathobiology

004 McElroy Hall

Stillwater, OK 74078

Phone: (405) 744-7271 Fax: (405) 744-5275

Katherine.Kocan@okstate.edu

References:

- 1. André MR. Diversity of Anaplasma and Ehrlichia/N. eoehrlichia agents in terrestrial wild carnivores worldwide: Implications for human and domestic animal health and wildlife conservation. Front Vet Sci.2018:5.
- 2. Atif FA. Anaplasma marginale and Anaplasma phagocytophilum: Rickettsiales pathogens of veterinary and public health significance. Parasitol Res. 2015;114(11):3941-57.
- 3. Aubry P, Geale DW. A review of bovine anaplasmosis. Trans Emerg Dis. 2011;58:1-30.
- 4. Bahmari S, Hamidinejat H, Ganjali Tafresi AR. First molecular detection of Anaplasma phagocytophilum in dromedaries (Camelus dromedarius. J Zoo Wildl Dis. 2018;49(4):844.848.

ANAPLASMOSIS

- 5. The Center for Food Security & Public Health [Internet]. Ehrlichiosis and Anaplasmosis: Zoonotic Species. 2013 [cited 2019 February 18] Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/ehrlichiosis.pdf
- 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Anaplasmosis: 2019 [cited 2019 Febryary 18]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/anaplasmosis/
- 7. Di Domenico M, Pascucci I, Curini V, Cocco A, Dall'Äcqua F, Pompilii C, Camma C. Detection of Anaplasma phagocytophilum genotypes that are potentially virulent for human in wild ruminants and Ixodes ricinus in Central Italy. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2016;7(5):782-787.
- 8. Dumler JS, Barbet AF, Bekker CP, Dasch GA, Palmer GH, Ray SC, Rikihisa Y, Rurangirwa FR. Reorganization of genera in the Families Rickettsiaceae and Anaplasmataceae in the order Rickettsiales: unification of some species of *Ehrlichia* with *Anaplasma*, *Cowdria* with *Ehrlichia* and *Ehrlichia* with *Neorickettsia*, descriptions of six new species combinations and designation of *Ehrlichia equi* and 'HGE agent' as subjective synonyms of *Ehrlichia phagocytophila*. Int.J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2001;51:2145-2165.
- 9. Dumler JS, Madigan JE, Pusterla N, Bakken JS. Ehrlichioses in humans: epidemiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis, and treatment. Clin Inf Dis. 2007;45 (Suppl):S45-51.
- 10. Foley J. Human ehrlichiosis: a review of clinical disease and epidemiology for the physician. Inf Dis Clin Pract. 2000;9:93-98.
- 11. Kocan KM, de la Fuente J, Guglielmone AA, Melendéz RD. Antigens and alternatives for control of *Anaplasma marginale* infection in cattle. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2003;16:698-712.
- 12. Kocan KM, de la Fuente J, Step DL, Blouin EF, Coetzee JF, Simpson KM, Genova SG, Boileau MJ. Current challenges of the management and epidemiology of bovine anaplasmosis. Bov Pract. 2010;44(2):93-102.
- 13. Kocan KM, Coetzee JF, Step DL, de la Fuente J, Blouin EF, Reppert E, Simpson KM, Boileau MJ. Current challenges in the diagnosis and control of bovine anaplasmosis. Bov Pract. 2012;46:67-77.
- 14. Koh FX, Panchadcharam C, Sitam FT, Tay ST. Molecular investigation of Anaplasma spp. in domestic and wild animals in Peninsular Malaysia. Vet Parasitol Reg Stud Rep. 2018;(13):141-147.
- 15. Lew-Tabor AE [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2019 February 18] Available from https://www.merckvetmanual.com/circulatory-system/blood-parasites/anaplasmosis
- 16. M'ghirbi Y, Oporto B, Khrouf F, Hurtado A, Bouattour A. Anaplasma marginale and A. phagocytophilum in cattle in Tunisia. Parasit Vectors. 2016;9(1):556.
- 17. Padilla LR, Bratthauer A, Ware LH, Acosta V, Hope KL, Siegal-Willott J, Murray S. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* infection in captive maned wolves (*Chrysocyon brachyurus*) at the Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute. In: Proc Am Assoc Zoo Vet; 2010. p. 162.
- 18. Reinbold JB, Coetzee JF, Hollis LC, Nickell JS, Riegel C, Olson KC, Ganta RR. The efficacy of three chlortetracycline regimens in the treatment of persistent *Anaplasma marginale* infection. Vet Microbiol. 2010;145(1-2):69-75.
- 19. Seo MG, Ouh IO, Choi E, Kwon OD, Kwak D. Molecular detection and phylogenetic analysis of Anaplasma phagocytophilum in horses in Korea. Korean J Parasitol. 2018;56(6):559-565.
- 20. Woldehiwet Z. The natural history of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. Vet Parasitol. 2010;167:108-122.
- 21. Yang J, Liu Z, Niu Q, Luo J, Wang X, Yin H. Molecular detection of Anaplasma phagocytophilum in wild cervids and hares in China. J Wild Dis. 2017;53(2):420-423.

ANATRICHOSOMA

Animal group(s) affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals (primates, marsupials, rodents, carnivores)	Unknown	Cutaneous, nasal, oral, gastric, or ocular nodules or ulcers	Clinically insignificant to severe ulceration	Avermectins, benzimidazoles	Hygiene and sanitation; control of wildlife; prophylactic parasite treatments	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Beth Bicknese

Sheet completed on: 30 April 2011; Last updated 12 Dec 2017

Fact Sheet reviewed by: Jennifer D'Agostino

Susceptible animal groups

Mammals. Reported in Old World monkeys, apes, and marmosets under human care, wild-caught tree shrews, wild American and Australian marsupials, wild rodents, domestic cats and dogs, and humans. Severe inflammatory cutaneous lesions in carnivores and some primates (including humans) suggest these are aberrant hosts.

Causative organism

Anatrichosoma cutaneum and A. cynomolgi have been found in nasal and cutaneous lesions in wild-caught and captive primates and are the presumed cause of most human and domestic animal cases. A. buccalis has been found in the oral mucosa of opossums, and was suspected in one human case.

A. ocularis, A. gerbilis, and A. haycocki have been reported in wild or wild-caught tree shrews, gerbilid and murid rodents, and Antechinus spp. marsupials, respectively.

Adult females tunnel through the epidermis, laying embryonated ova which reach the surface through normal exfoliation. Ova are then swallowed and passed in feces or released directly into the environment. Adult males reside in the dermis.

Mechanism of infection is unknown. Attempts at experimental direct infection have been unsuccessful, suggesting an indirect life cycle, but no intermediate host has been identified. One report found free immature *A. haycocki* in the intestine of *Antechinus* spp. hosts, suggesting an enteric route of infection in this species. Lesions have recurred after treatment in captive primates, suggesting either re-infection or incomplete response to treatment.

Zoonotic potential

Eight human cases reported (Japan, Vietnam, Malaysia, Italy, USA), including one case in Illinois in 2010 and two in Iowa in 2014, all with recent travel to Mexico. Exposure route unknown.

Distribution

Documented in wild animals from the Americas, the Middle East, Africa, India, and Australia, and in humans and domestic animals in the Americas, Europe, Africa, and Asia.

Incubation period

Unknown; clinical lesions are associated with migration of adult worms.

Clinical symptoms

Cutaneous (*A. cutaneum*, *A. cynomolgi*): Nodules or track-like lesions with ulceration, apparent predilection for glabrous skin. Severe ulcerative pododermatitis in domestic cats.

Nasal (A. cynomolgi, A. cutaneum): Nodules or tracks in the nasal mucosa of primates, minimal local inflammation.

Oral (*A. buccalis*): Nodules or tracks in the oral mucosa. Minimal local inflammation in opossums, mucosal ulceration in one suspected human case.

Gastric (A. gerbilis): Nodules or tracks in the gastric mucosa of gerbilid and murid rodents.

ANATRICHOSOMA

Ocular (A. ocularis): Adults visible within the corneal or conjunctival epithelium of tree shrews, minimal local inflammation.

Glandular (*A. haycocki*): Adults within the tissue of paracloacal glands or encapsulated in the lumen of the cloaca of *Antechinus* spp., minimal local inflammation.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings

Histopathology shows adults and ova embedded in epithelial tissue.

Diagnosis

Mucosal swab, skin scraping, biopsy, fecal flotation for ova (*Trichuris*-like, bipolar plugged). Ova have also been identified by cytology of an otic flush in a dog.

Material required for laboratory analysis

Swab, scrape, biopsy, or flush of lesion; feces.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories

None

Treatment

Avermectins and benzimidazoles have effectively resolved clinical lesions in reported cases, recurrence is infrequently reported.

Primates: Fenbendazole 10-25 mg/kg PO once daily for 3-10 days.

Domestic cat: Ivermectin 0.3 mg/kg SC weekly for 4 weeks.

Human: Mebendazole 100 mg twice daily for 20 days or albendazole 400 mg once daily for 3 days.

Prevention and control

The mechanism of infection is unknown. However, control of feral animals and wildlife in exhibit areas, sanitation and hygiene with regular removal of feces from enclosures, and routine prophylactic deworming are expected to be beneficial.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities

None specified; expect sensitivity as for *Trichuris* spp. and *Capillaria* spp.

Notification

None required.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan

None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal

None specified; treat infected animals prior to introduction if possible.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak

None required; treat exposed individuals if possible, eliminate feces in enclosure.

Experts who may be consulted:

Dwight D. Bowman, MS, PhD (ddb3@cornell.edu)

Professor of Parasitology

C4-119 VMC Dept. Micro & Immunol

Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine

Tower Road

Ithaca, NY 14853-6401

Dr. Heather Stockdale Walden (hdstockdale@ufl.edu)

University of Florida

College of Veterinary Medicine

Department of Comparative, Diagnostic, and Population Medicine

1945 SW 16th Ave., V2-155

Gainesville, FL 32608

ANATRICHOSOMA

References

- 1. Bowman DD, Hendrix CM, Lindsay DS, Barr SC. Feline Clinical Parasitology. 1st ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2002. p. 346-348. Available from https://eurekamag.com/pdf/003/003769618.pdf
- 2. Conrad HD, Wong MM. Studies of *Anatrichosoma* (Nematoda: Trichinellida) with descriptions of *Anatrichosoma rhina* sp. n. and *Anatrichosoma nacepobi* sp. n. from the nasal mucosa of *Macaca mulatta*. J Helminthol. 1973;47(3):289-302.
- 3. Eberhard ML, Hellstein JW, Lanzel EA. Zoonotic anatrichosomiasis in a mother and daughter. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(8), 3127-3129.
- 4. Harwell G, Dalgard D. Clinical *Anatrichosoma cutaneum* dermatitis in non-human primates. In: Proc Am Assoc Zoo Vet; 1979. p. 5.
- 5. Kessler MJ. Nasal and cutaneous anatrichosomiasis in the free-ranging rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*) of Cayo Santiago. Am J Primatol. 1982;3(1-4):55-60.
- 6. Kinsella JM, Winegarner CE. A field study of *Anatrichosoma* infections in the opossum, *Didelphis virginiana*. J Parasitol, 1975;61(4):779-781.
- 7. Noden BH, Du Plessis EC, Morkel C, Tubbesing U, Soni M. *Anatrichosoma* sp. in the footpads of a cat: Diagnosis and pathology of Namibian case. Vet Parasit. 2013;191(3-4):386-389.
- 8. Orihel TC, Ash LR. Parasites in human tissues. Chicago (IL): ASCP Press; 1995.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual *ANGIOSTRONGYLUS*

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
A. cantonensis – non- human primates, marsupials, horses, dogs. A. vasorum – canids, red pandas, stoats, badgers.	Ingestion of intermediate (snails and slugs) or paratenic (shellfish, frogs, lizards) hosts.	A. cantonensis - variety of neurologic signs, including ataxia, ascending paresis, hy- peresthesia, seizure, muscle wasting, coma, +/- gastrointest- inal signs. A. vasorum — cough, dyspnea, exercise intolerance, hemorrhage, anorexia, weight loss, occasional CNS signs.	A. cantonensis causes severe progressive neurologic disease in nonhuman primates, often resulting in death or euthanasia. A. vasorum can be asymptomatic to fatal in canids. It appears fatal in red pandas. Recovery for both is independent of severity of presenting signs.	Primary supportive care for both. Treatment with anthelmintics (fenbendazole, milbemycin, topical moxidenctin) may shorten clinical course of <i>A. vasorum</i> infections. The use of anthelminthics is controversial in <i>A. cantonensis</i> infections.	Avoidance and removal of intermediate/ paratenic hosts. Monthly topical moxidectin has been recommende d Monthly prophylactic anthelmintics have been used in red pandas.	A. cantonensis has been reported in humans.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Kristina M. Delaski

Sheet completed on: 14 February 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by:

Susceptible animal groups:

- A. cantonensis Rats are the definitive hosts. Aberrant hosts include several species of non-human primates, marsupials (wallaby, bettong, opossum), nine-banded armadillos, Tawny frogmouths, cockatoos, raptors, horses, and dogs.
- A. vasorum Dogs and red foxes are the definitive hosts. Other canids (coyote, wolf, jackal), European otter, ferrets, badgers and red pandas have also been infected, and red pandas have been reported to shed infective larvae.

Causative organism: *Angiostrongylus cantonensis*—neurologic disease; *A. vasorum*—cardiopulmonary disease **Zoonotic potential:** *A. cantonensis* has been extensively studied in humans and is considered a zoonotic disease. Transmission is through ingestion of an intermediate or paratenic host, usually raw or undercooked seafood in endemic areas.

Distribution: *A. cantonensis* is endemic in the Pacific Islands and Southeast Asia, but has spread to the Americas, including the US, the Caribbean islands and Brazil. It is now considered endemic in the southwestern US. *A. vasorum* is endemic to Europe, Africa and South America. It has recently been documented in Newfoundland, Canada and in West Virginia.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual *ANGIOSTRONGYLUS*

Incubation period: *A. cantonensis* larvae can be seen in the CNS hours to days following ingestion, although typical incubation is 2-3 weeks in humans. The prepatent period for *A. vasorum* ranges from 28 to 108 days.

Clinical signs:

- A. cantonensis Due to migration of the L3 larvae in central nervous tissue and subsequent eosinophilic inflammation, infection can result in a variety of neurologic signs. Most common signs include ascending bilateral paresis and muscle wasting, urinary bladder paresis, hyperesthesia, and occasional gastrointestinal signs. Seizures, cranial nerve palsies, and coma have also been reported. In humans, ocular larva migrans can occur.
- A. vasorum Signs can vary and may be absent early in infection or with low parasite burdens. Interstitial pneumonia and hemorrhage is most common, leading to fibrosis. This results in tussis, dyspnea, exercise intolerance, anorexia and weight loss. Vascular lesions associated with adult worms can lead to pulmonary hypertension and congestive heart failure. Coagulopathy of unknown etiology has been documented and can be the presenting clinical sign. This results in anemia, melena, subcutaneous hematomas, and other sequelae depending on location of hemorrhage. Central nervous system signs are often related to intracranial hemorrhage but can also be the result of aberrant larval migration. Signs vary depending on location of lesions. Red pandas were reported with cough, dyspnea and exercise intolerance, although apparent asymptomatic infections can occur.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

- *A. cantonensis* Cerebral and cerebellar meningitis, with varying degrees of malacia. Nematodes are often found near the cerebral blood vessels or free in the white matter of the central nervous system with mild to moderate inflammation. Similar lesions may occur in the spinal cord. Hemorrhage in the central canal of the spinal cord has been reported.
- A. vasorum Adult worms present in the lumen of the pulmonary artery and right ventricle. They can be differentiated from *Dirofilaria immitis* by the small size of *A. vasorum*. Interstitial pneumonia with hemorrhage, granulomas around eggs/larvae, and fibrosis. Adult worms cause thromboarteritis and intimal proliferation in affected vessels. Cases with coagulopathy may have intracranial, intrathoracic, or intra-abdominal hemorrhage. Due to larval migration, L1 larvae may be found in a large variety of tissues at necropsy. The presence of undifferentiated eggs and larvae is characteristic. Necropsies of infected red pandas have found mineralized fibrous tissue in the lungs, with nodules centered on nematode eggs and coiled larvae. Granulomas around larvae have also been reported in pulmonary lymph nodes.

Diagnosis:

- A. cantonensis Definitive diagnosis is difficult. Fecal analysis is of little value, as the infection is only patent in rats. Hematology shows eosinophilia, and CSF often shows an eosinophilic pleocytosis. Occasionally, larvae may be seen in CSF samples. High field MRI has been able to detect cavitations caused by larval migration in humans, but has yet to be useful in canine cases. ELISA tests on serum were not very sensitive, but those performed on CSF were reported as promising. PCR testing is under development. Neither ELISA nor PCR testing is available commercially.
- A. vasorum Definitive diagnosis in canids is by detection of larvae in feces through Baermann examinations or detection of larvae in bronchoalveolar samples. The larvae have a characteristic tail morphology (kinked tail and dorsal spine). Radiographs may show a broncho-alveolar pattern, but are often non-specific. Possible hematologic changes include anemia, eosinophilia, thrombocytopenia, and hypercalcemia. Decreased serum fructosamine has been reported in infected dogs. PCR testing is available but may not detect chronic infections. Combination of fecal PCR and Baermann tests may improve detection. Antigen and antibody ELISA tests are under development and may be the most sensitive method of testing. Commercial antigen-detection kits for *Dirofilaria immitis* have been reported to cross-react with *A. vasorum*.

ANGIOSTRONGYLUS

Material required for laboratory analysis:

- A. cantonensis CSF for cytology and PCR. ELISA tests for serum were not very sensitive, but those for CSF were reported as promising.
- A. vasorum Pooled fecal samples, collected over 3 consecutive days, for Baermann examination. PCR testing is available for fresh feces, tracheal lavage fluid, and whole blood. Antigen and antibody ELISAs are in development for use on serum, and a canine patient-side antigen test for serum is available through the UK branch of IDEXX.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: IDEXX offers a PCR test for *A. vasorum*, which can be performed on fresh feces, tracheal lavage fluid, or whole blood in EDTA. IDEXX has also developed a rapid, patient-side antigen test for serum, available in the UK. It has been reported to cross-react with other species of *Angiostrongylus* in definitive hosts. More information is available here: http://angiodetect.co.uk/

Treatment: Supportive care for both. Treatment with anthelmintics (fenbendazole, milbemycin, topical moxidectin) is effective for *A. vasorum* in dogs, though caution is urged, as rapid die-off of adult worms may cause severe secondary reactions (ascites, dyspnea) in the patient. All treated animals are monitored with multiple post-treatment fecal Baermann exams. The use of anthelmintics are controversial in *A. cantonensis* infections, due to risk of increased damage sustained due to inflammatory reactions in the central nervous system. Treatment with prednisolone and albendazole has prevented death in a Geoffroy's tamarin, but the individual had permanent neurologic deficits. Supportive care for *A. cantonensis* consists of fluid support, analgesics, sedatives, and glucocorticoids.

Prevention and control: Prevention is centered on restricting access to intermediate and paratenic hosts. *A. cantonensis* is carried by rats, so pest control is an important component of prevention. Both nematodes can infect a wide range of gastropod intermediate hosts, which can then in turn infect paratenic hosts when frogs, lizards, or shellfish consume the gastropods. Chickens have also been reported to be possible paratenic hosts. Collection animals should have limited access to these sources of infection. Monthly topical moxidectin has been recommended for prevention of *A. vasorum* in dogs. Monthly doses of milbemycin have been used as prophylaxis in red pandas in endemic areas, but as no trial studies have been conducted, these reports are anecdotal.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 1% bleach, 1-5% glutaraldehyde and cresol-based products are effective disinfectants.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: N/A

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: As neither nematode is transmitted directly, no special measures are necessary, as long as the enclosure has been cleared of intermediate hosts.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Adult *A. vasorum* worms can live in vasculature for up to five years, and ova shedding can be intermittent. Repeated negative fecal exams and PCR tests would likely indicate lack of infection.

Experts who may be consulted:

Gary Conboy DVM, PhD, Dip ACVM

Atlantic Veterinary College Charlottetown, PEI, Canada conboy@upei.ca

References:

- 1. Aguilar RF, Topham K, Heatley JJ, Nichols D, Cross J, Bauer R, and Garner M. Neural angiostrongylosis in nonhuman primates: diagnosis, treatment and control of an outbreak in southern Louisiana. In: Proc Am Assoc of Zoo Vets; 1999. p. 272-276.
- 2. Al-Sabi MNS, Deplazes P, Webster P, Willesen JL, Davidson RK, Kapel CMO. PCR detection of *Angiostrongylus vasorum* in faecal samples of dogs and foxes. Parasitol Res. 2010;107:135-140.

ANGIOSTRONGYLUS

- 3. Barratt J, Chan D, Sandaradura I, Malik R, Spielman D, Lee R, Marriott D, Harkness J, Ellis J, Stark D. *Angiostrongylus cantonensis*: a review of its distribution, molecular biology and clinical significance as a human pathogen. Parasit. 2017;143:1087-1118.
- 4. Bertelsen MF, Meyland-Smith F, Willensen JL, Jefferies R, Morgan ER, Monrad J. Diversity and prevalence of metastrongyloid nematodes infecting the red panda (*Ailurus fulgens*) in European zoos. Vet Parasit. 2010;172:299-304.
- 5. Bourque AC, Conboy G, Miller LM, and Whitney H. Pathological findings in dogs naturally infected with *Angiostrongylus vasorum* in Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2008;20:11-20.
- 6. Burns RE, Bicknese EJ, Qvarnstrom Y, DeLeon-Carnes M, Drew CP, Gardiner CH, Rideout BA. Cerebral *Angiostrongylus cantonensis* infection in a captive African pygmy falcon (*Polihierax semitorquatus*) in southern California. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2014;26:695-698.
- 7. Canonne AM, Roels E, Caron Y, Losson B, Bolen G, Peters I, Billen F, Clercx C. Detection of *Angiostrongylus vasorum* by quantitative PCR in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid in Belgian dogs. J Small Anim Pract. 2016;57:130-134.
- 8. Carlisle MS, Prociv P, Grennan J, Pass MA, Campbell GL, Mudie A. Cerebrospinal angiostrongyliasis in five captive tamarins (*Sanguinus* spp). Aust Vet J. 1998;76:167-170.
- 9. Conboy GA. Canine angiostrongylosis: the French heartworm: an emerging threat in North America. Vet Parasit. 2011;176:382-389.
- 10. Dalton MF, Fenton H, Cleveland CA, Elsmo EJ, Yabsley MJ. Eosinophilic meningoencephalitis associated with rat lungworm (*Angiostrongylus cantonensis*) migration in two nine-banded armadillos (*Dasypus novemcinctus*) and an opossum (*Didelphis virginiana*) in the southeastern United States. Int J for Parasit. 2017;6:131-134.
- 11. Deak G, Gherman CM, Ionica AM, Daskalaki AA, Matei IA, D'Amico G, Domsa C, Pantchev N, Mihalca AD, Czma V. Use of a commercial serologic test for *Angiostrongylus vasorum* for the detection of *A. chabaudi* in wildcats and *A. daskalovi* in badgers. Vet Parasitol. 2017;233:107-110.
- 12. Elsheikha HM, Holmes SA, Wright I, Morgan ER, Lacher DW. Recent advances in the epidemiology, clinical, and diagnostic features, and control of canine cardio-pulmonary angiostrongylosis. Vet Res. 2014;45:92-104.
- 13. Houpin E, McCarthy G, Ferrand M, De Waal T, O'Neill EJ, Zintl A. Comparison of three methods for the detection of *Angiostrongylus vasorum* in the final host. Vet Parasitol. 2016;220:54-58.
- 14. Jensen HE, Dietz HH, Grondahl C, Kapel C, and Monrad J. The pathology associated with *Angiostrongylus vasorum* infection in the red panda (*Ailurus fulgens fulgens*). In: Proc Eur Soc of Vet Path; 2005. p. 70-71.
- 15. Kistler WM, Brown JD, Allison AB, Nemeth NM, Yabsley MJ. First report of *Angiostrongylus vasorum* and *Hepatozoon* from a red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) from West Virginia, USA. Vet Parasitol. 2014;200:216-220.
- 16. Kottwitz JJ, Perry KK, Rose HH, Henddrix CM. *Angiostrongylus cantonensis* infection in captive Geoffroy's tamarins (*Saguinus geoffroyi*). J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2014;245:821-827.
- 17. Lunn JA, Lee R, Smaller J, MacKay BM, King T, Hunt GB, Martin P, Krockenberger MB, Spielman D, Malik R. Twenty two cases of canine neural angiostrongylosis in eastern Australia (2002-2005) and a review of the literature. Parasites & Vectors 5. 2012. Published online 5 April 2012.
- 18. Morgan E, Shaw S. *Angiostrongylus vasorum* infection in dogs: continuing spread and developments in diagnosis and treatment. J Sm Anim Prac. 2010;51:616-621.
- 19. Mozzer LR, Lima WS. *Gallus gallus domesticus*: paratenic host of *Angiostrongylus vasorum*. Vet Parasitol. 2015;207:81-84.
- 20. Pathogen Safety Data Sheet: Infectious Substances *Angiostrongylus cantonensis* [Internet]. Public Health Agency of Canada; c2010. [cited 2018 February 13]. Available from http://www.phac-

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual ANGIOSTRONGYLUS

- aspc.gc.ca/lab-bio/res/psds-ftss/acantonensis-eng.php
- 21. Patterson-Kane JC, Gibbons LM, Jefferies R, Morgan ER, Wenzlow N, Redrobe SP. Pneumonia from *Angiostrongylus vasorum* infection in a red panda (*Ailurus fulgens fulgens*). J Vet Diagn Invest. 2009;21:270-273.
- 22. Reece RL, Perry RA, Spratt DM. Neuroangiostrongyliasis due to *Angiostrongylus cantonensis* in ganggang cockatoos (*Callocephalon fimbriatum*) Aust Vet J. 2013;91:477-481.
- 23. Schnyder M, Jefferies R, Schucan A, Morgan ER, Deplazes P. Comparison of coprological, immunological and molecular methods for the detection of dogs infected with *Angiostrongylus vasorum* before and after anthelmintic treatment. Parasitol. 2015;142:1270-1277.
- 24. Wang QP, Wu ZD, Wei J, Owen RL, Lun ZR. Human *Angiostrongylus cantonensis*: an update. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012;31: 389-395.
- 25. Verzberger-Epshtein I, Markham RJF, Sheppard JA, Stryhn H, Whitney H, Conboy GA. Serologic detection of *Angiostrongylus vasorum* infection in dogs. Vet Parasit. 2008;151: 53-60.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission (Animal)	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals, including humans; and ratites.	Ingestion of spores that can come from soil, infected carcass, soil-contaminated forage or blowfly contaminated browse. Usually direct transmission, possibly biting flies.	Sudden death, fever followed by death, excitement followed by stupor, respiratory and cardiac distress, colic, diarrhea and vomiting, edema.	Peracute and acute in ruminants and equids. Commonly fatal. Subacute and chronic forms in suids and carnivores from carcass consumption.	Long acting antibiotics given early. Multiple classes of antibiotics are effective. Vaccination.	Rapid detection followed by quarantine, carcass disposal, treatment and movement of adjoining animals, removal of contaminated feed or items, vaccination, and site decontamination .	Humans affected via contact with diseased carcasses or via animal products (meat, bone meal, leather, wool, bristles)

Fact Sheet compiled by: Thomas W. deMaar; updated by Vikki Milne

Sheet completed on: 21 January 2011; updated 4 August 2013

Fact Sheet reviewed by: Martin Hugh-Jones, Mark Drew

Susceptible animal groups: Domestic and wild ruminants are most commonly affected. However humans, equids, and other mammals - such as elephants are susceptible. Suids and carnivores may develop subacute to chronic gastrointestinal type disease after eating infected carcasses. It has been reported in ostriches and rheas. Scavenging birds and mammals, primarily carrion feeders, are known to pass spores through their digestive system without becoming infected as vegetative cells are killed in their acidic stomachs.

Causative organism: Bacillus anthracis (spore forming, non-motile, Gram positive rod)

Zoonotic potential: Humans affected via contact with diseased carcasses or via animal products (meat, bone meal, leather, wool, bristles, drum skins) from contaminated carcasses. Cutaneous, gastrointestinal, and inhalation forms of disease occur. It is considered a potential bioterrorism agent.

Distribution: World-wide, especially in areas with neutral or alkaline calcareous soils. Outbreaks can occur after soil disturbance following drought or flood conditions. In US, it occurs sporadically with limited distribution and is more common in west and midwest US, and is enzootic in west Texas, North and South Dakota, and northwest Minnesota.

Incubation period: Typically 3-7 days (range 1-14 days) (OIE standards: up to 20 days). Spores maybe inactive in lungs for several weeks before causing disease.

Clinical signs:

Peracute (ruminants): sudden death.

<u>Acute</u> (ruminants and horses): Abrupt fever and excitement followed by depression, respiratory/cardiac distress, staggering, convulsions, severe colic, and anterior edema; cutaneous signs can be seen in cattle and horses with biting fly infections. Process can lead to death.

<u>Chronic</u> (pigs and carnivores): Oropharyyngeal and gastrointestinal signs of disease, usually followed by recovery but death occurs if systemic. Death is not uncommon in free-ranging African lions.

ANTHRAX

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Carcass presented with absence of rigor mortis and rapid decomposition. Dark blood may ooze from mouth, nostrils, eyes, ears, vulva and anus. Edema may be apparent. Carcass will show lack of blood clotting and hemorrhages of serosal surfaces. Organs, particularly the spleen will be congested and enlarged. Oropharyngitis, pharyngeal edema, diptheritic membranes or ulcers of tonsils are seen in suids and carnivores. Gastrointestinal inflammation and mesenteric lyphadenitis may be seen in suids and carnivores. Hemorrhagic lymphadenitis is histopatholgic observation.

Diagnosis: Documentation of *Bacillus* spores in dried blood sample. PCR, culture, IFA, ELISA and Western Blot tests are available.

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Whole blood for culture can be taken post mortem from vein due to lack of clotting. Dried blood smears from similar source can be obtained or blood dried on a cotton swab. Prior to submission, laboratory must be notified for suspicion of anthrax.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Diagnostic laboratory with microbiological capacity. Confirmation is accomplished thru NVSL.

Treatment: Immediate antibiotic therapy. Numerous classes of antibiotics are effective: oxytetracycline, penicillins, aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, macrolides, and sulfonamides.

Prevention and control: Rapid detection and prevention of disease spread via quarantine and removal of affected animals. Vaccination of susceptible animals in enzootic areas. Move animals from potential contamination prior to periods of increased exposure. Do not use meat or animal products from uninspected or unknown sources, cases of sudden death, or emergency slaughters. Do not open carcasses in suspected cases. Do not contaminate soil during necropsy. Use protective clothing during necropsy. Post exposure antibiotics are recommended after exposure to aerosolized spores.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Cremation or deep burial of carcasses and contaminated materials. Disinfect using formaldehyde, oxidizing agents such as peroxides, 5% lye, quicklime (anhydrous calcium oxide), and bleach; however prolonged contact is required. A commercial product Mold Control 500® has been approved. Several protocols for large scale premise decontamination have been utilized. Formaldehyde (5%) can be used on soil if contamination is minimal otherwise soil removal is advised.

Notification: Reportable to USDA National Animal Health Reporting System (B051)

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Wait at least 21 days after outbreak is completed and quarantine varies although the recommended time is 21 days before movement is allowed.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Where the disease is known to be endemic, disease-free status will only be granted after an extended period, ~10 years, without cases and with surveillance. For normal sporadic cases, there should be at least 5 years without cases but with vaccination.

Experts who may be consulted:

Ginger Harvey, DVM or Kristina Lantz, DVM

National Veterinary Services Laboratories

P.O. Box 844

Ames, IA 50010, USA

515-337-7070/515-337-7083

Fax: 515-663-7073

ginger.r.harvey@aphis.usda.gov / kristina.lantz@aphis.usda.gov

References:

- 1. www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/anthrax/index.asp. Accessed 5 August 2013.
- 2. www.upmc-biosecurity.org/website/focus/agents_diseases/fact_sheets/anthrax.html. Accessed 5

- August 2013.
- 3. www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/disease.php?name=anthrax&lang=en. Accessed 5 August 2013.
- 4. http://www.oie.int/index.php?id=169&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_1.8.1.htm. Accessed 5 August 2013.
- 5. www.eurekalert.org/pub releases/2007-04/dnl-sdf042607.php. Accessed 5 August 2013.
- 6. www.sciencenews.org/view/generic/id/5581/title/Pesticide_Disposal_Goes_Green. Accessed 5 August 2013.
- 7. www.ehso.com/bleach.htm Accessed 5 August 2013.
- 8. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/emergingissues/downloads/anthrax.pdf Accessed 5 August 2013.
- 9. www.vet.uga.edu/vpp/gray_book02/index.php Accessed 5 August 2013.
- 10. www.aphis.usda.gov/import_export/animals/oie/downloads/tahc_sep09/tahc_anthrax_78_sep09.pdf. Accessed 5 August 2013.
- 11. http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/AnthraxGuidelines2008/en/ Accessed 9 September 2013.
- 12. Bengis, R.G. 2012. Anthrax in free-ranging wildlife. *In*: Fowler, M. E. and R.E. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 7th ed. Elsevier Saunders, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 98-107.
- 13. Turnbull, P. (ed.). 2008. Anthrax in humans and animals, Fourth edition. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 208 pp. http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/anthrax_webs.pdf Accessed 9 September 2013.

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected		Signs			and Control	
Vertebrates, invertebrates	Environment -acquired via spores. It is not considered contagious.	Primarily respiratory but can become systemic. Occasionally a cutaneous disease.	May cause severe disease in immune- compromised hosts.	Antifungal drugs - polyenes, azoles, allylamines, pyrimidines	Minimize environmental accumulation of fungus; prevent immune- suppression of host; prophylactic treatment	Only if spore- forming conidiospores are present

Fact Sheet compiled by: Joseph A. Smith

Sheet completed on: 1 February 2011; updated 15 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Mark Mitchell, Mark Papich, Patrick Redig, James Wellehan

Susceptible animal groups: Vertebrates and invertebrates can be affected. However, it affects primarily immunocompromised individuals (e.g. those young, geriatric, stressed, affected by concurrent disease, or undergoing management changes). Higher incidence of disease is associated with penguins, waterfowl, raptors, sea birds, and galliforms. Birds from polar or pelagic environments tend to be more susceptible. High environmental load of fungal spores is a predisposing factor for the development of disease, but exposure to ambient levels can also result in disease. Prolonged corticosteroid and antibiotic use have both been associated with increased risk of disease.

Causative organism: Primarily *Aspergillus fumigatus*, a saprophytic mold; occasionally *A. flavus*, *A. nidulans*, *A. niger*, and *A. terreus*. *A. flavus* traditionally is more associated with mycotoxin production (aflatoxicosis). Fungi use a nomenclature inconsistent with the rest of biology as separate names for asexual anamorph stages and sexual teleomorph stages of the same organism exist, resulting in multiple species names and paraphyletic taxa. The anamorph genus *Aspergillus* is the same fungus as several teleomorph genera, including *Neosartorya*, *Eurotium*, and *Emericella*.

Zoonotic potential: Aspergillosis is reported in people, but the infections usually are acquired from environmental exposure. Immunocompromised humans are more susceptible. Theoretically, any lesions where spore-forming conidia are present (e.g., some air sac granulomas in birds) may release spores into the environment which could be inhaled, and thus pose some zoonotic potential. In immunocompetent humans, the most common clinical presentation is fungal sinusitis.

Distribution: Worldwide distribution; ubiquitous in the environment. The fungus proliferates in soil, decaying vegetation, and moist environments with poor ventilation. Pre-formed spores can also be easily aerosolized in dry, dusty environments. Contaminated ventilation systems have been a risk factor for disease.

Incubation period: Highly variable. May cause acute disease or prolonged chronic infections. Clinical progression depends primarily on immune response and degree of environmental exposure.

Clinical signs: Primarily affects the respiratory system and may cause dyspnea, stridor, cyanosis, coughing, vocalization changes, and sneezing. The most common site of infection in mammals is the upper respiratory tract. The organism frequently infects cavities, such as sinuses, air sacs, guttural pouches, and similar locations. Signs of disseminated disease depend on the organs affected. Fungal plaques on large blood vessels may cause rupture and fatal hemorrhage. Nonspecific signs of disease such as lethargy, weakness, and weight loss are common. In birds, aspergillosis can result in marked leukocytosis.

ASPERGILLOSIS

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Gross</u>-Rhinitis, sinusitis, tracheitis, air sacculitis, pneumonia, disseminated granulomatous lesions in any organ. Lesions are yellow to pale pyogranulomatous or granulomatous nodules or plaques. In some air sac lesions, white or green, cottony spore-forming conidiospores can be observed.

<u>Histologic</u>-Granulomatous inflammation with intralesional fungal hyphae measuring 3-6 µm with parallel walls, evenly distributed septa, and progressive dichotomous branching at acute angles. Angioinvasion with thrombosis.

Diagnosis: Fungal culture combined with cytology or histopathology of affected tissues is the gold standard for a definitive diagnosis. The fungus can be cultured from normal tissues without pathologic lesions, so it is important to combine culture with microscopic evaluation. Fungi can be enhanced with special stains (e.g. periodic acid-Schiff [PAS], Grocott's methenamine silver [GMS]) or immunohistochemical labels to aid in microscopic evaluation. Other supportive diagnostics include PCR, serology, antigen blood tests (e.g. galactomannan), endoscopy, radiology, protein electrophoresis, and complete blood counts. Because of the ubiquity of this genus, serological results correlate poorly with disease.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Swabs or biopsies of affected tissues for culture, cytology, histopathology, and PCR. Serum or plasma for serology, antigen blood tests, and protein electrophoresis.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Almost any commercial diagnostic lab can perform fungal cultures, cytology, or histopathology. *Aspergillus* is readily cultured on a Sabaroud's dextrose plate incubated at 37° C for 48 hours. An *Aspergillus* diagnostic panel consisting of ELISA serology, galactomannan antigen testing, and protein electrophoresis is offered by the University of Miami Avian and Wildlife Laboratory.

The Fungus Testing Laboratory
Department of Pathology, Room 329E. Mail Code 7750
The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio
San Antonio, Texas 78229-3900
210-567-4131
210-567-4076

Treatment: Antifungal drug classes that have been used to treat aspergillosis include polyenes (amphotericin B), azoles (voriconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole), allylamines (terbinafine), and pyrimidines (flucytosine). Newer echinocandins (caspofungin) and azoles (posaconazole, ravuconazole) are being used in human medicine. The effectiveness of azoles varies widely. Most isolates tested are susceptible to voriconazole. Terbinafine is synergistic with voriconazole, and a terbinafine/voriconazole combination is the current treatment of choice. Supportive care, treatment of concurrent disease, and removing any sources of stress or immunosuppression are also important components of treatment.

Prevention and control: Because clinical disease caused by *Aspergillus* spp. is typically caused by either high environmental exposure with or without immunosuppression, methods at prevention and control should be aimed at controlling these predisposing factors. Environmental sanitation, adequate ventilation, and air filtration can all help to reduce environmental fungal spore loads. Ensuring that substrates that support fungal growth, such as dead plant materials, are not present in the enclosure will reduce exposure. Enilconazole can be considered when environmental treatment is indicated. Commercial formulations of enilconazole (e.g., Clinafarm EC) have been developed to disinfect poultry facilities. Minimizing stress and concurrent disease can help reduce disease caused by immunosuppression. Prophylactic treatment using antifungal drugs (e.g., itraconazole) has been used during periods of stress or prolonged antibiotic use for highly susceptible species. Animals with aspergillosis should be investigated for other causes of immunosuppression.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Bleach is the most effective disinfectant. Efficacy of other classes of disinfectants is variable and may be species and strain dependent.

ASPERGILLOSIS

Notification: Not a reportable disease.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Aspergillosis is not considered a contagious disease. However, case clusters that mimic "outbreaks" can be caused by common environmental predisposing factors such as high environmental spore loads or environmental stressors. These environmental factors should be considered when introducing animals to the environment of an infected animal.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Not applicable.

Experts who may be consulted

Michael J. Dykstra, MS, PhD (mycology) North Carolina State University College of Veterinary Medicine 919-513-6202 michael dykstra@ncsu.edu

Mark G. Papich, DVM, MS (clinical pharmacology) North Carolina State University College of Veterinary Medicine 919-513-6221 mark_papich@ncsu.edu

Patrick T. Redig, DVM, PhD (clinical management) University of Minnesota

redig001@umn.edu

References

- 1. Burek, K., 2001. Mycotic diseases. *In*: Williams, E.S., and I.K. Barker (eds). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, 3rd ed. Blackwell Publishing, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 514-531.
- 2. Converse, K.A., 2007. Aspergillosis. *In*: Thomas, N.J., D.B. Hunter, and C.T. Atkinson (eds). Infectious Diseases of Wild Birds. Blackwell Publishing, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 360-374.
- 3. Cray, C., T. Watson, and K.L. Arheart. 2009. Serosurvey and diagnostic application of antibody titers to *Aspergillus* in avian species. Avian Dis. 53(4): 491-494.
- 4. Cray, C., T. Watson, M. Rodriguez, and K.L. Arheart. 2009. Application of galactomannan analysis and protein electrophoresis in the diagnosis of aspergillosis in avian species. J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 40(1): 64-70.
- 5. Disomma, A., T. Bailey, C. Silvanose, and C. Garcia-Martinez. 2007. The use of voriconazole for the treatment of aspergillosis in falcons (*Falco* species). J. Av. Med. Surg. 21: 307-311.
- 6. Duncan, M., 2003. Fungal diseases in all taxa. *In*: Fowler, M.E., and R.E. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 5th ed. Elsevier Science, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 727-732.
- 7. Dykstra, M.J., and K. Reininger. 2007. Aviary air-handler design and its relationship to fungal spore loads in the air. J. Zoo. Wild. Med. 38: 540-547.
- 8. Gupta, A.K., I. Ahmad, and R.C. Summerbell. 2002. Fungicidal activities of commonly used disinfectants and antifungal pharmaceutical spray preparations against clinical strains of *Aspergillus* and *Candida* species. Med. Mycol. 40: 201-208.
- 9. Kunkle, R.A., 2003. Fungal infections. *In*: Saif, Y.M. (ed.). Diseases of Poultry, 11th ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 883-902.
- 10. Pare, J.A., L. Sigler, K.L. Rosenthal, and D.R. Mader. 2006. Microbiology: fungal and bacterial diseases of reptiles. *In*: Mader, D.R. (ed). Reptile Medicine and Surgery, 2nd ed. Saunders Elsevier, St.

Louis, Missouri. Pp. 217-238.

11. Reidarson, T.H., J.F. McBain, L.M. Dalton, and M.G. Rinaldi. 1999. Diagnosis and treatment of fungal infections in marine mammals. *In*: Fowler, M.E., and R.E. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine Current Therapy 4. W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 478-485.

AVIAN BORNAVIRUSES

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs and Lesions	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Group(s)	Primarily direct transmission, initial assumption of urofecal-oral route has been challenged by experimental work suggesting that tissue inoculation may be required. Viral shedding in urine, feces, choanal secretions and possibly feathers. Some evidence for		Birds infected with ABV may or may not show clinical disease. Once clinical signs develop, avian bornaviral infection is generally considered a progressive disease which ultimately becomes fatal. Acute outbreaks with high mortality have been described in psittacine aviaries.	No specific treatment. Suppressing T lymphocyte function may improve clinical signs (e.g., cyclosporin) Inconsistent results with the use of various COX II NSAIDs. Antiviral drugs inadequately investigated. Supportive and symptomatic treatment and good		No.
	vertical transmission to egg, not proven to live hatchlings.	Histology: non- suppurative inflammation in peripheral, central and/or autonomic nervous systems.	avianes.	husbandry can prolong life. Possibility of complete cure is not certain.	Standard disinfection protocols should be effective (enveloped virus).	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Pauline Delnatte, Dale A. Smith

Sheet completed on: September 1, 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Michael Lierz, Ian Tizard

Susceptible animal groups (affected by different viral genera):

Psittacine birds: Infection by *Psittaciform 1* and 2 *orthobornaviruses* has been reported in more than 80 species of captive psittacine birds in at least 33 different genera. Certain species, such as African grey parrots, blue and gold macaws, cockatoos, and Amazon parrots, seem most frequently affected.

Passerine birds: Passeriform 1 and 2 orthobornaviruses have been described from captive canaries (Serinus canaria), a Bengalese/Society finch (Lonchura striata domestica) and 3 estrildid finches.

Anseriformes, Laridae: Waterbird 1 orthobornavirus infection was initially recognized in North America in free-ranging mute (Cygnus olor) and trumpeter swans (Cygnus buccinator), and in Canada geese (Branta canadensis). This and related viruses have since been identified in a range of wild goose and duck species, as well as in several species of gulls. Investigations in Europe have identified Waterbird 1 orthobornavirus infections in three additional species of geese in Denmark and a Eurasian oystercatcher (Haematopus ostralegus) in Germany.

Single reports of what are interpreted as broad "breaks" in fidelity of a given virus for a given host group have

AVIAN BORNAVIRUSES

been reported, thus molecular sequencing of bornaviruses from avian host groups or species not listed above is highly recommended.

Causative organism: The first avian-associated bornavirus (ABV) was identified in 2008 as the cause of PDD in psittacine birds. Bornaviruses are enveloped, single-stranded, RNA viruses in the family *Bornaviridae*. There are currently five species of bornaviruses affecting birds: *Passeriform 1 orthobornavirus* (canary bornaviruses 1-3), *Passeriform 2 orthobornavirus* (estrildid finch bornavirus), *Psittaciform 1 orthobornavirus* (parrot bornaviruses 1-4, 7), *Psittaciform 2 orthobornavirus* (parrot bornavirus 5), and *Waterbird 1 orthobornavirus* (aquatic bird bornaviruses 1-2). Parrot bornaviruses 2 and 4 are the most commonly identified viruses in psittacine birds. Additional viruses await formal classification.

Zoonotic potential: None reported

Distribution: *Parrot bornaviruses*: PDD, the originally-recognized clinical syndrome of ABV infection in psittacine birds, was first identified in the late 1970's in the United States. ABV infection and associated disease have been described in captive psittacines around the world. Worldwide dissemination is assumed to have resulted from the trade in captive birds. While there is some evidence for the presence of exposure of wild parrots to an ABV in South America, a reservoir in wild psittacine birds has not been confirmed. *Canary bornaviruses:* Reports suggest these viruses are prevalent in captive European canaries. *Aquatic bird bornaviruses:* Infection, initially recognized as widespread in North America, has also been reported from free-ranging wild birds in Denmark and Germany. A lack of reports from other parts of the world likely reflects a lack of dedicated investigation.

Incubation period: Poorly investigated but appears extremely variable. Reports suggest a minimum of 11 days under experimental conditions up to months or years under natural conditions. There is a suggestion of an acute form (birds die within days or weeks after acute onset of symptoms) and of a persistent form (birds are able to live for years without clinical impairment), likely this simply reflects a continuum.

Clinical signs: Descriptions of PDD in psittacine birds predate the discovery of ABV. Birds infected with ABV may or may not develop clinical disease. Clinical signs result from pathology in the autonomic, central, and/or peripheral nervous systems, and vary in nature, severity and duration. Non-specific signs include depression, lethargy, weight loss, muscle atrophy, abdominal enlargement, polyuria and polydipsia, as well as sudden death. Classic gastrointestinal signs associated with myenteric plexus dysfunction include dysphagia, crop stasis, regurgitation, impaction, maldigestion (passage of undigested seeds), and progressive loss of body condition. Central and peripheral nervous system signs include changes in awareness and demeanor, tremors, seizures, erratic head movements, torticollis, head-pressing, opisthotonos, abnormal gait and posture, inability to perch, proprioceptive and motor deficits, ataxia, paralysis, *status epilepticus*, and ophthalmologic abnormalities (dilated pupils, anisocoria, chorioretinitis, retinal degeneration, and blindness). Change in behaviour has also been noted. The factors that govern the development of clinical disease in ABV positive birds are not known, but are likely related to features of the host immune status as well as of the infecting virus. Affected birds can develop secondary opportunistic infections that increase mortality.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Consistency among clinical signs and gross and histologic findings is not always present. Gross lesions include mild to severe emaciation, atrophy of the pectoral, proventricular and ventricular muscles, proventricular and ventricular dilatation and duodenal distension. Proventricular rupture and resulting peritonitis have been rarely reported. One report described accumulation of fluid in the subarachnoid space. Occasionally, no gross lesions are observed. Microscopic lesions consist of non-suppurative inflammation in peripheral, central and autonomic nervous tissues. Similar infiltrates may also be present in adrenal glands and myocardium. Cerebellar Purkinje cell necrosis, neuronophagia, myelin degeneration, gliosis and axonal swelling can accompany the inflammatory lesions.

Diagnosis: PDD as a clinical entity and infection with ABV are not synonymous. Histological lesions in biopsy or post-mortem samples remain the gold standard for diagnosis of PDD. Detection of virus/viral RNA/viral antigen or antibodies against ABV provides evidence of infection or exposure, but does not differentiate among patients with clinical PDD, asymptomatic shedders and previously exposed birds. There is no standardized

AVIAN BORNAVIRUSES

screening protocol for PDD and/or ABV infection in psittacine flocks, but screening programs involving separation of birds based on a combination of repeated RT-PCR assessment of choanal and/or cloacal swabs (feather sampling has also been recommended) and serology have been used to derive flocks clear of ABV infection.

Clinical signs and pathologic lesions: ABV infection should be considered as a differential diagnosis for clinical signs referable to the digestive and/or nervous systems. Prior to the identification of the avian bornaviruses, techniques for the antemortem diagnosis of PDD included plain and contrast radiography, contrast fluoroscopy, and crop biopsy. Crop biopsy has a variable and often low sensitivity.

Detection of virus, RNA or antigen:

Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR): Both gel-based and real time RT-PCR have been developed using primers for various segments of the ABV genome, particularly the N and M genes. Not all primers will detect all bornaviruses that affect avian species.

<u>Immunohistochemistry (IHC)</u>: IHC can be used to identify ABV antigen in formalin fixed biopsy or necropsy specimens. Moderately intense, diffuse, intracytoplasmic staining accompanied by intranuclear staining in neurons and certain epithelial cells is considered positive for viral antigen presence.

<u>Virus culture:</u> Various genotypes of ABV have been successfully grown in a range of avian cell lines. Cytopathic effects do not occur, thus virus must be demonstrated by Western blot, immunohistochemistry, indirect immunofluorescence or RT-PCR.

<u>Sequence analysis:</u> The recognition of an increasing number of bornaviral species and genotypes makes genome sequencing a critical component in the diagnosis of ABV infection and of PDD.

Detection of antibodies: Indirect immunofluorescence (using infected cell cultures which present multiple antigens), Western blot, and indirect ELISA assays using various sources of monoclonal primary antigen have been used in psittacine birds and waterfowl. Several private laboratories offer serologic testing, which is also used as a research tool. Test specificity and sensitivity are difficult to determine and compare due to the absence of a gold standard for diagnosis, and the relatively poor correlation between the presence of antibodies, fecal shedding of ABV, and the presence of pathologic lesions and/or clinical disease. Considerable research is required before we will understand which viral proteins are the most immunogenic, and the role of antibodies in resistance to infection and to the development of clinical signs.

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Ante-mortem: Crop tissue (histology +/- IHC +/- RT-PCR); choanal and cloacal swabs, feces (less sensitive than cloacal swabs), and possibly calami of plucked chest contour feathers (RT-PCR); plasma or serum (serology).

* Pooling multiple cloacal swabs or droppings from a single bird over several days or samples from multiple birds in an aviary increases test sensitivity as shedding of virus is frequently intermittent.

Post-mortem: Brain, proventriculus, ventriculus, adrenals and vitreous of the eye (most consistently infected tissues) (RT-PCR +/- sequencing); brain, proventriculus, ventriculus (histology +/- IHC). As lesions vary in location and severity, submission of a full suite of tissues is highly recommended.

*RT-PCR can also be performed on formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissues in some laboratories.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Histopathologic assessment: can be performed by any veterinary pathology diagnostic service.

RT-PCR testing: can be carried out by any molecular laboratory with appropriate primers. Information on test validation and primer selection should be requested.

- Animal Health Laboratory, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada. (*AAVLD accredited*) http://www.guelphlabservices.com/ahl/
- Diagnostic Services, Faculté de médecine vétérinaire, University of Montréal, St. Hyacinthe, Quebec, Canada. (AAVLD accredited)
 http://servicedediagnostic.com

AVIAN BORNAVIRUSES

- Infectious Disease Laboratory, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA. http://www.vet.uga.edu/idl/index
- Veterinary Molecular Diagnostics Inc, Milford, Ohio, USA www.vmdlabs.com/

Commercially available RT-PCR and ELISA testing, offered as a panel:

- Animal Genetics Inc. (USA), Tallahassee, Florida, USA; (Europe), Cornwall, England. http://animalgenetics.com

RT-PCR, Real-time RT-PCR, Serology, Sanitation of flocks, located in Europe:

Clinic for Birds. Reptiles, Amphibians and Fish, Justus-Liebig University Giessen, Germany, https://www.uni-giessen.de/fbz/fb10/institute_klinikum/klinikum/kvraf email: kvraf@vetmed.uni-giessen.de

Treatment: No specific treatment exists. Supportive and symptomatic therapy may prolong life for months to years.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: Celecoxib, tepoxalin and meloxicam have been recommended but evidence of effectiveness has been inconsistent. A study in cockatiels suggested that the use of meloxicam would actually be detrimental to birds affected with PDD.

Immunosuppressive protocols: May be of therapeutic benefit, especially selective T-cell elimination. Cyclosporine appears to hold the most promise, based on limited research.

Antiviral drugs: Have been described as beneficial by some authors (e.g., amantadine), but have been reported as having no apparent effect on fecal shedding of virus by others. Ribavirin reduces viral replication in tissue culture but does not appear to have a measurable effect on viral shedding *in vivo*. Most recent research suggests favipiravir may be able to eliminate Borna disease virus 1 and parrot bornavirus 4 from infected cultured cells. *In vivo* studies have not been carried out.

Prevention and control: Preventive measures are intended to avoid introduction of an ABV into new flocks and include excellent husbandry and sanitation practices and strict quarantine protocols. Screening of birds in quarantine should include a combination of PCR and serologic testing (see Diagnosis above). The interpretation of test results can be challenging as diagnostic test protocols vary among laboratories, and an understanding of the biology of the disease is necessary for interpretation (e.g., intermittent shedding, asymptomatic carriers, testing of non-psittacine species, etc.). The possibility of vertical transmission of ABV complicates the management of infected aviaries. Pairing ABV positive birds, incubating their eggs artificially, and hand-raising the chicks separately until their ABV status is determined may be a viable option for critically endangered species. There is currently no vaccine against ABV infection. Recent studies have suggested that serum antibodies are not protective, and that persistent infection is a result of ABV escaping recognition by the innate immune system. Early research on vaccine development has been published, with reduction of infection (and hence clinical disease) in one study, and prevention of clinical disease (but not infection) in another.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Although there are no data on environmental survival of the ABVs or sensitivity to disinfectants, they are assumed to have the same stability as other enveloped RNA viruses of similar size and structure. Disinfection with phenols, formaldehyde or hypochlorites is thus recommended.

Notification: None legally required; information regarding the infection and exposure status of birds being transferred between institutions is recommended.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Not applicable.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: The mixing of infected and non-infected birds is not recommended. There is no known strategy to prevent viral transmission between in-contact birds. Excellent hygiene appears to prevent spread within a facility containing infected and uninfected birds.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak:

AVIAN BORNAVIRUSES

There is no system of "ABV-free" certification. Clearing infection from a flock requires a rigorous program of repeated cycles of testing using both PCR and serology, separation of birds positive for virus or antibodies, and retesting. Reliance on PCR testing when serologic testing is not available makes recognition of infected birds more difficult. Some populations of captive birds appear to have a very high prevalence of infection.

Experts who may be consulted:

- Dr. Dale A. Smith, Professor, Department of Pathobiology, Ontario Veterinary College, University of Guelph, Canada (dalesmit@uoguelph.ca)
- Schubot Exotic Bird Health Centre, Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, Texas A&M University, USA. Contact research group through Dr. Ian Tizard, Professor. (ITIZARD@cvm.tamu.edu)
- Dr. Monika Rinder, Clinic for Birds, University of Munich, Oberschleissheim, Germany.
- Dr. Michael Lierz, Clinic for Birds, Reptiles, Amphibians and Fish, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Justus Liebig University Giessen, Giessen, Germany.

References:

- Amarasinghe GK, Aréchiga Ceballos NG, Banyard AC, Basler CF, Bavari S, Bennett AJ, Blasdell KR, Briese T, Bukreyev A, Caì Y, Calisher CH, Campos Lawson C, Chandran K, Chapman CA,., Chiu CY, Choi KS, Collins PL, Dietzgen RG, Dolja VV, Dolnik O, Domier LL, Dürrwald R, Dye JM, Easton AJ, Ebihara H, Echevarría JE, Fooks AR, Formenty PBH, Fouchier RAM, Freuling CM, Ghedin E, Goldberg TL, Hewson R, Horie M, Hyndman TH, Jiāng D, Kityo R, Kobinger GP, Kondō H, Koonin EV, Krupovic M, Kurath G, Lamb RA,, Lee B, Leroy EM, Maes P, Maisner A, Marston DA, Mor SK, Müller T, Mühlberger E, Ramírez VMN, Netesov SV, Ng TFF, Nowotny N,, Palacios G, Patterson JL, Pawęska JT, Payne SL, Prieto K, Rima BK, Rota P, Rubbenstroth D, Schwemmle M, Siddell S, Smither SJ, Song Q, Song T, Stenglein MD, Stone DM, Takada A, Tesh RB, Thomazelli LM, Tomonaga K, Tordo N,, Towner JS, Vasilakis N, Vázquez-Morón S, Verdugo C, Volchkov VE, Wahl V, Walker PJ, Wang D, Wang LF, Wellehan JFX, Wiley MR,, Whitfield AE, Wolf YI, Yè G, Zhāng YZ, Kuhn JH.. Taxonomy of the order Mononegavirales: update 2018. Arch Virol [Internet]. 2018;163(8):2283-2294. Available from doi:10.1007/s00705-018-3814-x.
- 1. de Araujo JL, Rech RR, Heatley JJ, Guo J, Giaretta PR, Tizard I, Rodrigues-Hoffmann A. From nerves to brain to gastrointestinal tract: A time-based study of parrot bornavirus 2 (PaBV-2) pathogenesis in cockatiels (*Nymphicus hollandicus*). PloS one. 2017;12(11), p.e0187797.
- 2. Delnatte P, Ojkic D, DeLay J, Campbell D, Crawshaw G, Smith DA. Pathology and diagnosis of avian bornavirus infection in wild Canada geese (*Branta canadensis*), trumpeter swans (*Cygnus buccinator*) and mute swans (*Cygnus olor*) in Canada: a retrospective study. Avian Path. 2013;42:114-28.
- 3. Heckmann J, Enderlein D, Piepenbring AK, Herzog S, Heffels-Redmann U, Malberg S, Herden C, Lierz M. Investigation of different infection routes of parrot bornavirus in cockatiels. Avian Dis. 2017;61(1):90-95.
- 4. Hoppes S, Tizard I, Shivaprasad HL. Avian bornavirus and proventricular dilatation disease: diagnostics, pathology, prevalence and control. Vet Clin North Am Exot Anim Pract 2013;16:339–355.
- 5. Lierz M. Avian bornavirus and proventricular dilation disease. In: Speer BL, ed. Current therapy in avian medicine and surgery. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2016. p. 28–46.
- 6. Payne SL, Delnatte P, Guo J, Heatley JJ, Tizard I, Smith DA. Birds and bornaviruses. Anim Health Res Rev. 2012;13:145–156.
- 7. Rubbenstroth D, Schmidt V, Rinder M, Legler M, Twietmeyer S, Schwemmer P, Corman VM. Phylogenetic analysis supports horizontal transmission as a driving force of the spread of avian bornaviruses. PloS one. 2016;11(8):e0160936.
- 8. Thomsen AF, Nielsen JB, Hjulsager CK, Chriel M, Smith DA, Bertelsen MF. Aquatic Bird Bornavirus 1 in Wild Geese, Denmark. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21:2201–3.
- 9. Tokunaga T, Yamamoto Y, Sakai M, Tomonaga K, Honda T. Antiviral activity of favipiravir (T-705) against mammalian and avian bornaviruses. Antivir res. 2017;143:237-45.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual AVIAN INFLUENZA

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Birds, predominantly carried by waterfowl and shorebirds, various mammals	Fecal-oral and fecal-cloacal (i.e., contaminated water), airborne and direct contact through mucous membranes, ingestion of infected tissues, fomites and mechanical vectors	LPAI- typically asymptomatic HPAI- Respiratory, digestive or nervous system signs, sudden death	Asymptomatic to fatal in all animals affected	Anti-viral drugs in humans	Preparedness protocol including guidelines for facility during an outbreak, surveillance techniques and biosecurity protocols. Minimize contact between captive birds and wild birds. Quarantine new birds for at least 30 days.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Rae Gandolf

Sheet completed on: 1 January 2011; updated 1 November 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Carol Cardona, Walter Boyce

Susceptible animal groups: Avian influenza viruses can infect a wide variety of species. Whereas aquatic birds typically exhibit few signs of infection, once the virus spreads to poultry it can become more virulent and can potentially cause severe disease in mammalian species that may come in contact with them.

<u>Aquatic birds</u>- migratory waterfowl (Anseriformes) and shore birds (Charadriiformes) act as the major natural reservoir species; infection is typically asymptomatic in ducks infected with the low pathogenicity viruses (LPAI); wild birds have only rarely been infected with high pathogenicity viruses (HPAI).

<u>Poultry</u>, other gallinaceous birds—typically mild clinical signs or subclinical with LPAI; some viruses (of the H5 or H7 subtypes) may mutate to HPAI while circulating in a flock, potentially resulting in very high mortality.

Mammals and other avian species- HPAI H5N1 exhibits a wide and growing host range including humans; LPAI viruses may infect mammals and other avian species but infections are typically undetected because they are asymptomatic. Examples of species with confirmed HPAI include primates, suids, felids (domestic house cats and several nondomestic species), pinnipeds, canids (raccoon dogs, rarely in domestic dogs), viverids (palm civets), mustelids (ferrets, stone martens, mink), lagormorphs (pikas, rabbits), rodents, whales and a broad range of avian species including psittacines.

Causative organism: Influenza A, an enveloped RNA virus in the family Orthomyxoviridae. Influenza A viruses are classified according to subtypes, based on two surface proteins (hemagglutinin (H) and neuraminidase (N)). Avian influenza viruses are further classified according to their virulence in chickens

AVIAN INFLUENZA

(HPAI or LPAI). Although they are classified as LPAI in chickens, some isolates can still cause disease in other species.

Disease significance:

- Appearance and spread of HPAI H5N1 in poultry has increased the risk of spillover into human and non-human hosts.
- Poultry farms can sustain very high mortality and morbidity, leading to high costs and trade restrictions on poultry products
- Zoonotic infections of humans may lead to the development of viruses with pandemic potential, especially HPAI H5N1
- LPAI and HPAI viruses may emerge and cause disease in captive and free-ranging wildlife species

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: LPAI viruses occur worldwide in migrating birds and poultry. Infections have been confirmed in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, North America and South America. New HPAI viruses emerge periodically in poultry and HPAI H5N1 has become established in several Asian and African countries

Incubation period: Highly variable; Humans: typically 2-7 days (up to 17 days), poultry: 1-7 days, wild birds: typically 1-7 days. However, the actual incubation period of a given virus in any species (i.e., 9000 species of birds) will vary based on host and virus.

Clinical signs: This virus can infect the respiratory, digestive, or nervous systems, alone or in combination, depending on the host. Signs correlate with the location of the infection, and vary depending on viral subtype, environmental factors, age, health status and species.

<u>LPAI (birds)</u> - asymptomatic to conjunctivitis and mild respiratory symptoms (free-ranging and domestic species), decreased egg production (documented in domestic poultry, may apply to other species) <u>HPAI (birds)</u>- sudden death of large numbers of birds, especially in poultry; may also see any of the following: marked depression, sinusitis, lacrimation, cyanosis of the head, edema of the head, green to white diarrhea, coughing, sneezing, blood-tinged oral and nasal discharges, podothecal ecchymoses, neurologic disease, decreased egg production, loss of egg pigmentation and deformed or shell-less eggs.

<u>HPAI (mammals)</u>- pyrexia and difficulty breathing or rapid breathing are typically the initial symptoms and may be followed by conjunctivitis, coughing, mucosal bleeding, diarrhea, vomiting, abdominal pain, neurologic signs, multi-organ failure, DIC and death. Morbidity and mortality are variable. Among zoo animals, fatal cases were reported among captive tigers and leopards in Thailand, but captive leopards, tigers, Asiatic golden cats and lions at a wildlife rescue center in Cambodia all recovered after an illness lasting 5-7 days.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Highly variable in birds, ranging from no lesions in peracute deaths to subcutaneous edema on the head and neck; edema and subcutaneous hemorrhages on the feet; fluid in the nares and oral cavity; conjunctivitis; hemorrhagic tracheitis; lung hemorrhage and congestion; petechiae throughout the abdominal fat, over serosal surfaces and peritoneum; congested kidneys sometimes plugged with urate deposits; hemorrhagic or degenerated ovaries with areas of necrosis; yolk from ruptured ova within the peritoneal cavity; yolk peritonitis and air sacculitis. It is important to note that the occurrence of peritonitis, tracheitis, edema of the wattles or neck, or petechial hemorrhages in the proventriculus may be particularly suggestive of an HPAI infection. Findings in mammals infected with HPAI are also broad-ranging and may include pulmonary congestion and edema, conjunctivitis, multi-organ congestion, widespread internal hemorrhages, encephalitis and myocarditis.

Diagnosis:

• Virus isolation and/or RT-PCR assays can identify avian influenza viruses in clinical samples. These tests can also distinguish some viral subtypes.

AVIAN INFLUENZA

- Viral antigens and antibodies can also be detected with ELISAs including rapid tests. As of 2008, the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) recommended that antigen detection tests be used to identify avian influenza only in flocks and not in individual birds.
- Serologic tests including agar gel immunodiffusion, hemagglutination inhibition and ELISAs are useful as
 supplemental tests. Blocking or competitive ELISAs are species independent and can be very useful for
 detecting prior exposure to AI virus in wild birds. AGID may be insensitive in some avian species and HI
 requires the proper viral antigen to be useful. Serology is not useful in the diagnosis of HPAI in susceptible
 species because they will die before they seroconvert.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Oropharyngeal, tracheal or cloacal swabs or, in small birds or for surveillance, feces may be used in live birds; additionally, organ samples (trachea, lungs, air sacs, intestine, spleen, kidney, brain, liver and heart) can be tested in dead birds. Links to sample collection protocols for the National Wildlife Health Center lab may be found on the USGS avian influenza page: http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/disease_information/avian_influenza/

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Diagnostic testing for avian influenza is generally performed by specialized county, state, regional, or national laboratories, such as the USDA-approved laboratories in the National Animal Health Laboratory Network (NAHLN) (NAHLN@aphis.usda.gov; 515-663-7731). Authorities should be consulted regarding regulations for sending samples to authorized diagnostic laboratories.

Treatment: Four antiviral drugs - amantadine, rimantadine, zanamivir, and oseltamivir - are active against selected human influenza viruses. Studies suggest that these drugs may also be helpful in avian influenza infections in humans although many currently circulating strains are resistant to amantadine and rimantadine. In poultry, HPAI is managed primarily by flock eradication but LPAI may be managed with vaccination, eradication or quarantine.

Prevention and control: Each institution should have general preparedness protocol including advance communication with regulatory officials regarding potential courses of action, guidelines concerning the operation of the facility during an outbreak, surveillance techniques for captive animals and wildlife on the premise, preventative measures to protect public health, vaccination planning and biosecurity protocols (hand washing, disinfecting, quarantine, etc.). Additionally:

- Staff should be provided with information regarding human health precautions and trained for proper use of personal protective equipment.
- Case definition criteria for avian influenza should be established for captive species in order to identify the disease early and institute the biosecurity protocol.
- A testing plan should be established and a laboratory where the testing will be done should be identified.
- The entire collection should be catalogued as influenza susceptible or resistant based on the likelihood of infection in the event of exposure. The expected clinical appearance of infection of the susceptible birds and mammals in the collection should be recorded to prepare for a possible outbreak.
- Minimize contact between captive birds and wild birds
- Quarantine new birds for at least 30 days
- In the face of an outbreak, captive birds could potentially be vaccinated. > 25,000 captive birds were vaccinated with a H5N2 inactivated vaccine in European zoological facilities since 2005. Most birds seroconverted following the second booster vaccination, and semi-annual to annual vaccination is recommended. A negative correlation exists between antibody response and increasing mean body weight. Some species (pelicans and owls), may fail to respond to vaccination. Different species may have differing responses to vaccination, including duration of immunity, which may require regular serologic monitoring and additional booster vaccinations. Approval for zoological institutions to administer vaccinations to birds

AVIAN INFLUENZA

in the U.S. will be conditional and overseen by a federally accredited veterinarian. Restrictions on transporting vaccinated birds or releasing them back into the wild may be imposed.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Avian influenza viruses are relatively unstable in the environment and are inactivated by extremes in pH, heat, and dryness. The virus may persist for a long time in cool aquatic environments. The virus may survive over 100 days in cool fresh water and indefinitely when frozen. In the presence of organic matter, AI virus can be inactivated by aldehydes. After removal of organic matter, several classes of disinfectants are effective at destroying avian influenza virus: phenolics (One Stroke Environ), quaternary ammonium compounds (Roccal), oxidizing agents (Virkon), dilute acids (eperacetic acid), and bleach.

Notification: Any suspect cases should be reported to the state veterinarian or USDA Veterinarian (USDA Veterinary Services:1-866-536-7593)

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: No official disease-free status offered

Experts who may be consulted:

Carol J. Cardona DVM, PhD, DACPV

Ben Pomeroy Chair in Avian Health

College of Veterinary Medicine

University of Minnesota

1971 Commonwealth

Saint Paul, MN 55108

(612) 625-5276 phone

(612) 625-5203 fax

Walter M Boyce, DVM, PhD

Professor, Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology

School of Veterinary Medicine, UC Davis

Co-Director, Wildlife Health Center

Wildlife Health Center, TB 128

2105 Haring Hall

(530) 752-1401

wmboyce@ucdavis.edu

References:

- 1. Cattoli G, and I. Capua. 2007. Diagnosing avian influenza in the framework of wildlife surveillance efforts and environmental samples. J. Wildl. Dis. 43: s35-s39.
- 2. Furger M., R. Hoop, H. Steinmetz, U. Eulenberger, and J.M. Hatt. 2008. Humoral immune response to avian influenza vaccination over a six-month period in different species of captive wild birds. Avian Dis. 52:222-8.
- 3. Kelly, T.R., M.G. Hawkins, C.E. Sandrock, and W.M. Boyce. 2008. A review of highly pathogenic avian influenza in birds, with an emphasis on Asian H5N1 and recommendations for prevention and control. J. Avian Med. Surg. 22:1–16.
- 4. Lécu A., C. De Langhe, T. Petit, F. Bernard, and H. Swam. 2009. Serologic response and safety to vaccination against avian influenza using inactivated H5N2 vaccine in zoo birds. J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 40:731-43.
- 5. Martin V., S. Von dobschuetz, A. Lemenach, N. Rass, W. Schoustra, and I. Desimone. 2007. Early warning, database, and information systems for avian influenza surveillance J. Wildl. Dis. 43: s71-s76.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **AVIAN INFLUENZA**

- 6. Philippa, J.D. 2008. Avian Influenza. *In*: Fowler M.E. and R.E. Miller. Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine: Current Therapy, 6th edition. W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 79-87.
- 7. The Center for Food Security and Public Health at Iowa State University. Highly pathogenic avian influenza. http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/highly_pathogenic_avian_influenza.pdf. Accessed 11 July 2013.
- 8. USDA/APHIS Interagency Strategic Plan for Avian Influenza Surveillance in Migratory Birds. 2006. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/wildlife_damage/nwdp/pdf/wildbirdstrategicplanpdf.pdf. Accessed 11 July 2013.
- 9. Redrobe, S. P. 2007. Avian influenza H5N1: a review of the current situation and relevance to zoos. Int. Zoo. Yb. 41: 96–109.
- 10. Siembieda J., C.K. Johnson, W.M. Boyce, C. Sandrock, and C. Cardona. 2008. Risk for avian influenza virus exposure at human-wildlife interface. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 14: 1151-3.
- 11. Yoon H., O.K. Moon, S.J. More, C.K. Park, J.Y. Park, Y.J. Lee, S.D. Lee, J.K. Ha, S.K. Jeong, J.K. Jeong, and S.J. Lee . 2010. An outbreak of highly pathogenic avian influenza at a public animal exhibit in seoul, Korea, during 2008. Zoonoses Pub. Health. 57:142-5.

AVIAN POXVIRUS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Avian	Mechanical spread by invertebrate vectors. Direct contact between birds or indirect contact with contaminated surfaces.	Cutaneous or "dry" form: skin nodules. Diptheritic or "wet" form: internal lesions in upper alimentary or respiratory tracts. Systemic infection.	Small, focal skin lesions to widespread severe lesions; respiratory difficulties, to peracute death in certain species.	Treat secondary bacterial infections. May need to provide supportive fluids and food.	Vector control and good hygiene.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sharon L. Deem **Sheet completed on:** updated 31 July 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kristi Delaski

Susceptible animal groups: Avians. Over 275 species of birds in 23 orders are known to be susceptible.

Causative organism: Avipoxvirus in the family Poxviridae. Large (up to 400 nm) double-stranded,

enveloped DNA. 17 types of Avipoxvirus spp. have been identified to date.

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: Worldwide with exception of no published reports from the Arctic or Antarctic.

Incubation period: Variable with approximate range of 4 days up to several months.

Clinical signs: The signs vary with virulence of the virus, susceptibility of the host, distribution and type of lesions in an infected bird, and other complicating factors. Manifestations are cutaneous ("dry"), diphtheritic ("wet"), systemic, or some combination of the three. Cutaneous lesions are characterized by the appearance of nodular lesions on feather-free regions of the body; in editor's experience, often in non-gallinaceous species, these lesions occur as single nodules which may resemble a proliferative neoplasm. Diphtheritic lesions are moist, necrotic lesions on the mucous membranes of the mouth and upper respiratory tract. Septicemic form is associated with acute depression, anorexia, dyspnea and death and has been most frequently reported in certain passerine species (e.g., canary). Infected birds can have peracute infections (death) or may become latent carriers. Also note that when stressed (e.g., during transfer intra- and inter-zoo, other illness), it has been suggested that birds may recrudesce and develop new lesions which may first appear as red-swollen areas. Any bird with these developing lesions should be immediately separated from other birds and caged individually while avian pox is or is not confirmed.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross lesions are proliferations of epithelial cells. Diphtheritic form may appear as white, opaque, slightly elevated nodules to coalescing yellowish, caseous, necrotic material with the appearance of a pseudomembrane.

Diagnosis: Gross lesions in cutaneous infections are often highly suggestive of pox infection but are not definitively avian pox. Diphtheritic infections are often harder to diagnosis on gross observations due to differential diagnoses (e.g., trichomonosis). Histologic evaluation for Bollinger bodies (eosinophilic intracytoplasmic epidermal inclusions) on light microscopy is acceptable for diagnosis. Virus isolation on the chorioallantoic membrane of embryonated chicken eggs or in cell cultures of avian origin may be used. PCR techniques are also available for detection of avian pox DNA from DNA-extracted direct from lesion or extracted virus culture. PCR targeting the 4b core protein has been widely used for construction of avian poxvirus phylogenies and virus strain differentiation.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Biopsy of cutaneous nodules and diphtheritic mucous membranes for detection of the pathognomonic Bollinger bodies.

AVIAN POXVIRUS

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

State or university veterinary diagnostic laboratories in most states can perform diagnostic testing.

National Wildlife Health Center

6006 Schroeder Road

Madison, WI 53711-6223.

Phone: (608) 270-2400 Fax: (608) 270-2415

National Animal Disease Center

P.O. BOX 70

1920 Dayton Avenue

Ames, IA 50010

Treatment: No direct treatment for virus infection itself exists. However, secondary bacterial infections should be treated. Supportive care may be needed to provide supplemental food and water for those birds that cannot see or eat properly.

Prevention and control: Mechanically transmitted virus, therefore control of vectors (e.g., mosquitoes, flies) and fomites is very important. Perch design and cage structure important to minimize cross infections and to decrease abrasions that allow entry of the virus.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Any strong disinfectant, including bleach.

Notification: None required.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None required.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Keep birds with pox lesions in quarantine until no clinical signs are present.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Clean with common disinfectants (e.g., bleach) and keep mosquitoes and other mechanical vectors to a minimum.

Experts who may be consulted:

Charles van Riper III

ST Research Ecologist

USGS/SBSC/Sonoran Desert Research Station

1110 E. South Campus Dr. Room 123 (mail)

Room 121A – Building #33 (office)

University of Arizona

Tucson. Arizona 85721-0033

520 626 7027

Cell 520 491 0721

Fax: 520 670 5001

http://charlesvanriper.com/

Charles_van_riper@usgs.gov

References:

- 1. Bolte AL, Meurer J, Kaleta EF. Avian host spectrum of avipoxviruses. Av Pathol. 1998;28:415-432.
- 2. Jarmin S, Manvell R, Gough RE, Laidlaw SM, Skinner MA. Avipoxvirus phylogenetics: identification of a PCR length polymorphism that discriminates between the two major clades. J Gen Virol. 2006;87:2191-2201.
- 3. Lawson B, Lachish S, Colvile KM, Durrant C, Peck KM, Toms MP, Sheldon BC, Cunningham AA. Emergence of a novel avian pox disease in British tit species. PLOS ONE. 2012;7(11): e40176. Available from doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040176
- 4. Parker PG, Buckles EL, Farrington H, Petren K, Whiteman NK, Ricklefs RE, Bollmer JL, Jiménez-

AVIAN POXVIRUS

- Uzcátegui G. 110 years of Avipoxvirus in the Galapagos Islands. PLOS ONE. 2011; 6: e15989.
- 5. Ritchie BW. Poxviridae. In: Avian Viruses: Function and Control. Lake Worth (FL): Wingers Publishing, Inc; 1995. p. 285-311.
- 6. Thiel T, Whiteman NK, Tirape A, Maquero MI, Cedeno V, Walsh T, Jimenez G, Parker PG. Characterization of canarypox-like viruses infecting endemic birds in the Galapagos Islands. J Wildl Dis. 2005;41:342-353.
- 7. van Riper C, Forrester DJ. Avian Pox. In: Thomas NJ, Hunter DB, Atkinson CT (eds.), Infectious Diseases of Wild Birds. Ames (IA): Blackwell Publishing. 2007. p. 131-176.

BABESIOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
group (s)		Signs			and Control	
affected						
Ubiquitous	Numerous	Severe hemolytic	May be severe,	Imidicarb,	Tick control	Babesia
in wildlife	species of	anemia,	with acute	tick control	is primary	microti,
wherever	Ixodid ticks;	hemoglobinemia,	clinical		means of	carried by
there are tick	some evidence	hemoglobinuria, fever,	presentation		preventing	wild
infestations.	for direct	possible neurologic	and death.		Babesia	rodents, has
Variety of	(blood-blood	signs, anorexia, slight	Clinical disease		infection;	caused
mammal	contact)	jaundice, or	often less		host	human
species,	transmission of	subclinical.	severe in free-		immunity	infection
birds,	Entopolypoides	Majority of infections	ranging		through	
humans	in primate	in wildlife are	animals than		exposure.	
	colonies.	subclinical.	domestic			
			animals.			

Fact Sheet compiled by: Tiffany M. Wolf

Sheet completed on: December 16, 2012, updated February 27, 2013, updated January 9, 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Arno Wünschmann, Ulrike Munderloh, Sam Telford

Susceptible animal groups: Most mammal orders, not in marine mammals (Cetacea, Pinniped), several avian species, humans.

Causative organism:

Babesia bovis, bigemina, and odocoilei (ungulates); B. caballi and B. equi (horses, renamed Theileria equi); B. canis, gibsoni, annae (canids); B. lotori (raccoons); B. mephitis (striped skunk); B. microti (rodents); currently there are 14 distinct avian Babesia species. Babesia sp. found outside of North America may be encountered in the zoological setting in animals that are directly imported from other countries. Additionally, Entopolyploides macaci, which is closely related to B. microti, is often identified in colonies of research primates, such as rhesus macaques, African monkeys, and baboons, and will infect other species of primates.

Zoonotic potential:

Illness caused by *B. microti* in humans is typically mild or inapparent, but *B. microti* does infect and can cause significant illness in immune-intact persons, though illness tends to be more severe in immune-compromised persons. Other species such as *B. divergens*-like MO-1 and *B. duncani* are known to cause disease mainly in immunocompromised people. More severe, and often fatal, babesiosis occurs in splenectomized people.

Distribution:

Typically follows that of the tick vector: *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* are transmitted by *Rhipicephalus microplus* and *R. annulatus* respectively and found in Mexico and occasionally southern Texas and California. *B. odocoilei* is transmitted by *Ixodes scapularis* and *I. pacificus* which are found in eastern half of U.S. and Canada (*I. scapularis*) and Pacific coast of U.S. and Canada (*I. pacificus*). *B. caballi* and *B. equi* was eradicated from the U.S. and is absent in Canada. *B. canis* and *B. gibsoni* are transmitted by *R. sanguineus* and found throughout most of the U.S. and southeastern Canada. *B. lotori*'s tick vector is unknown, but found in eastern U.S., Texas and California. *B. annae* has been reported from raccoons and foxes in Massachusetts. *B. mephitis* has been reported in skunks in Maryland. *B. microti* is transmitted by *I. scapularis* and found in northeastern and upper Midwest U.S. The geographic distribution of avian *Babesia* species is not fully understood.

BABESIOSIS

Incubation period:

B. bovis and *B. bigemina* – incubation is generally 2-3 weeks post-tick infestation, and from 5 days to 3 weeks post-blood inoculation, depending on dose of inoculum. Ticks must feed for 2-3 days for successful transmission of *B. canis*. Incubation period for humans is reported as 1-6 week from beginning of tick feeding. Chronic infections may recrudesce if an animal is stressed or becomes immunocompromised for any reason.

Clinical symptoms:

Nonspecific clinical signs include fever, anorexia, depression and lethargy, lymphadenopathy. Erythrocyte destruction by the parasite and host immune response results in mild to severe hemolytic anemia, icterus, hemoglobinemia, hemoglobinuria, splenomegaly. In rare cases where *Babesia*-infected erythrocytes obstruct brain capillaries, neurologic signs may be noted.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

Pathologic findings may include icterus, generalized lymph node enlargement, hepatomegaly and splenomegaly (due to red pulp hyperplasia), abomasal mucosal ulcerations, hemorrhage into the intestinal tract, and dark red kidneys (hemoglobinuric nephrosis). Edema and hemorrhage of tissues such as the cardiac muscle, intestinal serosa, and lymph nodes may be observed, as well as fluid in the body cavities and pericardial sac. The bladder is frequently distended with dark red urine. For fulminating ruminant infections, Giemsa-stained brain crush smears are helpful to detect parasitized erythrocytes in brain capillaries. Also, the spleen often contains large numbers of parasitized cells, which may be appreciated on impression smears taken from cross sections of the spleen.

Diagnosis:

Microscopic visualization of piroplasms within erythrocytes in Giemsa, Wright's or Diff-Quick®-stained thin or thick whole blood smears. Piroplasms become more difficult to find on blood smears after the acute phase of infection passes. Serologic tests (cELISA, IFA, CFT), nucleic acid probes and PCR are also available. Gross splenomegaly is a common finding, particularly in naïve or unnatural hosts. Impression smears of spleen may be made for the identification of parasitized cells.

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Whole blood (EDTA) for smears and PCR, serum for serological testing.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Several veterinary diagnostic laboratories offer serologic and PCR testing for *B. bovis*, *B. bigemina*, *B. caballi*, *B. equi*, *B. canis*, and *B. gibsoni*. Research labs with Babesia expertise are good options to work up samples.

Treatment:

Treatment is most successful in the early phase of the disease. Chemotherapy may not completely eliminate infection and may be unsuccessful in the later stages of the disease. Imidocarb dipropionate (1mg/kg IM), diminazene aceturate (3mg/kg IM), phenamidine diisethionate (8-13 mg/kg), and amicarbalide diisethionate (10 mg/kg IM), have been used to treat babesiosis in artiodactylids. Similarly, imidocarb, diminazene, and phenamidine have also been utilized to treat *B. canis* and *B. gibsoni*. Primaquine phosphate is preferred treatment in felids and birds. Quinine and clindamycin, or atovaquone and azithromycin are used to treat zoonotic babesiosis and might be tried for nonhuman primate infections. In addition to specific therapy, supportive care with fluids, blood transfusions, iron, and antibiotics may be important as well. Supportive therapy may be contraindicated in severely anemic animals that are easily stressed with handling.

Prevention and control:

Free-ranging animals sharing zoo habitats are often already infected with Babesia as well as vector ticks. The primary means of controlling outbreaks is through control of the tick vector. Elimination or reduction of tick

BABESIOSIS

infestation may be accomplished via application of acaricides, prophylactic use of chemotherapeutics, range burning, prolonged pasture rest, and repellents. Additionally, care should be taken to prevent accidental transmission through the transfer of infected blood between animals via routine surgical or vaccination procedures. Vaccines of noninfectious material have been developed, and although they do not prevent infection, they do ameliorate the severity of disease. Additionally, differences in strain antigenicity limit cross-protection by the vaccine.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities:

Disinfectants are generally not effective in preventing the spread of babesiosis. However, standard measures should be taken to prevent the transfer of infected blood between animals. *R. sanguineus*, the vector for canine babesiosis, is typically found indoors in kennels and other housing situations; such facilities should be treated with appropriate acaricides.

Notification:

Babesiosis caused by *B. bovis*, *B. bigemina*, *B. equi* and *B. caballi* are reportable diseases and state and federal authorities must be notified immediately of infection. Public health officials may need to be notified if zoonotic infection has occurred or is suspected.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan:

Equine piroplasmosis is considered a foreign animal disease in the U.S., therefore any equids imported must be serologically screened by the National Veterinary Services Laboratory using the competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (cELISA) prior to importation. Current information regarding the USDA's requirements for disease surveillance can be found at https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/monitoring-and-surveillance.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal:

Animals that have been treated for and survive infection should be considered chronic carriers. The most important means of preventing transmission is through vector control. A premunition approach may be an alternative strategy for introducing naïve animals into endemic areas for conservation purposes.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak:

Disease-free status may not be realistic, particularly where wildlife is involved in the maintenance of endemnicity.

Experts who may be consulted:

Sam Telford III, Department of Infectious Diseases and Global Health, Tufts Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine

References:

- 1. Ayoob AL, Prittie J, Hackner SG. Feline babesiosis. J Vet Emerg Crit Care 2012;20(1): 90-97.
- 2. Babesiosis [Internet]. Center for Disease Control; [cited 2018 May 21] Available from http://www.cdc.gov/babesiosis/
- 3. Barriga OO. A review on vaccination against protozoa and arthropods of veterinary importance. Vet Parasitol. 1994;55(1-2), 29-55.
- 4. Bovine Babesiosis [Internet]. The Center for Food Security and Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University; [cited 2018 May 21] Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/bovine_babesiosis.pdf
- 5. Chalmers WSK. Overview of new vaccines and technologies. Vet Microbiol. 2006;117(1):25-31.
- 6. Cogswell FB. Malaria and piroplasms of non-human primates. In: Bowman, D.D. (ed). Companion and Exotic Animal Parasitology. International Veterinary Information Service, www.ivis.org, 2000.

BABESIOSIS

- Document No. A0304.0600.
- 7. Equine Piroplasmosis [Internet]. The Center for Food Security and Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University; [cited 2018 May 21] Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/equine_piroplasmosis.pdf
- 8. Kocan AA, Waldrup KA. Piroplasms (Theileria spp., Cytauxzoon spp., and Babesia spp.) In: Samuel WM, Pybus MJ, and Kocan AA (eds.). Parasitic Disease of Wild Mammals. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 524-536.
- 9. Krause PJ. Babesiosis. Med Clin North Am. 2002;86(2):361-373.
- 10. Lopez M, Figueroa JV, Ramos JA, Mosqueda JJ, Rojas E, Vega CA, Alvarez JA. Infection and seroconversion of susceptible animals introduced into a babesiosis endemic area. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2008;1149(131):131-135.
- 11. McEnroe WD. Human babesiosis. Science. 1977;195(4277):506-507.
- 12. Nijhof AM, Penzhorn BL, Lynen G, Mollel JO, Morkel P, Bekker CPJ, Jongejan F. *Babesia bicornis* sp. nov. and *Theileria bicornis* sp. nov.: tick-borne parasites associated with mortality in the black rhinoceros (*Diceros bicornis*). J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41(5):2249-2254.
- 13. Taboada J. Babesiosis. In: Greene CE (ed.). Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat. Philadelphia (PA):W.B. Saunders Company; 1998. p. 473-480.
- 14. Telford SR, Gorentflot A, Brasseur P, Spielman A. Babesial infections of humans and wildlife. In: Kreier JP, Baker JR. (eds.). Parasitic Protozoa, Vol 5, 2nd edition. New York (NY): Academic Press; 1993. p 1-47
- 15. Yabsley M, Greiner E, Tseng F, Garner M, Nordhausen R, Ziccardi MH, Borjesson DL, Zabolotzky S. Description of novel babesia species and associated lesions from common murres (*Uria aalge*) from California. J Parasitol. 2009;95(5):1183.
- 16. Zaugg JL. Babesiosis. *In*: Smith BP. (ed.). Large Animal Internal Medicine, 4th ed. St. Louis (MO): Mosby Elsevier, 2009. p. 1157-60.

BALANTIDIUM COLI

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected					Control	
Primates, especially captive great apes, and humans	Contact with infected suids or primate, or water contaminated by same; environmental transmission	Asymptomatic Mild cases present recurrent watery and mucoid diarrhea, abdominal pain. Acute severe typhlitis/ ulcerative colitis with anorexia, dysentery, lethargy, vomiting, diarrhea.	Ranges from asymptomatic carriers to severe diarrhea in susceptible species. Invasive form of the disease is considered fatal, and occasionally can be extraintestinal.	Paromomycin, metronidazole, tetracyclines, iodoquinol; supportive care	Avoid contact with infected suids or carriers, or water/food contaminated with their feces. Environmental and personal hygiene measures.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Kathryn C. Gamble

Sheet completed on: 26 September 2013; updated 16 February 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kay Backues; Tony Goldberg

Susceptible animal groups: The parasite most commonly infects suids and great apes, including humans. In great apes, free-ranging populations appeared to present exceptionally low to no prevalence infection, or were unaffected by these limited *B. coli* burdens while greater than 50% of captive groups and those in closer proximity to humans were infected. *B. coli* has been reported in other non-human primates (e.g., macaques and lemurs); in cercopithecine monkeys, the parasite is observed in both captive and free-ranging populations. Additionally, the parasite is reported in artiodactylids (cows, camels), and, rarely, in laboratory rodents (e.g., guinea pig and rat) and dogs. Since the last update, it also has been reported in free-ranging South American sea lions (*Otaria flavescens*) and fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*) but its significance is not yet clear in these newly identified host taxa.

Causative organism: *Balantidium coli*; a cosmopolitan, holotrichous ciliated protozoan and largest ciliate to infect humans. Other *Balantidium* species can be observed as commensals in tortoises.

Zoonotic potential: Yes; both trophozoites and cysts can initiate infection. Occupational exposure to suids, poor hygiene, or foreign travel have been associated with human infections, although disease as a result of infect in humans is not considered common.

Distribution: Worldwide but higher prevalence in tropical and subtropical regions.

Incubation period: 4-5 days

Clinical signs: Clinical signs are consistent with gastrointestinal irritation and typically are watery mucoid diarrhea. Following ingestion of the cysts, excystation occurs in the small intestine, then the trophozoites - excysted or ingested - colonize the large intestine and cecum. If invasion occurs through the mucosa, extraintestinal disease has been reported, including to the liver, lung, and bone.

Suids are mostly asymptomatic unless the parasite invades the mucosa, and this only occurs when prior mucosal damage enables its entry. Similarly, cercopithecine monkeys are rarely symptomatic. In great apes,

BALANTIDIUM COLI

chimpanzees are typically asymptomatic or present mild clinical signs of diarrhea, which may be simply unformed feces. Asymptomatic chimpanzees may recrudesce with diarrhea when stressed by other illnesses. *B. coli* may compete with commensal protozoa, such that perturbation of the normal gastrointestinal microflora may facilitate infection or recrudescence. In gorillas, ulcerative colitis; severe diarrhea; and potential abdominal abscessation or colonic fistulation have been noted.

Postmortem, gross, or histologic findings: Ulcerative colitis with large numbers of characteristic *B. coli* organisms invading the colonic mucosa.

Diagnosis: Direct examination of feces by saline smear allows diagnosis. While flotation can be utilized, cysts are the only form detected routinely by this method, and sedimentation may assist in concentration of the organism. Iodine staining may assist in identification of the organism. Detection of trophozoites $(30-150 \text{ x} 25-120 \mu\text{m})$ in diarrhea is most common; this stage is a distinctive ovoid with an elongated end, peripheral short cilia, and containing a large cytostome. This stage also has a distinctive spiraling motility with uniform ciliate beating. The infective form (cyst $45-65 \mu\text{m}$) is usually found in formed feces, is round, and contains the ciliated organism within a transparent double wall. Both forms have a large, kidney-shaped nucleus. At necropsy, scrapings of the colonic and cecal mucosa can be performed for evaluation and staining with H&E.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Feces.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any diagnostic laboratory with routine parasitologic capabilities should be able to diagnose this infection. The required diagnostic methods, such as fecal flotation, are readily available in-house in any laboratory performing routine fecal exams.

Treatment: Antiprotozoals (e.g., tetracyclines, iodoquinol, metronidazole, and paromomycin) are recommended in clinical cases. Symptomatic treatment of clinical disease will be necessary in severe cases. Starch-rich diets support of the organism's replication and may account for the increased susceptibility of captive as opposed to free-ranging great apes.

Prevention and control: Carrier animals should be managed separately from susceptible animals. For example, co-housing of cercopithecine monkeys with great apes should be considered cautiously. Excellent sanitation should be practiced between carrier animal enclosures and susceptible animals as this parasite has a direct life cycle and requires no intermediate host. That said, this approach should include pest control as some roach species have been demonstrated to carry the protozoan within their gastrointestinal tract. Treatment of carrier animals to reduce environmental contamination should be considered.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: The *B. coli* trophozoite cannot survive for in a dry environment, and generally is expected not to survive beyond 48 hours. Regular removal of feces assists with reduction of environmental contamination. Cysts can survive outside body for two weeks or more at ambient temperatures and are highly resistant to disinfection. Bleach (sodium hypochlorite) at routine disinfection concentrations is not sufficient to destroy the organism.

Notification: None.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Treatment of carrier animals to reduce their burden prior to introductions to naïve animals should be considered. Introduction stressors might produce clinical disease in carrier animals. Testing of relevant species for this organism during quarantine periods is advisable.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: As a carrier state exists, disease-free state is difficult to attain. Effective management of carriers and treatment of ill individuals is more realistic.

BALANTIDIUM COLI

Experts who may be consulted:

Veterinary Advisors for Great Ape Species Survival Plans

Chimpanzee:

Kathryn C. Gamble DVM, MS, Dipl. ACZM, Dip. ECZM (ZHM)

Lincoln Park Zoo 2001 N. Clark St. Chicago, IL 60614 312-742-7722

Fax: 312-742-7823 kgamble@lpzoo.org

Gorilla:

Pam Dennis, DVM, PhD Cleveland Metroparks Zoo

4200 Wildlife Way Cleveland, Ohio 44109 Phone: 216 635-2520 Fax: 216 635-3318

pmd@clevelandmetroparks.com

J

Thomas P. Meehan, DVM Brookfield Zoo

3300 Golf Road

Brookfield, Illinois 60513 Phone: 708 688-8501 Fax: 708 688-7501 tom.meehan@CZS.org Kay Backues DVM, Dipl. ACZM

Tulsa Zoo

5701 East 36th Street N Tulsa, OK 74115 (918) 669-6243 Fax: (918) 669-6888

Fax: (918) 669-6888 kbackues@tulsazoo.org

Hayley Murphy, DVM

Zoo Atlanta

800 Cherokee Ave, SE Atlanta, Georgia 30315 Phone: 404-624-5801 Fax: 404-624-5959

hmurphy@zooatlanta.org

References:

- 1. Acha PN, Szyfres B. Balantidiasis. In: Zoonoses and communicable diseases common to man and animals, Volume 3: Parasitoses. Washington (DC): Pan American Health Organization; 2003. p. 20-22.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Parasites Balantidiasis (also known as Balantidium coli Infection): c2019 [cited 2018 February 8]. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/parasites/balantidium/
- 3. Government of Canada [Internet]. Pathogen Safety Data Sheets: Infectious Substances Balantidium coli: c2014 [cited 2019 September 21]. Available from https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/laboratory-biosafety-biosecurity/pathogen-safety-data-sheets-risk-assessment/balantidium-coli-pathogen-safety-data-sheet.html
- 4. Hamu H, Debalke S, Zemene E, Birlie B, Mekonnen Z, Yewhalaw D. Isolation of intestinal parasites of public health importance from cockroaches (*Blatella germanica*) in Jimma Town, Southwestern Ethiopia. J Parasit Res [Internet]. 2014. [cited 2018 February 12]. Available from http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/186240
- 5. Hassell JM, Blake DP, Cranfield MR, Ramer J, Hogan JN, Noheli JB, Waters M, Hermosilla C. Occurrence and molecular analysis of B. coli in mountain gorilla (*Gorilla beringei beringei*) in the Volcanoes National Park, Rwanda. J Wildl Dis. 2013;49:1063-1065.

BALANTIDIUM COLI

- 6. Labes EM, Hegglin D, Grimm F, Nurcahyo W, Harrison ME, Bastian ML, Deplazes P. Intestinal parasites of endangered orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus*) in Central and East Kalimantan, Borneo, Indonesia. Parasit. 2010;137(1):123-35.
- 7. Mbaya AW, Udendeye UJ. Gastrointestinal parasites of captive and free-roaming primates at the Afi Mountain Primate Conservation Area in Calabar, Nigeria and their zoonotic implications. Pak J Biol Sci. 2011;14:709-714.
- 8. McLennan MR, Hasegawa H, Bardi M, Huffman MA. Gastrointestinal parasite infections and self-medication in wild chimpanzee surviving In degraded forest fragments within an agricultural landscape mosaic in Uganda. PLoS ONE [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 February 12];12(7). Available from https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180431
- 9. Neafie RC, Andersen EM, Klassen-Fischer MK. Balantidiasis. *In:* Meyers, WM, Firpo A, Wear DJ (eds.). Balantidiosis. Topics on the Pathology of Protozoan and Invasive Arthropod Diseases. Washington (DC): Armed Forces Institute of Pathology [Internet]; 2011 [cited 2018 February 12]. Available from http://www.dtic.mil/docs/citations/ADA547528.
- 10. Neva FA, Brown HW. Basic Clinical Parasitology, 6th ed. Norwalk (CT): Appleton & Lange; 1994. 650p.
- 11. Pomajbíková K, Petrželková KJ, Profousová I, Petrášová J,Modrý D. Discrepancies in the occurrence of *Balantidium coli* between captive and African great apes. J Parasitol. 2010; 96:1139–1144.
- 12. Ryan SJ, Brashaes JS, Walsh C, Milbers K, Kilroy C, Chapman CA. A survey of gastrointestinal parasites of olive baboons (*Papio anubis*) in human settlement areas of Mole National Park, Ghana. J Parasitol. 2012; 98:885-888.
- 13. Schuster FL, Ramirez-Avila L. Current world status of *Balantidium coli*. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2008;21:626-638.
- 14. Swenson B. Great ape neonatology. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine: Current Therapy, Volume 4; Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders Company; 1999. p. 386.
- 15. Zanani SA, Gazzonis AL, Epis S, Manfredi MT. Study of the gastrointestinal parasitic fauna of captive non-human primates (*Macaca fascicularis*) Parasitol Res. 2016;115:307-312.

BAYLISASCARIASIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Avian, mammals, including humans	Ingestion of embryonated eggs or infected carrier hosts	Depression, lethargy, agitation, tremors, head or body tilt, circling, ataxia, lateral recumbency, coma	Asymptomatic to fatal	Early aggressive treatment with albendazole and high dose corticosteroids have shown to be effective, ocular larva migrans can be killed using laser treatment	Personal/ environmental hygiene, wear gloves and additional PPE when working with potentially infected animals/ equipment	Yes
TE 4 CIL 4		'I I DI' I		laser treatment	equipment	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Emily L. Blizzard

Sheet completed on: 27 May 2011; updated 1 October 2012; updated 01 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Laurie A. Baeten, Kerri Pedersen, Michael J. Yabsley

Susceptible animal groups: avian; mammal, including human

Causative organism: Recognized species of Baylisascaris

Parasite	Primary Definitive Host(s)
B. ailuri	Red Panda
B. columnaris	Skunks
B. devosi	Martens, fishers, and wolverines
B. laevis	Groundhogs, marmots, ground squirrels, rodents
B. melis	Badgers
B. potosis	Kinkajous, possibly other procyonids (e.g., olingo)
B. procyonis	Raccoons and other procyonids (e.g., kinkajou)
B. schroederi	Giant pandas
B. transfuga	Bears
B. tasmaniensis	Tasmanian devils, quolls, native "cats"
B. venezuelensis	South American spectacled bear

Zoonotic potential: Yes, for *B. procyonis*. Other species unknown.

Distribution: Baylisascaris procyonis, the most studied species, is a common ascarid parasite of raccoons (*Proycon lotor*) and has a widespread distribution throughout the United States, Canada, and Costa Rica and has been introduced to Japan, China, and several countries in Europe. In the US, the highest prevalence rates occur in the Midwestern, Northeastern, and Western states. In the Southeastern US, infections are most common in mountainous regions (Tennessee, Kentucky, and North Carolina) although isolated areas of high prevalence have been detected in regions of Texas, Georgia, Florida and North Carolina. In Canada, B. procyonis is found in British Columbia, Nova Scotia, Ontario, Prince Edward Island, and Quebec. Accurate distribution maps are unavailable for the majority of Baylisascaris species since they are relatively rarely studied. Although humans are considered accidental hosts for B. procyonis, over 50 cases of baylisascariasis have been documented. Documented cases resulted in severe permanent neurologic and/or ocular deficits or death. Moreover, recent studies utilizing improved serological detection assays for B. procyonis suggest that subclinical infections are common in areas of parasite endemicity. Within the US and Canada, B. columnaris, B. melis, and B. transfuga may pose a zoonotic risk to humans and are probably found throughout the range of their natural hosts.

Incubation period: Once *Baylisascaris spp.* eggs are shed by a definitive host into the environment, eggs develop into an infective-stage larva within 10-14 days depending upon environmental conditions. Following ingestion by a susceptible host, larvae hatch from the egg and can migrate through numerous tissues

BAYLISASCARIASIS

(depending on the *Baylisascaris spp.* involved) including the central nervous system (CNS), as early as 3 days post infection. In susceptible species, clinical disease or death can be observed 9-10 days post-infection. In more resistant host species (or if low numbers of larvae are ingested), CNS symptoms may not appear until 2-4 + weeks post-infection.

Clinical signs: Clinical signs in paratenic hosts, including humans, vary based on number of larvae ingested, the tissues through which larvae migrate, and host. Pathogenicity varies among *Baylisascaris* species. *Baylisascaris procyonis* and *B. melis* are the most pathogenic, followed by *B. columaris*, but little is known about other *Baylisascaris* species. Clinical signs and symptoms associated with baylisascariasis are often nonspecific but may include, although not limited to, depression, lethargy, tremors, partial paralysis, head or body tilts, ataxia, circling, cognitive deficits, easy agitation/irritability, and death.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Many infected animals will have no gross lesions. However, inflammation and traumatic damage may be observed through the liver, lungs, and other organs of animals infected with large numbers of larvae. In these hosts, granulomas may be grossly visible in many tissues such as the liver, lungs, heart, diaphragm, pancreas, spleen, kidneys, mesentery, mesenteric lymph nodes, intestinal wall, skeletal muscles, brain, and eyes. Histologically, extensive inflammatory tracts and larvae may be observed.

Diagnosis: Humans: Suspect *Baylisascaris* infections may be diagnosed using serologic methods such as ELISA (recombinant antigen-based) and Western blotting. Ocular examinations may identify the presence of non-species specific larval nematode ocular migrans. Neural larva migrans may be identified using neuroimaging and encephalography although additional testing will be needed to identify the species involved.

Animals: Postmortem necropsies of suspected animals are the most conclusive way to diagnose *Baylisascaris* infections. In suspected intermediate hosts, clinical signs, history of exposure, serology, post mortem necropsies, PCR, and recovery and/or identification of larvae can be used to diagnose *Baylisascarisis*. To determine the species of *Baylisascaris* present, PCR and sequence analysis should be performed. Fecal floats or necropsy and examination of small intestine can be used to diagnose infection in definitive hosts.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Adult nematode specimens may be examined microscopically and identified morphologically although adult males are needed to determine species. Genetic identification may be needed for larva migrans found in intermediate hosts and/or immature nematodes in definitive hosts.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Veterinary clinics can run routine fecal exams to diagnose infection in definitive hosts. In intermediate hosts, veterinary diagnostic laboratories capable of PCR analysis and/or histology should be able to perform diagnostic testing on suspected animal cases. Human cases should be referred to the Health Department or the CDC for testing.

Treatment: Aggressive treatment with albendazole (25-50 mg/kg per day orally for 10-20 days) combined with high doses of corticosteroids is recommended in humans. Treatment appears to be successful when administered quickly following exposure. If albendozole is not available, mebendazole or ivermectin may be used. Ocular larva migrans can be killed using lasers followed by a regime of anti-inflammatory drugs and steroids to aid in the possible recovery of any remaining visual acuity. Intestinal infections in various definitive hosts such as raccoons, other procyonids, skunks, domestic dogs, and bears can be successfully treated with common antihelmintics such as pyrantel pamoate (20 mg/kg), ivermectin (1 mg/kg), moxidectin (1 mg/kg), albendazole (50 mg/kg x 3 days), fenbendazole (50 mg/kg x 3 days), and flubendazole (22mg/kg x 3 days). Animals should be monitored regularly after treatment to ensure complete clearance of worms.

Prevention and control: Continued education of the public, human health, wildlife, and veterinary professionals should be made a priority. Recent research using anthelmintic baits combined with the removal of latrine sites has shown to decrease prevalence rates among intermediate hosts. Further research is needed to determine the exact distribution, potential for spread, transmission dynamics, and impacts on wildlife.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Areas should be cleaned immediately to avoid accidental ingestion of eggs by children or pets. Personnel should wear appropriate personal protective equipment and avoid contaminating hands and clothes with potentially contaminated materials. Eggs are not immediately

BAYLISASCARIASIS

infectious and must develop in the environment for a period of time (10-14 days up to several months, depending on environmental conditions) before becoming infective. Frequent sanitation will limit the buildup of eggs on these surfaces. However, eggs will continue to accumulate in the surrounding environment and once the eggs embryonate, they can remain viable for several years. Currently few methods are available for decontaminating areas infested with *B. procyonis* eggs. Highly concentrated caustic chemicals such as a 50/50 mixture of xylene and absolute alcohol, boiling lye, or boiling Lysol may be used to decontaminate potentially infected areas. The most effective way of decontaminating an area is flaming. Although burning is the most effective way to kill eggs, it is not feasible for flammable areas such as roofs, decks, etc. In the laboratory, exposing infectious eggs to water heated to 62°C for 1 minute has been shown to inactivate larvae.

Notification: *Baylisascariasis* in humans is reportable in some states; check your local requirements. Infection in animals is not reportable, except in Washington State where infections in animals, other than raccoons, is reportable.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Animals displaying neurologic symptoms are not infective to other intermediate hosts. However, impaired intermediate hosts are likely to become prey for various carnivore or omnivore species. If ingested by an appropriate definitive host, the parasite cycle within a system could be perpetuated.

Definitive hosts known to harbor infections should be quarantined, placed on an anthelmintic regime, and monitored regularly for infection. Before placing susceptible animals in cages that had contact with infected animals, the cages should be decontaminated.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: None

Experts who may be consulted:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention – Division of Parasitic Diseases

Local Health Departments

USDA APHIS Wildlife Services - State Agencies

References

- 1. Baylisascariasis. http://cdc.gov/parasites/baylisascaris/index.html. Accessed 2017 December 23.
- 2. Blizzard EL, Davis CD, Henke S, Long DB, CHall CA, Yabsley MJ. Distribution, prevalence, and genetic characterization of *Baylisascaris procyonis* in select areas of Georgia. J Parasitol. 2010;96:1128-1133.
- 3. Kazacos KR. *Baylisascaris procyonis* and related species. *In*: Samuel WM, Pybus MJ, Kocan AA, (eds.). Parasitic Diseases of Wild Mammals. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 301-341.
- 4. MurrayW. Human infections caused by the raccoon roundworm, *Baylisascaris procyonis*. Clin. Microbiol. Newsletter 2002:24:1.
- 5. Page K., Beasley JC, Olson ZH, Smyser TJ, Downey M, Kellner KF, McCord SE, Egan II TS, Rhodes Jr OE. Reducing *Baylisascaris procyonis* roundworm larvae in raccoon latrines. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17:90-93.
- 6. Parzansky MC. *Baylisascaris* Spp. In Non-raccoon Procyonid Hosts and Assessment of Potential Risk of Human Exposure. Purdue University, MS Thesis. 2015.
- 7. Prez Mata A, Perez HG, and Para GJ. Morphological and molecular description of *Baylisascaris venezuelensis*, n. sp., from a natural infection in the South American spectacled bear *Tremarctos ornatus* (Cuiver, 1825) in Venezuela. Neotrop Helminthol. 2016;10:85-103.
- 8. Sapp SGH, Gupta P, Martin MK, Murray MH, Niedringhaus KD, Pfaff MA, Yabsley MJ. Beyond the raccoon roundworm: The natural history of non-raccoon *Baylisascaris* species in the New World Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl. 2017;6:85-99.
- 9. Sapp SGH, Rascoe LN, Wilkins PP, Handali S, Gray EB, Eberhard ML, Woodhall DM, Montgomery SP, Bailey KL, Lankau EW, Yabsley MJ. *Baylisascaris procyonis* Roundworm Seroprevalence among Wildlife Rehabilitators, United States and Canada, 2012-2016. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017;22:2128-2131.

BAYLISASCARIASIS

- 10. Tokiwa T, Nakamura S, Taira K, Une Y. *Baylisascaris potosis* n. sp., a new ascarid nematode isolated from captive kinkajou, *Potos flavus*, from the Cooperative Republic of Guyana. Parasitol Int. 2014;63:591-596.
- 11. Wise ME, Sorvillo FJ, Shafir SC, Ash LR, Berlin OG. Severe and fatal central nervous system disease in humans caused by *Baylisascaris procyonis*, the common roundworm of raccoons: a review of current literature. Microbes and Infect. 2005;7:317-323.

BERTIELLOSIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Nonhuman primates, Dermopterans, Rodents, Australian marsupials, Humans	Via accidental ingestion of intermediate host (oribatid mites) containing cysticercoids.	Typically none. In humans, it has caused intermittent diarrhea, abdominal pain, anorexia, and weight loss.	Natural infection does not pose a serious health hazard for wild animals.	Praziquantel	Screen and treat captive animals, avoid ingestion of soil in endemic areas.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sara Childs-Sanford

Sheet completed on: 15 November 2012 **Fact Sheet Reviewed by**: Lily Parkinson

Susceptible animal groups: Old World primates (including Papio ursinus, Papio cynocephalus, Cercopithecus mona mona, Cercopithecus ascanius, Cercopithecus pygerythrus, Cercopithecus schmidti, Piliocolobus tephrosceles, Colobus guereza, Colobus angolensis, Pan troglodytes verus, Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii, Macaca fascicularis, Pongo pygmaeus, Pongo abelii), New World primates (including Callicebus personatus nigrifons, Callicebus oenanthe, Cebus paella fatuellus, Cebus capucinus, Callithrix saguinus, Alouatta caraya, Alouatta guariba clamitans), Dermopterans (including Cynocephalus volans, Cynocephalus variegates), rodents (including Rattus spp., Uromys spp.), Australian marsupials (including Trichosurus vulpecula), humans

 $\textbf{Causative organism:} \ \textit{Bertiella} \ \text{spp.} \ (\text{cestode, family Anoplocephalidae}).$

In nonhuman primates:

Old World primates (Bertiella studeri, B. satyri)

New World primates (*B. mucronata*)

Lemurs (B. lemuriformis)

Dermopterans (B. elongata, B. plastica, B. rauschi, B. musasabi)

Rodents (*B. anapolitica*)

Australian marsupials (B. trichosuri)

Humans (B. studeri, B. mucronata)

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Human infection (via accidental ingestion of the intermediate host), can occur in those having close contact with the environment of definitive hosts (especially primates) and is most common in children.

Distribution: Africa, Asia, South America, Australia/New Zealand

Incubation period: Unknown.

Clinical signs: Typically none. Humans have been reported to experience intermittent diarrhea, abdominal pain, anorexia, and weight loss. Increased leaf swallowing behavior has been reported in wild chimpanzees.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: The adult tapeworm may be found in the small intestine.

Diagnosis: Identification of eggs or gravid proglottids in feces, identification of adult worm at necropsy. Free eggs from gravid segments or feces are 40-46μm long and 36-40μm wide in *B. mucronata* and 48-60μm long and 40-60μm wide in *B. studeri*. Should be considered as a diagnosis when fecals continue to be tapeworm positive after treatment with fenbendazole or albendazole.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Feces

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any veterinary diagnostic laboratory with a parasitologist on staff.

Treatment: Praziquantel

BERTIELLOSIS

Prevention and control:

Wild: Avoid contact with soil in proximity of definitive hosts. Proper hygiene practices and food safety in endemic areas.

Captivity: quarantine, screen all animals for infection and treat accordingly.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Commonly used disinfectants are generally not effective in killing tapeworm eggs or larvae. Recent research has demonstrated that sodium hypochlorite is effective in killing *Taenia* spp. eggs, but its effectiveness against *Bertiella* spp. eggs is currently unknown.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Eliminate infection in positive animals prior to introduction to other animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Repeated negative fecals.

Experts who may be consulted: While no specific researchers are currently reporting expertise in this parasite, parasitology staffs at veterinary colleges would be a good option; it would be prudent to consider Australian veterinary colleges due to parasite location.

References:

- 1. Beveridge I. Species of *Bertiella* (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) in dermopterans and squirrels from Southeast Asia. Sys Parasit. 1989;14(1):1-15.
- 2. Conder GA, Roehm PA, Duprey DA, Johnson SS, Pagano PJ. Treatment of bertiellosis in *Macaca fascicularis* with praziquantel. J Helminthol Soc Wash. 1991;58:128.
- 3. Denegri G, Bernadina W, Perez-Serrano J, Rodriguez-Caabiero F. Anoplocephalid cestodes of veterinary and medical significance: a review. Folia Parasitol. 1998;45:1-8.
- 4. Foitova I, Masova S, Tenora F, Koubkova B, Hodova I, Vyskocilova M, Barus V, Nurcahyo W. Rediscription and resurrection of *Bertiella satyri* (Cestoda, Anoplocephalidae) parasitizing the orangutan (*Pongo abelii*) in Indonesia. Parasitol Res. 2011;109(3): 689-97.
- 5. de Sousa Junior JC, Goulart JAG, Varnier S, Denegri G, da Silva Filho HH, Hirano ZMB, de Aliva-Pires FD. Bertiellosis in Brazilian non-human primates: natural infection in *Alouatta guariba clamitans* (Cabrera, 1940) (Primates: Atelidae) in Aanta Catarina State, Brazil. Revista de Patologia Tropical 2008;37(1): 48-56.
- 6. Huffman MA, Chapman CA(eds.). Primate Parasite Ecology: The Dynamics and Study of Host-Parasite Relationships. New York (NY): Cambridge University Press; 2009. 548 p.
- 7. Kooriyama T, Hasegawa H, Shimozuru M, Tsubota T, Nishida T, Iwaki T. Parasitology of five primates in Mahale Mountains National Park, Tanzania. Primates 2012;53(4):365-375.
- 8. McLennan MR, Huffman MA. High frequency of leaf swallowing and its relationship to intestinal parasite expulsion in "village" chimpanzees at Bulindi, Uganda. Am J Primatol. 2012;74(7):642-650.
- 9. Rasambainarivo FT, Junge RE, Lewis RJ. Biomedical evaluation of Verreaux's sifaka (*Propithecus verreauxi*) from Kirindy Mitea National Park in Madagascar. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2014;45(2):247-255.
- 10. Sharma S, Menon J, Lal S, Thapa BR. *Bertiella studieri* infection—A rare cause of chronic abdominal pain in a child from north India. J Trop Pediatr. 2018;64(4): 348-351.
- 11. Stankiewicz M, Heath DD, Cowan PE. Internal parasites of possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) from Kawau Island, Chatham Island, and Stewart Island. N Z Vet J. 1997;45(6): 247-50.
- 12. Valadares V, dos Santos HA, da Silva A., Gontes G, Vieira GL, Ferreira AC, da Silva ES. First case of human infection by *Bertiella studeri* in Brazil. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo. 2015;57(5):447-450.
- 13. Wrangham RW, Goodall J. Chimpanzee use of medicinal leaves. In: HeHne, PG, Marquardt LA (eds.). Understanding Chimpanzees. Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press; 1989 p. 22-37.

BLASTOMYCOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected					Control	
Canids,	Inhalation of	Fever, anorexia,	Disease severity	Itraconazole is	There are	Normally not
primates,	airborne	coughing,	may range from	currently treatment	no	zoonotic.
marine	conidia from	dyspnea, ocular	asymptomatic to	of choice in dogs.	standards	Human
mammals,	disturbed	and nasal	fatal fulminant	Amphotericin B is	for	infection is a
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		discharge, loss of	respiratory	rarely used due to	prevention	result of
felids,	endemic soil.	body condition,	failure. Clinical	nephrotoxicity.	or control	exposure to a
horses, bats,		draining,	signs may	Fluconazole is a	due to	shared
ursids,		cutaneous	persist for	lower cost	origin of	environmental
mustelids		lesions,	weeks to	alternative to	the	source.
		lameness, ocular	months before	itraconazole.	organism in	
		disease	disease	Treatment duration	the soil.	
		characterized by	progresses in	in dogs usually 3-6		
		anterior uveitis or	severity.	months.		
		retinal disease.	-			

Fact Sheet compiled by: Tiffany Wolf

Sheet completed on: August 4, 2010; updated December 23, 2012; updated January 18, 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Arno Wünschmann, Joni Scheftel, Robert W. Bradsher, Gene M. Scalarone, Alfred M. Legendre, Janelle Renschler

Susceptible animal groups: Blastomycosis has been reported in canids, primates, felids, equids, marine mammals, ursids, mustelids, and bats.

Causative organism: Blastomyces dermatitidis

Zoonotic potential: Human infection is generally a result of exposure to a shared environmental source, rather than transmission from another mammalian host. Although very rare, there are reports of zoonotic transmission associated with dog bites, cat scratches, animal necropsies, and a kinkajou bite. Care should be taken to avoid accidental inoculation with contaminated objects such as needles, knives, etc. One report of a localized *Blastomyces* infection in a veterinarian from an inadvertent needlestick following aspiration of a draining lesion.

Distribution: Endemic in Mississippi-Ohio river basin and central Atlantic states of the U.S. and northern Ontario and Manitoba, Canada. It is believed to be a soil saprophyte, associated with acidic, sandy soil, often in close proximity to a water source.

Incubation period: Usually 2-6 weeks, but clinical signs may appear as long as several months to years after infection.

Clinical signs: Blastomycosis is typically a primary pulmonary disease as infection often occurs via the inhalation of aerosolized fungal spores from a soil source. Primary cutaneous disease does occur, although rarely. Infections are often disseminated, and clinical signs are associated with distribution of lesions. Fever, anorexia, coughing, dyspnea, loss of body condition and draining, cutaneous lesions are common clinical signs. Bone or joint involvement can result in lameness. Ocular involvement is also relatively common dogs and may be exhibited by anterior uveitis and subretinal effusion which can lead to retinal detachment and blindness.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: With pulmonary involvement, lesions are often distributed throughout the lungs and consist of multifocal to coalescing white-grey granulomas, sometimes with central abscessation. Regional lymph nodes are typically involved and characterized by granulomas, abscesses, or caseous lesions. Similar granulomatous lesions will be seen with disseminated disease in any involved tissues.

BLASTOMYCOSIS

The distribution of disease throughout the body often includes, but is not limited to, the lungs, skin, eyes, bones, joints, lymph nodes, and central nervous system.

Microscopically, organisms appear as spherical thick-walled yeasts of 8-20um in diameter with broad-based budding. Organisms can usually be identified cytologically from lymph node aspirates or impression smears from draining skin lesions. Pyogranulamotous inflammation is frequently observed on cytology or histopathology specimens. Organisms may be infrequent in more chronic infections. Histologically, hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stains may result in poor visualization of fungal elements; therefore, special stains such as Periodic Acid-Schiff (PAS) stain or Gomori's methenamine silver (GMS) stain should be used in addition to H&E.

Diagnosis:

Tracheal wash, bronchoalveolar lavage, impression smears or aspirates of enlarged lymph nodes, skin lesions, or draining exudates are appropriate for cytological examination. Biopsy of granulomatous lesions can be submitted for histopathology. Urine and/or serum can be submitted for antigen concentrations. Serum can be sampled for antibody testing with AGID but this is less sensitive than the urine antigen early in the course of the disease.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Many commercial and state veterinary laboratories can provide cytologic, histopathologic, and serologic diagnostic services. Serum samples for itraconazole concentrations can be sent to The Fungus Testing Lab (University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas) or MiraVista Diagnostics (Indianapolis, Indiana). Mira Vista Diagnostics can also perform the antigen test for Blastomycosis.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Many commercial and state veterinary laboratories can provide cytologic, histopathologic, and serologic diagnostic services. Serum samples for itraconazole concentrations can be sent to The Fungus Testing Lab (University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas) or MiraVista Diagnostics (Indianapolis, Indiana). Mira Vista Diagnostics can also perform the antigen test for Blastomycosis.

Treatment: Itraconazole is currently the treatment of choice for blastomycosis, given its ease of administration (per os) and lower toxicity. Itraconazole blood levels should be measured 14-21 days after beginning therapy, and dosage should be increased if below 3.0 ug/mL. Given the high cost of itraconazole, fluconazole is a lower-cost alternative but generally requires a longer treatment duration. Duration is typically 4 months with itraconazole and 6 months with fluconazole. Antigen level may be used to monitor therapy. Treatment should be continued until antigen levels are below 1 ug/mL. Amphotericin B is nephrotoxic and requires intravenous administration but may be an excellent option for animals presenting with serious disease, or not responding to itraconazole therapy. Absorption of compounded itraconazole is inconsistent and may account for treatment failures. Treatment relapse is not uncommon within 1 year of treatment.

Prevention and control: *Blastomyces dermatitides* originates from the soil, and will grow in shaded, sandy, acidic soil with close proximity to water. Although sterilization of soil is not realistic, restriction of access by animals to areas where other cases are thought to have originated may reduce risk. Alteration of the environment to eliminate the growth conditions of the organism may be beneficial.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Replacing soil or gravel based outdoor housing facilities with concrete floors will reduce the presence of the organism in the housing area. Disinfectants with antifungal spectrum of action may be used on impervious environmental surfaces according to the manufacturer's directions, however, there is no proven method of disinfecting the environment to eliminate *Blastomyces* organisms.

Notification: Human and animal cases may be reportable in certain states. Ask local public health and animal health officials for direction in your area. A national surveillance program does not currently exist in the

BLASTOMYCOSIS

United States.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None currently.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Blastomycosis is generally not considered a contagious disease that is directly transmitted.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Disease-free status can only be achieved after a minimum of 60-90 days to 180 days of therapy accompanied by complete resolution of clinical signs and lesions. Given the relatively common occurrence of relapse, patients should be monitored for return of clinical signs or lesions in the following 12-15 months. Increasing urine or serum antigen levels may indicate a possible relapse.

Experts who may be consulted:

Gene M. Scalarone, Ph.D., Department of Biological Sciences, Idaho State University

References:

- 1. The Fungus Testing Lab, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas, http://pathology.uthscsa.edu/strl/fungus/index.shtml
- 2. Mira Vista Diagnostics, Indianapolis, Indiana, http://www.miravistalabs.com/
- 3. Blastomycosis [Internet]. Center for Disease Control; [cited 2018 May 20]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/fungal/diseases/blastomycosis/index.html
- 4. Baker R, Lumsden JH. Infectious agents. In: Lumsden JH (ed.). Color Atlas of Cytology of the Dog and Cat. St. Louis (MO): Mosby, Inc.; 2000. p. 23-38.
- 5. Harris JR, Blaney DD, Lindsley MD, Zaki SR, Paddock CD, Drew CP, Johnson AJ, Landau D, Vanderbush J, Baker, R. Blastomycosis in man after kinkajou bite. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17;268.
- 6. Legendre AM. Blastomycosis. In: Greene, C.E. (ed.). Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat. St. Louis (MO) WB Saunders; 2011. p. 569-576.
- 7. Krizan P. Blastomycosis in a free ranging lone wolf, Canis lupus, on the north shore of Lake Superior, Ontario. The Canadian Field-Naturalist. 2000;114:491.
- 8. Morris PJ, Legendre AM, Bowersock TL, Brooks DE, Krahwinkel DJ, Shires GMH, Walker MA. Diagnosis and treatment of systemic blastomycosis in a polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*) with itraconazole. J of Zoo Wildl Med. 1989;20:336.
- 9. Ramsey DT. Blastomycosis in a veterinarian. J of the Am Vet Med Assoc. 1994;205(7):968.
- 10. Spector D, Legendre AM, Wheat J, Bemis D, Rohrbach B, Taboada J, Durkin M. Antigen and antibody testing for the diagnosis of blastomycosis in dogs. J Vet Intern Med. 2008;22:839-843.
- 11. Stewart AJ. Fungal infections of the equine respiratory tract. In: Smith BP (ed.). Large Animal Internal Medicine, 4th ed. St. Louis (MO): Mosby Elsevier; 2009. p. 522-533.
- 12. Storms TN, Clyde V, Munson L, Ramsay E. Blastomycosis in nondomestic felids. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2003;34:231.
- 13. Thiel RP, Mech LD, Ruth GR, Archer JR, Kaufman L. Blastomycosis in wild wolves. J Wildl Dis. 1987;23:321.
- 14. Zwick L, Briggs M, Tunev S, Lichtensteiger C, Murnane R. Disseminated blastomycosis in two california sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*). J of Zoo Wildl Med. 2000;31(2):211-4.

BLUETONGUE VIRUS (BTV) EPIZOOTIC HEMORRHAGIC DISEASE VIRUS (EHDV)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Group(s) Affected		Signs				
	Insect vector	Pyrexia, oral	DTV.	Cymptomatic	Insect control	No
BTV: All ruminants are	primarily	and nasal	BTV: variable,	Symptomatic	which is	INO
susceptible;	(biting midges	ecchymoses	dependant on		realistically	
camelids; other	of genus	and	species,		difficult;	
mammals	Culicoides; C.	ulcerations,	isolate,		,	
positive on	sonorensis	facial edema,			potential to vaccinate for	
1		conjunctivitis,	geographic location;		some strains	
serological tests without disease;	principally in US);	rhinorrhea,	sheep, white-		of BTV; no	
recent evidence	iatrogenic; in	ptyalism.	tailed deer		vaccines	
of possible BTV	•	ptyansin.	and		available for	
disease in	utero; possibly oral	BTV: Hoof	pronghorn		EHDV	
carnivores	in carnivores	slough and	most likely to		EIIDV	
Carmvores	in carmvoies	wool loss in	be severely			
EHDV:		sheep	affected.			
primarily white-		sneep	arrected.			
tailed deer but		EHDV: Hoof	EHDV:			
also elk, prong-		slough in deer	variable;			
horn, mule deer,		slough in deel	white-tailed			
cattle; rarely			deer and			
camelids but			pronghorn			
likely all			most likely			
ruminants can			affected			
be infected			arrected			
be infected			In cattle			
			EHDV/ BTV			
			un-common,			
			generally			
			mild;			
			however,			
			more severe			
			disease			
			associated			
			with specific			
			subtypes or			
			outbreaks			
			reported	1		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Allison Wack

Sheet completed on: 25 January 2011; updated 19 March 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: David Stallknecht, Holly Haefele

BLUETONGUE VIRUS (BTV) EPIZOOTIC HEMORRHAGIC DISEASE VIRUS (EHDV)

Susceptible animal groups:

BTV: Ruminants: sheep, goats, cattle, bison, deer, antelope, bighorn sheep, North American elk, camelids, greater kudu, muntjac, topi; perinatal infection in Grant's gazelle, gemsbok, sable, buffalo, ibex, hartebeest, addax. Many other ungulates serologically positive without evidence of disease. Clinical signs common in sheep, occasional in goats, and rare in cattle. White-tailed deer, pronghorn and desert bighorn sheep may have severe disease. Abortions caused by BTV contaminated vaccine in dogs. Seropositivity in a variety of large African carnivores. Report of infection and death in 2 Eurasian lynx fed ruminant fetuses and stillborns. EHDV: Ruminants: white-tailed deer most severely affected, less frequently in mule deer and pronghorn; Black-tailed deer, red deer, wapiti, roe deer, fallow deer, bison, black and white rhinoceros, black bear have been found seropositive. Rare outbreaks in cattle; sheep experimentally infected but rarely develop clinical

Causative organisms: Family Reoviridae, Genus Orbivirus

<u>BTV</u>: 26 serotypes worldwide, 15 identified in US (2, 10, 11, 13, 17 considered endemic; 1,3, 5, 6, 9,12, 14, 19, 22, 24 sporadically) in domestic or wild ruminants

EHDV: 7 serotypes, 3 endemic to US (1, 2, and 6), EHDV-6 was first identified in 2006

Zoonotic potential: None; one anecdotal unconfirmed report of BTV infection in a laboratory worker

Distribution:

signs.

<u>BTV</u>: World-wide where vectors are present (generally between latitudes of 40°N and 35°S, although may be moving north). Mostly southern and western, also southeastern, US.

EHDV: Disease in North America, Australia, Asia, Africa; seropositive animals in South America

Incubation period:

BTV: 5-10 days in domestic sheep; typically infectious to insect vector for several weeks

EHDV: 5-10 day incubation in deer. May remain viremic for up to 2 months

Clinical signs:

BTV: Variable and species dependent.

Sheep: pyrexia, ptyalism, depression, dyspnea, panting, hyperemia and edema of muzzle, lips, tongue, ears, ulcerations and erosions in mouth, sloughing of hooves, abortion, loss of wool 3-4 weeks post infection.

Recrudescence possible, severity partially dependant on serotype.

Cattle: pyrexia, rarely hyperemia, vesicles or ulcers in mouth, hyperemia of coronary band, dermatitis, hydranencephaly or cerebral cysts in calves.

Pronghorn and whitetail deer: hemorrhage and sudden death.

EHDV: Three distinct syndromes in deer:

Peracute: fever, anorexia, weakness, swelling of head and neck, respiratory distress; death within 8-36 hours Acute/classical: multi-organ hemorrhage, ptyalism, rhinorrhea, oral and GI ulcerations; mortality may be high Chronic: ill for several weeks with gradual recovery; may have hoof damage/slough or enough scarring from rumen ulcerations to cause emaciation

Typically subclinical in cattle, but clinical signs include fever, oral ulcers, salivation, lameness associated with coronitis, and weight loss. Fetal resorption and hydranencephaly possible; death uncommon in North America, although lameness and unthriftiness may be prolonged.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

BTV and EHDV: Clinical signs similar in affected animals, but both highly variable. Sheep: edema of face and ears, crusty exudates on nostrils, hyperemia of coronary bands, ulcers and erosions of oral cavity +/- necrosis and cyanosis; hyperemia, hemorrhage and edema throughout internal organs possible. Hemorrhage at base of pulmonary artery

BLUETONGUE VIRUS (BTV) EPIZOOTIC HEMORRHAGIC DISEASE VIRUS (EHDV)

<u>EHDV</u>: In deer, gross findings differ with form of disease, consistent with clinical presentation. Histologic findings include widespread vasculitis with thrombosis, endothelial swelling, hemorrhages, degenerative changes, and necrosis in many organs.

Diagnosis: Serologic tests are only diagnostic with paired serum.

BTV: AGID, ELISA, CF, PCR, VI, VN

EHDV: AGID, PCR, VI, VN

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Serum for AGID, ELISA, CF, VN

Whole blood or spleen for PCR

Whole blood, spleen, or lung for VI

ELISA (if pre and post serum available), PCR, VI may be most useful clinically; positive serology does not correlate well with viremia.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

NVSL http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/downloads/

AmesDiagnosticTestingCatalog.pdf

TVMDL http://tvmdl.tamu.edu/schedule2.php

Treatment: Symptomatic; analgesics and anti-inflammatories may help address clinical signs

Prevention and control:

<u>BTV</u>: Limiting vector exposure, number and habitat. Pyrethroids or organophosphates effective against *Culicoides*. Vaccination for sheep available in some areas, typically serotype specific MLV (Serotype 10 available throughout US; combo of serotypes 10, 11, 17 in CA; 17 available in Texas). Vaccination recommended in spring prior to vector season in endemic areas; contraindicated in pregnant ewes and during outbreaks. Quarantine of imported animals, serologic screening, and vector control during transport are important for preventing introduction into bluetongue-free areas.

EHDV: Limiting vector exposure, as above. No vaccines available.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Primarily vector borne, unlikely to contaminate environment. However, sodium hypochlorite or 3% sodium hydroxide are effective if disinfection is warranted.

Notification: Required in certain states; check with AVIC or state veterinarian

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Seronegative animals (two negative test results >28 days apart with no vector exposure between), vaccinated animals or naturally immune animals (positive serologic test for all applicable serotypes >60 days prior) pose minimal risk for introduction. Introduction of an actively infected animal to a naïve population/area should be avoided. A viremic animal should become negative on PCR or VI prior to being introduced, which should take no longer than 60 days. During that time, the viremic animal should be kept without vector access and treated with insecticides (both animal and environment).

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Seasonal in endemic areas, unlikely to be eradicated once established in vector population. OIE has firm guidelines for being classified as a BTV free country. Infection by one serotype of either virus usually offers lasting immunity for that serotype, though may not be protective against others.

Experts who may be consulted: NVSL, OIE, state veterinarian

References:

- 1. http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/bluetongue.pdf. Accessed 5 July 2013.
- 2. http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/epizootic_hemorrhagic_disease.pdf. Accessed 5 July

BLUETONGUE VIRUS (BTV) EPIZOOTIC HEMORRHAGIC DISEASE VIRUS (EHDV)

2013.

- 3. http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.01.03_BLUETONGUE.pdf. Accessed 5 July 2013.
- 4. http://www.eaza.net/activities/tdfactsheets/007%20Bluetongue.doc.pdf. Accessed 5 July 2013.
- 5. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/import_export/animals/oie/downloads/tahc_sep11/tahc_bluetongue_ch8_3_u o_80_sep11.pdf. Accessed 7 July 2013.
- 6. Committee on bluetongue and related Orbiviruses. 2009. Annual Report. Proc. USAHA. Pp. 182-205.
- 7. Howerth, E.W., Stallknecht, D.E., and P.D. Kirkland. 2001. Blue tongue, epizootic hemorrhagic disease, and other orbivirus-related diseases. *In*: William, E.S., and I.K. Barker (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, 3rd ed. Manson Publishing Ltd., London, UK. Pp. 77-97.
- 8. Jauniaux, T.P., De Clercq, K.E., Cassart, D.E., Kennedy, S., Vandenbussche, F.E., Vandemeulebroucke, E.L., Vanbinst, T.M., Verheyden, B.I., Goris, N.E., and F.L. Coignoul. 2008. Bluetongue in Eurasian lynx. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 14: 1496-1497.
- 9. Maclachlan, N.J. 2011. Bluetongue: history, global epidemiology, and pathogenesis. Prev. Vet. Med. 102(2): 107-111.
- 10. Savini, G., Afonso, A., Mellor, P., Aradaib, I., Yadin, H., Sanaa, M., Wilson, W., Monaco, F., and M. Domingo. 2011. Epizootic hemorrhagic disease. Res. Vet. Sci. 91 (1): 1-17.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Dogs	Aerosol; oronasal	Paroxysmal coughing	Mild to severe	Antibiotics, supportive care	Quarantine affected animals	Only in immune-compromised
Cats	Aerosol; oronasal	Sneezing, pyrexia, nasal discharge, occasional cough				people
Birds (turkeys)	Contaminated water; direct contact	Sinusitis with clear nasal discharge, foamy eyes, characteristic snick or cough				
Swine (domestic)	Direct contact, aerosol	Nonprogressive atrophic rhinitis (as sole pathogen), pneumonia				
Rodents	Direct contact, Aerosol	Nasal discharge, sneezing, snuffling, rales, dyspnea				
Rabbits	Direct contact, aerosol	Snuffling, pneumonia Nasal discharge,				
Horses	Direct contact, aerosol	pneumonia Tracheitis,				
Seals	Direct contact?	pneumonia Paroxysmal				
Humans (rarely non- human primates)	Direct contact, aerosol	cough, runny nose, sneezing, pyrexia				
•	piled by: Kortr	ney A. O'Neill; updat	ed by David	A. Bemis	•	

BORDETELLOSIS

Sheet completed on: 30 April 2011; updated 5 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Claude Lacasse; Karen Register

Susceptible animal groups: Reported in canids, felids, ursids, suids, lagomorphs, rodentia, aves, primates (human and non-human), insectivores, mustelids, ovids, pinnipeds, equids, and koalas

Causative organism: *Bordetella bronchiseptica* (most animal cases, rare human cases), *Bordetella pertussis* (humans, non-human primates), *Bordetella parapertussis* (humans, ovids); *Bordetella avium* (birds) and *B. hinzii* (birds, rodents, rabbits and rare human cases)

Zoonotic potential: B. bronchiseptica, B. hinzii -- usually reported in immuno-compromised people

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: 3-14 days

Clinical signs: Disease may be present in asymptomatic carriers. Paroxysmal cough is most notable sign in dogs and humans and sneezing, oculonasal discharge, rhinitis, pyrexia, or pneumonia may be developed. Sudden death may occur.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Bronchopneumoina, suppurative bronchitis, tracheitis, mucopurulent rhinitis. The disease rarely causes mortality in animals unless concurrent infection with virus or other bacterial component.

Diagnosis: Bacterial culture, PCR

Material required for laboratory analysis: Oropharyngeal or nasopharyngeal culture swab

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any diagnostic lab with capability to perform bacterial culture

Treatment: If the sole infectious agent, the disease may be self-limiting. However, antibiotics decrease course of shedding. Supportive care (antitussives, humidification, expectorants, etc.) can be applied.

Prevention and control: Isolation of any suspected upper respiratory infection animals during active disease. Adequate ventilation and air exchanges (12-20/hr) within holding areas. Vaccination of susceptible species can be utilized.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Thorough cleaning and disinfection -- most cleansers are effective against *Bordetella* spp., sodium hypochlorite, chlorhexidine or benzalkonium solution

Notification: Pertussis is reportable in some states

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None currently

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Infected animals should be quarantined for 2-6 weeks until clinical signs resolve

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Clean and decontaminate environment

Experts who may be consulted

David A. Bemis, PhD, DACVM (Honorary)

Department of Comparative Medicine

University of Tennessee

Knoxville, TN

(865) 974-5576

bemis@utk.edu

References

- 1. Aiello, S.E., and A. Mays (eds.). 1998. The Merck Veterinary Manual (8th edition). Merck & Co., Inc, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp: 1100-1102, 1320, 1390, 1977-1979.
- 2. Blanshard, W. and K. Bodley. 2008. Koalas. *In:* Vogelnest, L., and R. Woods (eds.). Medicine of Australian mammals. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria. Pp. 272-275.
- 3. Cullinane, L.C., M.R. Alley, R.B. Marshall, and B.W. Manktelow. 1987. *Bordetella parapertussis* from lambs. New Zealand Vet. J. 35(10): 175.

BORDETELLOSIS

- 4. Ettinger S.J. and B/ Kantrowitz. 2005. Diseases of the trachea. *In:* Ettinger, S.J., and E.C. Feldman (eds.). Textbook of Veterinary Internal Medicine (6th edition). Elsevier Inc., Saint Louis, Missouri. Pp. 1221-1222.
- 5. Finger, H. and C.H.W. von Koenig. 1996. Bordetella. *In:* Baron, S. (ed). Medical Microbiology (4th edition). University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, Galveston, Texas. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK7813/ Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 6. Fowler, M.E., and R.E. Miller (eds.). 2003. Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 5th ed. Elsevier Science, Saint Louis, Missouri. Pp. 97-98; 193; 311; 380; 385; 466; 507-508.
- 7. Garcia-Cantu, M.C., F.A. Hartmann, C.M. Brown, and B.J. Darien. 2000. *Bordetella bronchiseptica* and equine respiratory infections: a review of 30 cases. Eq. Vet. Educ. 12: 45–50.
- 8. Greene, C.E. (ed). 2006. Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat, 3rd ed. Elsevier Inc., Saint Louis, Missouri. Pp. 54-61; 145-155; 1058.
- 9. Hammond, E.E., D. Sosa, R. Beckerman, and R.F. Aguilar. 2009. Respiratory disease associated with *Bordetella bronchiseptica* in a Hoffmann's two-toed sloth (*Choloepus hoffmanni*). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 40(2): 369-372.
- 10. Lacasse, C. and K.C. Gamble. 2006. Tracheitis associated with *Bordetella bronchiseptica* in a polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 37(2): 190-192.
- 11. Leissinger, M., K. Pescosolido, and A. Royal. 2013. What is your diagnosis? Equine transtracheal wash fluid. Vet. Clin. Pathol. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/vcp.12077/full Accessed 23 September 2013.
- 12. McKenzie, R.A., A.D. Wood, and P.J. Blackall. 1979. Pneumonia associated with *Bordetella bronchiseptica* in captive koalas. Aust. Vet. J. 55(9): 427-430.
- 13. Raffel, T.R., K.B. Register, S.A. Marks, and L. Temple. 2002. Prevalence of *Bordetella avium* infections in selected wild and domesticated birds in the eastern USA. J. Wildl. Dis. 38: 40-46.
- 14. Register, K. 2006. Letter to the Editor. Vet. Pathol. 43: 1041.
- 15. Register, K.B., and R.A. Kunkle. 2009. Strain-specific virulence of *Bordetella hinzii* in poultry. Avian Dis. 53: 50-54.
- 16. Register, K.B. 2013. Development of a PCR for identification of *Bordetella hinzii*. Avian Dis. 57: 307-310.
- 17. Register, K.B., R.E. Sacco, and G. Foster. 2000. Ribotyping and restriction endonuclease analysis reveal a novel clone of *Bordetella bronchiseptica* in seals. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 12: 535-540.
- 18. Rijks, J.M., F.L. Read, M.W. van de Bildt, H.G. van Bolhuis, B.E. Martina, J.A. Wagenaar, K. van der Meulen, A.D. Osterhaus, and T. Kuiken. 2008. Quantitative analysis of the 2002 phocine distemper epidemic in the Netherlands. Vet. Pathol. 45: 516-530.
- 19. Staveley, C.M., K.B. Register, M.A. Miller, S.L. Brockmeier, D.A. Jessup, and S. Jang. 2003. Molecular and antigenic characterization of *Bordetella bronchiseptica* isolated from a wild southern sea otter (*Enhydra lutris nereis*) with severe suppurative bronchopneumonia. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 15:570-4.

LYME DISEASE (caused by BORELLIA BURGDORGERI)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
group(s)		Signs			and	
affected					Control	
Mammals	Tick vector (Ixodes	General: Shifting	Varies,	Doxycycline x	Prevent	Yes, tick
(especially	sp); White tailed	leg lameness,	can be	30d,	tick	bite only
dogs,	deer and rodent	arthritis, fever,	mild	azithromycin,	attachment,	
occasionally	reservoir hosts,	myocarditis CNS	lameness	minocycline,	Remove	
horses), some	including white-	signs; Humans:	or chronic	ceftriaxone,	ticks as	
birds (birds are	footed mouse	erythema migrans	illness	amoxicillin;	soon as	
usually	(Peromyscus	("bull's eye"		recrudescence	possible;	
asymptomatic	leucopus) in N.	rash); Dogs: renal		is possible	vaccine	
and/or are	America &	syndrome, Horses:			available	
reservoirs)	Apodemus sp in	uveitis,			for dogs	
	Eurasia)	neuroborreliosis				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Elizabeth E. Hammond

Sheet completed on: 6 Sept 18

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Anne Burgdorf, Tara Harrison, Kristen J Tobin

Susceptible animal groups: all mammals (dogs, horses but cattle appear less susceptible), some birds (birds are usually asymptomatic, and may be a reservoir host)

Causative organism: *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato (s.l.) & sensu stricto (s.s.) (gram-negative spirochete); *B. burgdorferi* s.s. is the cause of Lyme disease in the US, but *B. mayonii* has recently been identified as the cause of Lyme disease in the Midwest US; different strains may explain varied clinical signs depending on region; tick vector: *Ixodes* sp. (*I. scapularis,I. ricinus, I. pacificus*) (nymph life stage responsible for transmission)

Zoonotic potential: Yes, tick bite only; tick must attached for at least 24hr

Distribution: temperate areas worldwide

Incubation period: 60-90d

Clinical symptoms: shifting leg lameness, joint swelling, arthritis (knee/elbow most common), lymphadenopathy, anorexia, fever, myocarditis, CNS signs, renal syndrome (dogs) uveitis and neuroborelliosis (horses); in humans there is often a rash (erythema migrans, aka "bull's eye" rash) at the site of tick attachment

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: perivascular lymphoplasmacytic infiltrates in kidneys that can lead to glomerulonephritis (dogs), liver, cerebrum, meninges, and lungs; synovitis with inflammatory cells and fibrin deposits

Diagnosis: serology (ELISA, IFA, EIA, modified Western blot), Western immunoblot can differentiate between vaccine titer and natural infection based on band pattern (dogs); PCR; or culture of organism from urinary bladder (difficult), kidney, spleen, skin, and other organs with evidence of clinical signs of disease, history of exposure and response to treatment; also, xenodiagnosis (feeding uninfected tick larvae on a host and evaluating for signs of infection)

Material required for laboratory analysis: serum, whole blood, tissue

LYME DISEASE (caused by BORELLIA BURGDORGERI

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Standard diagnostic laboratories can test for serologic evidence of Lyme disease; patient-side ELISA SNAP® test (4Dx Plus, IDEXX, Westbrook, ME 04092, USA) available for dogs; culture of organism requires special growth media

Treatment: Doxycycline x 30d (contraindicated in young animals) or minocycline x28d (minocycline has better nervous system penetration-common in horses), azithromycin, ceftriaxone (especially for neurologic disease), amoxicillin; recrudescence is possible; better chance of resolution if treatment initiated early

Prevention and control: Tick prevention, remove ticks within 48hr (minimum time needed to transmit the organism); Lyme disease killed and recombinant subunit vaccines are available for dogs; human vaccine is no longer available.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: standard disinfectants, such as 1% sodium hypochlorite, 70% ethanol, heat, and UV light, are appropriate (*Borrelia* sp cannot survive free-living in the environment)

Notification: reportable in humans (US), but not in animals

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: tick control

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: none

Experts who may be consulted: Adam Birkenheuer, DVM, PhD, DACVIM, NCSU; ajbirken@ncsu.edu

References

- 1. Brown RN and Burgess EC. Lyme Borreliosis. In: Williams ES and Barker IK (eds.). Infectious disease of wild mammals. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p.435-454.
- 2. The Center for Food Security and Public Health [Internet]. Lyme Disease: 2019 [cited 2018 July 31]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/disease.php?name=lyme-disease&lang=en
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Lyme disease: 2018 [cited 2018 July 31]. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/lyme/
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. New Lyme-disease-causing bacteria species discovered: 2016 [cited 2019 January 23]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2016/p0208-lyme-disease.html
- 5. Companion Animal Parasite Council [Internet]. Lyme disease: 2019 [cited 2018 September 9]. Available from https://www.capcvet.org/guidelines/lyme-disease/
- 6. Cook RA and Karesh WB. Emerging diseases at the interface of people, domestic animals, and wildlife. In: Miller RE and Fowler ME (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine. Current therapy, 6th ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2008. p. 55-65.
- 7. Divers TJ, Gardner RB, Madigan JE, et al. Borrelia burgdorferi Infection and Lyme Disease in North American Horses: A Consensus Statement. J Vet Intern Med. 2018;32(2):617-632.
- 8. Fritz CL and Kjemtrup AM. Lyme Borreliosis. JAVMA. 2003; (223:9):1261-1270.
- 9. Greene CE, Appel MJG, Straubinger RK. Lyme borreliosis. In: Greene CE (ed.). Infectious diseases of the dog and cat, 2nd ed. Philadelphia (PA): WB Saunders; 1990. p. 282-293.
- 10. Lymeinfo [Internet]. Lyme Info: 2016 [cited 2018 September 9]. Available from http://www.lymeinfo.net
- 11. Stoebel K, Schoenberg A, Streich WJ. The seroepidemiology of Lyme borreliosis in zoo animals in Germany. Epidemiol. Infect. 2003; (131): 975-983.
- 12. Vogt NA, Sargeant JM, MacKinnon MC, Versluis AM. Efficacy of *Borrelia burgdorferi* vaccine in dogs in North America: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Vet Intern Med. 2019;33:23–36.

BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY (BSE)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Naturally affected: Cattle - Bos taurus and B. indicus; captive exotic ungulates of Bovidae; felines both domestic and captive exotic; domestic goats.	Ingestion of BSE contaminated feed (i.e., meat and bone meal) or infected carcasses.	Apprehension, nervousness and/or aggression; tremoring, incoordination, especially hindlimb ataxia and difficulty in rising; hyperesthesia.	Average incubation period is 2-8 years. The clinical duration is usually several weeks to 6 months. The disease is inversible.	None.	Prohibit the feeding of most ruminant or mammalian proteins to ruminants.	Yes.
			is invariably progressive and fatal.			

Fact Sheet compiled by: Linda A. Detwiler

Sheet completed on: 27 September 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Noelia Silva-del-Rio; Meredith M. Clancy

Susceptible animal groups: Ruminants such as cattle (*Bos taurus* and *B. indicus*), sheep and goats, captive exotic ungulates (eland, gemsbok, Arabian and scimitar-horned oryx, nyala and greater kudu) and American bison (*Bison bison*). Felines both domestic cats and captive exotic cats (cheetah, lion, Asian leopard cat, ocelot, puma and tiger) have been reported as "Feline Spongiform Encephalopathy (FSE)". Experimentally, nonhuman primates also have been infected via the oral and intracranial routes.

BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY (BSE)

Causative organism: The etiological agent has not been fully characterized. Understanding of the causative agent remains imperfect, but a wealth of accumulating evidence has led to the conclusions that: (i) a misfolded form of the protein (PrPTSE), known as a prion, acts as a template to induce normal protein molecules to cascade into the same misfolded configuration; (ii) infectivity is associated with an aggregate (or polymer) of 14-28 misfolded protein molecules; (iii) an as yet unidentified host molecule (?chaperone, ganglioside, non-coding RNA) is probably necessary as a cofactor in replication; (iv) the degree of similarity in the primary structure of the protein in different species influences the ease with which the protein can induce inter-species disease; and (v) in some species, the entire process appears to occur spontaneously in the sporadic form of disease, but can be initiated (i.e., 'transmitted') by the introduction of tissue from a diseased to a healthy host, as would have happened when humans consumed BSE-contaminated meat products. Until 2004, it appeared that a single "strain" caused all cases of BSE. It is now known that there are at least two additional strains called L-Type and H-Type atypical BSE.

Little is known about atypical BSE. The origin and natural routes of transmission, if any, have yet to be determined. Almost all cases have been in older cattle (usually > 8 years of age) that have shown little resemblance to the clinic-pathological picture seen in classical disease. It has been suggested that the disease may be sporadic or be caused by a genetic mutation, but no convincing evidence has been found to support either of these ideas. The correct answer will probably only come by study of the future annual incidence curves of both types of disease. Regardless of the origin of atypical BSE, the possibility of recycling the disease in cattle and other ruminants, as well as the potential for transmission to humans, mandate a

continuation of feed and specified-risk materials (SRM) bans, together with diagnostic testing programs for some time to come.

Zoonotic potential: BSE is the cause of the fatal human disease, variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease (vCJD). Epidemiological evidence indicates that transmission is through the consumption of meat products contaminated with BSE agent, which is found primarily in CNS tissue and distal ileum. During the incubation period, it appears that humans may transmit vCJD to other humans via blood transfusions.

Distribution: The first cases of BSE were recognized in the United Kingdom in 1986 and because of recycling of offals into animal feed, the disease rapidly became epidemic in the UK and spread to most other European countries via the trade of contaminated meat and bone meal and infected animals that entered slaughter channels. Worldwide, the number of cases at the end of 2012 was approximately 190,000, all but 6,000 of which were within the UK. In addition to the officially reported and confirmed cases, it is estimated that as many as 3.5 million animals were infected and may have entered the food and feed chains in the UK without being detected. BSE has also been detected in Brazil (atypical), Canada, Falkland Islands (import), Israel, Japan, Oman (import) and the US (import and atypical). Implementation of feed controls has all but eliminated classical BSE, as there were only 21 total cases reported worldwide in 2012. This number includes both classical and atypical BSE. The UK found only 3 cases in 2012. Statistics regarding the occurrence of BSE may be found at http://www.oie.int/en/animal-health-in-the-world/bse-specific-data/. It should also be noted that the absence of reported cases over an extended time in a country might not indicate so much the absence of disease as a lack of adequate surveillance.

Naturally occurring cases of BSE in species other than cattle have been very limited and have been linked to exposure to contaminated feed or infected carcasses. The majority of cases originated in the UK and like BSE in cattle, have declined with the implementation of feed controls. None of the exotic animals were infected in the wild.

Incubation period: The incubation period for BSE is measured in years and in cattle can range from 2-8 years.

BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY (BSE)

Clinical signs: Affected animals develop a progressive degeneration of the nervous system. They may display changes in temperament, abnormalities of posture and movement, and changes in sensation, including signs of apprehension, nervousness or aggression, incoordination, especially hind-limb ataxia, tremor and difficulty in rising, and hyperesthesia to sound and touch. In addition, many animals have decreased milk production and loss of body condition despite continued appetite. Only a small proportion of affected cattle exhibit what would be considered typical "mad cow" signs. BSE can be mistaken for other conditions or go unnoticed due to subtlety of the signs. The TSE cases in exotic ruminants had a younger onset age and a shorter clinical duration compared to that in cattle with BSE. Clinical signs in the exotic ungulates are similar to those seen in cattle and include ataxia and wasting.

FSE is characterized by progressive nervous signs, including ataxia, hyper-reactivity and behavioral changes and is fatal.

Clinical pathological, gross, and histopathological findings: No gross pathological lesions are found in animals affected with BSE and histological changes appear to be confined to the CNS. The primary lesions found are non-inflammatory vacuolation of neuronal perikarya and grey-matter primary lesions bilaterally symmetric. Astrocytosis may also be observed. Infected animals may not manifest these lesions until end stage disease. Histological changes that are seen in cattle are similar to those seen in the other affected animal species.

Diagnosis: No live animal test for BSE is available. Historically, the diagnosis of BSE relied on the occurrence of clinical signs of the disease confirmed by postmortem histopathological examination of brain tissue. The current diagnostic tests target the detection of PrP^{TSE} (the misfolded form of the prion protein)

deposits in the CNS. Immunohistochemistry and/or Western blots are usually used as confirmatory tests. In addition, a number of rapid immunoassays have been developed and approved by governments for use as screening tests. These include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs), automated immunoblotting (Western blotting) and lateral flow devices (LFD).

Material required for laboratory analysis: Clinically suspect cases should be subjected to a standard neuropathological approach in which the whole brain is sampled, and a range of representative areas examined. BSE sampling is dependent upon the test methods approved and used by the national veterinary services. For example, in the US brain stem, including the obex, should be submitted as fresh tissue. Countries using immunohistochemistry as the primary diagnostic test may require samples submitted in formalin.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

USDA-APHIS National Veterinary Services Laboratory 1920 Dayton Ave. (for packages) P.O. Box 844 (for letters) Ames, IA 50010 (515) 337-7266 Fax: (515) 337-7397

Treatment: None

BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY (BSE)

Prevention and control: Given that the primary, if not sole, route of BSE transmission is through the feeding of contaminated meat-and bone-meal (MBM) to cattle, countries with any risk factors need to implement feed controls. The level of restriction is usually dependent upon the amount of contamination thought to be in the system. Three main factors can increase the stability of a national feed production system:

- (i) Feed bans these regulations can range from the basic prohibition of feeding ruminant MBM back to ruminants; to prohibiting most animal proteins from being fed to all animals used for food production, including fish.
- (ii) Specified Risk Materials (SRMs) ban this ban requires that high infectivity tissues such as bovine brain and spinal cord be removed from both the food and feed chain and be destroyed. The intent of this control is to remove the primary source of infectivity from the entire system to prevent the possibility of cross-contamination.
- (iii) Regulation of rendering although no rendering process can completely remove all detectable infectivity, some are more effective than others. The best procedure identified to date requires 133°C at 3 bars of pressure for 20 minutes.

Experience in countries that have spent considerable effort to eliminate BSE has underlined the need for an extremely high level of compliance with feed controls in order to remove the agent from the system and prevent new infections in cattle. No complacency can be tolerated.

Bovine products and byproducts are widely used for both food and pharmaceuticals, and hence require the highest level of safety. Because of the hardy nature of the BSE agent and its high potential for crosscontamination, the most effective approach to protect bovine products and bovine-derived materials for human use from contamination by BSE is to ensure that infected animals or carcasses never enter processing plants. Because there are presently no diagnostic tools sensitive enough for detection of the disease during its long preclinical incubation, governments must rely on measures to prevent exposure through feed (see above) or prohibit high risk tissues (SRMs) from being used for food or pharmaceuticals.

Suggested disinfectants: BSE is not known to spread laterally between cattle or other animals; hence it is not

necessary to disinfect a premise where infected cattle have been. Regarding BSE, the need for disinfection may arise in diagnostic laboratories, food processing and pharmaceutical manufacturing plants. The agent of BSE shares with other TSE agents the property of unusual resistance to destruction. None of the standard disinfection methods is effective, including irradiation or exposure to various chemical disinfectants. Even harsher conditions that are capable of inactivating all other known pathogens (including bacterial spores), such as heating under pressure at 121°C, exposure to dry heat at 600°C, or immersion in 0.1 N NaOH or 0.5% bleach cannot assure complete inactivation. Currently, the only procedures known to completely eliminate detectable infectivity are exposure to dry heat at 1000°C, immersion in either 1 N NaOH or fresh undiluted bleach, and steam heating under pressure at 132°C. The preferred method is a sequential exposure to both NaOH and steam autoclaving inactivation treatments.

Notification: BSE is a reportable disease in the US.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: This approach is not applicable.

BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY (BSE)

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak:

As BSE is not known to be laterally transmitted, no remediation for farms or zones within a country is needed. After a case of BSE has been detected within a country, certain measures must be taken to regain negligible risk status. As per the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE), countries detecting BSE must perform a risk assessment to identify historical and existing risk factors. The country must demonstrate that appropriate specific measures have been taken for the relevant period of time defined below to manage each identified risk. EITHER:

- a) If there has been a case, every case of BSE has been demonstrated to have been imported and has been completely destroyed, and it has been demonstrated through an appropriate level of control and audit, including that of cross contamination, that for at least eight years neither meat-and-bone meal nor greaves derived from ruminants has been fed to ruminants; CR:
- b) If there has been an indigenous case, every indigenous case was born more than 11 years ago; and the below points have been complied with for 7 years.
- An education program is in place for those involved in the livestock industry to report all suspected cases
 of BSE.
- BSE is reportable and all suspect cases are investigated.
- Diagnostics are carried out in accordance with the OIE laboratory manual. AND:
- i) it has been demonstrated through an appropriate level of control and audit, including that of cross contamination, that for at least eight years neither meat-and-bone meal nor greaves derived from ruminants has been fed to ruminants. ii)

 All BSE cases, as well as:
- all cattle which, during their first year of life, were reared with the BSE cases during their first year of
 life, and which investigation showed consumed the same potentially contaminated feed during that
 period, or
- if the results of the investigation are inconclusive, all cattle born in the same herd as, and within 12 months of the birth of, the BSE cases if alive in the country, zone or compartment, are permanently identified, and their movements controlled, and, when slaughtered or at death, are completely destroyed.

Experts who may be consulted:

Linda A. Detwiler, DVM Clinical Professor

Department of Pathobiology and Population Medicine

College of Veterinary Medicine Mississippi State University 732-580-9391 Fax: 732-741-7751

ldetwiler@belle-

terre.com

BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY (BSE)

References:

- 1. Baron, T., P. Belli, J.Y. Madec, F. Moutou, C. Vitaud, and M. Savey. 1997 Spongiform encephalopathy in an imported cheetah in France. Vet. Rec. 141: 270-271.
- 2. Bolton, D.C., M.P. McKinley, and S.P. Prusiner. 1982. Identification of a protein that purifies with the scrapie prion. Sci. 218: 1309-1311.
- 3. Brown, P., and L.A. Detwiler. 2013. Bovine spongiform encephalopathy: consequences for human health. *In*: Doyle, M.P. and R.L. Buchanan (eds.). Food Microbiology: Fundamentals and Frontiers, 4th ed. ASM Press, Washington, D.C. Pp. 651-672.
- 4. Brown, P., R.G. Will, R. Bradley, D.M. Asher, and L. Detwiler. 2001. Bovine spongiform encephalopathy and variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease: background, evolution, and current concerns. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 7: 6-16.
- 5. Cunningham, A.A., J.K. Kirkwood, M. Dawson, Y.I. Spencer, R.B. Green, and G.A.H. Wells. 2004. Distribution of bovine spongiform encephalopathy in greater kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*). Emerg. Infect. Dis. 10(6):1044-9.
- 6. Kirkwood, J.K., G.A Wells, J.W. Wilesmith, A.A. Cunningham, and S.I. Jackson. 1990. Spongiform encephalopathy in an Arabian oryx (*Oryx leucoryx*) and a greater kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*). Vet. Rec. 127: 418-429.
- 7. Kirkwood, J.K. 1993 Spongiform encephalopathy in a herd of Greater kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*): epidemiological observations. Vet. Rec. 133: 360-364.
- 8. Kirkwood, J. K., and A.A. Cunningham. 1994. Epidemiological observations on spongiform encephalopathies in captive wild animals in the British Isles. Vet. Rec. 135: 296-303.
- 9. OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals. Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy. http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.04.06_BSE.pdf. Accessed 7 October 2013.
- 10. OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code. Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy. http://www.oie.int/index.php?id=169&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_1.11.5.htm Accessed 7 October 2013.
- 11. United Kingdom. Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs. Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy. http://www.defra.gov.uk/ahvla-en/disease-control/notifiable/bse/ Accessed 7 October 2013.
- 12. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy http://www.aphis.usda.gov/newsroom/hot_issues/bse/ind ex.shtml Accessed 30 September 2013.
- 13. United States Food and Drug Administration. Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy. http://www.fda.gov/animalveterinary/guidancecomplianceenforcement/complianceenforcement/bovines pongiformencephalopathy/default.htm Accessed 7 October 2013.
- 14. Wells, G.A.H., A.C. Scott, C.T. Johnson, R.F. Gunning, R.D. Hancock, M. Jeffrey, M. Dawson, and R. Bradley. 1987. A novel progressive spongiform encephalopathy in cattle. Vet. Rec. 121: 419-420.
- 15. Wells, G.A.H., T. Konold, M.E. Arnold, A.R. Austin, S.A.C. Hawkins, M. Stack, M.M. Simmons, Y.H. Lee, D. Gavier-Widén, M. Dawson, and J.W. Wilesmith. 2007. Bovine spongiform encephalopathy: the effect of oral exposure dose on attack rate and incubation period in cattle. J. Gen. Virol. 88: 1363-1373.
- 16. Wilesmith J.W., G.A.H. Wells, M.P. Cranwell, and J.B.M. Ryan. 1988. Bovine spongiform

BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY (BSE)

- encephalopathy: epidemiological studies. Vet. Rec. 123: 638-644.
- 17. Will, R.G., J.W. Ironside, M. Zeidler, S.N. Cousens, K. Estibeiro, A. Alperovitch, S. Poser, M. Pocchiari, A. Hofman, and P.G. Smith. 1996. A new variant of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in the UK. Lancet 347: 921–925.
- 18. Willoughby, K., D.F. Kelly, D.G. Lyon, and G.A. Wells. 1992. Spongiform encephalopathy in a captive puma (*Felis concolor*). Vet. Rec. 131: 431-434.
- 19. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE). http://www.oie.int Accessed 7 October 2013.
- 20. Wyatt, J. M., G.R. Pearson, T.N. Smerdon, T.J. Gruffydd-Jones, G.A. Wells and J.W. Wilesmith. 1991. Naturally occurring scrapie-like spongiform encephalopathy in five domestic cats. Vet. Rec. 129: 233.

BOVINE VIRAL DIARRHEA VIRUS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Artiodactyla	Horizontal: Primarily from a persistently infected animal ("PI") but also from transiently infected animals. In "PI", virus is shed heavily and continuously in all bodily secretions. Virus also transmitted by fomites. Vertical: Infection of dam during first trimester can produce "PI".	Horizontal: Subclinical, respiratory disease, diarrhea, mucosal ulcers, fever, hemorrhagic syndrome, secondary infections, peracute death, and reproductive failure Vertical: Infertility, abortion, stillbirths, weak calves and "PI."	Species and viral strain dependent. Infections can be subclinical or cause severe disease and death	Supportive care for transiently infected animals.	Testing, identification and elimination of "PI." Vaccination with MLV is common in cattle and has been reported to prevent infection in alpacas without ill effects.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Peregrine Wolff

Sheet completed on: 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Beth Bicknese

Susceptible animal groups: Ungulates belonging to the order Artiodactyla (including *Bovidae*, *Suidae*, *Caprinae*, *Camelidae*, *Antilocapridae*, *Tragulidae* and *Cervidae*).

Causative organism: Single stranded RNA viruses belonging to the genus *Pestivirus* and Family *Flaviviridae*. Two species, BVDV-1 (11 sub-genotypes) and BVDV-2 (2 sub-genotypes), have different profiles. In the US, the three commonly isolated sub-genotypes from cattle are BVDV1a, 1b and 2a. Within the genotypes or strains, two 2 biotypes of BVDV classification are based on their effects on cell culture (cytopathic [CP] or non-cytopathic [NCP]). Infections with NCP strains are the most common and it is the NCP strains that result in "PI" animals. Because BVDV is an RNA virus it readily mutates resulting in genetic, antigenic and pathogenic variation.

Zoonotic potential: This disease is not considered to have zoonotic potential at this time. However, the virus can infect human cell lines.

Distribution: Worldwide distribution. The principal reservoirs of BVDV are persistently infected ("PI") domestic cattle. Numerous wildlife species, both captive and free-ranging have been shown to be serologically positive for BVDV. Persistently infected individuals have been identified in captive and free-ranging wildlife, primarily cervid species.

Incubation period: Experimental infection in mule deer, white-tail deer and cattle, indicates that virus may be isolated from white blood cells, serum, plasma or nasal secretions as early as two days post infection.

Clinical signs: Infections can be transient with no apparent clinical signs or severe with pronounced morbidity and mortality. Both genotypes BVD-1 and BVDV-2 can cause the full spectrum of clinical presentations. BVDV is lymphotrophic and immunosuppressive so diseased animals have an increased susceptibility to infectious diseases. Hematology may show mild to severe lymphopenia and neutropenia depending on the virulence of the strain to the host.

"Acute", "Transient" or "Primary" disease syndromes are described from horizontal transmission

BOVINE VIRAL DIARRHEA VIRUS

which include:

<u>Respiratory</u>: Oculonasal discharge. Due to BVDV immunosuppressive effects clinical signs may be indicative of disease caused by other respiratory pathogens.

<u>Gastrointestinal</u>: Diarrhea and clinical signs resulting from lesions which are primarily ulcerous or erosive and which may involve any region of the GIT. Mixed infections with other common gastrointestinal organisms are not uncommon.

<u>Hemorrhagic/thrombocytopenic</u>: Thrombocytopenia, bloody diarrhea, prolonged bleeding times, petechial and ecchymotic hemorrhages, epistaxis, death.

Mucosal disease (MD): Seen only in "PI" animals that become "super infected" with a CP strain of BVDV. Clinical signs are secondary to severe ulcerative and erosive lesions throughout the gastrointestinal tract and potentially including lameness secondary to lesions associated with inter- digital ulcerations.

From vertical transmission, disease syndromes include:

<u>Reproductive and fetal</u>: Early fetal losses, mummified fetuses, abortions, stillbirths and congenital defects, poor doer during neonatal period.

<u>Persistently infected animals</u>: Persistently infected animals result if the dam becomes infected during the first trimester of gestation. In cattle, infection must occur between 45-125 days with a non-cytopathic strain of BVDV. In white-tail deer, infection occurring between days 45-52 of gestation resulted in a "PI" fawn. The fetus becomes infected and is immunotolerant to the infecting strain of BVDV and will shed high amounts of virus from all bodily fluids throughout its life. The "PI" animal may mount an immune response to heterologous strains of BVDV. Persistently infected individuals may exhibit, runtiness, immunosuppression and secondary infections, but "clinically normal" animals have been documented.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: There are no pathognomonic lesions for BVDV. Pathological diagnosis may be made based on virus isolation or demonstration of the virus within tissues. Transiently infected animals will have gross and histopathological lesions consistent with their clinical syndrome. Persistently infected, but healthy animals may have few postmortem and histopathological lesions. Lymphoid depletion has been reported in both "PI" cattle and experimentally infected fawns.

Diagnosis: Primary goal is to identify the "PI" animal. Virus isolation is the "gold standard". However, antigen capture ELISA (ACE), immunohistochemistry and RT- PCR are commonly utilized tests as they are rapid, sensitive, affordable and repeatable amongst diagnostic laboratories. Many tests do not differentiate between BVDV1 and 2 and other pestiviruses such as classical swine fever virus, border disease virus (endemic worldwide), pronghorn virus, HoBi-like [isolated in South America and Southeast Asia, Bungowannah (isolated in Australia) and giraffe (isolated in Africa)].

Most tests cannot differentiate between an acute and a persistent infection. The standard for diagnosis of PI infection is two positive tests on samples collected at least two weeks apart.

Material required for laboratory analysis:

<u>Antemortem</u>: Haired skin sample (ear notch or caudal tail fold), or whole blood (buffy coat) collected in EDTA are preferred samples.

<u>Postmortem</u>: Haired skin and lymphoid tissue (mesenteric lymph nodes, thymus, tonsils) spleen, and brain. These tissues should be collected for culture or immunohistochemistry (fresh and formalin fixed). Archived formalin fixed tissue blocks can be tested for BVD via PCR, however detection rates drop after 3 months – 1 year.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Check with your local veterinary diagnostic lab to see what tests they perform and the limitations of these tests for the species you are testing.

Treatment: Supportive care of transiently infected animals. Persistently infected individuals should be eliminated from the herd

Prevention and control: Identification and removal of "PI" individuals. All incoming artiodactyls (particularly domestic cattle, sheep and goats) should be quarantined and tested for the presence of BVDV virus.

BOVINE VIRAL DIARRHEA VIRUS

- 1) Animals that can only be handled once and with risk of exposure to BVDV: Combination of Antigen-capture ELISA (ACE) on haired skin combined with PCR on whole blood (buffy coat) collected in EDTA and antibody detection via serum neutralization will have the greatest likelihood of identifying "PI" that may be transiently infected. An animal that is positive on both ELISA (ACE) on haired skin, as well as RT-PCR on whole blood but is negative on serology is considered highly suspicious of being "PI" and should undergo follow up testing in 4-6 weeks. Animals that that are positive on RT-PCR and have serum titers are most likely transiently infected individuals.
- 2) Pregnant females that have a serum antibody titer to BVDV: These animals may have been exposed to the virus within the first trimester of pregnancy and be carrying a "PI" fetus. They should be quarantined until the offspring is born. The offspring should then be tested for persistent infection via whole blood (buffy coat) RT-PCR in combination with ELISA (ACE) or immunohistochemistry on a haired skin sample. This will differentiate presence of virus in the face of maternal antibodies if the offspring is sampled post nursing.
- 3) <u>Animals with viremia:</u> should not be introduced to other artiodactyls that may be in the first trimester of gestation.
- 4) Individuals utilizing reproductive manipulation techniques should be alerted that BVDV has been isolated from commercial fetal calf serum.
- 5) Vaccination has not been well studied in wildlife. Alpacas are reported to be protected with no negative effects when vaccinated with a MLV. Vaccination in cattle is primarily focused on the prevention of fetal infections.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: BVDV is an enveloped virus and susceptible to the following classes of disinfectants when used per recommended protocols - hypochlorites, chlorhexadine, alcohol, iodine and iodophores, quaternary ammonium compounds, phenolic disenfectants and aldehydes

Notification: None Required

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Pregnant animals should not be exposed to animals that are viremic. "PI" animals should be identified and removed from the herd.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: dentify and remove "PI" individuals. Any off-spring born to dams that were pregnant during the outbreak should be tested to insure that they are not "PI" and all new additions that may be at risk for infection with BVDV should undergo testing and quarantine prior to introduction to any artiodactyl species.

Experts who may be consulted:

Shollie Falkenberg

National Animal Disease Center, Agricultural Research Service, USDA

1920 Dayton Avenue

P.O. Box 70

Ames, IA 50010

Phone: (515) 337-7338 (office) / (515) 337-7372 (lab)

Fax: (515) 337-7402

Shollie.Falkenberg@ars.usda.gov

References:

- 1. Control of pestivirus infections in the management of wildlife populations-Research Topic (11 articles) [internet] Front. Microbiol; 2016 [cited 2018 March 10] Available from https://www.frontiersin.org/research-topics/2980/control-of-pestivirus-infections-in-the-management-of-wildlife-populations#articles
- 2. Nelson DD, Duprau JL, Wolff PL, Evermann JF. Persistent bovine viral diarrhea virus infection in domestic and wild small ruminants and camelids including the mountain goat (*Oreamnos americanus*). Front. Microbiol. 2016:7;6:1415. [cited 2018 March 10] Available from https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01415/full

BOVINE VIRAL DIARRHEA VIRUS

- 3. Duncan C, Ridpath JF, Palmer MV, Driskell E, Spraker T. Histopathologic and immunohistochemical findings in two white-tailed deer fawns persistently infected with bovine viral diarrhea virus. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2008: 20:289–296.
- 4. Kottwitz JK, Ortiz M. Bovine viral diarrhea virus in zoos: A perspective from the veterinary team. Front. Microbiol. 2016;6:1496. [cited 2018 March 10] Available from https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01496/full
- 5. Passler T, Ditchkoff SS, Given MD, Brock KV, DeYoung RW, Walz PH. Transmission of bovine virus diarrhea virus amongst white-tail deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) Vet Res. 2010;41:20.
- 6. Passler T, Walz PH. Bovine viral diarrhea virus in heterologous species. Anim Health Res Rev. 2010;11:191-195.
- 7. Van Campen H, Frölich K, Hoffmann M. 2001. Pestivirus Infection. <u>In</u>:William, ES Barker IK (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, 3rd ed. Ames (IA) Blackwell Publishing; 2001. p. 232-244.
- 8. Walz PH, Grooms DL, Passler T, Ridpath JF, Tremblay R, Step DL, Callan RJ, M.D. Givens MD. Control of bovine viral diarrhea virus in ruminants. J Vet Intern Med. 2010;24:476-486.

BOVINE BRUCELLOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs	-		Control	
Affected						
Ungulates	Usually ingestion of	Abortion (mid	High morbidity	Antibiotics	Avoidance,	Yes
(cattle,	materials contaminated	to late term),	in naïve herds.	in humans;	quarantine	
bison,	by birthing or abortion	stillborn or	Generally, a	none in	incoming	
buffalo, elk,	products (fluids,	weak calves,	mild disease in	animals	animals. Test	
goats,	placenta, fetus); direct	neonatal death,	animals (except		and slaughter of	
sheep,	contact with afore-	placentitis,	abortion) with		serologic	
camel,	mentioned materials or	endometritis,	chronically		reactors. Long	
etc.), swine,	semen, ingestion of	epididymitis,	infected herds		term antibiotics	
carnivores,	unpasteurized milk or	seminal	stabilizing at		for humans. But	
rodents,	dairy products.	vesiculitis,	30-50% sero-		antibiotics are of	
voles,		orchitis,	prevalence.		questionable	
pinnipeds,	Fomites and	testicular			value in	
cetaceans,	mechanical vectors can	abscess,	Produces		animals.	
humans.	transmit the organism.	spondylitis or	undulant fever			
	The organism can pass	arthritis. Many	in humans that		Use of personal	
	abraded skin or intact	times, no other	is chronic and		protective	
	mucous membranes	outward	debilitating, but		equipment	
	and persists in the	clinical signs.	not generally		(adequate	
	environment for up to		life threatening;		gloves,	
	60 days, especially at	Chronically	however, B.		protective	
	low temperatures or	infected	<i>melitensis</i> and		clothing,	
	high organic material.	animals may	B. suis tend to		respiratory and	
	Venereal transmission	have decreased	induce		mucosal	
	also can occur with B .	milk	myocarditis		membrane	
	suis, B . $ovis$, and B .	production or	which can be		protection) for	
	canis	possible	associated with		vocational	
		hygromas.	fatality.		exposure in	
					humans.	
T		l	1	l	l.	

Fact Sheet compiled by: S.W. Jack; updated by Frank Austin

Sheet completed on: 3 May 2011; updated 30 July 2013, April 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Steve Olsen; Julie Ter Beest; Jim Watson

Susceptible animal groups: Ungulates (cattle, bison, buffalo, elk, goats, sheep, reindeer, camel, etc.), swine, carnivores, rodents, pinnipeds, cetaceans, horses, and humans.

Causative organism: *Brucella* species generally have a preferred natural host but will frequently infect other hosts. *Brucella abortus* (cattle and humans) is the primary causative agent. However, there are other *Brucellae* that include: *B. melitensis* (small ruminants and cattle) although it is not present in US, this organism has been seen in humans in the US from imported non-pasteurized dairy products. *B. ovis* (small ruminants), *B. suis* (swine, reindeer – biovarian 4, cattle), *B. canis* (dogs), *B. neotomae* (rodents), *B. microti* (voles and foxes), *B. ceti* (cetaceans), *B. pinnipedialis* (pinnipeds) and *B. inopinata* (humans). *Brucellae* are gram negative non-enteric facultative intracellular coccobacilli.

Zoonotic potential: Relatively high.

Distribution: World-wide, although regionally it is limited in North America (Greater Yellowstone Area -B. *abortus* in bison and elk). *Brucella suis* transmitted from feral swine is increasingly occurring in cattle housed in the southeast US. Increasingly *B. melitensis* is occurring in cattle in central Asia and the Middle East.

Incubation period: Quite variable, 2 weeks to 1 year or longer.

BOVINE BRUCELLOSIS

Clinical signs:

Abortion, weak calves, neonatal death, placentitis, endometritis, epididymitis, seminal vesiculitis, orchitis, testicular abscess, and spondylitis may occur. Often, no other outward clinical signs. Chronically infected animals may be "poor doers". In horses, infection may produce "fistulous withers".

In humans, severe "flu-like" signs, fatigue, headache, fever, chills, night sweats, joint pain, backache, weight and appetite decreases, spontaneous improvement but recrudesces ("undulant fever"). In cattle, *B. suis* does not appear to cause abortions in cattle but does colonize the mammary gland with subsequent high CFU within milk.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Aborted fetus</u>: autolysis (common intra-uterine death), subcutaneous edema, serosanguineous fluid in body cavities (peritoneum, pericardium and pleura), possible spleno- and hepatomegaly, pneumonia. Placentitis (inflamed or necrotic cotyledons), "leathery" intercotyledonary zones.

Adults: granulomatous to purulent inflammation of reproductive tract, hygromas, draining tracts.

Diagnosis:

Serology (agglutination – screening; FPA and CF – confirmatory; ELISA -available), Milk ring Test (BRT), perhaps bacterial isolation, newer PCR tests are available. Confirmatory tests: Culture of the organism is the "Gold Standard" for diagnosis. Confirmatory tests include standard tube test, Rivanol test, complement fixation test (CF), fluorescence polarization assay (FPA), particle concentration fluorescence immunoassay (PCFIA), semen plasma test, and standard plate test. 9 CFR Ch. I Part 78

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Microscopic examination of abortion products, Stamp's modification of Ziehl-Neelsen method for presumptive diagnosis (low yield procedure). Culture of fetal membranes, fetal stomach contents, many fetal organs, vaginal secretions, milk, semen, arthritis or hygroma fluids (not often successful). Serology (ante-mortem or post-mortem) AGID, ELISA (most common procedures).

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Samples are to be tested for brucellosis only in cooperative State–Federal brucellosis laboratories or by persons who are authorized by Program officials to conduct the tests. See "Brucellosis Eradication: Uniform Methods and Rules" by the USDA APHIS.

Treatment: None in cattle.

Prevention and control:

All states are free of disease in commercial herds, except for the Greater Yellowstone Park Area. Test and slaughter in domestic cattle. Bulk Milk test (*Brucella* Ring Test) for herd; individual tests include blood agglutination and/or the CARD test. Animals that are positive are quarantined and only able to move to slaughter; samples are collected for culture. Market Cattle Identification (back tags) on sale barn animals to allow trace back in the event of a seropositive reactor. Cattle vaccines are available (e.g. strain 19 [old] and RB51). Personal protective equipment (PPE) for humans is good preventive measure, especially with exposure to birthing fluids.

Regarding vaccination in zoo and wildlife species:

- Abortions have been associated with *Brucella abortus* strain 19 live vaccination in bison; this vaccine offers limited protection against infection and abortion in bison and elk.
- *Brucella abortus* strain RB51 is a live vaccine for use in cattle that protects at least as well strain 19, does not cause abortion, and induces antibodies that can be distinguished from antibodies induced by natural infection.
- Calfhood vaccination of bison with strain RB51 vaccination may reduce transmission of brucellosis; the vaccine is not, however, recommended in elk or reindeer.

BOVINE BRUCELLOSIS

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Most disinfectants are effective, e.g. 2.5% hypochlorite, 70% ethanol, formalin, glutaraldehyde, xylenes, iodophors, phenolics, 20% slaked lime, 2-3% caustic soda, 10 minutes boiling.

Notification: Contact state veterinarian and/or AVIC.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan:

Brucellosis Eradication Program (see Uniform Methods and Rules)

- -Bulk Milk testing (Brucella Ring Test)
- -Serum testing at sale barns (blood agglutination test)
- -Fluorescence polarization assay
- -CARD test (cow side rapid diagnostics)
- -Market Cattle Identification (back tags)

Currently in the US, sampling surveillance is performed at large slaughter plants or in states with high risk for exposure.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Infected animals are quarantined and should not be introduced to other animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Brucellosis Eradication Program (see Uniform Methods and Rules) 12 consecutive months without seropositive evidence of disease is required.

Experts who may be consulted: Federal and state veterinary authorities (AVIC and state veterinarian, respectively); international (OIE).

References:

- 1. Center for Food Security & Public Health, Iowa State University [Internet]. Brucellosis; 2018 [cited 2019 September 21]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/brucellosis.pdf
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Brucellosis; 2019 [cited 2019 September 21]. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/brucellosis
- 3. Forbes L. Brucellosis in specialized livestock. Youngquist RS, Threlfall WR (eds.). Current Therapy in Large Animal Theriogenology. St. Louis (MO): 2nd Ed. W.B. Saunders; 2007. p. 1014-1029.
- 4. Robinson A. Guidelines for coordinated human and animal brucellosis surveillance. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) [Internet]. 2003 [cited 2019 September 24]. Available from http://www.fao.org/docs/eims/upload/215249/y4723e00.pdf
- 5. United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service [Internet]. Facts about Brucellosis; [cited 2013 August 1]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_diseases/brucellosis/downloads/bruc-facts.pdf
- 6. United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service Veterinary Services [Internet]. National Brucellosis Surveillance Strategy December 2010; 2010 [cited 2013 August 1]. Available from
 - http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_diseases/brucellosis/downloads/natl_bruc_surv_strate gy.pdf
- 7. United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service [Internet]. Brucellosis and Yellowstone Bison; [cited 2019 September 21]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_dis_spec/cattle/downloads/cattle-bison.pdf.
- 8. United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service [Internet]. Brucellosis Eradication: Uniform Rules and Methods effective October 1, 2003; 2003 [cited 2013 August 1]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_diseases/brucellosis/downloads/umr_bovine_bruc.pdf

MARINE MAMMAL BRUCELLA

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Marine mammals, humans. Experimental disease has been demonstrated in cattle and guinea pigs, suggesting other terrestrial animals are likely susceptible.	Unknown, but likely similar to terrestrial species, including in utero (vertical transmission), ingestion of milk or contaminated fish, mucous membrane exposure, sexual contact, or contact with infected placenta or birthing fluids. Lungworms appear to serve as vectors of marine brucellae.	Variable, depending on affected organ system and strain of bacteria. Nonapparent disease to stranding, abortion, placentitis, infertility (including orchitis and epididymitis), neurologic signs, cutaneous lesions, osteomyelitis and arthritis, cardiovascular disease, and respiratory distress/disease have been reported in cetaceans.	Variable; serologic evidence of exposure without clinical disease is common. Cetaceans may exhibit inapparent, acute or chronic disease states. Except for a single placenta sample demonstrating placentitis in a Northern fur seal, pathology in pinnipeds has not yet been reported.	A single case of successful treatment of a pulmonary abscess, including intralesional amikacin and oral doxycycline and rifampin, has been reported. WHO reported that human disease may respond to similar antibiotic treatment including rifampin and doxycycline.	Not well defined; serological tests can be used for screening. PCR or culture may identify animals actively shedding bacteria. General biosecurity and quarantine protocols.	Yes.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Claire Erlacher-Reid **Sheet completed on:** updated on 30 May 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sarah Churgin, Kirsten Gilardi

Susceptible animal groups: Cetaceans, pinnipeds, sea otters, and polar bears; also humans. There are no known reports of isolation or seropositivity in manatees or dugongs.

Causative organism: Small ($< 1.5 \, \mu m$ by $0.7 \, \mu m$), facultative intracellular, gram-negative coccobacilli. Brucella species typically have different host preferences, virulence, and zoonotic potential despite 97-99% similarity at genome level. Marine mammal *Brucella* spp. are currently identified as *B. ceti* (cetacean-origin strains) and *B. pinnipedialis* (pinniped-origin strains). Molecular characterization suggests two major *Brucella ceti* clades, one group primarily associated with porpoise isolates and another primarily associated with dolphin isolates. Distinctive genetic variations in *B. ceti* isolates appear to correlate with oceanic distribution and preferred host.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Outside of laboratory-associated infection, the route of exposure is not known, but food-borne exposure (ie. raw seafood) is suspected. Typing of human isolates suggests increased zoonotic potential associated with a single genotype (ST27). Clinical signs reported in humans include fever, headache, lethargy, myalgia, sinusitis, arthritis, fatigue, and neurological disease in rare cases.

Distribution: Globally distributed in wild species of cetaceans (including Phocoenidae, Delphinidae, Monodontidae, Balaenidae, and Balaenopteridae) and pinnipeds (including Phocidae, Otariidae, and Odobenidae). Seroprevalance fluctuates in wild populations over time.

Incubation period: Not defined.

Clinical signs: Variable, depending on affected organ system and strain of bacteria. Although bacterial strains are host-associated, cross-species infections occur frequently, and may affect expression of disease.

MARINE MAMMAL BRUCELLA

Seropositivity and bacterial isolation are reported in pinnipeds without overt disease, suggesting host-adapted or low-pathogenic strains. Stranding, inanition, infertility, abortion, neurologic signs, cutaneous and pulmonary abscessation and musculoskeletal disorders have been reported in cetaceans. Chronic, severe osteoarthritis has been reported in a sea otter.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: A single Northern fur seal placenta sample demonstrated severe placentitis in association with positive PCR testing and immunostaining for Brucella. Otherwise, pathology has not been previously reported in pinnipeds. In cetaceans, lesions are primarily seen in reproductive tract (orchitis/epididymitis, necrotizing placentitis/endometritis), reticuloendothelial/hemolymphatic systems (lymphadenitis, splenic necrosis), central nervous system (meningoencephalitis), musculoskeletal system (discospondylitis, osteomyelitis, and arthritis), and lung (interstitial pneumonia, pulmonary abscesses and granulomas, and lungworm associated pneumonia). Additional findings have included blubber abscesses, visceral necrosis, steatitis, mastitis, hepatomegaly, and vegetative endocarditis. Chronic granulomatous osteoarthritis and myelitis were noted in a sea otter with marine Brucella.

Diagnosis: Diagnosis can be divided into direct identification and indirect screening methods of detection. Bacterial isolation in culture from infected materials (CSF, brain, lymph node, and lung are most commonly used) remains the gold standard; however this method is difficult at best. Farrell's media or *Brucella*-agar with 5% horse blood may be used and incubated with 5-10% CO₂ for up to 14 days. Molecular characterization by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods include outer membrane protein polymorphisms, infrequent restriction site-derivative PCR, insertion sequence IS711 profiling, multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and multiple loci variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA). Detection of Brucella from a blowhole swab utilizing real-time PCR appears to correlate well with detection of Brucella in internal lung tissue. Real-time PCR may be used to screen for the presence of Brucella DNA in live cetaceans via blowhole swabs, blood, and/or fecal samples. Immunohistochemical staining can identify the presence of bacteria in tissues, but has not proved to be as sensitive as other methods for surveillance. A number of serologic methods are available for screening (*i.e.* Rose Bengal test and buffered plate agglutination test, the complement fixation test, enzymelinked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) or the fluorescence polarization assay (FPA), but sensitivity and specificity are variable and seropositivity does not correlate with active disease or bacterial shedding.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Fresh or frozen tissue, especially aborted fetuses (stomach contents, spleen and lung), fetal membranes, vaginal secretions (swabs), reticuloendothelial system (lymph nodes and spleen), brain/spinal cord/CSF, liver and kidney, or other gross lesions. In live animals, bacteria have been recovered from feces, blood, blow hole swabs, fine needle aspirates, and lungworms.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Clinicians with susceptible populations of marine animals should inquire about routine bacteriologic testing through their local or regional veterinary or medical diagnostic laboratories.

For culture and bacterial typing: USDA/APHIS National Veterinary Services Laboratories Mycobacteria and Brucella Section—National Reference Laboratory 1920 Dayton Ave. Ames, Iowa 50010 (515) 337-7388

Routine culture:

Marine Mammal Diagnostic Laboratory UC Davis One Health Institute School of Veterinary Medicine 1089 Vet Med Drive, VM3B Davis, CA 95616 530-752-4167

MARINE MAMMAL BRUCELLA

PCR for *Brucella spp*:

Athens Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory

College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia

501 D.W. Brooks D.

Athens, GA 30602-7383

(706) 542-5568

www.vet.uga.edu/dlab

Marine mammal cELISA and qPCR:

Mystic Aquarium & Institute for Exploration

Dept. of Research and Veterinary Services

Tracy Romano 55

Coogan Blvd.

Mystic, CT 06355-1997

(860) 572-5955

Treatment: A single successful treatment of pulmonary abscess was reported in a captive dolphin. The treatment included intra-lesional amikacin followed by six to eight weeks of oral doxycycline and rifampin.

Prevention and control: Surveillance using serological tests can be used for screening population exposure. Blowhole and/or fecal PCR may identify animals actively shedding bacteria. General biosecurity and quarantine protocols are recommended for marine mammal rehabilitation and aquarium facilities.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: General measures for cleaning and disinfection should reduce environmental bacterial contamination, as *Brucella* bacteria are readily killed by common disinfectants and do not appear to live long outside the host cells.

Notification: Marine strains of *Brucella* are not currently reportable to State, Provincial or Federal bodies.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: These measures are not yet defined in marine species. Paired serology may be recommended for animals planned to be introduced, including use of appropriate quarantine protocols.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Not defined in marine species.

Experts who may be consulted:

Inga F. Sidor, DVM

New Hampshire Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory, University of New Hampshire 129 Main St Durham, NH 03824 inga.sidor@unh.edu

References:

- 1. Cassle S, Jensen E, Smith C, Meegan J, Johnson S, Lutmerding B, Ridgway S, FrancisFloyd R. Diagnosis and successful treatment of a lung abscess associated with *Brucella* species infection in a bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). J. Zoo. Wildl. Med. 2013;44(2): 495-499.
- 2. Colville J, Berryhill D. Brucellosis. In: Handbook of Zoonoses: Identification and Prevention. St. Louis (MO): Mosby Elsevier; 2007. p. 38-43.
- 3. Davis D. Brucellosis in wildlife. *In*: Nielsen K, Duncan J (eds.). Animal Brucellosis. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 1990. p. 321-334.
- 4. Duncan C, Tiller R, Mathis D, Stoddard R, Kersh G, Dickerson B, Gelatt T. *Brucella* placentitis and seroprevalence in northern fur seals (*Callorhinus ursinus*) of the Pribilof Islands, Alaska. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2014;26(4):507-512.
- 5. Dunn JL, Buck JD, Robeck TR. Bacterial diseases of cetaceans and pinnipeds. In: Dierauf LA, Gulland FMD. (eds.) CRC handbook of marine mammal medicine, 2nd ed. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2001. p. 312–314.

MARINE MAMMAL BRUCELLA

- 6. Foster G, MacMillan A, Godfroid J, Howie R, Ross H, Cloeckaert A, Reid R, Brew S, Patterson I. A review of *Brucella* sp. infection of sea mammals with particular emphasis on isolates from Scotland. Vet Microbiol. 2002. 90:563-580.
- 7. Foster G, Bjorn S, Osterman S, Godfroid J, Jacques I, Cloeckaert A. *Brucella ceti* sp. nov. and *Brucella pinnipedialis* sp. nov. for *Brucella* strains with cetaceans and seals as their preferred hosts. Int J Syst Evol Micro. 2007;57:2688–2693.
- 8. Gee J, De B, Levett P, Whitney A, Novak R, Popovic T. Use of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for rapid confirmatory identification of *Brucella* isolates. J Clinic Microbiol. 2004;42:3649-3654.
- 9. Guzman-Verri C, Gonzalez-Barrientos R, Hernandez-Mora G, Morales J, Baquero-Calvo E, Chaves-Olarte E, Morena E. *Brucella ceti* and brucellosis in cetaceans. Front. Cell. Inf. Microbiol. 2012;2:1-22.
- 10. Hernandez-Mora G, Palacios-Alfaro J, Gonzalez-Barrientos R. 2013. Wildlife reservoirs of brucellosis: *Brucella* in aquatic environments. Rev Sci Tech Off Int Epiz. 2013;31(1):89-103.
- 11. Hernandez-Mora G, Gonzalez-Barrientos R, Morales JA, Chaves-Olarte E, Guzmán-Verri C, Baquero-Calvo E, De-Miguel MJ, Marín CM, Blasco JM, Moreno E. Neurobrucellosis in stranded dolphins, Costa Rica. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14:1430–1433, Erratum 14:1825.
- 12. Maratea J, Ewalt D, Frasca S, Dunn J, DeGuise S, Szkudlarek L, St. Aubin D, French R. Evidence of *Brucella* sp. infection in marine mammals stranded along the coast of southern New England. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2003;34:256-261.
- 13. Meegan J, Field C, Sidor I, Romano T, Casinghino S, Smith C, Kashinsky L, Fair P, Bossart G, Wells R, Dunn J. Development, validation, and utilization of a competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of antibodies against *Brucella* species in marine mammals. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2010;22: 856-62.
- 14. Miller G, Adams L, Ficht T, Cheville N, Payeur J, Harley D, House C, Ridgway S. *Brucella*-induced abortions and infections in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 1999;30:100-110.
- 15. Miller M, Burgess T, Dodd E, Rhyan J, Jang S, Byrne B, Gulland F, Murray M, Toy-Choutka S, Conrad P, Field C, Sidor I, Smith W. Isolation and characterization of marine *Brucella* from a southern sea otter (Enhydra lutris nereis), California, USA. J Wildl Dis. 2017;53(2):215-224.
- 16. Nymo I, Tryland M, Godfroid J. A review of *Brucella* infection in marine mammals, with special emphasis on *Brucella pinnipedialis* in the hooded seal. Vet Res. 2011;42(93): 1-13.
- 17. Sidor I, Dunn J, Tsongalis G, Carlson J, Frasca S, Jr. A multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction assay with two internal controls for the detection of *Brucella* species in tissues, blood, and feces from marine mammals. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2013;25(1):72-81.
- 18. Suarez-Esquivel M, Baker K, Ruiz-Villalobos N, Hernandez-Mora G, Barquero-Calvo E, Gonzalez-Barrientos R, Castillo-Zeledon A, Jimenez-Rojas C, Chacon-Diaz C, Cloeckaert A, Chaves-Olarte E, Thomson N, Moreno E, Guzman-Verri C. *Brucella* genetic variability in wildlife marine mammals populations relates to host preference and ocean distribution. Genome Biol. Evol. 2017;9(7):1901-1912.
- 19. The Merck Veterinary Manual. Brucellosis in large animals. <u>In</u>: Kahn C. (ed.). The Merck Veterinary Manual. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck & Co., Inc.; 2011. p. 1238-1241.
- 20. Whatmore A, Dawson C, Muchowski J, Perrett L, Stubberfield E, Koylass M, Foster G, Davison N, Quance C, Sidor I, Field C, St. Leger J. Characterisation of North American *Brucella* isolates from marine mammals. PLoS One 2017;12(9).
- 21. Whatmore A, Dawson C, Groussaurd P, Koylass M, King A, Shankster S, Sohn A, Probert W, McDonald W. Marine mammal *Brucella* genotypes associated with zoonotic infection. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14:517-518.
- 22. Wu Q, Conway J, Phillips K, Stolen M, Durden W, Fauquier D, McFee W, Schwacke L. Detection of *Brucella spp*. in bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncates* by a real-time PCR using blowhole swabs. Dis Aquat Org. 2016;120: 241-244.

PORCINE BRUCELLOSIS (Brucella suis)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Domestic and wild swine, European hare, reindeer and caribou, wild rodents.	Direct contact with infected animals or body discharges, ingestion of infected materials, venereal transmission, fomites.	Can be asymptomatic. Reproductive disease, lameness, posterior paralysis, pyrexia.	Mild to severe; death is rare.	None	No vaccine. Test and quarantine new animals, limit contact with wild swine, isolate or eliminate infected animals, decontaminate premises.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cora Singleton

Sheet completed on: updated 8 August 2018.

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Suzanne Kennedy-Stoskopf

Susceptible animal groups: Biovars 1 and 3 – domestic and wild swine. Biovar 2 – wild swine, European hare. Biovar 4 – reindeer and caribou maintain the infection; moose, cattle, Arctic fox, and wolves can also be infected. Biovar 5 – wild rodents in former USSR.

Causative organism: Brucella suis, a small Gram-negative coccobacillus, with five biovars.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Human brucellosis (biovars 1, 3, and 4) is mainly an occupational risk, seen in farmers, veterinarians, and abattoir workers. Biovar 2 is zoonotic but rarely reported in humans.

Distribution: Biovar 1 and 3 – worldwide. Biovar 2 – Europe. Biovar 4 – Arctic regions (including Alaska and Canada) and Russia. Biovar 5 – former USSR.

Incubation period: Bacteremia usually develops within 1 to 7 weeks (mean 2 weeks) after exposure. Bacteremia can last up to 90 days.

Clinical signs: Infection can be asymptomatic. Clinical problems include reproductive disease (infertility, abortion, weak or stillborn piglets, orchitis, epididymitis, metritis), and pyrexia with less common signs of lameness with swollen joints and tendon sheaths (due to bursitis, synovitis, arthritis), posterior paralysis, spondylitis, and abscess formation in organs. Death is rare.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Lesions are variable and may include abscess formation in affected organs including the liver, spleen, kidneys, reproductive tract, placenta, lymph nodes, joint capsules, tendon sheaths, and bones.

Diagnosis: Agent identification – bacterial culture, PCR

Serology – Buffered *Brucella* antigen tests such as buffered plate agglutination test and Rose Bengal test; ELISA; fluorescent polarization assay; complement fixation test. Serologic tests are more useful for identifying infected herds than infected individuals. Buffered antigen tests often preferred.

USDA presumptive tests – Buffered acidified plate antigen test, standard card test. USDA confirmatory tests – Standard tube test, particle concentration fluorescence immunoassay.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Bacterial culture – lymph node, reproductive organs, vaginal swab, products of abortion, synovial fluid, semen, blood. Serologic tests – Serum.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: State and federal laboratories that have been specifically approved for conducting swine brucellosis serology.

Treatment: No treatments have been proven effective and economically feasible.

Prevention and control: The United States maintains a federal program for eradication of brucellosis from domestic livestock. Porcine brucellosis is controlled through serologic testing and carcass inspection at slaughter, with trace-back investigations of any suspicious cases. Pigs are not vaccinated against brucellosis. Disease can be prevented by testing and quarantining new animals, eliminating contact with feral swine, and practicing good sanitation.

PORCINE BRUCELLOSIS (Brucella suis)

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Hypochlorite solutions, 70% ethanol, isopropanol, iodaphores, phenolic disinfectants, formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, xylene.

Notification: *B. suis* is considered eradicated from domestic swine in the United States. Infections are reportable to the USDA/APHIS through the State Veterinarian or the federal Area Veterinarian in Charge. The disease is also reportable to the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE).

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Reportable to USDA/APHIS.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Perform the necessary tests to determine presence or absence of brucellosis in individuals/herd and report results. Quarantine or depopulate infected or exposed animals. Clean and disinfect premises, vehicles, and equipment.

Experts who may be consulted:

Iowa State University College of Veterinary Medicine

Department of Veterinary Diagnostic and Production Animal Medicine

Phone: 515-294-1950 Fax: 515-295-3564

http://vetmed.iastate.edu/vdpam/

References:

- 1. Olsen SC, Boggiatto P, Nol P, Samartino L. Swine Brucellosis. *In:* Zimmerman JJ, Karriker LA, Ramirez A, Schwartz KJ, Stevenson GW, Zhang J (eds.). Diseases of Swine, 11th ed. Ames (IA): Wiley-Blackwell; 2018. *in press*.
- 2. Spickler, Anna Rovid [Internet]. Brucellosis: *Brucella suis*; 2018 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/brucellosis_suis.pdf.
- 3. Thorne ET. Brucellosis. In: Williams ES, Barker IK (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, 3rd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 372-395.
- 4. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services [Internet]. All National Animal Health Laboratories Network (NAHLN) Laboratory List; 2018 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahln/downloads/all_nahln_lab_list.pdf.
- 5. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services [Internet]. Diagnostic Testing at the National Veterinary Services Laboratories; 2015 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/lab-infoservices/sa_diagnostic_tests/ct_diagnostic_tests.
- 6. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service [Internet]. Swine Brucellosis Control/Eradication: State-Federal-Industry Uniform Methods and Rules. APHIS 91-55-042; 1998 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_dis_spec/swine/downloads/sbruumr.pdf.
- 7. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) [Internet]. Brucellosis (Brucella abortus, B. melitensis and B. suis). In: Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2018; 2016 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from

http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.01.04_BRUCELLOSIS.pdf

CAMPYLOBACTERIOSIS

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected Mammals, including humans; birds; reptiles; fish; and shellfish	Food- or water-borne; fecal-oral spread; direct contact with contaminated surfaces or contact with infected animals	Host-specific: none to severe; diarrheal disease - watery or bloody; possibly with fever, abdominal cramps, nausea, and vomition; other illnesses, such as abortion and infertility, and periodontal disease	Mild to life threatening; gastroenteritis, with possible sepsis and disseminated infections; children, immune- compromised individuals and the elderly may be at greater risk. Long-term consequences (such as arthritis or Guillain-Barré) occur rarely in people	Extra fluids to remain hydrated as long as diarrhea persists. Recovery often occurs without antibiotics, although they may be used to shorten the duration of clinical signs if administered early in course of disease.	Practice sanitary food preparation; use good personal and environmental hygiene; wear gloves when working with infected animals or surfaces in contact with their feces; wash hands with soap and water. To reduce venereal transmission, use strict hygiene, artificial insemination and vaccination; tetracycline may prevent abortion in ewes	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Teresa J. Sylvina (previously published as Taranjit Kaur)

Sheet completed on: 13 April 2011; updated 22 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Jatinder Singh, Michael R. Cranfield

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals, including humans; birds; reptiles, fish, and mollusks

Causative organism: Campylobacter spp.(various)

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Surfaces (wet cutting boards or utensils) where raw or partially cooked meat (particularly poultry) is prepared; surface waters and mountain streams exposed to feces from cattle and wild birds; surfaces in contact with feces from infected agricultural animals, pets, wild, zoo and lab animals.

Incubation period: 2-5 days, and may be up to one week

Clinical signs: Clinical signs are host-specific; cross-infection is possible and range from none to severe. Diarrhea tends to be watery or may be bloody; fever, abdominal cramps, nausea, and vomiting may also be present; other illnesses, such as abortion, stillbirths or infertility may occur in cattle and sheep.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings

CAMPYLOBACTERIOSIS

Enteric campylobacteriosis: Biopsy specimens from people have shown acute colitis with inflammatory infiltrates of the lamina propria and crypt abscesses. Organisms can stably colonize the small and large intestine, although most animals show cecal and colonic lesions with typhlocolitis; marked inflammation of lamina propria, dominated by neutrophilic polymorphonuclear and mononuclear cells that sometimes extend into submucosa; crypt abscesses and damage to the crypt epithelium is common; a compromised epithelial surface also been observed in most species.

Bovine and ovine genital campylobacteriosis: Abortion occurs most frequently in late pregnancy with occasional infertility. Liver shows typical gray, necrotic foci 1-2 cm in diameter; fetuses usually edematous and body cavities contain reddish fluid; fetal membranes edematous and cotyledons pale and necrotic but lesions do vary. Curved bacteria in stains of cotyledon impressions or fetal abomasal fluid. Gram negative organisms found in wet preps of abomasal fluid examined by dark-field or phase-contrast microscopy.

Diagnosis: Bacterial culture of fresh feces; darkfield examination of abomasal contents or culture of placenta or abomasal contents or in uterine discharge

Material required for laboratory analysis: Fresh fecal samples in enteric transport kit and storage at 4°C Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Laboratories capable of bacteriologic culturing on selective culture media incubated under microaerobic conditions, and temperatures allowing growth of non-thermotolerant species. *Campylobacter* species are difficult to isolate and suboptimal conditions for isolation will yield false-negative results. Variations in laboratory practices have been reported, also suggesting variations in specimen handling and processing, which likely influence recovery and detection of *Campylobacter* species.

Treatment: Usually no medical treatment is necessary. Rehydrating levels of fluids should be administered during diarrheal disease. Appropriate antibiotics, such as erythromycin, may be a consideration in some cases. Suspected genital campylobacteriosis should be confirmed by isolation of organisms from herd bulls, selected infertile non-pregnant cows or aborted fetuses or cotyledons.

Prevention and control: Raw poultry meat should not be prepared on a cutting board then used unwashed for other food items, especially when not cooked after handling. Appropriate hygiene in food preparation should include separate cutting boards for proteins and produce. Unpasteurized milk and untreated surface water and mountain streams should be avoided. Wash hands using soap and running water after contact with animals, their enclosures, and other surfaces that are in contact with feces from animals. Animals infected with genital campylobacteriosis should not be utilized for breeding.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: After cleaning gross contamination, diluted bleach (15ml in one quart of water) applied to dry or wiped dry after 10 minutes. Other disinfectants may be used; check disinfectant label to verify its effectiveness against *Campylobacter* spp.

Notification: Report cases to the local health department if zoonotic transmission occurs, depending on the state.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Maintain infected animal in a quarantine situation until the infection is cleared. Do not house infected animals with immune compromised animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Improvements in personal and environmental hygiene can be directed at animal husbandry and health staff. Education efforts can be directed toward proper food handling techniques, and toward avoiding consumption of potentially contaminated food, milk or water.

Experts who may be consulted:

James G. Fox. DVM, MS, DACLAM

Professor and Director, Comparative Medicine

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

CAMPYLOBACTERIOSIS

Cambridge, MA 02139 (617) 253-1757 jgfox@mit.edu

References:

- 1. http://www.cdc.gov/healthypets/diseases/campylobacteriosis.htm. Accessed 8 July 2013.
- 2. Debruyne, L., T. Broman, S. Bergström, B. Olsen, S. L. W. On, and P. Vandamme. 2009. *Campylobacter volucris* sp. nov., isolated from black-headed gulls (*Larus ridibundus*) Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 60: 1870-1875.
- 3. Dingle, K. E., M. J. Blaser, Z. C. Tu, J. Pruckler, C. Fitzgerald, M. A. P. van Bergen, A. J. Lawson, R. J. Owen, and J. A. Wagenaar. 2010. Genetic relationships among reptilian and mammalian *Campylobacter fetus* strains determined by multilocus sequence typing. J. Clin. Microbiol. 48(3): 977-980.
- 4. Fox, J. G., K. O. Maxwell, N. S. Taylor, C. D. Runsick, P. Edmonds, and D. J. Brenner. 1989. "*Campylobacter upsaliensis*" isolated from cats as identified by DNA relatedness and biochemical features. J. Clin. Microbiol. 27(10): 2376-2378.
- 5. Gossling, J., W. J. Loesche, and G. W. Nace. 1982. Large intestine bacterial flora of nonhibernating and hibernating leopard frogs (*Rana pipiens*). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44(1): 59-66.
- 6. Harvey, S., and J. R. Greenwood. 1985. Isolation of *Campylobacter fetus* from a pet turtle. J. Clin. Microbiol. 21(2): 260-261.
- 7. Hollamby, S, J. G. Sikarskie, and J. Stuft. 2003. Peafowl (*Pavo Cristatus*) for potential pathogens at three Michigan Zoos. J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 34(4): 375-379.
- 8. Kahn, C., and S. Line (eds.). 2010. The Merck Veterinary Manual. (10th ed.) Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck and Co., Inc. Pp. 171-174, 1227.
- 9. Kaur, T., J. Singh, M. A. Huffman, K. J. Petrželková, N. S. Taylor, S. Xu, F. E. Dewhirst, B. J. Paster, L. Debruyne, P. Vandamme, and J. G. Fox. 2011. *Campylobacter troglodytis* sp. nov., isolated from feces of human-habituated wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*) in Tanzania. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77(7): 2366-2373.
- 10. Misawa, N., S. Shinohara, H. Satoh, H. Itoh, K. Shinohara, K. Shimomura, F. Kondo, and K. Itoh. 2000. Isolation of *Campylobacter* species from zoo animals and polymerase chain reaction-based random amplified polymorphism DNA analysis. Vet. Microbiol. 71: 59-68.
- 11. M'ikanatha, N. M., L. A. Dettinger, A. Perry, P. Rogers, S. M. Reynolds and I. Nachamkin. 2012. Culturing Stool Specimens for *Campylobacter* spp., Pennsylvania, USA. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 18(3): 484-487.

CANINE DISTEMPER VIRUS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
All terrestrial	Highly contagious!	Respiratory,	Variable.	Secondary	Vaccination!	No.
families in the		gastrointestina	Inapparent to	infections.	Keep infected	
order Carnivora.	Aerosol of respiratory	1,	peracute	Supportive	animals	However,
	exudate is primary	integumentary	death.	care	isolated.	evidence
Also known	mode but other body	, ophthalmic,			Exclusion of	of
cases in marmots,	excretions and	CNS.			potential	correlation
phocids,	secretions may be				reservoirs	of CDV
primates,	infective.	Hyperkeratosi			(e.g., domestic	with some
tamandua,		s of footpads			dogs,	human
tayassuids, and	Vaccinal, or vaccine-	and			raccoons).	diseases.
sloths.	induced, distemper	myoclonus.				
	possible.					

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sharon L. Deem

Sheet completed on: updated 31 July 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: AJ Marlar, Cara Field

Susceptible animal groups: Species within all terrestrial families of the order Carnivora (Canidae, Mustelidae, Procyonidae, Mephitidae, Hyaenidae, Ursidae, Viverridae, Herpestidae, and Felidae). Phocids also infected with CDV. Pinnipeds, sea otters, and cetaceans susceptible to closely related viruses (e.g., PDV, PMV, and DMV). Additionally, CDV disease has been confirmed in primates, marmots, tayassuids, tamandua and sloths. Mustelids are exquisitely susceptible, with mortality approaching 100%.

Causative organism: Canine distemper virus. Single-stranded, enveloped RNA virus within the family Paramyxoviridae, subfamily Paramyxovirinae, and genus Morbillivirus. Related to measles, rinderpest, and peste des petits ruminants.

Zoonotic potential: No. Some correlation with human diseases and growing concern with the mutability and changing epidemiology of CDV.

Distribution: Worldwide.

Incubation period: 7-18 days in domestic dogs. Variable with species and across individuals but estimated 1 week to 1 month.

Clinical signs: Signs associated with respiratory, gastrointestinal, integumentary, ophthalmic, and the central nervous systems are commonly seen. Which system(s) is/are affected depends on species, as well as strain virulence and environmental conditions. Animals are often depressed with mucopurulent, oculonasal exudates. Nasal and digital hyperkeratosis (hard pad) and involuntary muscle twitching are characteristic in domestic dogs. Acute conjunctivitis and occasionally uveitis, but in less severe cases, keratoconjunctivitis sicca and chorioretinal lesions are common. Differential diagnoses must include rabies and other viral encephalitides, respiratory infections, toxoplasmosis, canine parvovirus, lead poisoning, and bacterial enteritides.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Most significant gross lesions are pneumonia, depletion of lymphopoietic organs, and hyperkeratosis of the nose, foot pads, and eyelids. Common histologic findings are hyperkeratosis of the nose, foot pads, and eyelids. Eosinophilic inclusion bodies are present in many organs (most commonly cytoplasmic but occasionally intranuclear) including the CNS, urinary bladder, and bronchial epithelium. Cytoplasmic inclusion bodies in the gastric mucosa and bile ducts and diffuse interstitial giant cell pneumonia often followed by suppurative bronchopneumonia. Often lymphoid depletion, diffuse interstitial pneumonia, and perivascular lymphoplasmacytic infiltration in areas of demyelination and neuronal degeneration of the CNS. Syncytial giant cells in the lungs and CNS white matter, anterior uvea, and lymph nodes may also be present. In contrast to histologic lesions identified in the domestic dog, lungs of large felids may show diffuse alveolar type 2 cell hyperplasia with intracytoplasmic and intranuclear viral inclusion

CANINE DISTEMPER VIRUS

bodies. Additionally, feline brain histopathology may lack the typical canid pattern of demyelination with astrocytosis and vascular cuffing. Most cats have had mild, patchy CNS lesions compared with those of canids.

Diagnosis: Clinical signs, especially hyperkeratosis of foot pads and nose, and myoclonus are highly suggestive of CDV. Clinical pathologic changes including absolute lymphopenia, thrombocytopenia, regenerative anemia, decreased albumin, and increased alpha and gamma globulin concentrations may be present. Cytologic evaluation and/or immunofluoresence of conjunctival scrapes, buffy coat smears, CSF, skin or foot pads may also demonstrate intracytoplasmic inclusion bodies. Paired sera by viral neutralization or the indirect fluorescent antibody test to show a four-fold rise in antibody titer may be of value although often unrewarding as many animals die before building measurable antibody titers. Antibodies in CSF may be more diagnostic than serum. Newer ELISAs have been developed to detect IgM and IgG antibodies allowing determination of recent infection or vaccination.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Unfixed lung, liver, lymph nodes, brain, and spleen of dead animals with suspected CDV infection should be collected for viral isolation, fluorescent antibody and/or RT-PCR. RT-PCR assays are the test of choice for antemortem testing on oral swabs, blood, skin biopsies or urine samples. Immunohistocytochemistry on formalin-fixed tissues or FA on frozen sections provides definitive evidence of CDV infection. Vaccine virus may be differentiated from street virus by different target cell susceptibility, but sequencing of PCR products is the most definitive test to differentiate between vaccine and wild type viruses.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: In the US, the Animal Health Diagnostic Center at Cornell, Michigan State Diagnostic Laboratory, and Colorado State Diagnostic Laboratory all routinely perform diagnostic tests for CDV. In Canada, biomaterials can be sent to Ontario Veterinary College. Other provincial laboratories in Canada should also be able to run CDV diagnostics.

Treatment: No specific therapy for animals with clinical canine distemper is available. Nonspecific treatment is supportive and includes fluids, antibiotics (for secondary bacterial infections), and medications to minimize CNS inflammation and seizure activity.

Prevention and control: Vaccination is the mainstay of prevention. In non-domestic species, recombinant vaccines are the safest. Exclusion of reservoir species from zoo sites, whenever possible, is important. Quarantine all animals suspected of being infected with CDV. Paired CDV titers should be used to monitor potentially naïve carnivores particularly when in quarantine before putting with others, or with breeding females to enhance pup titers.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: CDV, being an enveloped virus, is fairly labile in the environment. Extremely susceptible to ultraviolet light, heat, desiccation, and common disinfectants (e.g., formaldehyde, ether, chloroform, phenolic compounds, and quaternary ammonium compounds.)

Notification: None required.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Maintain infected animal in a quarantine situation until asymptomatic. May be necessary to cull animals with residual CNS complications.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Clean infected environment with any of the common disinfectants. Vaccination of susceptible species is imperative. Vaccines available are modified live (MLV), killed and recombinant. MLV in species safe to vaccinate and probably promotes life-long immunity but many vaccinal or vaccine-induced, infections have resulted from MLV vaccines in wildlife species. Currently the Purevax® Canine Distemper (Merial) recombinant vaccine is recommended for non-domestic carnivore species, but other products (Recombitek® C3 by Merial) have been used in zoological species but often multivalent and use may not be indicated in some species.

Experts who may be consulted:

Edward J. Dubovi, PhD

Animal Health Diagnostic Center

Director - Virology Section

CANINE DISTEMPER VIRUS

College of Veterinary Medicine at Cornell

Ithaca, NY 14853 Phone: 607-253-3923 Cell: 607-592-0575 ejd5@cornell.edu

Jean Paré, DMV, DVSc, DACZM

Global Health Program

Wildlife Conservation Society

2300 Southern Boulevard

Bronx, New York 10460

Phone: 718-741-1174 Fax: 718-220-7126 jpare@wcs.org

References:

- 1. Deem SL, Spelman LH, Yates RA, Montali RJ. A review of canine distemper virus in terrestrial mammals. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2000; 31:441-451.
- 2. Greene CE, Appel MJ. Canine Distemper. In: Greene, C.E. (ed.), Infectious diseases of dogs and cats. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders, 1998. p. 9-22.
- 3. Kennedy S. Morbillivirus infections in aquatic mammals. J Comp Pathol. 1998; 119:201-225.
- 4. Lunardi M, Molinari Darold G, Mendes Amude A, Arlington Headley S, Sonne L, Cristiane Ito Yamauchi K, Marques Boabaid F, Fernandes A, Alcindo Alfieri A. Canine distemper virus active infection in order Pilosa, family Myrmecophagidae, species *Tamandua tetradactyla*. Vet Micro. 2018;220; 7-11.
- 5. Meli ML, Simmler P, Cattori V, Martínez F, Vargas A, Palomares F, López-Bao JV, Simón MA, López G, León-Vizcaino L, Hofmann-Lehmann R, Lutz, H. Importance of canine distemper (CDV) in free-ranging Iberian lynxes (*Lynx pardinus*). Vet Microbiol. 2010;146: 132-137.
- 6. Origgi FC, Plattet P, Sattler U, Robert N, Casaubon J, Mavrot F, Pewsner M, Wu N, Giovannini S, Oevermann A, Stoffel MH, Gaschen V, Segner H, Ryser-Degiorgis MP. Emergence of canine distemper virus strains with modified molecular signature and enhanced neuronal tropism leading to high mortality in wild carnivores. Vet Path. 2012;49(6):913-29.
- 7. Origgi FC, Sattler U, Pilo P, Waldvogel AS. Fatal combined infection with canine distemper virus and orthopoxvirus in a group of Asian marmots (Marmota caudate). Vet Path. 2013;50(5);914-920.
- 8. Qiu W, Zheng Y, Zhang S, Fan Q, Liu H, Zhang F, Wang W, Liao G, Hus R. Canine distemper outbreak in rhesus monkeys, China. Emerg Inf Dis. 2011;17:1541-1543.
- 9. Roelke-Parker ME, Munson L, Packer C, Kock R, Cleaveland S, Carpenter M, O'Brien SJ, Pospischil A, Hofmann-Lehmann R, Lutz H, Mwamengele GLM, Mgasa MN, Machange GA, Summers B, Appel MJG. A canine distemper virus epidemic in Serengeti lions (*Panthera leo*). Nature. 1996;379:441-445.
- 10. Sheldon JD, Cushing AC, Wilkes RP, Anis E, Dubovi E. Serologic response to canine distemper vaccination in captive Linnaeus's two-toed sloths (*Choloepus didactylus*) after a fatal canine distemper virus outbreak. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2017;48(4):1250-1253.
- 11. Williams ES. Canine distemper. In: Williams ES, Baker IK (eds). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 50-59.

Chrysosporium anamorph of Nannizziopsis vriesii: Nannizziopsis, Paranannizziopsis, and Ophiodiomyces ophidiicola (Under reclassification)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Reptiles	-Direct	Variable	Mild to	Itraconazole;	Proper	No direct
	-Indirect (via	dermatitis;	severe but	Voriconazole,	disinfection of	transmission
	fomites and	Cellulitis	high	Terbinafine	housing areas;	from
	environmental	and edema	mortality	(nebulization/	avoid	animals
	contamination)	may be	is possible	SQ implants/	contaminated	reported but
		present.		injection)	fomites;	humans can
		Internal			prevent	be infected
		organ			contact with	
		invasion			infected	
		with O.			animals	
		ophidiicola				

Fact Sheet compiled by: E. Marie Rush

Sheet completed on: updated 1 May 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Bonnie Raphael, Tim Georoff

Susceptible animal groups: Reptiles

Causative organism: Nannizziopsis spp., Ophidiomyces spp., Paranannizziopsis spp.

Formerly, this grouping was *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii* (CANV) fungus. Recent taxonomic publications have identified new epidemiological information about these fungi grouped under the CANV appellation. While *Nannizziopsis vriesii* does produce a *Chrysosporium* anamorph in culture, all CANV-like isolates differ so that an overarching CANV appellation is discouraged. For example, the "CANV" isolates that caused fatal disease in tentacled snakes have been reclassified as two species of *Paranannizziopsis*, which has not been isolated from other reptile species. *Paranannizziopsis* includes four species that infect squamates and tuataras. *Ophidiomyces* (*belonging to the Order Onygenales*) is a potent pathogen of snakes and associated with "Snake Fungal Disease," but it has not yet been recovered from ill lizards or crocodiles so may not be a threat to these taxa. *Nannizziopsis guarroi* is the main causative agent of "Yellow Fungus Disease," a common infection in bearded dragons, green iguanas, and other lizards. Classically dermatomycoses in reptiles are linked with stress and substandard husbandry in captive animals however experimental challenge of veiled chameleons (*Chamaeleo calyptratus*) with *Nannizziopsis dermatitidis* confirmed the organism can act as a primary pathogen.

Zoonotic potential: While it is not directly transmitted from animals to humans, infection has been reported in two human cases where pre-existing immunosuppression was present. There are multiple subspecies of reptile infective organisms. Human species of these organisms have not been recovered in reptiles.

Distribution: Worldwide.

Incubation period: 2-5 weeks

Clinical signs: Infection is often through a breach in the skin. *Ophidiopmyces* is the likely causative agent of "Snake Fungal Disease", however the two have not always been found in tandem. Slow progression occurs from dry, hyperkeratotic plaques or vesicles to exudative lesions with excessive crusting that may later darken and slough. Snakes with *Ophidiomyces*, may have increased ecdysis frequency, abnormal resting in areas of the enclosure and anorexia. Skin may have fissures or thickening and upon pressure or incision into these areas, exudate may be expelled. Cellulitis can present concurrently. In advanced disease, general debilitation of the animal may be noted and deeper tissues – including muscle and bone – become affected. Hemogram and chemistry panels may be normal during this infection. In pygmy rattlesnakes, corticosterone levels were proportionately increased in direct correlation with severity of clinical SFD, recapture, decreased reproduction in females and decreased body condition score. Clinical signs correlated

Chrysosporium anamorph of Nannizziopsis vriesii: Nannizziopsis, Paranannizziopsis, and Ophiodiomyces ophidiicola (Under reclassification)

with decreased environmental temperatures have been established for SFD. *Paranannizziopsis australasiensis* has been reported in a tuatara with clinical signs and positive testing on PCR.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Initially, there will be hyphae proliferating in the epidermis (stratum corneum) with subsequent deeper invasion in most cases. Progression to liquefactive necrosis of the epidermis with or without granulomatous inflammation of the dermis is noted over time. Terminal chains of arthroconidia may be seen on hyphae, and with *Ophidiomyces*, granulocytic change at sites of microinvasion.

Diagnosis: Clinical signs are suggestive. Fungal culture of the organism on MycoselTM Agar with incubation at 25-28°C. Histopathology can be performed. PAS stained sections of tissues will reveal hyphae in the keratin layer, epidermis, dermis and occasionally skeletal muscle layers depending on severity of disease. Fungus can be identified by PCR. Although Taqman RT PCR and PCR are considered the most sensitive and specific of the diagnostic tests, not all tissues may be positive, even if grossly visible lesions are present. In a study with *O. ophiodiicola*, 98% of culture positive (and 40% of culture negative) snakes were found to be positive on RT-PCR. Of these snakes, 20 showed clinical signs and 16 had no clinical signs of infection. This study suggests that some asymptomatic snakes (~6%) may harbor low levels of fungus, and PCR paired with histopathology is recommended for definitive diagnosis. Massasaugas in Illinois with *Ophiodiomyces* showed changes in WBC counts, lymphocytes and basophils noted retrospectively over peak years.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Frozen and formalin-fixed representative tissue samples from multiple organ systems (including skin, muscle, and bone) of necropsy specimens. Biopsies from live animals should be divided and submitted chilled for culture and fixed for histopathology.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Most diagnostic laboratories are capable of culturing of this organism. Pre-emptive contact with microbiologist prior to sample submission greatly increases the chance of diagnosis.

Treatment: Itraconazole and voriconazole can be used systemically. Terbinafine (10mg/kg PO SID x 7 days; pulse repeat Q3wk until one-week past resolution of signs) pulsed with itraconazole or voriconazole. In a study with timber rattlesnakes and massasaugas, voriconazole via SQ pump led to adequate levels in timber rattlesnakes, but not massasaugas. Levels post injection in cottonmouths were maintained for 12-24 hours. Cloacal administration in cottonmouths did not reach adequate levels, and several snakes died after a single injection without further treatment. In cottonmouths, terbinafine reached peak concentrations at 0.5-4 hours post nebulization, and on day 1using subcutaneous implants (which maintained therapeutic levels for over 6 weeks).

Although topical disinfection of skin lesions with chlorhexidine solution may be helpful, alone it is not likely to be successful so combined approach is needed. Cutaneous lesions can be debrided aggressively along with topical antifungal and antibacterial dressings. Mycetomas should be considered for surgical excision in addition to systemic treatment. Prognosis for deeper structure involvement (e.g., bone) is guarded to poor.

Prevention and control: Optimization of husbandry conditions is critical for most reptiles to prevent disease as the problem is exacerbated by suboptimal husbandry. Housing areas should be thoroughly disinfected between individuals and any porous material from the enclosures should be discarded if unable to be sterilized or properly disinfected (i.e. substrate, drift wood furniture, etc). Proper quarantine measures for new animals should be followed. Separation of infected animals from healthy animals should be done until infection is completely cleared, based on biopsies and culture.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Bleach and chlorhexidine for CANV. For *Ophidiomyces*: 3% bleach for at least 2 minutes, 70% ethanol, 0.16% Roccal®-D for 10 minutes, Lysol® products, CLR® bath and kitchen cleaner (5-10% lactic acid), Process NPD® or Formula 409® household cleaners. Chlorhexidine, Simple Green® and spectracide are NOT effective for *Ophidiomyces*. Ten minutes of contact

time is recommended for most cleaners to reach optimal spore removal.

Notification: None required

Chrysosporium anamorph of Nannizziopsis vriesii: Nannizziopsis, Paranannizziopsis, and Ophiodiomyces ophidiicola (Under reclassification)

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None required

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: It is not recommended to introduce non-infected animals to infected animals until confirmation that infection is completely cleared based on culture of biopsy of the originally affected areas.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: It must be assured no residual carrier animals in remaining group of animals.

Experts who may be consulted:

Jean A. Paré, DMV, DVSc, DACZM

Associate Veterinarian

Global Health Program

Wildlife Conservation Society

2300 Southern Boulevard

Bronx, NY, 10460, USA

Tel: 718-741-1174 Fax: 718-220-7126

Email: jpare@wcs.org

Matt Allender, DVM, MS, PhD, Dipl. ACZM

Director, Wildlife Epidemiology Lab

Assistant Professor

College of Veterinary Medicine

University of Illinois

3846 VMBSB

2001 S. Lincoln Ave., Urbana, IL 61802

Tel: 217-265-0320

Email: mcallend@illinois.edu

References:

- 1. Abarca ML, Martorell J, Castellá G, Ramis A, Cabañes FJ. Cutaneous hyalohyphomycosis caused by a *Chrysosporium* species related to *Nannizziopsis vriesii* in two green iguanas (*Iguana iguana*). Med Mycol. 2008;46:349-354.
- 2. Allender MC, Hileman ET, Moore J, Tetzlaff S. Detection of *Ophidiomyces*, the causative agent of snake fungal disease, in the eastern massasauga (*Sistrurus catenatus*) in Michigan, USA, 2014. J Wildl Dis. 2016;52(3):694-8.
- 3. Allender MC, Phillips CA, Baker SJ, Wylie DB, Narotsky A, Dreslik MJ. Hematology in an eastern massasauga (*Sistrurus catenatus*) population and the emergence of *Ophidiomyces* in Illinois, USA. J Wildl Dis. 2016;52(2):258-69.
- 4. Bertelsen MF, Crawshaw GJ, Sigler L, Smith DA. Fatal cutaneous mycosis in tentacled snakes (*Erpeton tentaculatum*) caused by the *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii*. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2005;36: 82-87.
- 5. Bohuski E, Lorch JM, Griffin KM, Blehert DS. TaqMan real-time polymerase chain reaction for detection of *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola*, the fungus associated with snake fungal disease. BMC Vet Res. 2015;11:95.
- 6. Bowman MR, Paré JA, Sigler L, Naeser JP, Sladky KK, Hanley CS, Helmer P, Phillips LA, Brower A, Porter R. Deep fungal dermatitis in three inland bearded dragons (*Pogona vitticeps*) caused by the *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii*. Med Mycol. 2007;45: 371-376.
- 7. Eatwell K. Suspected fatal *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesi*i (CANV) dermatitis in an albino boa constrictor (*Constrictor constrictor*). J Small Anim Pract. 2010;51(5):290.

Chrysosporium anamorph of Nannizziopsis vriesii: Nannizziopsis, Paranannizziopsis, and Ophiodiomyces ophidiicola (Under reclassification)

- 8. Franklinos LH, Lorch JM, Bohuski E, Fernandez JR, Wright ON, Fitzpatrick L, Petrovan S, Durrant C, Linton C, Baláž V, Cunningham AA. Emerging fungal pathogen *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* in wild European snakes. Sci Rep. 2017;19;17(1):3384.
- 9. Guthrie AL, Knowles S, Balimann AE Lorch JM. Detection of snake fungal disease due to *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* in Virginia, USA. J Wildl Dis. 2016;52(1):143-9.
- 10. Hellebuyck T, Baert K, Pasmans F, Van Waeyenberghe L, Beernaert L. Chiers K, De Backer P, Haesebrouck F, Martel A. Cutaneous hyalohyphomycosis in a girdled lizard (*Cordylus giganteus*) caused by the *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii* and successfully treated with voraconizole. Vet Derm. 2010;21(4):429-433.
- 11. Hill AJ, Leys JE, Bryan D, Erdman FM, Malone KS, Russell GN, Applegate RD, Fenton H, Niedringhaus K, Miller AN, Allender MC. Common cutaneous bacteria isolated form snakes inhibit growth of *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola*. Ecohealth. 2018;15(1):109-120.
- 12. Humphrey S, Alexander S, Ha HJ. Detection of *Paranannizziopsis australasiensis* in tuatara (*Sphenodon punctatus*) using fungal culture and a generic fungal PCR. N Z Vet J. 2016;64(5):298-300.
- 13. Johnson RS, Sangster CR, Sigler L, Hambleton S, Paré JA. Deep fungal dermatitis caused by the *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii* in captive coastal bearded dragons (Pogona barbata). Aust Vet J. 2011;89(12):515-519.
- 14. Johnson DH. An emerging dermatomycosis and systemic mycosis syndrome in bearded dragons. Exotic DVM 2004;6.3:75-77.
- 15. Kane LP, Allender MC, Archer G, Leister K, Rzadkowska M, Boers K, Souza M, Cox S. Pharmacokinetics of nebulized and subcutaneously implanted terbinafine in cottonmouths (*Agkistrodon piscivorus*). J Vet Pharmacol Ther. 2017;40(5):575-579.
- 16. Last LA, Fenton H, Gonyor-McGuire J, Moore M, Yabsley MJ. Snake fungal disease caused by *Ophidiopmyces ophiodiicola* in a free-ranging mud snake (*Farancia abacura*). J Vet Diagn Invest. 2016;28(6):709-713.
- 17. Lind CM, McCoy CM, Farrell TM. Tracking outcomes of snake fungal disease in free-ranging pygmy rattlesnakes (*Sistrurus miliarius*). J Wildl Dis. 2018;54(2):352-356.
- 18. Lind C, Moore IT, Akçay Ç, Vernasco BJ, Lorch JM, Farrell TM. Patterns of circulating corticosterone in a population of rattlesnakes afflicted with snake fungal disease: stress hormones as a potential mediator of seasonal cycles in disease severity and outcomes. Physiol Biochem Zool. 2018;91(2):765-775.
- 19. Lindemann, D.M., Allender, M.C., Rzadkowska, M., Archer, G., Kane, L., Baitchman, E., Driskell, E.A., Chu, C.T., Singh, K., Hsiao, S.H. and Sykes IV, J.M. Pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and safety of voriconazole and itraconazole in healthy cottonmouths (*Agkistrodon piscivorus*) and massasauga rattlesnakes (*Sistrutus catenatus*) with snake fungal disease. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2017;48(3):757-766.
- 20. Lorch JM, Knowles S, Lankton JS, Michell K, Edwards JL, Kapfer JM, Staffen RA, Wild ER, Schmidt KZ, Ballmann AE, Blodgett D. 2016. Snake fungal disease: an emerging threat to wild snakes. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2016;371(1709).
- 21. Lorch JM, Lankton J, Werner K, Falendysz EA, McCurley K, Blehert DS. Experimental infection of snakes with *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* causes pathological changes that typify snake fungal disease. MBio. 2015;6(6).
- 22. McCoy CM, Lind CM, Farrell TM. Environmental and physiological correlates of the severity of clinical signs of snake fungal disease in a population of pygmy rattlesnakes (*Sistrurus miliarus*). Conserv Physiol. 2017;5(1).
- 23. Mitchell MA, Walden MR. *Crysosporium* anamorph *Nannizziopsis vriesii*: an emerging fungal pathogen of captive and wild reptiles. Vet Clin North Am Exot Anim Pract: 2013;16(3):659-68.

Chrysosporium anamorph of Nannizziopsis vriesii: Nannizziopsis, Paranannizziopsis, and Ophiodiomyces ophidiicola (Under reclassification)

- 24. Nichols, D.K., R.S. Weyant, E.W. Lamirande, L. Sigler, and R.T. Mason. 1999. Fatal mycotic dermatitis in captive brown tree snakes (*Boiga irregularis*). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 30: 111-118.
- 25. Ohkura, M., R.R. Fitak, J.H. Wisecaver, et al. 2017. Genome sequence of *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola*, an emerging fungal pathogen of snakes. Genome Announc. Jul 27;5(30).
- 26. Ohkura, M., J.J. Worley, J.E. Hughes-Hallett, et al. 2016. *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* on a captive black racer (*Coluber constrictor*) and a garter snake (Thamnophis sirtalis) in Pennsylvania. J Zoo Wildl Med. Mar;47(1):341-6.
- 27. Paré, J.A. and L. Sigler. 2016. An overview of reptile fungal pathogens in the Genera Nannizziopsis, Paranannizziopsis, and Ophidiomyces. J Herp Med Surg, 26(1-2):46-53
- 28. Paré, J., and E.R. Jacobson. 2007. Mycotic diseases of reptiles. *In*: Jacobson, E.R. (ed.). Infectious Diseases and Pathology of Reptiles. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida. Pp. 527-570.
- 29. Paré, J.A., K.A. Coyle, L. Sigler, A.K. Maas, III, and R.L. Mitchell. 2006. Pathogenicity of the *Chrysosporium* Anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii* for veiled chameleons (*Chamaeleo calyptratus*). Med. Mycol. 44: 25-31.
- 30. Paré, J.A., L. Sigler, K.L. Rosenthal, and D.R. Mader. 2006. Microbiology: fungal and bacterial diseases of reptiles. *In*: Mader, D.R. (ed.). Reptile Medicine and Surgery, 2nd ed. Saunders Elsevier, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp: 217-238.
- 31. Paré, J.A., L. Sigler, K.L. Rypien, and C.F.C. Gibas. 2003. Cutaneous mycobiota of captive squamate reptiles with notes on the scarcity of *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii*. J. Herpetol. Med. Surg. 13: 10-15.
- 32. Paré, J.A., L. Sigler, D.B. Hunter, R.C. Summerbell, D.A. Smith, and K.L. Machin. 1997. Cutaneous mycoses in chameleons caused by the *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii* (Apinis) Currah. J. Zoo. Wildl. Med. 28: 443-453.
- 33. Picquet, P., K.O> Heckers, E. Kolesnik, A Heusinger and R.E. Marchang. Detection of *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* in two captive Bocourt water snakes (*Subsessor bocourti*) and one captive Pueblan mild snake (*Lampropeltis trangulum campbelli*). 2018. J Zoo Wildl Med. Mar;49(1):219-222.
- 34. Robertson, J., S.K. Chinnadurai, D.B. Woodburn, M.J. Adkesson and J.A. Landolfi. 2016. Disseminiated *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* infection in a captive eastern Massasauga (*Sistrurus catenatus catenatus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. Mar;47(1):337-40.
- 35. Rzadkowska, M., M.C. Allender, M. O'Dell and C. Maddox. 2016. Evaluation of common disinfectants effective against *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola*, the causative agent of snake fungal disease. J Wildl Dis. Jul;52(3):759-62.
- 36. Sigler, L., S. Hambleton, S. and J.A. Paré. Molecular characterization of reptile pathogens currently known as members of the *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii* complex and relationship to some human associated isolates. 2013. J Clin Microbiol. Oct;51(10):3338-57.
- 37. Steininger, C., J. van Lunzen, K. Tintelnot, I. Sobottka, H. Rohde, M. Ansver Horstkotte, and H.J. Stellbrink. 2005. Mycotic brain abscess caused by opportunistic reptile pathogen. Emerg Infect Dis 11: 349-350.
- 38. Thomas, A. D., L. Sigler, S. Peucker, J.H. Norton, and A. Nielan. 2002. *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii* associated with fatal cutaneous mycoses in the salt-water crocodile (*Crocodylus porosus*). Med Mycol. 40: 143-151.
- 39. Toplon, D.E., S.P. Terrell, L. Sigler, and E.R. Jacobson. 2013. Dermatitis and cellulitis in leopard geckos (*Eublepharis macularius*) caused by the *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii*. Vet Pathol. 50(4): 585-9.
- 40. Van Waeyenberghe, L., K. Baert, F. Pasmans, P. van Rooij P, T. Hellebuyck, L. Beernaert, P. de Backer, F. Haesebrouck, and A. Martel. 2010. Voriconazole, a safe alternative for treating infections

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual Chrysosporium anamorph of Nannizziopsis vriesii: Nannizziopsis, Paranannizziopsis, and Ophiodiomyces ophidiicola (Under reclassification)

caused by the *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii* in bearded dragons (*Pogona vitticeps*). Med Mycol. 48(6): 880-885.

CAPRINE ARTHRITIS-ENCEPHALITIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Goats	Vertical - Infected colostrum or milk - Possibly in utero or during parturition Horizontal - Aerosolization - Unsanitary milking practices - Possible venereal transmission	-Progressive lameness -Neurologic signs -Interstitial pneumonia -Mastitis -Chronic weight loss (animals may have one or more forms of the disease)	-Asymptomatic carrier state to chronic debilitating arthritis -Rapidly progressing neurologic disease	-Supportive care -Analgesics -Antibiotics for 2° infections	-Quarantine or cull infected animals -Serologic testing of herd every 6 months beginning at 6 months of age	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Andrea Goodnight

Sheet completed on: 15 April 2011; updated 21 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kimberly Rainwater, Eric Klaphake

Susceptible animal groups: Domestic goats and more common in dairy goat breeds. Domestic sheep may be infected, and non-clinical but possibly carriers.

Causative organism: Caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus (CAEV) is a small ruminant Lentivirus in the family Retroviridae that is related closely to OPP and Maedi-Visna viruses of sheep, and diagnostically difficult to differentiate.

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: Worldwide; more prevalent in herds with animals imported from long-established dairy herds. US, Canada, Europe – up to 80% seroprevelance (especially in long-established dairy herds); Southern Africa – "relatively free" of CAE.

Incubation period: Seroconversion occurs in 2-8 weeks, but disease may be clinically latent for years. Once an animal is infected, it remains infected for life.

Clinical signs: Five syndromes:

<u>Arthritis</u> – Chronic, goats > 6 mo of age; progressive lameness. Swelling of carpal joints most common and preferentially may affect hocks, stifles, hips, and atlantooccipital joints.

Radiographs show soft tissue swelling and periarticular calcification.

<u>Leukomyeloencephalitis</u> – Typically kids 1-4 mo of age, but may be seen in adults; ataxia progressing to tetraparesis; blindness, head tilt, facial paralysis, opisthotonos may occur. Clinical course 1-2 weeks. Very poor prognosis for recovery.

<u>Interstitial pneumonia</u> – chronic, more common in adults

<u>Mastitis</u> – interstitial ("hard udder"), hypogalactia or agalactia around parturition in young does <u>Chronic wasting</u> – poor body condition, rough hair coat

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

CAPRINE ARTHRITIS-ENCEPHALITIS

<u>Arthritis</u> – thickened joint capsule, periarticular mineralization; chronic proliferative synovitis with subsynovial mononuclear infiltrates.

<u>Leukomyeloencephalitis</u> – increased protein concentration in CSF with mononuclear pleocytosis. Asymmetrical foci of discoloration in the brain and/or spinal cord. Widespread perivascular infiltration by mononuclear cells. Coagulative necrosis and demyelination of white matter. <u>Interstitial pneumonia</u> – nodular lymphoid aggregates, proliferation of smooth muscle, massive infiltration of the alveolar walls by lymphoid cells

<u>Mastitis</u> – Inflammatory cell foci within interstitium. Extensive nodular lymphoid proliferation can be observed around the alveolar ducts. In chronic cases, inflammatory cells and connective tissue replace the normal parenchyma.

Diagnosis: Clinical signs: CAEV history in herd: Serology (ELISA or AGID); PCR; synovial fluid analysis – red/brown color with low viscosity; increased cell count, with the majority mononuclear cells (lymphocytes); synovial biopsy for histopathology. Positive test results in kids <90 days old usually reflect colostral antibody transfer. However, negative test results do not reliably rule out CAE virus infection, because the time for post-infection seroconversion is variable and occasional goats have a very low titer that may not be detectable. Low antibody titers are common in late pregnancy.

Material required for laboratory analysis: ship samples cool, on ice; serum (2 ml); whole blood in EDTA (5 mL)

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

National Veterinary Services Laboratories (NVSL) – ELISA and AGID

1920 Dayton Avenue, Ames, Iowa, 50010, USA

Tel: (515) 337-7266

Email: NVSL_Concerns@aphis.usda.gov

Website: http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/diagnos_tests.shtml

Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory (WADDL) - cELISA

Bustad Hall Room 155N, Pullman, Washington, 99164, USA

Tel: (509) 335-9696

Email: waddl@vetmed.wsu.edu

Website: http://www.vetmed.wsu.edu/depts_waddl/index.aspx

Colorado State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory – PCR, AGID, cELISA 200 West Lake Street, 1644 Campus Delivery, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80526, USA

Tel: (970) 297-0320 Email: dlab@colostate.edu

Website: http://www.dlab.colostate.edu/webdocs/services/index.htm

Treatment: Supportive care with analgesics (NSAIDs); physical therapy; antibiotics and antifungas for secondary infections. Antiviral medications may lessen severity and slow progression of disease but are not routinely used.

Frequent proper foot trimming, soft bedding, good pasture management

Prevention and control: Quarantine or cull affected and seropositive animals. Remove kids from affected dams immediately after parturition and feed heat-treated (56°C) colostrum and feed kids pasteurized goat's milk, milk from CAEV-negative goats, or milk replacer. Caesarean section may help prevent vertical transmission. Chemical disinfection of equipment. Serologic testing of herd recommended every 6 mo, beginning with kids at 6 mo of age.

CAPRINE ARTHRITIS-ENCEPHALITIS

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Phenolic and quaternary ammonium compounds

Notification: Reportable for disease monitoring to the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), USDA APHIS, and many state veterinarians.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None required

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: No seropositive animals remaining in herd after two successive testing periods. Testing performed twice yearly. Hand raise newborn kids on colostrum/milk from unadulterated source.

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. James Evermann

Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Lab

Pullman, Washington 99164 USA

Tel: (509) 335-3044

Email: jfe@vetmed.wsu.edu

Dr. Donald P. Knowles

Animal Diseases Research Unit (USDA/ARS)

College of Veterinary Medicine, Washington State University

3003 ABBF

Pullman, Washington 99164 USA

Tel: (509) 335-6001

Email: dknowles@vetmed.wsu.edu

Dr. Stephen Valas

Laboratoire de Niort, Laboratoire d'étude et de recherches caprines

60 rue du Pied de Fond, B.P. 3081, 79000 Niort, FRANCE

Tel: 33 (0)5 49.79.61.28 Fax: 33 (0)5 49.79.42.19 Email: stephen.valas@anses.fr

References

- 1. Bertoni, G. 2007. Caprine arthritis encephalitis complex. *In*: Tempesta, M. (Ed.): Recent Advances in Goat Diseases. International Veterinary Information Service, Ithaca, NY. http://www.ivis.org. Accessed 15 April 2011.
- 2. Cebra, C., and Cebra, M. 2002. Caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus infection. *In*: Pugh, D. G. (ed.). Sheep and Goat Medicine. W.B. Saunders, Co. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 388-389.
- 3. Logan, S., H. L. Tarpley, K. S. and Lattimer. 2004. Caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus. http://www.vet.uga.edu/VPP/clerk/logan/index.php. Accessed 15 April 2011.
- 4. Reilly, L. K., A. N. Baird, and D. G. Pugh. 2002. Caprine arthritis-encephalitis. *In*: Pugh, D. G. (ed.). Sheep and Goat Medicine. W.B. Saunders, Co. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 239-240.
- 5. Rowe, J. D., and N. E. East. 1997. Risk factors for transmission and methods for control of caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus infection. Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract. 13: 35-53.
- 6. Smith, M. C., and D. M. Sherman. 2009. Caprine arthritis encephalitis. *In*: Goat Medicine, 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell. Ames, Iowa. Pp. 8-803.

CAPRINE ARTHRITIS-ENCEPHALITIS

- 7. Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Lab. 2011. Caprine Arthritis Encephalitis (CAE) Virus. http://www.vetmed.wsu.edu/depts_waddl/caefaq.aspx. Accessed 3 March 2013.
- 8. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/emergingissues/downloads/prcaevinfosheet.pdf . Accessed 16 July 2013.
- 9. http://www.merckmanuals.com/vet/generalized_conditions/caprine_arthritis_and_enchph alitis/overview_of_caprine_arthritis_and encephalitis.html Accessed 3 March 2013

ENZOOTIC ABORTION OF EWES/OVINE ENZOOTIC ABORTION

(Chlamydophila abortus)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Sheep and goat; less commonly cattle, pigs, horses and deer.	Oral transmission. Organism shed in aborted fetuses, placenta, vaginal secretions (during estrus and up to 9 days prior to and weeks to months post abortion) and in infected semen. Birds, i.e. pigeons and sparrows, may be reservoirs.	Can see nonspecific malaise in pregnant animals. Late term abortions, stillbirths, and birth of weak offspring.	Can see high rate of abortion, >30%, in naïve flock or yearly rates up to 5% in enzootic form. Abortion storms can be seen in intensively managed flocks.	Tetracycline or oxytetracycline. Supportive care for complications of infection such as retained placenta, metritis, pneumonia or keratoconjunctivitis.	Remove infected or contaminated materials. Keep feed sources free of fecal material. Separate first lambing ewes from rest of flock. Animals that abort develop natural immunity (~3 year duration). Vaccination.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Denise McAloose

Sheet completed on: 13 January 2011; updated 26 March 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Bonnie Raphael, Carlos Rodriguez

Susceptible animal groups: Sheep, goat

Causative organism: *Chlamydophila abortus* (previously *Chlamydia psittaci* serotype 1) is the causative Gram negative intracellular bacterium and has two genera and 9 species. Antigenic strains in sheep and goat appear to be related. Antigenic type 1 is implicated in abortion, stillbirth and the birth of weak offspring.

Zoonotic potential: Yes, and it can cause serious infection in pregnant women and lead to miscarriage. Pregnant women are discouraged from having contact with the flock during lambing/kidding season. In non-pregnant humans, infection can produce flu-like symptoms.

Distribution: World-wide distribution

Incubation period: Infection occurs through ingestion. Organisms colonize the intestinal tract, invade the bloodstream and subsequently infect the placenta and developing fetus. Incubation can be as short as 2 weeks, although typically proliferation of the organism occurs at about day 90 of gestation. Infection is latent in lambs and non-pregnant ewes and becomes activated at conception.

Clinical signs: Non-specific malaise in dam may be seen. Abortion, stillbirth or birth of weak offspring does occur. Final trimester abortions occur in ewes infected at 5-6 weeks gestation; abortion in the subsequent pregnancy occurs in ewes that were infected after this time. Abortion can occur at any time during gestation for goats. In both species, retained placenta can occur. The infection in rams may cause orchitis.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Gross</u>: Placental tissues contain multifocal to coalescing areas of red discoloration and edema; tissues can have a leathery appearance. Changes are typically diffuse but more significant changes may be noted in the

ENZOOTIC ABORTION OF EWES/OVINE ENZOOTIC ABORTION

(Chlamydophila abortus)

cotyledonary than intercotyledonary areas. The aborted fetus is often well preserved although can be autolyzed; it may have multifocal areas of hemorrhage in muscle, lymphoid tissues and the subcutis and/or pinpoint yellow areas of discoloration on the surface of the liver.

<u>Histology</u>: Placental changes are characterized by fibrinoid vascular necrosis, thrombosis, and severe neutrophilic placentitis with superficial necrosis of the chorion. Trophoblasts often contain numerous intracytoplasmic organisms that distend the cells, are difficult to see with routine hematoxylin/eosin staining, and are positive with special staining using a modified Ziehl-Neelsen, Gimenez or Giemsa stain. Changes in the aborted fetus are few and characterized by foci of coagulative necrosis in the liver and spleen that may be associated with peripheral mononuclear cell inflammation. Mild subacute inflammation can also be seen in the lungs and mild meningoencephalitis has also been reported.

Diagnosis: History of abortion provides suspicion to perform testing.

<u>Serology</u>: Complement fixation tests can present some cross reactivity and doesn't distinguish between vaccination and natural infection; so should be paired at 2-3 weeks apart. High and rising titers in ewes and fetal serum antibodies aid in diagnosis of disease

Tissue sections: Histology; electron microscopy

<u>Special staining</u>: Positive staining of organisms with modified Ziehl-Neelsen, Gimenez or Giemsa in cytologic preparations or placentitis (confirmed histologically) with intralesional/intracellular positive organisms; alternatively can try to id organism on cytology of vaginal swab.

Immunologic tests: ELISA, IHC, FA

<u>Definitive diagnosis</u>: PCR and real-time PCR, PCR microarray hybridization, indirect inclusion fluorescent antibody test, immunohistochemical staining, tissue culture or egg inoculation

Material required for laboratory analysis:

<u>Placenta (preferred) or fetus</u>: Fresh tissue for cytologic preps; 10% neutral buffered formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue for histology or immunohistochemical staining; fresh or FFPE for PCR; contact laboratory for tissue storage/fixation for fluorescent antibody test;

Vaginal swab: For cytology or culture

Serum: C. abortus antibodies are confirmatory in the fetus; paired titers used diagnostically in adults

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Any laboratory capable of bacteriologic culturing is capable of diagnosing *C. abortus*.

National Veterinary Services Laboratories (NVSL)

P.O. Box 844 1920 Dayton Ave

Ames, IA 50010

515-337-7514

Treatment: *C. abortus* is sensitive to tetracyclines although sensitivity testing on cultured organism may aid treatment strategy. In face of outbreak, recommendations include treating all pregnant females during final 4-6 weeks of gestation. For disease prevention, two week treatment with tetracycline in feed (400 to 500 mg/head/day) in fiber-producing animals or injection of long-acting oxytetracycline (20 mg/kg IM or SC) every 10-14 days or twice a week treatment in the last 4-6 weeks gestation in dairy herds has been reported; alternately, can treat with one injection of long-acting oxytetracycline 6 to 8 weeks prior to parturition and 3 weeks post parturition.

Prevention and control: As high numbers of organisms are shed in aborted or stillborn fetuses and in infected placental tissues or uterine discharge; and organisms remain viable for several days or longer in cold or freezing

ENZOOTIC ABORTION OF EWES/OVINE ENZOOTIC ABORTION

(Chlamydophila abortus)

temperatures, isolate aborting dams and separate first lambing ewes from rest of flock. Animals that abort develop natural immunity of ~3 year duration.

Infected or contaminated materials should be removed and feed sources kept free of fecal material. Pest control should be practiced as transmission can occur via rodent and birds.

Vaccination: Live and inactivated vaccines are available for use in areas where vaccination is permitted; vaccination reportedly can prevent abortion and reduce excretion; can assist in control but will not eradicate it.

From Terrestrial Animal Health Code (http://www.oie Chapter 14.5):

<u>Prevention:</u> For importation for breeding: International veterinary certificate ensuring 1. animal has been housed for previous two years or since birth in facility with no EAE positive tests for previous two years 2. no clinical signs of EAE on day of shipment 3. was test negative for EAE within 30 days of shipment. For importation of semen: International veterinary certificate ensuring donor animals 1. are from facilities that have been EAE test negative for previous two years and have not been in contact with animals of lower health status and were test negative for EAE for 2-3 weeks post semen collection and 2. an aliquot of the semen for export was culture negative for *C. abortus*.

Control: Separate first lambing ewes from rest of flock. Segregate aborting animals from herd for minimum of 3 weeks, burn or bury aborted materials, disinfect the area. Prevent contamination of food and water. Control can also include culling of live kids born to infected dams. Ewes that abort develop natural immunity to infection after the first abortion (wanes after ~ 3 years). Vaccine is available and licensed in some countries. One recommendation is for IM or SC vaccination 8 weeks prior to breeding and once again 4 weeks later; though immunity is thought to be protective for 3 years, annual boosters prior to breeding season are suggested. Animals that abort develop natural immunity (~3 year duration). Note: Immune or vaccinated animals can shed organism.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Susceptible to disinfection with quaternary ammoniums.

Notification: Reportable to State and Federal agencies in United States and to OIE.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak:

The following are requirements of the Terrestrial Animal Health Code (http://www.oie; Chapter 14):

- 1. Sheep flock or goat herd is under official veterinary surveillance.
- 2. No sheep or goats have shown clinical evidence of infection for past 2 years.
- 3. A statistically appropriate number of sheep, goats > 6 months of age were test negative for EAE within past 6 months.
- 4. All sheep, goats are permanently identified.
- 5. No sheep, goat additions since 30 days prior to test in #3 unless
 - EITHER the additions were isolated from other animals in flock/herd in the flock/herd of origin for a minimum of 30 days and then were test negative for EAE prior to entry in the new flock/herd.
 - OR the animal originated from a flock/herd of equal health status.

Experts who may be consulted:

U.S. Department of Agriculture

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Veterinary Services, Emergency Management

4700 River Road, Unit 41

ENZOOTIC ABORTION OF EWES/OVINE ENZOOTIC ABORTION (Chlamydophila abortus)

Riverdale, MD 20737–1231 Phone: 301-734–8073 Fax: 301-734–7817

References

- 1. Foster, R. 2007. Female reproductive system. *In*: Pathologic Basis of Veterinary Disease, 4th ed. Mosby Elsevier, St. Louis, Mo. Pp. 1296.
- 2. Matthews, J. 1999. Abortion. *In*: Diseases of the Goat, 2nd ed. Blackwell Science, Ltd., Osney Mead, Oxford. Pp. 22-36.
- 3. Mobini, S., A. M. Heath, and D. G. Pugh. 2002. Theriogenology of Sheep. *In*: Sheep and Goat Medicine, 1st ed. Saunders, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 129-186.
- 4. Schlafer, D. H., and R. B. Miller. 2007. Female genital system. *In*: Maxie, G. (ed.). Jubb, Kennedy and Palmer's Pathology of Domestic Animals, 5th ed. Elsevier Saunders, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 429-564.
- 5. World Organization for Animal Health. 2012. Terrestrial Animal Health Code: Chlamydophila Abortus Infection. http://www.oie.int/index.php?id=169&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_1.14.5.htm Accessed 23 July 2013.

AVIAN CHLAMYDIOSIS/CHLAMYDOPHILOSIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Birds, humans, other mammals uncommon	Inhalation of aerosolized fecal matter and nasal discharges primarily; also oral secretions and feathers Fomites Mechanical transmission may occur – rodents and insects Vertical transmission is infrequent	Non-specific, oculo-nasal discharge, respiratory signs, conjunctivitis, diarrhea, weight loss, anorexia, depression, green to yellow green urates Some birds may have subclinical infections	Morbidity and mortality rates vary with the affected species, condition of infected individual, and strain/genotype involved.	For most avian species: Doxycycline for 30-60 days. See treatment section for details	Screen and quarantine new birds. Good hygiene practices. PCR testing for antigen testing. See Diagnosis section for details on other testing.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Danelle M. Okeson

Sheet completed on: 22 July 2010; updated 8 October 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Thomas N. Tully, Jr.; Robert D. Dahlhausen

Susceptible animal groups: Birds – reported in more than 30 orders of birds; but more common in Psittaciformes and Columbiformes (doves and pigeons). It is sometimes seen in ducks and turkeys but rarely in chickens. Some wild bird species may act as reservoirs, and egrets and gulls can be subclinical carriers for strains that are highly virulent for other birds.

Humans and less commonly other mammals such as occasionally in dogs, cats, horses, cattle, sheep, and muskrats.

Causative organism: Chlamydophila (Chlamydia) psittaci

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: World wide

Incubation period: Birds – 3 days to several weeks. Some birds may remain subclinical until stressed. Some birds may shed the infectious organism 10 days before clinical signs are observed.

Clinical signs:

Birds – lethargy, ruffled feathers, anorexia, oculonasal discharge, conjunctivitis, diarrhea, weight loss. Some birds may manifest respiratory signs ranging from sneezing to respiratory distress. Neurologic signs such as tremors, torticollis, or leg paresis may be found in subacute to chronic cases. Infected carriers may not have overt clinical signs.

Humans – fever, chills, myalgia, malaise, nonproductive cough sometimes with chest tightness and/or breathing difficulty; sometimes a nonspecific rash and enlarged spleen are also present.

Other mammals - linked to abortions in horses, cattle, and sheep; variety of clinical disease presentations in dogs including respiratory and reproductive signs.

AVIAN CHLAMYDIOSIS/CHLAMYDOPHILOSIS

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Nasal inflammation, pneumonia, fibrinous air sacculitis, hepatomegaly with multifocal hepatic necrosis, splenomegaly, pericarditis. Infected birds exhibiting no signs of illness often have no gross lesions

Diagnosis: Based on clinical signs, a combination of antigen and antibody tests, and clinical tests including hemogram, chemistry panel, and radiographs. The Compendium of Measures to Control *C. psittaci* lists case definitions for suspect, probable, and confirmed cases. PCR testing may be performed on whole blood samples and/or swabs of the choana or swabs of both the choana and cloaca. Sensitivity is improved if both blood samples and swabs are tested.

Serology is available but results must be interpreted appropriately. A positive serologic test result is evidence that the bird may have been exposed to *C. psittaci* in the past, but does not prove the bird is currently infected. Conversely, a negative serologic test result is not proof that the bird is free of infection. The Direct Compliment Fixation test has been historically the most commonly used serology assay.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Varies with type of testing, see Diagnosis section.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Several state/university and private labs offer testing, a few are listed below; see individual labs for types of tests offered.

Diagnostic Center for Population and Animal Health, Michigan State University www.dcpah.msu.edu Comparative Pathology Laboratory, University of Miami, Miami, Florida www.pathology.med.miami.edu Infectious Diseases Laboratory, University of Georgia College of Veterinary Medicine www.vet.uga.edu/sams/idl

Texas Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Laboratory, College Station, Texas

http://tvmdlweb.tamu.edu

Veterinary Molecular Diagnostics, Inc., Milford, Ohio

http://www.vmdlabs.com/

Treatment: Recommended treatment period for most avian species has traditionally been 45 days with doxycycline, however some birds may require treatment for as long as 60 days. Birds should be re-checked by swab and blood PCR, two weeks after cessation of treatment. Treatment protocols of 30 days length can be effective in budgerigars. However, "...no single protocol ensures safe treatment or complete elimination of infection in every bird. Therefore, treatment for avian chlamydiosis should be supervised by a licensed veterinarian after consultation with an experienced avian veterinarian." (Compendium of Measures to Control *C. psittaci*)

Prevention and control: Quarantine for at least 30 days and test birds* entering the existing bird collection; avoid obtaining birds from multiple sources; quarantine any sick birds; screen birds* with frequent public contact; practice preventative husbandry/good hygiene.

*No test or combination of tests can declare a bird "disease free" of *C. psittaci*. Subclinical carriers exist. Intermittent shedding of the organism may also complicate testing. Further details outlined in the Compendium of Measures to Control *C. psittaci* – see references, updated yearly and available online.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: The organism is susceptible to many detergents and disinfectants as well as heat. However, it is resistant to acid and alkali. Effective disinfectants include 1% Lysol, a 1:1,000 dilution of quaternary ammonium compounds (*e.g.*, Roccal, Zephiran), or freshly prepared 1:32 dilution of household bleach (½ cup/gallon).

Notification: Reportable disease under USDA-APHIS-VS National Animal Health Reporting System. Psittacosis in humans is a Nationally Notifiable Disease – and most states require physicians to report to appropriate local or state health authorities.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Infected birds should be isolated and treated. Other birds should not be introduced until treatment is completed and the infected bird's facility is

AVIAN CHLAMYDIOSIS/CHLAMYDOPHILOSIS

thoroughly cleaned and disinfected.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Infected pet birds or other valuable birds and their contacts may be isolated and treated. Poultry may be euthanized rather than treated, often due to economic constraints. Premises should be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected. New birds entering a facility can be tested for the disease but cannot truly be declared "disease-free".

Experts who may be consulted: Consult the list of contributors to the Compendium of Measures to Control *Chlamydophila psittaci* (www.nasphv.org/Documents/Psittacosis.pdf).

References:

- 1. Center for Food Security & Public Health, Iowa State University Avian Chlamydiosis www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/chlamydiosis_avian.pdf. Accessed 2 July 2013.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Psittacosis. www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/diseaseinfo/psittacosis_t.htm. Accessed 2 July 2013.
- 3. Compendium of Measures to Control *Chlamydophila psittaci* Infection Among Humans and Pet Birds, 2010 by the National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians (NASPHV) www.nasphv.org/Documents/Psittacosis.pdf
- 4. Dahlhausen, B., and C.S. Radabaugh. 1997. Detection of *Chlamydia psittaci* infection in pet birds using a molecular based diagnostic assay. Proc. Assoc. Avian Vet. Pp. 191-198.
- 5. Eidson, M. 2002. Zoonosis update. Psittacosis/avian chlamydiosis. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 221:1710–1712.
- 6. Phalen, D. 2006. Preventative medicine and screening. *In*: Harrison, G. J., and T.L. Lightfoot (eds.). Clinical Avian Medicine. Spix Publishing, Inc., Palm Beach, Florida. Pp. 573-585.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **CHRONIC WASTING DISEASE**

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Natural infection: mule deer (Odocoileus hemionus), white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), Rocky mountain elk (Cervus elaphus nelsoni), and moose (Alces alces)	Direct animal to animal con-tact and contact with contaminated environment. Agent shed in feces, saliva, urine, perhaps milk. Contagious among susceptible species but mechanism unclear.	Early: subtle and non- specific. Progressive emaciation, abnormal behavior, excessive salivation, and ending in mortality.	Progressive and fatal	None	Early detection and removal of infected individuals. Depopulation of infected captive herds unless regular antemortem testing of remaining animals is possible. Regulation of international and interstate movements of cervids and cervid tissues.	No evidence of transmission to humans under natural conditions. Human health advisory to avoid consumption of food derived from any animal with evidence of CWD or containing potentially infectious cervid tissues.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Roy Burns

Sheet completed on: 22 March 2011; updated 23 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Terry Spraker; Mark Drew; Bryan J. Richards

Susceptible animal groups: Natural infection: mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*), white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), Rocky mountain elk (*Cervus elaphus nelsoni*), red deer (*Cervus elaphus elaphus*), moose (*Alces alces*), and sika deer (*Cervus nippon*). Experimental infection (intracerebral inoculation; variable transmission success across experimentally susceptible species): muntjac (*Muntiacus* sp.), domestic cattle (*Bos taurus*), sheep (*Ovis aries*), fallow deer (*Dama dama*), domestic ferrets (*Mustela putorius furo*), mink (*Mustela vison*), hamsters, mice, squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*), and voles of the genera *Mictotus* and *Myodes*.

Causative organism: A transmissible spongiform encephalopathy produced by a prion (protein infectious agent). Two prevalent strains with divergent biochemical characteristics have been identified and strain variation may occur.

Zoonotic potential: To date, no strong evidence of CWD transmission to humans has been reported. Several epidemiologic studies provide evidence that, to date, CWD has not been transmitted to humans. Specific studies have focused on identifying human prion disease in hunter population presumed at increased risk for exposure to potentially CWD-infected deer or elk meat. In the last 60 or so years in regions where CWD is present and such exposure is known, no evidence of an increase in any neurodegenerative disease condition of humans has been identified. CWD prions have been found in muscle (meat), as well as other tissues of cervids, and could enter the food supply. Although the evidence so far suggests that CWD probably does not affect humans, the possibility that it could be zoonotic has not been eliminated although may be increasing over time.

Distribution: Maps of the current distribution of CWD in captive and free roaming herds suggest gradual

CHRONIC WASTING DISEASE

spread has occurred from original identification in Colorado:

http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/disease_information/chronic _wasting_disease/. As of this update:

- Wild herds and captive facilities infected: Colorado, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New York, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Wisconsin, Alberta, Saskatchewan.
- Wild herds only infected: Illinois, Maryland, North Dakota, New Mexico, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wyoming.
- Captive facilities only infected: Iowa, Michigan, Montana, Oklahoma, South Korea.

Incubation period: Infected deer and elk can appear robust and healthy in the early stages of CWD and may take two or more years before they show clinical signs of the disease. Minimum incubation time in experimental infection: 15 mo but can exceed 25 months in deer and 60 months in elk. Genetic polymorphisms influence the incubation time in both deer species and in elk (and probably in moose).

Direct animal-animal contact and contact via ingestion and inhalation of contaminated material from the environment is the primary route of transmission. Agent shed in feces, saliva, urine; other mechanisms (e.g., nasal secretions, milk) also are possible. Contaminated environments (soil, feces, offal, carcass) may be infective for years.

Clinical signs: Early clinical signs are subtle and nonspecific: behavior changes (response to handling, interaction with conspecifics, somnolence, periods of repetitive behavior, vacant facial expression). During period few weeks to several months prior to death, emaciation, abnormal behavior, progressive weight loss, stumbling, tremors, lack of coordination, blank facial expressions, excessive salivation, loss of appetite, excessive thirst and urination, listlessness, teeth grinding, abnormal head posture, and drooping ears are observed. Later, esophageal dilation, difficulty in swallowing, resulting in pneumonia caused by aspiration of food or saliva into the lungs. Disease is progressive and always fatal.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: At necropsy, post-mortem findings of emaciation and pneumonia is are found. In suspected clinical cases, histologic spongiform change with degeneration and loss of neurons and identification of PRP (cwd) using IHC staining of the obex. For preclinical diagnosis, IHC on lymph nodes (tonsils, retropharyngeal lymph nodes) or mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue.

Diagnosis:

Ante-mortem: IHC staining for PrP (cwd) of biopsied lymphoid tissue (tonsil, rectal mucosa).

<u>Post-mortem</u>: Detection of PrP (cwd) via IHC in brain obex (specifically parasympathetic vagal nucleus in the dorsal portion of the medulla oblongata at the obex) (all species, later stages of disease). For detecting preclinical infections, IHC on lymph nodes (tonsils, retropharyngeal lymph nodes). While ELISA, Western Blotting, and PMCA testing is available, IHC is the only assay currently accepted officially by USDA.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Cervid post mortem: brain (obex) and lymph tissues (preferably tonsils, medial retropharyngeal lymph nodes).

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL

1920 Dayton Ave. (for packages)

P.O. Box 844 (for letters)

Ames, IA 50010

NVSL (IHC for PRP Brain (obex), and medial retropharyngeal lymph node)

(515) 337-7266

Fax: (515) 337-7397

USDA-NAHLN (IHC and ELISA)

(515) 337-7731

CHRONIC WASTING DISEASE

Fax: (515) 337-7397

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_diseases/cwd/diagnostics.shtml

Colorado State University Diagnostic Laboratory

College of Veterinary Medicine

300 West Drake Road

Colorado State University

Fort Collins, Colorado 80526

Treatment: While no therapeutic cures, supportive therapy may prolong course, but it is not advisable in the context of control.

Prevention and control:

<u>Free Ranging</u>: Testing hunter harvested and road kill cervids; local population reduction in infected areas; bans on feeding wild cervids; restrictions on transporting hunter-killed carcasses from enzootic regions; and transportation restrictions and monitoring of captive cervid operations will reduce transmission. Cooking or heat does not inactivate prions. Educational efforts is critical as management once disease has entered area has been very ineffective so prevention is paramount.

<u>Captive</u>: Routine surveillance and testing of cervids (all species) held in zoo collections. Early detection and removal of infected individuals. Depopulation of infected captive herds is recommended unless regular antemortem testing of remaining animals is possible and strict biocontainment is followed. Regulation of international and interstate movements of cervids and restricted importation of captive cervids. Consider antemortem testing of animals prior to movements. Prevent entrance of free-ranging cervids from zoo grounds. Post mortem sampling of free-ranging and captive cervids that die or are euthanized on zoo grounds. Although not shown to be food borne, rendered ruminant meat or bone meal should not be fed to cervids. No effective vaccine at present.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Environmental contamination is a major concern in eradication and prevention in new cases. Prions are extremely resistant to heat, pH, ultraviolet, and disinfectants. Sodium hypochlorite (household bleach, greater than 2% free chlorine) at 280 ml in 720 ml of water at room temperature, for one hour. Sodium hydroxide (caustic soda, soda lye) at 38g in one litre of water at room temperature for one hour.

Notification: Responsible state agency should be contacted. State and Federal regulations are dynamic and responsive to disease status. Some states have adopted regulations limiting or prohibiting whole carcass transportation or particular cervid tissue transportation out of CWD areas.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: USDA-APHIS established a national voluntary CWD Herd Certification program published in the Federal Register on 13 June 2012. Many states already have CWD monitoring or certification programs for captive herds. Guidelines for Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) Surveillance in captive cervids in zoos AAZV/AZA Animal Health Committee, 2003.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: See measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: See measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan.

Experts who may be consulted: Numerous experts are identified at http://www.cwd-info.org.

References:

- 1. Chronic Wasting Disease Alliance http://www.cwd-info.org/ Accessed 23 August 2013.
- 2. USDA, APHIS, AH http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal health/animal diseases/cwd Accessed 23

CHRONIC WASTING DISEASE

August 2013.

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahln/downloads/cwd_scrapie_lab_list.pdf Accessed 23 August 2013.

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/approved_labs.shtml Accessed 23 August 2013.

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_diseases/cwd/farmed.shtml Accessed 23 August 2013.

- 3. USGS National Wildlife Health Center http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/disease_information/chronic_wasting_disease/index.jsp Accessed 23 August 2013.
- 4. Angers, R.C., H. Kang, D. Napier, S. Browning, T. Seward, C. Mathiason, A. Balachandran, D. Kenzie, C. Joaquin, C. Soto, J. Jewell, C. Graham, E. Hoover, and G. Telling. 2010. Prion strain mutation determined by prion protein conformational compatibility and primary structure. Sci. 328: 1154-1158.
- 5. AZA Guidelines for Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) Surveillance http://www.aza.org/chronic-wasting-disease/ Accessed 23 August 2013.
- 6. Barria, M.A., G.C. Telling, P. Gambetti, J.A. Mastrianni and C. Soto. 2011. Generation of a new form of human PrPSc *in vitro* by interspecies transmission from cervid prions. J. Biol. Chem. 286:7490-7495.
- 7. Miller, M.W. 2008. Chronic wasting disease of cervid species. <u>In</u>: Fowler, M.E., and R.E. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wildlife Medicine Current Therapy 6. W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp 430-437.
- 8. Williams, E.S., M.W. Miller, T.J. Kreeger, R.H. Kahn, and E.T. Thorne. 2002. Chronic wasting disease in deer and elk: a review with recommendations for management. J. Wildl. Managem. 66(3): 551-563.
- 9. Williams, E.S. 2005. Chronic wasting disease. Vet Pathol. 42:530-549.

CHYTRIDIOMYCOSIS DISEASE

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Amphibians	Contact with contaminated water, moist or wet substrates, or infected animals. Crayfish may act as carriers.	Erythematous skin, excessive skin shedding, abnormal behavior, sudden death	Outcome of infection ranges from subclinical to fatal	Itraconazole or chloramphenicol baths, elevated temperatures	Isolate affected amphibians	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cynthia Stadler

Sheet completed on: updated 12 January 2019

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kathryn Tuxbury Susceptible animal groups: Amphibians

Causative organism: Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd), a non-hyphal zoosporic fungus.

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: World-wide wherever amphibian populations are present. Chytridiomycosis has been implicated as the cause of massive amphibian population declines.

Incubation period: 14-70 days

Clinical signs: Erythematous or discolored skin, abnormal posture, neurologic signs, excessive skin shedding, behavior changes. Clinical signs may not be apparent prior to acute death.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross lesions are often not present but may include increased sloughing of the skin, discolored skin, erosions. Histologic lesions involve focal hyperkeratosis and epidermal hyperplasia with sloughing of the keratin layer. Fungal zoosporangia are found within the keratin layers. The fungal lesions are not evenly distributed on the skin surface. Predilection is noted for the digits, ventral aspect of the hind limbs, inguinal and pelvic regions, and in tadpoles, mouth parts.

Diagnosis: PCR (skin swab best), histopathology. Cytology requires experience. PCR is best for detecting subclinical infection, whereas histopathology and cytology are most useful for clinically significant infection.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Shed skin, skin scraping, skin swab or skin sample (preferably from the ventral pelvic patch) from adults. Mouthpart swabs from live tadpoles, mouthparts from deceased tadpoles. Using fine-tipped swabs (not wooden-handled), gently swab skin 20-30 times. Break swab 2-3 cm from tip and place in screw-top tube, avoiding contact with outside of tube. Allow to air-dry for 5 minutes. Samples can be kept at room temperature or 4 degrees C for 1- 2 weeks or frozen for longer-term storage. Avoid exposure to high temperatures and direct sunlight.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

For histopathology, any laboratory that routinely examines amphibian tissues.

For PCR:

Amphibian Disease Laboratory 15600 San Pasqual Valley Road Escondido, CA 92027 (760) 291-5472 (760) 291-5470

amphibianlab@sandiegozoo.org https://www.sandiegozooglobal.org/News/Amphibian_Disease_Laboratory/

Pisces Molecular 1600 Range Street, Suite 201 Boulder, CO 80301

303-546-9300 info@pisces-molecular.com http://pisces-molecular.com

CHYTRIDIOMYCOSIS DISEASE

Research Associates Laboratory

14556 Midway Road Dallas, TX 75244

Phone: (972) 960-2221 Fax: (972) 960-1997 http://vetdna.com

Zoologix Laboratories

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Ste. 4 Chatsworth, CA 91311-3800

Phone: 818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881 info@zoologix.com http://zoologix.com

Treatment: Itraconazole 0.005% (50 mg/liter) diluted with 0.6% saline or amphibian Ringer's solution used as a 5 minute bath applied once daily for 6-10 days. Lower concentration of 0.0025% (25 mg/liter) also has been successful at eliminating the organism. Efficacious treatments can vary among species and life stages. Hygiene is essential during treatment and animals should be returned to a clean disinfected container after EACH treatment. Previously recommended higher concentration of 0.01% itraconazole is toxic to tadpoles and recently metamorphosed amphibians. Other treatments include chloramphenicol baths and elevated environmental temperatures of 37°C for 16 hrs, in those species that are thermo-tolerant. Also, terbinafine baths and topical voriconazole have been used with variable results to date. Animals with clinical chytridiomycosis may have issues from hyponatremia and hypokalemia so electrolyte replacement may be helpful.

Prevention and control: Newly acquired amphibians should undergo a minimum of 30 days in quarantine, preferably 60 days. Skin swab PCR testing or prophylactic itraconazole baths should be implemented prior to release from quarantine. All animals that die in quarantine should be necropsied and submitted for histopathology. Enclosures and equipment should be disinfected routinely. However, it is prudent to wear disposable gloves and use separate equipment for different enclosures.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Bleach, Virkon and quaternary ammonium compounds can be used for enclosures. For surgical instruments, 70% ethanol, glutaraldehyde, and benzalkonium chloride can be used.

Notification: Office International des Epizooties (OIE) notifiable disease.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Introductions are not recommended until numerous negative PCR tests have been completed.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: It is recommended to test amphibians by PCR to confirm the fungus is no longer present. For disease-free status, there should be serial negative PCR tests over the course of 6 months to 1 year.

Experts who may be consulted:

Allan Pessier, DVM, Dipl. ACVP

Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory

Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Pathology

College of Veterinary Medicine

Washington State University

Pullman WA 99164-7034

apessier@wsu.edu

References:

- 1. Amphibian Ark [Internet]. Chytrid fungus; 2018 [cited 2019 January 12]. Available from http://www.amphibianark.org/the-crisis/chytrid-fungus/
- 2. Whittaker K, Vredenburg V [Internet]. An Overview of Chytridiomycosis; 2011 [cited 2019 January 12]. Available from http://amphibiaweb.org/chytrid/chytridiomycosis.html

CHYTRIDIOMYCOSIS DISEASE

- 3. Wildlife Health Australia [Internet]. Amphibian chytrid fungus in Australia Fact sheet; 2014 [cited 2019 January 12]. Available from https://wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au/FactSheets.aspx
- 4. World Organization for Animal Health [Internet]. OIE Manual of diagnostic tests for aquatic animals. Chapter 2.1.1.; 2018 [cited 2019 January 12]. Available from http://www.oie.int/index.php?id=2439&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_batrachochytrium_dendrobatidis.htm
- 5. World Organization for Animal Health [Internet]. OIE-listed diseases, infections and infestations in force in 2019; 2019 [cited 2019 January 12]. Available from http://www.oie.int/en/animal-health-in-the-world/oie-listed-diseases-2019/
- 6. Berger L, Speare R, Kent A. Diagnosis of chytridiomycosis in amphibians by histological examination. Zoos Print J. 2000;15:184-190.
- 7. Bishop PJ, Speare R, Poulter R, Butler M, Speare BJ, Hyatt A, Olsen V, Haigh A. Elimination of the amphibian chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* by Archey's frog *Leiopelma archeyi*. Dis Aquat Org. 2009;84:9-15.
- 8. Bowerman J, Rombough CR, Weinstock SR, Padgett-Flohr GE. Terbinafine hydrochloride in ethanol effectively clears *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in amphibians. J Herp Med Surg. 2010;20(1):24-28.
- 9. Brannelly LA, Richards-Zawacki CL, Pessier AP. Clinical trials with itraconazole as a treatment for chytrid fungal infections in amphibians. Dis Aquat Org. 2012;101:95-104.
- 10. Brannelly LA, Skerratt LF, Berger L. Treatment trial of clinically ill corroboree frogs with chytridiomycosis with two triazole antifungals and electrolyte therapy. Vet Res Commun. 2015;39:179-187.
- 11. Forzan MJ, Gunn H, Scott P. Chytridiomycosis in an aquarium collection of frogs: diagnosis, treatment, and control. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2008;39(3):406-411.
- 12. Jones, MEBD, Paddock D, Bender L, Allen JL, Schrenzel MS, Pessier AP. Treatment of chytridiomycosis with reduced-dose itraconazole. Dis Aquat Org. 2012;99: 243-249.
- 13. Pessier AP. Amphibian chytridiomycosis. In: Miller RE, Fowler ME (eds). Fowler's Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine: Current Therapy, Vol. 6. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier Saunders; 2008. p. 137-143.
- 14. Pessier AP. Diagnosis and control of amphibian chytridiomycosis. In: Miller RE, Fowler ME (eds). Fowler's Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine: Current Therapy, Vol. 7. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier Saunders; 2012. p. 217-223.
- 15. Pessier AP, Mendelson JR (eds). A Manual for Control of Infectious Diseases in Amphibian Survival Assurance Colonies and Reintroduction Programs. Conservation Breeding Specialist Group, Apple Valley, MN. 2010. 229 pp.
- 16. Rifkin A, Visser M, Barrett K, Boothe D, Bronson E. The pharmacokinetics of topical itraconazole in Panamanian golden frogs (*Atelopus zeteki*). J Zoo Wild Med. 2017;48(2):344-351.
- 17. Roberts AA, Berger L, Robertson SG, Webb RJ, Kosch TA, McFadden M, Skerratt LF, Glass BD, Motti CA, Brannelly LA. The efficacy and pharmacokinetics of terbinafine against the frog-killing fungus (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*). Med Mycol. 2019;57(2):204-214.
- 18. Tamukai KY, Une Y, Tominaga A, Suzuki K, Goka K. Treatment of spontaneous chytridiomycosis in captive amphibians using itraconazole. J Vet Med Sci. 2011;73:155-159.
- 19. Woodhams DC, Alford RA, Marantelli G. Emerging disease of amphibians cured by elevated body temperature. Dis Aquat Organ. 2003;55:65-67.
- 20. Young SR, Speare R, Berger L, Skerratt LF. Chloramphenicol with fluid and electrolyte therapy cures terminally ill green tree frogs (*Litoria caerulea*) with chytridiomycosis. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2012;43(2): 330-337.

CLASSICAL SWINE FEVER (hog cholera)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Swine	Direct contact with body secretions, feeding uncooked infected pork products, mechanical vectors (flies, vehicles, people), in utero.	Acute: sudden death, ataxia, cutaneous cyanosis or hyperemia, petechiation, necrosis of ear tips. Chronic: failure to thrive, dermatitis. Congenital: fetal mummification, cerebellar hypoplasia, congenital tremors.	Highly contagious. Can range from mild disease in chronic infections to severe disease and sudden death in acute infections.	None	Prevention: Vaccination utilized in some countries, control pig movements, serosurveys, do not feed uncooked pork. Control: test, slaughter, quarantine, disinfect.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cora Singleton

Sheet completed on: 1 March 2011; updated 31 October 2012; updated 8 August 2018.

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sarrah Kaye

Susceptible animal groups: Domestic and wild swine; endemic in wild boar in parts of Europe.

Causative organism: Classical swine fever virus (CSFV) is an RNA virus in the genus *Pestivirus* within the family *Flaviviridae*. Strains can range from low to high virulence. Related to bovine viral diarrhea virus and border disease virus of sheep. Also known as hog cholera.

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: CSFV is present in South-East Asia, the Caribbean, Africa, South and Central America, and parts of eastern Europe. Areas considered free of CSF in domestic pigs include North America (US, Canada, and Mexico), some countries in South America, New Zealand, Australia, Asia, and many countries in western and central Europe.

Incubation period: 2-19 days

Clinical signs: Acute disease (high virulence strains) – Sudden death, depression, pyrexia, anorexia, ataxia, constipation followed by diarrhea and vomiting, ocular discharge, cutaneous cyanosis, necrosis of ear tips, muscle tremors, convulsions.

Chronic disease (low virulence strains) – Dullness, anorexia, failure to thrive, diarrhea, dermatitis.

Congenital disease – Stillbirth, fetal mummification, cerebellar hypoplasia, congenital tremors, failure to thrive. Piglets infected with low-virulent strains in 1st trimester can be born viremic and healthy, serve as subclinical shedders with delayed onset of disease. Similar to BVD, it sounds like this is an important source of transmission

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Petechial hemorrhages in kidney, urinary bladder, and larynx; enlarged hemorrhagic lymph nodes; splenic infarcts; encephalitis; button ulcers in cecum (chronic disease); cerebellar hypoplasia (congenital disease).

Diagnosis: Agent identification – Virus culture, fluorescent antibody test, immunoperoxidase procedure, ELISA, RT-PCR.

Serology – Neutralization peroxidase-linked assay, fluorescent antibody virus neutralization, ELISA.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Tissues (tonsil, lymph node, spleen, kidney, distal ileum), blood, serum.

CLASSICAL SWINE FEVER (hog cholera)

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory, Plum Island

40550 Route 25 (for packages)

Orient Point, NY 11957

P.O. Box 848 (for letters)

Greenport, NY 11944-0848

(631) 323-3256 Fax: (631) 323-3366

Treatment: No effective treatment.

Prevention and control: Prevention – USDA/APHIS has a surveillance program to prevent reintroduction of the disease. Vaccination is utilized in some countries. Control pig movements and implement serological surveys to detect carrier pigs. Do not feed uncooked pork products.

Control – Depopulation of infected pigs, disinfection of premises, quarantine of the area and control of pig movement.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 2% sodium hydroxide (considered most suitable), 1% formalin, sodium carbonate, strong iodophors.

Notification: Reportable to the USDA/APHIS through the State Veterinarian or the federal Area Veterinarian in Charge. The disease is also reportable to the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE).

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Report suspicious cases to the USDA/APHIS Area Veterinarian in Charge, who will dispatch a Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostician to investigate the case and collect samples for testing.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Infections must be reported to USDA/APHIS for management.

Experts who may be consulted: USDA State Veterinarians or federal Area Veterinarians in Charge.

- 1. Jackson PGG, Cockcroft PD. Handbook of Pig Medicine. London (England): Saunders Elsevier; 2007. p. 182-184.
- 2. Kirkland PD, Le Potier MF, Vannier P, Finlaison D. Pestiviruses. *In:* Zimmerman JJ, Karriker LA, Ramirez A, Schwartz KJ, Stevenson GW, Zhang J (eds.). Diseases of Swine, 11th ed. Ames (IA): Wiley-Blackwell; 2018. *in press*.
- 3. Spickler, Anna Rovid [Internet]. Classical swine fever; 2015 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/classical_swine_fever.pdf.
- 4. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service [Internet]. Classical Swine Fever Surveillance; 2016 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/animal-disease-information/swine-disease-information/ct_classical_swine_fever_surveillance.
- 5. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services [Internet]. National Animal Health Laboratories Network (NAHLN) Laboratories approved for CSF Surveillance Testing; 2018 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal health/nahln/downloads/csf lab list.pdf.
- 6. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services [Internet]. National Animal Health Laboratories Network (NAHLN) Laboratories approved for ASF Preparedness and Surge Testing; 2018 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahln/downloads/asf_lab_list.pdf.
- 7. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) [Internet]. Classical swine fever. In: Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2018; 2014 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.08.03_CSF.pdf.

CLOSTRIDIAL DISEASE - BOTULISM TOXICOSIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals, including humans; birds	Ingestion of toxin contaminated food or tissues. Wound contamination	Mostly neurologic, involving flaccid paralysis. Gastrointestinal signs.	Dose-related severity of mild to lethal.	Supportive care; antitoxin when appropriate.	Proper food preparation and storage. Avoid wound contamination	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Danielle R. Graham Snyder

Sheet completed on: 17 January 2011; updated 18 October 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Melissa Kennedy; Stephanie Kottler

Susceptible animal groups: Most mammalian and avian species are susceptible to this problem.

Wild fowl and mink have a high incidence of clinical disease.

Causative organism: *Clostridium botulinum* bacteria Types A-G: gram positive, slightly curved to straight, motile, spore-forming, saprophytic, anaerobic rod. Type C is the most common in animal species, and Types A, B and E most common in humans.

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: Soil, fresh water and sea sediments, the intestinal tracts of mammals and birds, and foods such as home-canned foods, sausages, meat products, canned vegetables and seafood products. These toxincontaminated sources can be either ingested or contaminate a wound.

Incubation period: Normally 12-36 hrs, but can be as much as a week if a small amount is ingested.

Clinical signs:

Humans: Three types of botulism: food-borne, wound, and infant.

Food-borne botulism is caused by consumption of toxin-tainted food. In these infections, signs can include gastrointestinal issues such as nausea, vomiting and abdominal pain; symmetric, descending flaccid paralysis, and drooping palpebrae; and dry mouth, slurred speech, and muscle weakness. Descending paralysis of the respiratory muscles - potentially fatal, arms and legs may occur within 24 hours in severe cases.

Wound botulism is caused by a wound that is contaminated, usually from toxins in the soil. Signs are consistent with food-borne illness, but usually without gastrointestinal signs.

Infant botulism is seen only in infants less than one year of age and caused by spores germinating in the intestinal tract. Signs include constipation, poor suckling reflexes, peripheral weakness ("floppy baby" syndrome), and in severe cases, respiratory distress and death.

<u>Animals:</u> Clinical signs are mostly neurologic and caused by muscle paralysis. A symmetrical, ascending weakness starting from the rear limbs and progressing to the forelimbs is typical. Cranial nerve deficits are usually present and may include decreased palpebral reflex, decreased gag or swallowing reflex, ptyalism, decreased jaw tone, mydriasis, and sluggish pupillary responses. Respiratory or cardiac paralysis can occur and usually causes death.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Most post mortem or histologic findings are the result of muscle paralysis. Mammals with wound botulism may have lesions, but the wounds are generally not obviously or grossly infected.

Diagnosis:

History of exposure and clinical signs. The toxin can be hard to find in feed or in tissues, so most

CLOSTRIDIAL DISEASE - BOTULISM TOXICOSIS

diagnosis is done by eliminating out other differentials.

- Cultures from wounds or tissues can be taken to potentially isolate the organism. The toxin can also be isolated from serum, feces, vomitus, or samples of food that were ingested.
- ELISA testing can detect neurotoxin, but each subtype of toxin must be evaluated individually.
- Mouse inoculation: Serum or an extract of contaminated material is injected alone and in combination with a type-specific antitoxin into the mice. Survival of the group of mice protected with antitoxin and death of the other group from signs consistent with botulism confirms the presence of botulism toxin. This test is considered the standard and most reliable method of identifying botulism toxin.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, feces, vomitus, stomach or intestinal contents, contaminated food, or culture of tissues if wound botulism is suspected.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

National Botulism Reference Laboratory at New Bolton Center (University of Pennsylvania) National Veterinary Services Laboratories (NVSL) Ames, Iowa

Treatment:

Supportive care is most important. Hospitalization may be necessary. Therapeutic monitoring involves intensive care of recumbent animals. Wounds or abscesses should be cleaned and debrided where possible. Selective padding and respiratory support is essential to avoid complications of recumbency. Antitoxins can be effective to improve survival rates, depending on the toxin involved and the host species. Type C antitoxin seems to work well in some birds and mink. Antibiotics are only used in cases of wound botulism or to treat secondary infections due to the paralysis. Recovery typically takes 14 - 24 days.

Prevention and control: Vaccines are available for humans and animals with high risk of exposure. To ensure food is properly stored and prepared, botulism toxin is destroyed by heating food to 80°C for 30 minutes or to 100°C for 10 minutes. Wounds should be kept clean and avoid contamination as much as possible. For wildlife, prompt removal of carcasses that could be infected is critical as decaying carcasses are known to support toxin production. Maggots feeding on decaying carcasses are sources of infection for many waterfowl as the maggots are unaffected by the toxin, but effectively concentrate it. Waterfowl consume the maggots and become infected. Stagnant water should be avoided as this creates an environment for *Clostridium botulinum* bacteria to grow and for spores to germinate.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Clean areas with diluted bleach when possible. Sunlight inactivates the toxins within 1-3 hours. Adding chlorine to water if possible will destroy toxins as well.

Notification: Notification for animals is not necessary at this time.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Infected animals should be kept in a hospital or other stable environment until they are fully recovered.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Removal of decaying vegetable matter and carcasses should be carried out and areas should be cleaned with diluted bleach if possible.

Experts who may be consulted:

Julie A. Coffield, DVM, PhD Dept of Physiology and Pharmacology University of Georgia CVM coffield@uga.edu

Raymond Sweeney, VMD

Professor of Medicine; Director, National Botulism Reference Laboratory

University of Pennsylvania CVM

CLOSTRIDIAL DISEASE - BOTULISM TOXICOSIS

rsweeney@vet.upenn.edu

- 1. http://www.cdc.gov/nczved/divisions/dfbmd/diseases/botulism/. Accessed 8 July 2013.
- 2. http://nabc.ksu.edu/content/factsheets/category/Botulism. Accessed 8 July 2013.
- 3. Barsanti, J.A. 1998. Botulism. *In:* Greene, C. E. (ed.) Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat, 2nd ed. W.B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 263-267.
- 4. Hartmann K., and Greene C.E. 2006. Diseases caused by systemic bacterial infections. *In*: Ettinger, S.J. and E.C. Feldman (eds.). Textbook of Veterinary Internal Medicine, 3rd ed. St. Louis, Elsevier Saunders. Pp. 389-394.
- 5. Gyles, C.L. 2008. Clostridial disease. *In:* Kahn, C.M. (ed.) The Merck Veterinary Manual, 9th ed. Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, New Jersey. Pp. 286-287.
- 6. U.S. Department of the Interior and U.S. Geographical Survey. 1999. Avian botulism. *In:* Friend, M., and J. C. Franson (eds.) Field Manual of Wildlife Diseases, 1st rev. U.S. Geographical Survey National Wildlife Health Center, Reston, Virginia. Pp. 271-281.
- 7. Sobel, J. 2009. Diagnosis and treatment of botulism: a century later, clinical suspicion remains the cornerstone. Clin. Infect. Dis. 48: 1674-1675.
- 8. Rideout, B.A. 2003. Clostridial diseases in all taxa. *In:* Fowler, M.E., and R.E. Miller (eds.) Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 5th ed. Saunders, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 716-717.

CLOSTRIDIAL DISEASE - TETANUS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Equidae;	Contamination	Muscle	Up to 80 %	Penicillin,	Vaccination	No.
bovidae;	of wounds	rigidity and	mortality in	tetanus anti-	with tetanus	
cervidae;	from bacteria	spasm -	clinically	toxin,	toxoid.	
primates;	in soil.	localized or	ill animals.	supportive care		
elephant;		generalized.		to reduce signs		
macropods;				and support of		
and rodents.				airway.		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Ann E. Duncan

Sheet completed on: 18 January 2011; updated 11 July 2013 Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Dalen Agnew; Sarah Woodhouse

Susceptible animal groups: The disease is infrequent in animals. All warm-blooded animals are potentially susceptible. Horses and man are most susceptible, followed by cattle and sheep. Goats, pigs, dogs, elephants, kangaroos and rodents also have been infected. Cases can occur postpartum and after surgical procedures. Neonatal tetanus is seen in animals born without passive immunity, usually through infection of the umbilical stump. Carnivores and birds are resistant.

Causative organism: Clostridium tetani is a slender, gram-positive, anaerobic rod that may develop a terminal spore, giving it a drumstick appearance. C. tetani is found in the soil and intestinal tracts of animals and man. In the presence of oxygen it forms a protective capsule and may live in the soil in spore form for months to years. In an anaerobic wound, the spores germinate and multiply, producing a potent toxin known as tetanospasmin. Toxin is disseminated via blood and lymphatics and binds in the central nervous system, interfering with neurotransmitter release and blocking inhibition impulses. This reaction to the toxin leads to unopposed muscle contraction and spasm.

Zoonotic potential: Tetanus is acquired through contact with spores in the environment and is not transmitted from animal to animal or person to person.

Distribution: Worldwide. Found in soil, dust, and animals waste. Enzootic areas exist, mainly in the tropics.

Incubation period: Varies from 3 to 21 days after contamination of a deep wound that provides anaerobic conditions.

Clinical signs: It may start with localized contraction of muscles in region of infected wound. In generalized tetanus, trismus, neck stiffness, protrusion of the nictitans, and difficulty swallowing are often seen initially. Generalized rigidity, spasms of skeletal muscle and exaggerated reflexes follow. Animals often assume a "sawhorse stance" with ears erect, tail stiff and extended. In some cases, pyrexia, sweating and tachycardia are seen. Mortality of 80% is expected.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: No lesions seen. It may be possible to see secondary aspiration pneumonia.

Diagnosis: Prior existence of a wound and characteristic signs are the basis for diagnosis. Direct microscopic examination of wound material may be useful. Attempting to culture *Clostridium tetani* from the wound is generally not successful. Mouse protective bioassays were historically used, but they are no longer available.

Material required for laboratory analysis: None

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: None

Treatment: Wounds should be cleaned and debrided. Antibiotic therapy with high doses of penicillin is

CLOSTRIDIAL DISEASE - TETANUS

effective against *C. tetani*. If tetanic spasms are occurring, supportive care should be provided and an adequate airway maintained. Treatment may include muscle relaxants, tranquilizers, and barbiturate sedatives.

Animals who have previously received toxoid should be given a booster. Tetanus antitoxin is hyperimmune serum generated by either a horse or human to bind and destroy the tetanus toxin. Antitoxin can be used to neutralize unbound circulating toxin, but cannot remove toxin already bound to nerve endings. Substantial risk of anaphylactic reaction is present when using a blood product from another species. Skin testing is used to test for reactivity to antitoxin before use. Antitoxin can be given under the skin or intraperitoneally but can take up to 3 days to reach a therapeutic level. Intravenous administration is more rapid but more likely to induce anaphylaxsis. For passive protection, tetanus antitoxin effects will persist for about two weeks.

Prevention and control: Active immunization with tetanus toxoid is recommended in susceptible species due to ubiquitous presence in environment. Two doses of tetanus toxoid should be given 4-8 weeks apart with boosters given one year later and every 2-5 years thereafter. Vaccination is not contraindicated in pregnant animals. Passive immunization with antitoxin should be reserved for cases with high-risk wounds and no previous active immunization, unvaccinated patients who must undergo surgical procedures, and neonates in high-risk situations. Procedures such as umbilical cord severing, dehorning, and castration should be done in the most aseptic conditions possible, and antiseptics should be applied to surgical wounds.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: None.

Notification: None.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: None.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: None.

Experts who may be consulted: None identified.

- 1. http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/pinkbook/downloads/tetanus.pdf Accessed 25 September 2013.
- 2. http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd-vac/tetanus/default.htm Accessed 25 September 2013.
- 3. Acha, P.N., and B. Szyfres. Tetanus. 2001. Zoonoses and Communicable Diseases Common to Man and Animals, 3rd ed. vol. I: Bacterioses and Mycoses. Pan American Health Organization, Washington, D.C. Pp. 265-271.
- 4. Burkitt, J.M, B.K. Sturges, K.E. Jandrey, and P.H. Kass. 2007. Risk factors associated with outcome in dogs with tetanus: 38 cases (1987-2005). J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 230: 76-83.
- 5. Lindsay, W.A., E. Wiedner, R. Isaza, H.G.G. Townsend, M. Boleslawski, and D.P. Lunn. 2010. Immune responses of Asian elephants (*Elaphas maximus*) to commercial tetanus toxoid vaccine. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 133: 287-289.
- 6. Ramsay, W.R. 1960. Tetanus in the kangaroo. Aust. Vet. J. 36(10): 412.
- 7. Rings, D.M. 2004. Clostridial disease associated with neurologic signs: tetanus, botulism, and enterotoxemia. Vet. Clin. N. Am. Food Anim. Prac. 20(2): 379-391.
- 8. Springer, D.A., K. Phillippi-Falkenstein and G. Smith. 2009. Retrospective analysis of wound characteristics and tetanus development in captive macaques. J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 40(1): 95-102.

COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected					Control	
Mammals	-Inhalation of arthroconidia -Wound contamination (rare) -Intrauterine transmission (rare reports	Dogs: wet or dry cough, fever, anorexia, weight loss, lameness. Cats: skin lesions, fever,	Subclinical infection most common. Untreated disseminated disease can be fatal.	Oral antifungal (i.e., fluconazole)	Avoid endemic areas; reduce animal exposure to dusty conditions.	Not directly but humans can contract
	in horses, humans)	anorexia, weight loss, cough and lameness rare. Zoo species: various signs reported				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Maria Spriggs

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011; updated 27 September 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Joe Wheat, Tiffany Wolf

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals, including humans; reptiles (rare) – published in Sonoran gopher snake; exotic/zoo cases published in black rhino, Indochinese tiger, Przewalski's horse, ring-tailed lemur, California sea lion, bottlenose dolphin, chimpanzees, river otter, tapir, llama, bighorn sheep, koala.

Causative organism: Disease is also known as "Valley Fever." Causative agents: *Coccidioides immitis* (California) and *Coccidioides posadasii* (Arizona).

Zoonotic potential: No direct transmission; however, fomites (bandages, cultures) should be handled carefully. People exposed to *C. immitis* develop asymptomatic infection or mild, transient respiratory signs, but rarely severe disease. In endemic areas, 10-15% of people are skin-test positive. Organ transplantation in humans has been reported as rare route of transmission.

Distribution: Disease is found only in the western hemisphere, specifically in Southwestern US (CA, AZ, NM, UT, NV, TX), Mexico, and Central and South America. Prevalence increases in years after high rainfall as arthrospores return to surface after rain then are dispersed by wind.

Incubation period: 1-3 weeks (respiratory signs); 4 months (disseminated disease)

Clinical signs: Clinical disease in dogs is most common in young males.

Primary pulmonary form– chronic dry or moist cough, fever, anorexia, weight loss

Disseminated form– lameness due to osteomyelitis of appendicular skeleton, draining skin tracts (especially in domestic cats), regional lymphadenopathy, CNS signs, cardiac signs, ocular lesions

Primary localized skin lesions – rare from penetrating wounds contaminated with organism

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Pyogranulomatous inflammation seen in affected tissues. Gross lesions may be either disseminated or limited to lungs, mediastinum and thoracic lymph nodes. The lungs are often involved, even in disseminated disease where the primary complaint is not respiratory.

Diagnosis:

Clinical pathology: Nonregenerative anemia, leukocytosis, monocytosis, hyperglobulinemia, hypoalbuminemia

COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS

Radiography: Diffuse interstitial lung pattern, hilar lymphadenopathy, bone lesions in distal diaphysis of long bones which are more proliferative than lytic

Serology (IgM and IgG): rising titers confirm active infection. UC Davis Lab and Greene's text have further interpretation information.

Cytology and culture: Demonstration of organism by cytology is difficult. Extracellular spherules are most commonly found in lymph node aspirates, fluid from draining masses, or pleural fluid and pericardial fluid. Periodic Acid-Schiff (PAS)-stained smears more suitable than dry mount.

Antigen detection: Sensitivity lower in dogs than humans, research is in progress.

PCR: Research is in progress for a real-time PCR method.

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Blood, urine, fluid or tissue sample for cytology/histopath/culture (do not culture in-house)

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Fungus Testing Laboratory http://strl.uthscsa.edu/fungus/index.shtml

MiraVista Diagnostics www.miravistalabs.com

UC Davis Coccidioidomycosis Serology Lab http://www.ucdmc.ucdavis.edu/medmicro/cocci.html

Treatment: Fluconazole or amphotericin B is drug of choice but itra- and ketoconazole are effective as well. Posaconazole and voriconazole are newer and effective drugs, but are expensive and little information available for veterinary medicine.

Bone infections may be incurable. Itraconazole may be more effective for skeletal lesions. Relapse is possible following treatment. Treatment is recommended 1-6 months past resolution of clinical signs.

Prevention and control: Avoid endemic areas. Reduce animal exposure in dusty conditions such as feedlots. Dust control measures might include planting grass and wetting soil. People should wear facemask if dust exposure is unavoidable.

No vaccine is available; however research is ongoing for a safe/effective vaccine for humans.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Halogens (such as iodine, and chlorine in the form of bleach), phenolics (such as Tek-Trol®), and quaternary ammoniums (Di Quat® 10-S and Roccal®-D Plus).

Arthroconidia are resistant to dry heat, but can be inactivated by moist heat (121 C for minimum 15 minutes).

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Organism is not transmitted from infected animal to another animal.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Outbreaks occasionally occur, particularly following earthquakes or other events that disturb large amounts of soil in endemic areas. More recent human outbreaks have occurred among military trainees and among archeological workers.

Experts who may be consulted:

Jane Sykes, DVM UC Davis 530-752-3890 jesykes@ucdavis.edu

Russell Greene, DVM Phoenix Vet Internal Med Services 602-953-9541 rtgreene@cox.net

COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS

Joe Wheat, MD MiraVista Diagnostics 866-647-2847 jwheat@miravistalabs.com,

- 1. Burgdorf-Moisuk A., I.H. Stalis, and G.W. Pye. 2012. Disseminated coccidioidomycosis in a koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). J. Zoo. Wildl. Med. 43(1):197-199.
- 2. Burton, M., R.J. Morton, E. Ramsay, and EL. Stair. 1986. Coccidioidomycosis in a ring-tailed lemur. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 189(9):1209-11.
- 3. Center for Disease Control and Prevention, www.cdc.gov
- 4. Lappin M.R. 2008. Polysystemic mycotic infections: coccidioidomycosis. *In*: Nelson R.W. and C. Couto (eds.). Small Animal Internal Medicine, 4th ed. Mosby Elsevier, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 1353-1354.
- 5. Fowler, M.E. 1988. Infectious disease of llamas and alpacas in North America. In: 1988 Proceedings AAZV Conference: 172.
- 6. Greene, C.E. 2006. Coccidioidomycosis and Paracccidioidomycosis. *In*: Green, C.E (Ed.). Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat, 3rd ed. Saunders Elsevier, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 598-608.
- 7. Harwell, G. 1985. Coccidioidomycosis in a river otter (*Lutra canadensis*). *In*: 1985 Proceedings AAZV Conference: 50.
- 8. Hector R.F., G.W. Rutherford, C.A. Tsang, L.M. Erhart, O. McCotter, S.M. Anderson, K. Komatsu, F. Tabnak, D.J. Vugia, Y. Yang, and J.N. Galgiani. 2011. The public health impact of coccidioidomycosis in Arizona and California. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 8(4):1150-1173.
- 9. Helmick, K.E., P. Koplos, and J. Raymond. 2006. Disseminated coccidioidomycosis in a captive Indochinese tiger (*Panthera tigris corbetti*) with chronic renal disease. J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 37(4):542-4.
- 10. Ingram, K. 1975. Coccidioidomycosis in a colony of chimpanzees. In: 1975 Proceedings AAZV Conference: 127-132.
- 11. Iowa State Center for Food Safety and Public Health. Coccidioidomycosis Fact Sheet. http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/coccidioidomycosis.pdf. Accessed 2 July 2013.
- 12. Janssen D.L., B.A. Rideout, and M.E. Edwards. 1996. Medical management of captive tapirs. In: 1996 Proceedings AAZV Conference: 1-11.
- 13. Jessup, D.A., N.D. Kock, and M. Berbach. 1989. Coccidiomycosis in a desert bighorn sheep (*Ovis Canadensis nelson*) from California. J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 20(4): 471-3.
- 14. Reed, R.E., G. Migaki, and J.A. Cummings. 1976. Coccidioidomycosis in a California sea lion (*Zalophus californianus*). J. Wildl. Dis. 12(3):372-5.
- 15. Reidarson, T.H., L.A. Griner LA, D. Pappagianis, and J. McBain. 1998. Coccidioidomycosis in a bottlenose dolphin. J. Wildl. Dis. 34(3): 629-31.
- 16. Terio, K.A., I.H. Stalis, J.L. Allen, J.L. Stott, and M.B. Worley. 2003. Coccidioidomycosis in Przewalski's horses (*Equus przewalskii*). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 34(4): 339-45.
- 17. Timm, K.L., R.J. Sonn, and B.D. Hultgren. 1988. Coccidioidomycosis in a Sonoran gopher snake, *Pituophis melanoleucus affinis*. J. Med. Vet. Mycol. 26(2): 101-4.
- 18. Wallace, R.J., V.L. Clyde VL, and H. Steinberg. 2009. Coccidioidomycosis in a black rhinoceros (*Diceros bicornis*). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 40(2): 365-8.
- 19. Wheat, LJ. 2010. Coccidioidomycosis in animals. MiraVista Diagnostics. http://www.miravistalabs.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/07/Coccidioidomycosis-in-animals-January-19th-2012.pdf. Accessed 2 July 2013.

CONTAGIOUS BOVINE PLEUROPNEUMONIA

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Cattle (Bos spp.): bison, yak, water buffalo; reindeer; sheep and goats.	Direct Aerosol Transplacental	Fever, lethargy, severe respiratory signs, weight loss. Occasionally causes joint disease	Variable, can be severe; causes death rates of up to 80% of affected animals in Africa	Not recommended	Quarantine, testing, and removal of infected animals	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Amanda Guthrie

Sheet completed on: 16 March 2011; updated on 5 April 2013, updated on 13 Feb 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Mark Drew, Nancy Carpenter

Susceptible animal groups: Domesticated bovids (*Bos* spp.); cattle, bison, yak and water buffalo have been infected. Wild bovids and camels are resistant. Primarily young animals are affected. Sheep and goats may be infected but do not experience pathology.

Causative organism: *Mycoplasma mycoides mycoides* small colony type (MmmSC). *Mycoplasma* is a self-replicating, pleomorphic and prokaryotic organism, resistant to beta-lactam antibiotics.

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: Endemic in most of Africa. Not in United States since 1892, considered to be eradicated from Western Hemisphere. Occasional outbreaks in the Middle East, Asia (India and China) and parts of Europe (Spain, Portugal and Italy).

Incubation period: 1-3 months, typically, but can range from 5-207 days.

Clinical signs: Similar to other pneumonias in cattle and difficult to differentiate based on clinical signs. It can cause polyarthritis or joint disease in young animals.

<u>Acute:</u> Severe respiratory signs such as coughing, labored breathing, outstretched neck and wide stance, loss of appetite and weight loss and decreased milk production.

Chronic: mild cough, recurrent low-grade fever.

<u>Carriers</u>: few or no signs of illness

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Thickening and inflammation of lung tissues, typical of pleuropneumonia. Large amounts of straw-colored fluid in the thoracic cavity. Marbled appearance of lungs in both acute and chronic cases. Fluid accumulation in the lungs, fibrosis of lung tissue and pleura, fibrin deposits throughout the thorax.

Diagnosis: Confirmed with a blood (serological) screening test, organism can be cultured/isolated and identified with several tests. Available tests include complement fixation, latex agglutination, and competitive ELISA.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Live animal: blood, nasal secretions, bronchoalveolar washes, pleural fluid. Dead animal: lung fluids, lymph nodes, joint fluid and purulent discharge from lung tissue.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: IDEXX CBPP Ab Test available outside the US

Treatment: Not recommended but tylosin is reported to be effective. Streptomycin, oxytetracycline, fluoroquinolones, and chloramphenicol may slow progression of disease and predispose to formation of sequestra. Treatment should only be attempted in endemic areas; treatment is unlikely to eliminate organisms, and will likely result in a carrier state.

Prevention and control: Quarantine of exposed and infected animals; testing and slaughter of infected animals. Organism is transmitted via saliva, urine, fetal membranes, and uterine discharges. Vaccine is available in endemic areas; only effective if herd coverage is high.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Inactivated by common disinfectants such as bleach; may survive in the environment for a few days. Formaldehyde solution (0.5%, 30 seconds) can be applied.

CONTAGIOUS BOVINE PLEUROPNEUMONIA

Notification: Foreign animal disease; contact appropriate state and federal authorities immediately. Reportable disease in many countries.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Reportable as a foreign animal disease.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended; culling recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: all animals negative 12 months after last positive animal or last vaccinated animal slaughtered.

Experts who may be consulted:

Idexx Laboratories 1-800-548-9997

http://www.idexx.com

- 1. Amanfu W. Contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (lung sickness) in Africa. Onderstepoort J Vet Res. 2009;76(1):13-7.
- 2. Campbell J. Contagious Bovine Pleuropneumonia [cited 2018 February 13]. Available from http://www.msdvetmanual.com/respiratory-system/respiratory-diseases-of-cattle/contagious-bovine-pleuropneumonia.
- 3. Contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (CBPP) [Internet]. OIE: 2018 [cited 2018 February 13]. Available from http://www.oie.int/animal-health-in-the-world/official-disease-status/cbbp/
- 4. Contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (CBPP) [Internet]. EAZWV Transmissible Disease Fact Sheet: 2002 [cited 2018 February 13]. Available from http://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.eazwv.org/resource/resmgr/Files/Transmissible_Diseases_Handbook/Fact_Sheets/014_Contagious_Bovine_Pleuro.pdf
- 5. Contagious bovine pleuropneumonia [Internet]. The Center for Food Security & Public Health: c2003-2015 [cited 2018 February 13]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/contagious_bovine_pleuropneumonia.pdf
- 6. Contagious bovine pleuropneumonia [Internet]. The Center for Food Security & Public Health: c2004-2018 [cited 2018 February 13]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/disease.php?name=contagious-bovine-pleuropneumonia&lang=en
- 7. Contagious Bovine Pleuropneumonia [Internet]. OIE Scientific and Technical Department: 2009 [cited 2018 February 13]. Available from http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Animal Health in the World/docs/pdf/Disease_cards/CONTAG IOUS BOVINE PLEUROPNEUMONIA.pdf.

CONTAGIOUS ECTHYMA "ORF"

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Domestic sheep and goats; wild artiodactylids; humans; rarely, domestic cat and dog.	Direct contact with vesiculo-proliferative lesions or scab material.	Minor to severe: skin proliferative lesions generally confined to skin of lips and muzzle, but can affect other skin, mucocutane ous areas and digestive system.	Typically mild and self-limiting in domestic animals, but can cause fatalities in severe cases particularly in young animals and in sensitive species like musk oxen.	Generally, no treatment is required. Supportive care and treatment of secondary infections in severe cases. Treatment with cidofovir systemically or topically, may be beneficial.	Isolate infected animals. Transmitted through damaged skin; dispose of scab material and contaminated bedding. Wear latex gloves and other protective clothing when working with known infected animals. Autogenous vaccine sometimes used.	Yes.

Fact Sheet compiled by: James M. Rasmussen

Sheet completed on: updated 6 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Anne Burgdorf, Kristin J Torbin

Susceptible animal groups: Ruminants (ovids, caprids, cervids typically but experimental transmission to calves, monkeys), camelids and possibly dogs, cats and squirrels.

Causative organism: Highly infectious epitheliotropic double-stranded DNA enveloped virus in the family Poxvidae, subfamily *Chordopoxvirinae* genus *Parapoxvirus* which includes the closely related bovine popular stomatitis virus, pseudocowpox virus, parapox virus of reindeer, parpapoxvirus of red deer in New Zealand, and parapoxvirus of seals.

Zoonotic potential: Yes, orf virus is readily transmitted to humans. Infection typically occurs when abraded skin contacts infected animals or fomites.

Distribution: Orf virus has a worldwide distribution and is a common cause of disease in domestic sheep and goats and can affect a wide range of wild artiodactylids.

Incubation period: 2-3 days experimentally, 6-8 days under natural conditions

Clinical signs:

<u>Humans:</u> Generally, cause wart-like lesions on the hands and arms of people handling infected animals. Lesions progress quickly from macule, papule, vesicle, pustule until they become crusty lesions. As with animals, secondary bacterial infection may occur and can cause more severe complications in immunocompromised people. In uncomplicated cases they will heal in 2-6 weeks without scarring.

Animals: Similar to humans in rapid progression from macule through crusty proliferative papillomatous growths. Generally, start on mucocutaneous regions of the muzzle around nares and lip commissures, but can affect periorbital area, udder, legs/coronary region, and oral cavity. Periorbital lesions may lead to visual impairment and/or mechanical trauma to cornea. Lesions on the muzzle or presence in oral cavity may reduce feed intake particularly in young suckling animals. Lesions rarely occur in esophagus or forestomach. Secondary, bacterial infections and myiasis may also occur. In uncomplicated cases scabs generally fall off in 4-6 weeks, but may persist for months.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Histologically, mature lesions demonstrate epidermal hyperplasia with ballooning degeneration of keratinocytes of the stratum spinosum, ulceration, and intracytoplasmic inclusion

CONTAGIOUS ECTHYMA "ORF"

bodies. Oftentimes, secondary bacterial infections are present.

Diagnosis: Electron microscopy of fresh or frozen lesion biopsies will typically demonstrate morphologically distinct ovoid-shaped parapoxvirus virions approximately 260nm x 160nm. Scab is not a preferred sample as it generally does not contain large numbers of virus. PCR and sequencing of viral DNA is required to differentiate Orf virus from other parapox viruses. Real time PCR can be performed on formalin fixed, paraffin embedded samples if fresh/frozen tissue is unavailable.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Biopsies of lesion- fresh/frozen and formalin fixed.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Laboratories capable of performing electron microscopy of biopsy samples can identify to the level of parapox virus. Sequencing of viral DNA is required for more specific identification.

Treatment: Lesions are generally self-limiting, but in some severe cases supportive care and antibacterial therapy for secondary infections is indicated. The antiviral drug cidofovir has been used with some success in the treatment of some pox virus infections. However, little if any benefit was subjectively noted during a course of intravenous treatment of cidofovir in two musk ox calves as compared to an untreated herd mate. An experimental trial using topical spray of cidofovir, sucralfate and sodium dihydrogen phosphate has shown benefit in sheep and cidofovir cream has been useful to treat lesions in people. In severe, unresponsive cases euthanasia should be considered before secondary complications cause significant morbidity.

Prevention and control: Vaccination should not be used in areas where the disease has not occurred. In endemic areas sheep and goats may be vaccinated with live virus vaccine which can be obtained from Colorado Serum Company- P.O. Box 16428- Denver Colorado, 80216- (800)525-2065 (http://www.colorado-serum.com). The vaccine is an attenuated live virus product which can cause disease in naïve animals and in susceptible species and people. Trial work has been done with DNA vaccines in China. Neither natural infection nor vaccination confers long-term immunity, but subsequent infections are generally less severe. Vaccines are more protective when developed from virus obtained from the same species infected. Infected animals should be isolated as long as scab material is present. Virus may persist in the environment or in wool for years in cool dry areas when encrusted in scab or organic material.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Removal and incineration or burial of organic material. Sunlight, heat and humidity leads to more rapid inactivation of virus, but virus may persist for months to years if frozen or present in cool, dry locations. Fairly resistant to disinfectants, but phenolics, quaternary ammonium compounds and iodophors can be effective disinfectants with proper concentration and contact time. Organic debris will decrease disinfectant efficacy. Steam sterilization and dry heat may also be utilized for disinfection.

Notification: Public health officials may need to be notified if zoonotic transmission occurs, depending on the

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Maintain infected animals in a quarantine situation until lesions have healed and scabs have been lost. If feasible may want to bathe infected animal in order to remove all virus from fur. Do not introduce infected animal to an animal with a compromised immune system.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Wait for all scabs to be lost from infected animals. Remove bedding and biological material to the extent possible and disinfect with phenolic or quaternary ammonia disinfectants.

Experts who may be consulted:

Andrés de la Concha DVM. MS.PhD Texas Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Laboratory Texas A&M University System 483 Agronomy Road College Station, TX 77840 Tel (979)845-3414 Fax (979)845-1794

adelaconcha@tvmdl.tamu.edu

CONTAGIOUS ECTHYMA "ORF"

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Orf Virus (Sore Mouth Infection): 2015 [cited 2018 January 6]. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvrd/orf_virus/
- 2. D'Alterio GL. Contagious ecthyma. In: Kaandorp J (ed.) Transmissible Diseases Handbook. 2nd edition. Van Setten Kwadraat (The Netherlands: European Association of Zoo and Wildlife Veterinarians Infectious Disease Working Group; 2004. p. 447-449.
- 3. de la Concha-Bermejillo A. Orf/contagious ecthyma. In: Blackwell's Five-minute Veterinary Consult: Ruminant 2nd edition. Chase CCL, Lutz KA, McKenzie EC, Tibary A (eds.). Ames (IA): Wiley-Blackwell; 2017. p. 564-565.
- 4. Essbauer S, Pfeffer M, Meyer H. Zoonotic poxviruses. Vet Microbiol. 2010;140(3-4):229-236.
- 5. Fairley R, Whelan EM, Pesavento P, Mercer A. Recurrent localized cutaneous parapoxvirus infection in three cats. N Z Vet J. 2008;56(4):196-201.
- 6. Gallina L, Scagliarini A. Virucidal efficacy of common disinfectants against orf virus: Vet Rec. 2010;166:725.
- 7. Guo J, Rasmussen J, Wünschmann A, de la Concha-Bermejillo A. Genetic characterization of orf viruses isolated from various ruminant species of a zoo. Vet Microbiol. 2004;99:81-92.
- 8. Haig, D.M., and A.A. Mercer. 1998. Orf. (Review Article). Vet. Res. 29: 311-326.
- 9. Mercer AA, Schmidt A, Weber O. Poxviruses, Birkhäuser Advances in Infectious Diseases, Basel (Switzerland): Birkhäuser Verlag; 2007. 441 pp.
- 10. Nandi S, De UK, Sumit C. Current status of contagious ecthyma or disease in goat and sheep- a global perspective. Sm Rum Res. 2011;96:73-82.
- 11. Oryan A, Mosadeghhesari M, Zibaee S, Mohammadi A. Identification and phylogenetic analysis of contagious ecthyma virus from camels (Camelus dromedaries) in Iran. Onderstepoort J Vet Res. 2017;84(1):e1-e5.
- 12. Robinson AJ, Kerr PJ. Poxvirus infections. *In*: Williams E.S., and I.K. Barker (eds.) Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals 3rd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press 2001. p. 179-201.
- 13. Sonvico F, Colombo G, Gallina L, Bortolotti F, Rossi A, McInnes CJ, Massimo G, Colombo P, Scagliarini A. Therapeutic paint of cidofovir/sucralfate gel combination topically administered by spraying for treatment of Orf virus infections. AAPS J. 2009;11:242–249.
- 14. Sprou V, Valiakos G. Orf virus infections in sheep or goats. Vet. Microbiol. 2015;181:178-82.
- 15. Vikøren T, Lillehaug A, Åkerstedt J, Bretten T, Haugum M, Tryland M. A severe outbreak of contagious ecthyma (orf) in a free-ranging musk ox (*Ovibos moschatus*) population in Norway. Vet Microbiol. 2008;127(1-2):10-20.
- 16. Williams CJ, Scheftel JM, Elchos BL, Hopkins SG, Levine JF. Compendium of Veterinary Standard Precautions for Zoonotic Disease Prevention in Veterinary Personnel: National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians: Veterinary Infection Control Committee. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 2015;247(11):1252-77. Erratum in: J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2015;248(2)171.
- 17. Zhao K, He W, Gau W, Lu H, Han T, Li J, Zhang X, Zhang B, Wang G, Su G, Zhao Z, Song D, Gao F. Orf virus DNA vaccines expressing ORFV 011 and ORFV 059 chimeric protein enhances immunogenicity. Virol J. 2011;8:562.

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Multiple	Fecal-oral,	Diarrhea	Asymptomatic	Supportive,	Vaccines exist for	SARS and
mammalian	inhalation,	(often	infections are	antibiotics	certain species:	MERS are
and avian	contaminated	mucoid) due	possible	to reduce		known to be
taxa.	feed or	to enteritis,	(bats).	secondary	Recommended for -	zoonotic. Other
	fomites.	respiratory	However	infections.	Avian Infectious	coronaviruses
		discharge,	when disease		Bronchitis Virus,	may gain the
		dyspnea,	occurs, it is		Bovine Corona-virus,	ability to infect a
		lethargy,	often severe.		Trans-missible	new host,
		death.			Gastro-enteritis	including
					Virus.	humans.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Meredith M. Clancy

Sheet completed on: 17 Jan 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kirsten Gilardi

Susceptible animal groups:

<u>Birds:</u> Avian Infectious Bronchitis Virus (IBV) – poultry; Turkey Coronaviral Enteritis (TCE) – turkeys; multiple other less pathogenic avian coronaviruses in other species

Mammals: Nearly ever mammal family has an endemic coronavirus.

In Hoofstock:

- Bovine Coronavirus (BCV) domestic cattle; multiple ruminant species, including cervids, nondomestic bovids, and giraffids
- Equine Coronavirus (ECoV) equids
- Porcine Deltacoronavirus (PDCoV), Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDV), Porcine Respiratory Coronavirus (PRCoV), and Transmissible Gastroenteritis (TGEV) suids

In Carnivores:

- Canine Enteric Coronavirus (CCV), emerging canine respiratory coronavirus (CRCoV) canids
- Feline Coronavirus (FCoV) felids, including both wild and captive exotic felids
- [Note: biotype that develops into feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) covered separately in this manual]
- Ferret enteric coronavirus (FECV, formerly Epizootic Catarrhal Enteritis or ECE) and Ferret Systemic Coronavirus (FRSCV) ferrets
- Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) humans, suspected reservoir in bats, camels

In other mammals/multiple species:

• Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-associated Coronavirus (SARS-CoV) – humans, possibly other primates, carnivores—including palm civets, raccoon dogs, ferret badgers and domestic cats—and bats

Causative organism: Each disease caused by specific coronavirus (family Coronaviridae)

Zoonotic potential: Both SARS and MERS are confirmed zoonotic diseases.

Distribution:

Avian coronavirus distribution worldwide.

BCoV, CCV, FCoV - worldwide

MERS-CoV - Middle East'

SARS CoV - Asia

PEDV – discovered in UK in 1971, spread to Europe and Asia by 2013; first case in US in 2013.

TGEV – worldwide though less frequently in Europe, epidemic form; its deletion mutant (PCRV) first noted in 1980s, exists in endemic form

CORONAVIRUS

FRECV – first noted in 1993 in US, FRSCV – first noted in Spain in 2004; also present in US PDCoV – first noted in US in 2014

Incubation period: generally very short, ranging from 18-24 hours to 3-4 days

Clinical signs: One of three disease manifestations:

- Enteric coronaviruses (BCoV, CCV, ECoV, FCoV, FECV, PDCoV, PEDV, TGEV) with tropism for GI epithelial cells cause malabsorptive, maldigestive diarrhea with possible dehydration, metabolic acidosis, and death; generally seen in young animals, especially BCV, TGE and PED. In BCV and TGE, the diarrhea is often mucoid and yellow in color with possible milk clots. In FECV, the diarrhea begins as green and mucoid progressing to a rice-water, granular stool.
- Respiratory coronaviruses (PRCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV) are adapted to enter and reproduce in the upper respiratory mucosa causing fever, nasal discharge, cough, pneumonia, and possibly death. Of note, BCoV has been implicated as a part of bovine respiratory disease complex, although whether this is due to the same or different virus remains unclear.
- Systemic coronaviruses infect and persist in macrophages, causing lethargy, weight loss, anorexia, abdominal masses, anemia, peritonitis, vasculitis, peritoneal effusions, and death.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

Enteric coronaviruses – gross lesions include thin-walled, flaccid small intestine, often with yellowish contents; fluid in the colon and/or cecum; microscopically, villous atrophy and blunting, with club-shaped, stumpy villi, often fused; hyperplastic crypt epithelium.

Respiratory coronaviruses, specifically SARS and MERS – gross lesions include pulmonary edema and consolidation; microscopically, diffuse alveolar damage with acute exudates with edema, hyaline membranes, and fibrosis with mixed cellular infiltration.

Systemic coronaviruses, specifically FSCV – gross lesions include whitish nodules through peritoneal viscera ± peritoneal effusion; microscopically, pyogranulomatous inflammation of visceral peritoneum, mesenteric adipose tissue, liver, lungs, kidneys, lymph nodes, spleen, pancreas, and other peritoneal viscera.

Diagnosis:

Avian IBV - ELISA available for flock screening; qPCR also available

Electron microscopy (EM) can be used as screening test for enteric coronaviruses

Molecular diagnostics (e.g. PCR) most widely used for antemortem diagnosis of coronaviruses; PCR generally cross reacts among the alpha-coronaviruses (FCoV, FECV, CCV, TGEV), and beta-coronaviruses (BCV); PCR confirmation in presence of clinical suspicion, performed by CDC approved lab for MERS, SARS

Indirect fluorescent antibodies (IFA) are often used on affected tissue in post-mortem samples, but can be used on antemortem swabs of nasal discharge or feces; IFA available for BCV, TGE, CCV

Material required for laboratory analysis:

ELISA – blood, serum, or eggs (poultry)

EM – feces, tissue

IFA – intestinal or respiratory tissue, nasal/pharyngeal swab or tracheal wash/bronchoalveolar lavage

IHC – formalin-fixed tissue

PCR – blood (serum or EDTA), mucosal (oropharyngeal, nasal, rectal) swabs peritoneal fluid, feces, fresh tissue

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Many tests widely available in state diagnostic labs in US. Specific testing:

IDEXX: ELISA (IBV)

Cornell Animal Health Diagnostic Laboratory: IFA (multiple); PCR (individual, and generic alpha- and beta-coronavirus); viral isolation [https://ahdc.vet.cornell.edu/]

Iowa State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory: PCR (BCoV, CCV, all porcine), IHC (BCoV), ELISA (TGE, PRCoV), whole genome sequencing (PDCoV) [http://vetmed.iastate.edu/diagnostic-lab/]

Michigan State University Diagnostic Center for Population and Animal Health: PCR (BCoV, CCV, ECoV, FeCoV, FECV, PDCoV, PEDV); [https://www.animalhealth.msu.edu/]

Texas A&M Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Laboratory: PCR (porcine, BCoV, CRCoV); IFA (BCoV, FeCV); EM [http://tvmdl.tamu.edu/]

Treatment: Treatment is supportive. In the case of enteric coronaviruses, treatment of the dehydration and electrolyte abnormalities is often accompanied by antibiotics to control secondary bacterial infections. Respiratory coronaviruses are often self-limiting, except in the case of the rare zoonotic SARS and MERS. Treatment of systemic coronaviruses is generally not successful, but rather focuses on controlling clinical signs.

Prevention and Control: Enteric coronaviruses are best prevented in similar fashion: by reducing fecal contamination of environment through routine cleaning and removal of feces, disinfection of enclosures, bowls, and other material with bleach once weekly. For respiratory coronaviruses, isolation of sick individuals and quarantine of new animals is important to reduce exposure of naïve animals to shed virus. In production animals, the all-in/all-out technique is used to reduce exposure and contamination. Vaccinations are available in many species and recommended to prevent IBV, BCoV, and TGEV. Vaccines often are combination rotavirus and coronavirus products and have been used in exotic hoofstock, although efficacy is variable and vaccine reactions have been reported in giraffids (*Okapia johnstonii*). Coronavirus vaccination is not currently recommended in domestic carnivores.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Coronaviruses are enveloped and labile in the environment. They are generally vulnerable to sunlight and basic disinfectants, like bleach, iodine, and quaternary ammonium compounds.

Notification: MERS and SARS are reportable to CDC. TGE reportable to USDA.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Asymptomatic carriers are considered common in ferret coronaviruses. Pigs and cattle that have recovered from coronaviruses are not considered at high risk for repeat disease.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: N/A

Experts who may be consulted:

Linda Saif, MS, PhD, Honorary Diplomate ACVM

Food Animal Health Research Program, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center

The Ohio State University

1680 Madison Avenue

Wooster, Ohio 44691

330-263-3742

Saif.2@osu.edu

Simon Anthony Assistant Professor Epidemiology Columbia University Medical Center 722 West 168th Street, 17th floor New York NY 10033 760-500-4639

Gary Whittaker, Ph.D.

Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine, Microbiology & Immunology

C4 127 Veterinary Medical Center

607-253-4019

grw7@cornell.edu USDA APHIS VS Center for Epidemiology and Animal Health 2150 Centre Ave., Bldg. B Fort Collins, CO 80526 970–494–7200 vs.ceah@aphis.usda.gov

Tracey Goldstein
Associate Adjunct Professor, Medicine and Epidemiology
University of California Davis
tgoldstein@ucdavis.edu
530-752-0412

- 1. Alekseev KP, Vlasova AN, Jung K, Hasoksuz M, Zhang X, Halpin R, Wang S, Ghedin E, Spiro D, Saif LJ. Bovine-like coronaviruses isolated from four species of captive wild ruminants are homologous to bovine coronaviruses, based on complete genomic sequences. J Virol. 2008;82:12422-12431.
- 2. American Association of Swine Veterinarians. Swine Disease Manual. Neumann EJ, Ramirex A, Schwartz KJ (eds.). Perry (IA): American Association of Swine Veterinarians; 2010. Available from https://vetmed.iastate.edu/vdpam/FSVD/swine/index-diseases
- 3. Cavanagh D. Coronaviruses in poultry and other birds. Avian Pathol. 2005;34:439-448.
- 4. Chu DKW, Leung CYH, Gilbert M, Joyner LH, Ng EM, Tse TM, Guan Y, Peiris JSM, Poon LLM. Avian coronavirus in wild aquatic birds. J Virol. 2011;85: 12815-12820.
- 5. Chung JY, Kim HR, Bae YC, Lee OS, Oem JK. Detection and characterization of bovine-like coronaviruses from four species of zoo ruminants. Vet Microbiol. 2001;148:396-401.
- 6. Citino SB. Rotavirus and coronavirus infections in nondomestic ruminants. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine, Volume 4, Current therapy. Philadelphia (PA): WB Saunders Co.; 1999. p. 605-612.
- 7. Garner MM, Ramsell K, Morera N, Juan-Sallés C, Jiménez J, Ardiaca M, Montesinos A, Teifke JP, Löhr CP, Evermann JF, Baszler TV, Nordhausen RW, Wise AG, Maes RK, Kiupel M. Clinicopathologic features of a systemic coronavirus-associated disease resembling feline infectious peritonitis in the domestic ferret (*Mustela putorius*). Vet Pathol. 2008;45:236-246.
- 8. Gu J, Korteweg C. Pathology and pathogenesis of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Am. J. Pathol. 2007;170:1136-1147.
- 9. Hasoksuz M, Alekseev K, Vlasova A, Zhang X, Spiro D, Halpin R, Wang S, Ghedin E, Saif LJ. Biologic, antigenic, and full-length genomic characterization of a bovine-like coronavirus isolated from a giraffe. J Virol 2007;81:4981-4990.
- 10. Murray J, Kiupel M, Maes RK. Ferret coronavirus-associated diseases. Vet Clin N Am Exot Anim Pract. 2010;13:543-560.
- 11. Shi Z, Hu Z. A review of studies on animal reservoirs of the SARS coronavirus. Virus Res. 2008;133:74-87.
- 12. United States Department of Agriculture [Internet]. Technical Note: Porcine epidemic diarrhea (PED). [cited 5 Aug 2018] Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_dis_spec/swine/downloads/ped_tech_note.pdf
- 13. Woolums AR. Lower respiratory tract diseases. In: Smith BP (ed.). Large animal internal medicine, 5th edition. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2015. p. 592-593.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Rodent reservoir with large affected host range including: Felidae, Bovidae, Elephantidae, Equidae, Canidae, Mustelidae, Ailuridae, Herpestidae, Suidae, Camelidae, Tapiridae, Rhinocerotidae (black and white), Myrmecophagidae, Soricidae, Cercopithecidae, Callitrichidae, Humans	Most likely direct contact with infected animal or scabs. Poxviruses are fairly resistant to environmental inactivation.	From mild skin lesions to severe skin, oral/ esophageal and respiratory lesions. Skin lesions may be absent in the pulmonary form. Lymphadenopathy and conjunctivitis may occur.	From mild to fatal although human fatalities are rare. Severity may depend on virus strain as well as species infected and individual immune status.	Generally self-limiting. Supportive care in more severe cases with antibiotics for secondary infections. Systemic or topical antiviral therapy with cidofovir may be beneficial.	Isolation of infected animals. Protective equipment including latex gloves and face shield to prevent cutaneous and mucous membrane exposure. Rodent control in endemic areas. Vaccinia virus vaccines available for zoo animals in some countries.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: James M. Rasmussen **Sheet completed on:** updated 20 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sarah A Cannizzo

Susceptible animal groups: Rodents (voles, mice, rats, gerbils, ground squirrels, beaver, cavy), shrew, felids (domestic cat, cheetah, lynx, African lion, spotted leopard, ocelot, jaguar, puma, jaguarundi, Asian leopard cats), cattle, canids (dog, red fox, arctic fox), banded mongoose, marten, red panda, wild boar, okapi, llama, alpaca, horse, Malayan tapir, black rhinoceros, white rhinoceros, Asian elephant, African elephant, anteater, Barbary macaque, common marmoset, and human.

Causative organism: Double-stranded DNA enveloped virus in the family Poxviridae, subfamily *Chordopoxvirinae*, genus *Orthopoxvirus* which includes smallpox (Variola virus), monkeypox, buffalopox (vaccinia virus), ectromelia, camelpox, horsepox, raccoonpox, skunkpox, volepox, and Uasin Gishu disease. Multiple strains of cowpox exist.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Smallpox vaccination confers protection against cowpox as well. Cats are the most common source of human infection. Less common sources include cattle, pet rats and an Asian elephant.

Distribution: Endemic in various rodent reservoir hosts in Great Britain, Scandinavia, European mainland and adjacent western Asiatic countries.

Incubation period: 3-10 days.

Clinical signs: Skin lesions usually progress through characteristic macule, papule, vesicle, and pustule phase before becoming scabbed. Generally mild self-limiting cutaneous pox lesions in humans and most animal species, but can become generalized and/or cause necrotizing pneumonia in certain species or immune-compromised individuals. Strain, route and dose of virus causing infection may influence course of disease. <u>Humans</u>: Generally localized lesions on hands, face, arms or other points of contact with infected animal. Infection may cause lymphadenopathy and flu-like symptons. Lesions typically resolve in 6-8 weeks without secondary bacterial infections which can extend the process by several weeks. Systemic infections and fatalities

COWPOX VIRUS

may occur in immune-compromised individuals. Previous vaccinia vaccination for smallpox should confer at least partial immunity.

<u>Animals</u>: Mild localized pox lesions to generalized lesions with ulcerations, including the conjunctiva, oral cavity and esophagus. Oral lesions may cause anorexia. An uncommon disease in cattle, but lesions most typical on udder and teats of cows and mouths of suckling calves. Infection may cause pyrexia and lymphadenopathy. Pulmonary disease is rare in most species, but is more common in felid species. Pulmonary involvement has also been seen in giant anteaters.

Postmortem, gross, or histologic findings: Epitheliotropic virus. The lesions undergo the classical poxvirus cascade of macules, papules and later collapse of the lesion from the center giving the lesion a targetoid appearance. The lesions then scab over and are slow to heal. Histologically, affected epithelial cells demonstrate ballooning degeneration and may have eosinophilic homogenous intracytoplasmic inclusions. The affected cells often swell and rupture leaving spaces filled with neutrophils and debris (pustules). These lesions with intraepithelial intracytoplasmic inclusions have also been identified in the pulmonary tract and oral cavity. In an outbreak in captive banded mongooses inclusions were also present in hepatocytes, enterocytes as well as in cells with histiocytic and fibroblastic morphology.

Diagnosis: Histopathology shows characteristic large homogenous eosinophilic cytoplasmic inclusion bodies in epithelial cells undergoing ballooning degeneration. Electron microscopy of fresh or frozen lesion material will typically demonstrate morphologically distinct orthopoxvirus (approximately 220nm x 280nm, brick-shaped virions with tubular surface projections). Cell culture. PCR and DNA sequence analysis. Serologic testing is available to determine if exposure occurred.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Biopsies of lesions- fresh/frozen and formalin fixed.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Laboratories capable of performing electron microscopy of biopsy samples can identify to the level of Orthopox virus. Sequencing of viral DNA is required for more specific identification.

Treatment: Lesions are generally self-limiting, but in some severe cases supportive care and antibiotics for secondary infections are indicated. Systemic treatment with the antiviral drug cidofovir has been used with some success in the treatment of some pox virus infections, but severe side effects have been reported in humans (e.g. nephrotoxicity). A compounded topical cream preparation of cidofovir is available as well. In severe, unresponsive cases euthanasia should be considered before secondary complications cause significant morbidity.

Prevention and control: Control of rodents to the extent possible in endemic areas. Isolated affected animals if possible. Vaccination of susceptible zoo animals with modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) is authorized in some European countries.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Removal and incineration or burial of organic material. Sunlight, heat and humidity leads to more rapid inactivation of virus, but virus may persist for months or longer in scabs or crusts, if frozen, or present in cool, dry locations. Fairly resistant to disinfectants, but phenolics, quaternary ammonium compounds and iodophors can be effective disinfectants with proper concentration and contact time. Organic debris will decrease disinfectant efficacy. Steam sterilization may also be utilized for disinfection.

Notification: May be reportable is some jurisdictions.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Maintain infected animals in a quarantine situation until lesions have healed and scabs have been lost. If feasible may want to bathe infected animal in order to remove all virus from fur. In endemic areas may want to vaccinate susceptible species. Recovered animals should have immunity to the virus. Surviving infected brown rats have demonstrated continued viral shedding in feces and urine for more than a month after recovery.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Wait for all scabs to be lost from an infected animal. Remove bedding and biological material and incinerate or dispose of with other appropriate method. Disinfect environment with phenolic or quaternary ammonia disinfectants to the extent possible.

Experts who may be consulted:

Robert B. Moeller Jr. DVM, DACVP, DABT

rbmoeller@ucdavis.edu 559-679-5653

COWPOX VIRUS

Book Chapters

- 1. Campe H, Zimmermann P, Glos K, Bayer M, Bergemann H, Dreweck C, Graf P, Weber BK, Meyer H, Büttner M, Busch U, Sing A. Cowpox virus transmission from pet rats to humans, Germany. Emerg Inf Dis. 2009;15(5): 777-780.
- 2. Cardeti G, Brozzi A, Eleni C, Polici N, D'Alterio G, Carletti F, Scicluna MT, Castilletti C, Capobianchi MR, Di Caro A, Autorino GL, Amaddeo D. Cowpox virus in llama Italy. Emerg Inf Dis. 2011;17(8):1513–1515.
- 3. Essbauer S, Meyer H. Genus Orthopoxvirus: Cowpox virus. In: Mercer AA, Schmidt A, Weber O (eds.). Poxviruses, Birkhäuser Advances in Infectious Diseases. Basel, Switzerland: Birkhäuser Verlag; 2007. p 75-87.
- 4. Essbauer S, Pfeffer M, Meyer H. Zoonotic poxviruses. Vet Microbiol. 2010;140(3-4):229-236.
- 5. Kurth A., Wibbelt G, Gerber H, Petschaelis A, Pauli G, Nitsche A. Rat-to-elephant-to-human transmission of cowpox virus (Letter). Emerg Inf Dis. 2008;14(4):670-671.
- 6. McInerney J, Papasouliotis K, Simpson K, English K, Cook S, Milne E, Gunn-Moore DA. Pulmonary cowpox in cats: five cases. J Fel Med and Surg. 2016;18(6):518-525.
- 7. Moeller Jr RB. Cowpox. In: Chase CCL, Lutz KA, McKenzie EC, and A. Tibary A (eds.). Blackwell's Five-minute Veterinary Consult: Ruminant 2nd ed. Ames (IA): Wiley-Blackwell; 2017. p. 263-264.
- 8. Ninove L, Domart Y, Vervel C, Voinot C, Salez N, Raoult D, Meyer H, Capek I, Zandotti C, Charrel RN. Cowpox virus transmission from pet rats to humans, France. Emerg Inf Dis. 2009;15(5):781-784.
- 9. Robinson AJ, Kerr PJ. 2001. Poxvirus infections. In: Williams ES, Barker IK(eds.) Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, 3rd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 179-201.
- 10. Schmiedeknecht G, Eickmann M, Köhler K, Herden CE, Kolesnikova L, Förster C, Burkhardt EH, König M, Thiel M, Reinacher M. Fatal cowpox virus infection in captive banded mongooses (*Mungos mungo*). Vet Path. 2010;47(3): 547-552.
- 11. Williams CJ, Scheftel JM, Elchos BL, Hopkins SG, Levine JF. Compendium of Veterinary Standard Precautions for Zoonotic Disease Prevention in Veterinary Personnel: National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians: Veterinary Infection Control Committee. J Am Ve. Med Assoc. 2015;247(11): 1252-1277. Erratum in: J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2016;248(2): 171.
- 12. Wolters M. Cowpox virus. In: Kaandorp J. (ed.) Transmissible Diseases Handbook. 2nd ed. European Association of Zoo and Wildlife Veterinarians Infectious Disease Working Group. Van Setten Kwadraat, The Netherlands. 2004. p. 435-436.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **O FEVER** (*Coxiella burnetii*)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Ruminants, cats, dogs, lagomorphs, birds, marsupials, marine mammals, human	Two patterns: 1) wild animals and ticks, 2) domestic ruminants independent of wildlife cycles. Shed in high numbers within amniotic fluid and placenta. Excreted in milk, urine, feces. It also may be spread through wind and dust.	Mammal infections may be subclinical or lead to fever, anorexia, late term abortions, infertility, retained placenta, metritis.	Highly infectious. Humans – acute form has moderate morbidity (50%), generally low mortality (1-2%). Mortality with endocarditis is up to 65%.	Tetracycline antibiotics if showing clinical signs	Appropriate disposal of placenta, aborted fetuses	Yes; most often an acute febrile illness, but chronic manifestation, such as endocarditits can occur.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Diana Boon

Sheet completed on: 22 November 2010; updated 15 November 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Betsy Stringer, Jane Sykes

Susceptible animal groups: Peri-parturient ruminants (goats, sheep, cattle, pigs), cats, dogs, and wild animals (lagomorphs, and birds). Host range includes wild and domestic mammals, arthropods (ixodid and argasid ticks), and birds.

Causative organism: Coxiella burnetii (obligate intracellular Gram-negative bacteria)

Zoonotic potential: Yes, with acute and chronic presentations

Distribution: Global

Incubation period: Depends on number of infective organisms, but usually 2-3 weeks. Two patterns of transmission: via free-ranging animals and ticks, or between domestic animals with no wild animal involved. Tick bites are important for spread to animals, but rarely spread infections to humans. Human to human transmission is rare.

Clinical signs: Peri-parturient ruminants present subclinical disease, infertility, or anorexia, retained placenta, metritis, or late term abortion. Often sporadic abortions in herds can be seen that are followed by recovery without complications. In humans, acute Q fever is characterized by marked pyrexia, severe headache, myalgia, pneumonia, and similar flu-like signs while the chronic form is manifested as endocarditis, granulomatous hepatitis, optic neuritis, osteomyelitis and /or prolonged fever and chronic fatigue syndrome.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Necrotizing placentitis with large number of organisms in trophoblasts, but otherwise it is non-specific. Immunohistochemistry for *C. burnetii* can be performed on affected tissue(s) – mammary glands, supramammary lymph nodes, placenta, uterus, aborted fetus. The organism has a predilection for macrophages and monocytes.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **O FEVER** (*Coxiella burnetii*)

Diagnosis: IFA antibody tests can be used to screen for exposure or to identify recent infection using paired sera. Antibodies to phase 1 antigens predominate in chronic infection, whereas those to phase 2 antigens predominate in acute infection. A compliment fixation test is also available but is less sensitive. Antibodies to both phase 1 and phase 2 antigens can persist for several years after the initial infection. Other means of diagnosis include: direct isolation using cell culture (which requires highly specialized facilities, PCR, and immunohistochemical staining of placenta/aborted tissues for organisms.

Smears of placental cotyledon, vaginal discharge, and lung, liver, or stomach contents of aborted fetus stained with Stamp, modified Ziehl-Neelson, Gimenex, Giemsa, or modified Koster stain in order to detect organisms, but diagnosis using this method should be supported with serologic test results and clinical findings.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Placenta, vaginal discharges, and liver, lung, or stomach contents of aborted fetuses, and from milk, colostrum, and feces. At risk personnel (contact with reproductive organs, infected carcasses, and fur or wool) should wear adequate protective equipment to protect against small droplet and aerosol exposure.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: State diagnostic laboratories or NVSL (Ames, Iowa) but submit to CDC (Atlanta, GA) for confirmation as needed. Positive test results are automatically reported to CDC if human case(s) involved.

Treatment: Tetracyclines are generally used to treat animals if showing clinical signs. Other active antimicrobials include azithromycin, fluoroquinolones, or trimethoprim-sulfa drugs. In humans, prolonged combination antimicrobial drug therapy is required for treatment of chronic Q fever.

Prevention and control: Vaccination is not commercially available in US. In wildlife settings, precautions against tick bites should be taken. Ruminants – particularly those in guest contact roles or domestic animals - can be screened for antibodies to *C. burnetii*, especially if in a breeding program. Obtain history of recent abortions if acquiring new animals from sending facility. Segregation of pregnant and periparturient animals from any new acquisitions for several weeks post-partum and appropriate quarantine of newly acquired animals and appropriate disposal of birth tissues and aborted fetuses by incineration or burying are recommended. At risk personnel (contact with reproductive organs, infected carcasses, and fur or wool) should wear adequate protective equipment to protect against small droplet and aerosol exposure. Pasteurization of milk products inactivates the organism.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Susceptible to ethanol, glutaraldehyde, gaseous formaldehyde, 10% bleach solution but bacteria are extremely hardy and resistant to heat, drying, and many common disinfectants.

Notification: Notifiable within the US if associated with human infection. The organism also is considered a potential bioterrorism agent due to heat resistance, high infectivity, and ability to aerosolize.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently reported as present and sporadic to OIE every 6 months.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Infected animal(s) should be kept separated until the birth process is complete or acutely affected clinical animals have completed antibiotic therapy. The key is to maintain a properly cleaned facility and dispose of placental tissue, aborted fetuses, and feces appropriately by incineration or burying.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: The organism is enzootic in most areas where domestic ruminants (cattle, sheep, goat) are found, and because of environmental persistence, eradication is difficult. The highest seroprevalence appears to be in sheep (~42%). If eradication is desired, repeated testing should be performed, potentially over several years, as the antibodies can persist for an extended period of time after the initial infection. Approximately 20% of seronegative animals will

Q FEVER (Coxiella burnetii)

continue to shed, so testing for restoring disease free status becomes problematic.

Experts who may be consulted:

Jane Sykes, BVSc, PhD, DACVIM University of California 2108 Tupper Hall Davis, CA 95616 530-752-1363 jesykes@ucdavis.edu

Dave Van Metre, DVM, DACVIM Colorado State University 1678 Campus Delivery, 205 VTH Fort Collins, CO 80523 970-297-1299 david.van metre@ColoState.edu

Paul Plummer, DVM, DACVIM Iowa State University 2426 Lloyd Vet Med Ames, IA 50011 (515) 294-8522 pplummer@iastate.edu

- 1. Arricau-Bouvery, N., and A. Rodolakis. 2005. Is Q Fever an emerging or re-emerging zoonosis? Vet. Res. 36: 327-349.
- 2. Buhariwalla, F., B. Cann and T.J. Marrie. 1996. A dog-related outbreak of Q Fever. Clin. Infect. Dis. 23(4): 753-755.
- 3. CDC Viral and Rickettsial Zoonoses Branch, Q Fever. www.cdc.gov/qfever. Accessed 19 July 2013.
- 4. Karakousis, P.C., M. Trucksis, and J.S. Dumler. 2006. Chronic Q Fever in the United States. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44(6): 2283-2287.
- 5. Mayo Clinic, Diseases and Condition, Q fever basics. www.mayoclinic.com/health/q-fever/DS00960. Accessed 19 July 2013.
- 6. McQuiston, J.H., and J.E. Childs. 2002. Q fever in humans and animals in the United States. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2(3): 179-191.
- 7. Merck Veterinary Manual Q Fever. http://www.merckmanuals.com/vet/generalized_conditions/q_fever/overview_of_q_fever.html. Accessed 19 July 2013.
- 8. O'Toole, D. 2004. Wyoming State Veterinary Laboratory handout. http://www.uwyo.edu/wyovet/disease-updates/2004/files/qfever.pdf. Accessed 19 July 2013.
- 9. Pinsky, R.L., D.B. Fishbein, C.R. Greene, and K.F. Gensheimer. 1991. An outbreak of cat-associated Q Fever in the United States. J. Infect. Dis. 164(1): 202-204.
- 10. Porter, S.R., G. Czaplicki, J. Mainil, R. Guatteo, and C.L. Saegerman. 2011. Q Fever: current state of knowledge and perspectives of research of a neglected zoonosis. Internat. J. Microbiol. V 2011, article ID 248418, 22 pages. www.hindawi.com/journals/ijmb/2011/248418
- 11. Riemann, H.P., R.A. Thompson, D.E. Behymer, R. Ruppanner, and C.E. Franti. 1978.

 Toxoplasmosis and Q-fever antibiodies among wild carnivores in California. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 42(1):

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **O FEVER** (*Coxiella burnetii*)

198-202.

- 12. Riemann, H.P., D.E. Behymer, C.E. Franti, C. Crabb, and R.G. Schwab. 1979. Survey of Q fever agglutinins in birds and small rodents in northern California, 1975-76. J. Wildl. Dis. 15(4): 515-523.
- 13. Rousset E., B. Durand, M. Berri, P. Dufour, M. Prigent, P. Russo, T. Delcroix, A. Touratier, A Rodolakis, and M. Aubert. 2007. Comparative diagnostic potential of three serological tests for abortive Q fever in goat herds. Vet. Microbiol. 6 124(3-4): 286-97.
- 14. Struble, K., A. Lacasse, K.O. Cleveland, H. Polenakovik, A. Ruest, and C.P. Sinave. 2012. Q Fever. http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/227156-overview. Accessed 19 July 2013.
- 15. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) Health Standards. 2010. Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals: Q Fever. Chapter 2.2.10. http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Media_Center/docs/pdf/Disease_cards/Q-FEVER-EN.pdf. Accessed 19 July 2013.
- 16. Zarnke, R. 1983. Serologic survey for selected microbial pathogens in Alaskan wildlife. J. Wildl. Dis. 19(4): 324-329.

CRYPTOCOCCOSIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals, birds, rare in reptiles and amphibians	Inhalation of airborne organisms	Typically respiratory, central nervous system, ocular, or cutaneous signs; possibly in combination.	Moderate to severe; guarded prognosis with neurologic signs.	Antifungal drugs; in some cases, surgical excision of granulomas may be helpful	Avoid contact with pigeon droppings.	Not directly transmissible from animals to humans. Common source exposure can occur.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cynthia Stadler

Sheet completed on: 4 May 2011; updated 30 May 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Jane Sykes; Julie Harris

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals, birds, rarely in reptiles and amphibians

Causative organism: Most often it is associated with Cryptococcus neoformans or C. gattii.

Zoonotic potential: Animals and people may become infected by the same environmental source. Humans with HIV are at a greater risk for acquiring infection. Pet bird feces have been implicated as a possible source of *C. neoformans* infection for immunocompromised people but and no mammal-to-mammal transmission has been documented.

Distribution: Worldwide, but especially southeastern and western Australia, British Columbia in Canada, and the west coast of the US. In specific, *C. neoformans* is considered global and ubiquitous while *C. gattii* likely is present in hotspots around the world, and recently associated with an outbreak in the Pacific Northwest US and British Columbia. Some implication has been made with *Eucalyptus* trees although other hardwood tree species have been implicated.

Incubation period: Unknown. May be a few months to many years in some circumstances.

Clinical signs: Rhinitis, sneezing, pulmonary granulomas (cryptococcomas); chorioretinitis; CNS signs include ataxia, circling, and blindness; cutaneous nodules or ulceration; lymphadenopathy, weight loss, lethargy, vomiting if disease is widely disseminated.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross lesions may include gelatinous masses and granulomas. Histopathology reveals pyogranulomatous to granulomatous inflammation in affected organs with intralesional encapsulated yeasts that are round to oval with a distinctive capsule.

Diagnosis: Cytology, fungal culture, tissue biopsy, antigen testing (serum and cerebrospinal fluid), PCR (not currently widely used). Distinction of *C. gattii* from *C. neoformans* requires specialized canavanine glycine bromothymol blue agar (Hardy Diagnostics).

Material required for laboratory analysis: Samples of the tissue affected, serum, cerebrospinal fluid Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Many state, university and commercial laboratories run specific testing for cryptococcosis, although results of antigen tests may vary between laboratories. Culture is not hazardous for laboratory personnel and allows antifungal susceptibility testing and molecular typing.

Treatment: Long term treatment (months to years) with fluconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole, ketoconazole, and/or amphotericin B. Flucytosine can be used in combination with one of these antifungal agents but should never be used alone due to rapid development of resistance and it may be prohibitively expensive. Surgical excision of cutaneous nodules can assist with drug penetration into poorly perfused tissues.

CRYPTOCOCCOSIS

Prevention and control: Avoid accumulations of bird droppings (especially from pigeons) for *C. neoformans*. Prevention difficult to achieve for *C. gattii* due to implications of contact with contaminated soil and tree bark.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: accelerated hydrogen peroxide, potassium peroxymonosulfate, 1% sodium hypochlorite, iodine, chlorhexidine.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: N/A

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: N/A

Experts who may be consulted:

Jane Sykes, BVSc, PhD, DACVIM

University of California

2108 Tupper Hall

Davis, CA 95616

Phone: 530-752-1363 jesykes@ucdavis.edu

- 1. Aiello, SE and MA Moses (eds). Merck Veterinary Manual Online. 2013. http://www.merckmanuals.com/vet/generalized_conditions/fungal_infections_mycoses/cryptococcosis.h tml. Accessed 2 August 2013.
- 2. Cryptococcus neoformans- Material Safety Data Sheets. 2010. Public Health Agency of Canada. http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/lab-bio/res/psds-ftss/cryptococcus-eng.php. Accessed 2 August 2013.
- 3. Jones, T.C., R.D. Hunt, and N.W. King (eds). 1997. Veterinary Pathology. 6th edition. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, MD. Pp. 516–517.
- 4. Lagrou, K., J. Van Eldere, S. Keuleers, et al. 2005. Zoonotic transmission of Cryptococcus neoformans from a magpie to an immunocompetent patient. J. Int. Med. 257: 385-388.
- 5. Lester, S.J., R. Malik, K.H. Barlett, and C.G. Duncan. 2011. Crytpococcosis: update and emergence of Crytpococcus gattii. Vet. Clin. Pathol. 40: 4-17.
- 6. Nosanchuk, J.D., S. Shoham, B.C. Fries et al. 2000. Evidence of zoonotic transmission of Cryptococcus neoformans from a pet cockatoo to an immunocompromised patient. Ann. Intern. Med. 132:205-208.
- 7. Okabayashi, K., M. Imaji, T. Osumi et al. 2009. Antifungal activity of itraconazole and voriconazole against clinical isolates obtained from animals with mycoses. Jpn. J. Med. Mycol. 50:91-94.
- 8. Spickler, A.R. Cryptococcosis. 2013. http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/cryptococcosis.pdf. Accessed 2 August 2013.
- 9. Sykes, J. 2012. Treatment of fungal infections: the which, why and how of antifungal drug therapy. Proc of ACVIM Forum. New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 10. Sykes, J.E., and R.M. Malik. 2014. Cryptococcosis. *In*: Sykes, J.E. (ed.). Canine and Feline Infectious Diseases. Elsevier Saunders, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 599-612.

CRYOPTOSPORIDIOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs	-		and Control	
Affected						
Mammals	Direct: fecal	Gastrointestinal:	Depending on	Nitazoxanide	Strict	Yes. <i>C</i> .
Avians	to oral.	Diarrhea,	the affected	(Alinia) is licensed	quarantine,	parvum is
Herptiles	Waterborne	vomiting.	species and	and approved for	testing of new	known to
Fish	transmission,	Respiratory	organ system,	use in humans.	specimens,	affect both
Humans	possible	disease	severity can	Oral bovine hyper-	biosecurity,	animals and
	paratenic host	documented in	vary from a	immune serum is	personal and	humans. Other
	transmission,	birds.	mild, transient,	reported to be	environmental	species (C.
	possible		self-limiting	effective in	hygiene.	felis, C. canis,
	aerosol		disease to a	reptiles.		C. melea-
	transmission		severe and fatal	Paromomycin		gridis, C.
	in birds.		disease. Severe	(Humatin) is		fayeri, etc.)
			disease is typical	effective against		are
			of immune	some stages of the		occasionally
			suppressed	disease but will		isolated from
			patients, and	not eliminate		immune
			reptiles.	infection.		compromised.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Christopher J. Bonar

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011; updated 9 April 2013; updated 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: David Lindsay, Christie Hicks

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals, avian, herptiles, fishes

Causative organism: *Cryptosporidium sp.* of which at least 20 different species exist. *Cryptosporidium parvum* in mammals and humans, *C. ubiquitum* in man and many species, *C. saurophilium* in lizards, and *C. serpentis* in snakes are the most commonly encountered species in zoological medicine, but there are many others. *C. meleagridis*, *C. baileyi* and *C. galli* are reported in birds. Some species are being debated (eg. *C. parvum* = *C. pestis*), but clearly there are many and molecular techniques may define still more.

Zoonotic potential: Yes, at least for mammalian forms.

Distribution: Common in domestic dairy calves, and often transmitted to humans. Virtually, all dairy calves become infected if sampled repeatedly during life. *C. andersoni* and *C. bovis* are found in weaned cattle. *Cryptosporidium* spp. are present in free-ranging wildlife. *C. serpentis* apparently affects both free-ranging and captive squamates. The reptile form is common in zoological parks and serpentariums. Avian forms are found in both exotic and domestic species. A human form, *C. hominis* has been transmitted to lemur species in Madagascar from the increased exposure of humans into their wild habitats.

Incubation period: This period is not well defined in zoological specimens. Reptiles can show gradual, progressive illness. Inapparent carriers are suspected. Humans often become acutely infected, and incubation time is approximately 2 to 10 days, although often the exact time between exposure and onset of disease is often unknown.

Clinical signs:

Humans: Diarrhea, intestinal cramping, low grade fever, nausea, vomiting, malabsorption, dehydration. Occasionally respiratory, ocular, pancreatitis, choecystitis, cholangitis.

Animals: Vomiting, anorexia, and mid-body (gastric) swelling in snakes. Diarrhea in lizards, chelonians, exotic and domestic mammals, and birds. Respiratory disease is also reported in birds.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

This coccidian parasite can cause a variety of pathology in different taxonomic groups. In mammals, enteritis is the most common. In reptiles, proliferative gastritis is the most common manifestation in snakes, often yielding a firm, mid abdominal swelling. In lizards, enteritis with hyperplasia and mononuclear cell infiltrate in the small intestine is more common. In both gastritis and enteritis, the organism can often be seen attached to the luminal

CRYOPTOSPORIDIOSIS

surface or within a parasitivorous vacuole within the host cells. Aural and pharyngeal cell polyps are reported in iguanas. Birds are often diagnosed with either enteric or respiratory tract infections. In humans, infections of the bile ducts, respiratory tract, and conjunctiva are found in immunosuppressed patients.

Diagnosis: Diagnosis is by histopathology, ELISA test on feces, Meriflour IHC of gastric washings or gastric biopsies. Acid-fast stain of gastric wash, fecal smear, or cytologic preparations. Low sensitivity and specificity of acid-fast stains on gastric washes, fecal smears, and cytologic preparations makes confirmation by more sophisticated tests (of both positives and negatives) important. FLOTAC has been shown to detect *Cryptosporidium* in reptiles. Sheather's flotation sedimentation staining can also be used and is 83% sensitive and 99% specific.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Fecal sample, gastric wash, gastric or intestinal biopsy.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Many laboratories can perform these tests, although some are more experienced or have more capabilities than others. Much of the pioneering work on this disease in exotic animals has been performed at the University of Florida and Johns Hopkins University.

Treatment: Nitazoxanide (Alinia) is licensed and approved in the U.S. for treatment of immune-suppressed humans with clinical disease from cryptosporidiosis. It is not documented to shorten the course of disease in immunologically normal humans. Its effectiveness in exotic animals is not published. Oral bovine hyperimmune serum has been demonstrated to be effective in reptiles. Paromomycin (Humatin) has been used to suppress the organism, but it is not effective against all stages of the organism and is unable to eliminate the infection. Other drugs similar to Nitazoxanide are in pre-clinical testing for use in humans and may show promise for exotic animals as well. Drugs such as tizoxanide, tizoxanide-glucuronide, D-eritadenine, and (S)-DHPA all have shown promise in in-vitro testing.

Prevention and control: Strict quarantine and testing of reptiles for *Cryptosporidium* has long been considered an important part of biosecurity for serpentariums. Good hygiene and disinfection are essential to prevent zoonotic transmission of mammalian *Cryptosporidium* to human caregivers. Testing of symptomatic birds, reptiles, and mammals should be performed, and appropriate biosecurity and hygienic practices implemented to prevent spread to other animals and caregivers when positive cases are detected.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: *Cryptosporidium* is notoriously resistant to most common disinfectants, especially chlorine-based disinfectants. Heat sterilization of implements is most reliably effective, as well as having separate implements and tools to prevent spread from one enclosure or exhibit to another.

Notification: Public health officials may need to be notified if zoonotic transmission occurs. In humans, it is a reportable disease.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: An infected animal should not be introduced to others of the same taxonomic group. However, mammalian *Cryptosporidium parvum* has been shown to be non-infective to some reptiles. *Cryptosporidium* has been shown to be transmissible between squamates and chelonians, and wild mammals have been shown to carry *C. parvum*.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Heat disinfection is the only method known to destroy oocysts and can be used to disinfect utensils, cleaning equipment and surfaces.

Experts who may be consulted:

Michael Cranfield, DVM Mountain Gorilla Veterinary Project 876 Mansion House Drive Baltimore, MD 21217

David Lindsay, Ph.D.
Professor of Parasitology
Dept. of Biological Sciences and Pathobiology
Virginia Tech

CRYOPTOSPORIDIOSIS

1410 Prices Fork Rd. Blacksburg, VA 24061 lindsayd@vt.edu

- 1. Abe N, Makino I. Multilocus genotypical analysis of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from cockatiels. Japan Parasit Res. 2010;106(6):1491-1497.
- 2. Antunes RG, Simons DC, Nakamura AA, Meireles MV. Natural infection with *Cryptosporidium galli* in canaries (*Serinus canaria*) in a cockatiel (*Nymphicus hollandicus*) and in lesser seed-finches (*Oryzoborus angolensis*) from Brazil. Avian Dis. 2008;52(4):702-705.
- 3. Cranfield MR, Graczyk TK. *Cryptosporidium*. In: Mader D (ed.). Reptile Medicine and Surgery. St. Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier Press; 2006.
- 4. Čtrnáctá V, Fritzler JM, Šurinová M, Hrdý I, Zhu G, Stejskal F. Efficacy of S-adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase inhibitors, D-eritadenine and (S)-DHPA, against the growth of Cryptosporidium parvum in vitro. Experimental parasitology. 2010;126(2):113-6.
- 5. Current WL. *Cryptosporidium* species. In: M.E. Fowler and R.E. Miller, Eds. Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 4th ed. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders; 1999.
- 6. DaSilva DC, Homem CG, Nakamura AA, Teixera WFP, Perri SVH. Physical, epidemiological, and molecular evaluation of infection by *Cryptosporidium galli* in passerifirmes. Parasit Res. 2010;107(7):271-277.
- 7. Dyachenko V, Kuhnert Y, Schmaeschke R, Etzold M, Pantchev N, Daughschies A. 2009. Occurrence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. genotypes in European hedgehogs (*Erinaceeus europaeus*) in Germany. Parasitology. 2010;137(2):205-16.
- 8. Fayer R, Santin M, Macarisin D. *Cryptosporidium ubiquitum*, nov. sp., in animals and humans. Vet Parasit. 2010;172(1-2):23-32.
- 9. Feng Y. Cryptosporidium in wild placental mammals. Exp Parasit. 2010;124(1):128-137.
- 10. Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR. *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and microsporidian spores in feces of captive snakes. J Parasit. 2000;86(2): 413-414.
- 11. Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR, Bostwick EF. Hyperimmune bovine colostrums treatment of moribund leopard geckos (*Eublepharis macularis*) infected with *Cryptosporidium* sp. Vet Res. 1999;30:377.
- 12. Graczyk TK, Balazs GH, Work T, Aguirre AA, Ellis DM, Murakawa SK, Morris R. *Cryptosporidium sp.* infections in Green Turtles, *Chelonia mydas*, as a potential source of marine waterborne oocysts in the Hawaiian islands. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1997;63:2925-2927.
- 13. Graczyk TK, Owens R, Cranfield MR. Diagnosis of subclinical cryptosporidiosis in captive snakes based on stomach lavage and cloacal sampling. Vet Parasit. 1996;67:143-145.
- 14. Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR. Assessment of the conventional detection of fecal *Cryptosporidium serpentis* oocysts in subclinically infected captive snakes. Vet Res. 1996;27:185-92.
- 15. Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR, Fayer R. A comparative assessment of direct fluorescence antibody, modified acid fast stain, and sucrose flotation techniques for detection of *Cryptosporidium serpentis* oocysts in snake fecal specimens. J Zoo Wild Med. 1995;26:396-402.
- 16. Hijjawi N, Ng J, Yang R, Atoum MFM, Ryan U. 2010. Identification of rare and novel *Cryptosporidium* GP60 sub-types in human isolates in Jordan. Exp. Parasit. 125(2):161-164.
- 17. Jacobson ER. Infectious Diseases and Pathology of Reptiles: A Color Atlas and Text. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2007. p. 262-263, 283,297,312-313,347,391,518,620,624-627.
- 18. Kahn C, Line S. (eds.). The Merck Veterinary Manual 9th Edition. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck & Co., Inc.; 2005. p 1193-1195.
- 19. Kimbell LM, Miller DL, Chavez W, Altman N. Molecular analysis of the 8S rRNA gene of *Cryptosporidium serpentis* in a wild-caught corn snake (*Elaphe guttata guttata* and a five-species restriction fragment length polymorphism-based assay that can additionally discern *C. Parvum* from *C.*

CRYOPTOSPORIDIOSIS

- wrairi. Appl Environ Micriobiol. 1999;65:5345-5349.
- 20. Koudela B, Modry D. New species of *Cryptosporidium* (Apicomplexa: Cryptosporidiidae) from lizards. Folia Parasitol. 1998;45:93-100.
- 21. Maikai BV, Umoti JV, Lawal IA, Kudi AC, Egembi CL, Xiao L. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium*, *Giardia*, and enterocytozoan in humans in Kaduwa state, Nigeria. Exp Parasitol. 2012;131(4):452-456.
- 22. Merck Manual Veterinary Manual [Internet]. Overview of Cryptosporidiosis [cited 2018 August 2]. Available from http://merckvetmanual.com
- 23. Molina-Lopez RA, Ramis A, Martin-Vazquez S, Gomez-Couso H, Ares-Mazas E, Cacci SM, Leiva M, Darwich L. *Cryptosporidium baileyi* infection associated with an outbreak of ocular and respiratory disease in otus owls (*Otus scops*) in a rehabilitation centre. Avian Path. 2010;39(3):171-176.
- 24. Ng, JSY, Pingault N, Gibbs R, Hoehler A, and Ryan U. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* outbreaks in Western and South Australia. Exp Parasitol. 2010;125(4):325-328.
- 25. Nolan JJ, Jex AR, Haydon SR, Stevens MA, Gasser RB. Molecular detection of *C. cuniculus* in rabbits in Australia. Infect Genet and Evol. 2010;10(8):1179-1187.
- 26. Power, ML. Biology of *Cryptosporidium* from marsupial hosts Exp Parasitol. 2010;124(1):40-44.
- 27. Qi M, Wang R, Ning C, Li X, Zhang L, Jian F, Sun Y, Xiao L. *Cryptosporidium* in pet birds: genetic diversity and potential public health significance. Exp Parasitol. 2011;128(4):336-340.
- 28. Radadkova M, Kvac O, Ditrich B, Sak B, Xiao L. *Cryptosporidium muris* in a reticulate giraffe (*Giraffe camelopardis reticulata*). J Parasitol. 2010;96(1):211-212.
- 29. Rasambainarivo F, Goodman SM. Disease Risk to Endemic Animals from Introduced Species on Madagascar. In: Miller RE, Lamberski N, Calle P (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine, Volume 9, 1st Edition, Current therapy. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2019. p 294.
- 30. Rena X, Shaoa J. *Cryptosporidium tyzzeri* n. sp. (Apicomplexa, Cryptosporidiidae) in domestic mice (*Mus musculis*). Exp Parasitol. 2012;130(3):274-281.
- 31. Rinaldi L, Mihalla AD, Grillo R, Maurelli MP, Montesano M, Capasso M, Gringoli G. FLOTAC can detect parasitic and pseudoparasitic elements in reptiles. Exp Parasitol. 2012;130(3):282-284.
- 32. Robertson LJ, Gjerde BK, Hansen EF. The zoonotic potential of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in Norweigian sheep: a longitudinal investigation of six flocks of lambs. Vet Parasitol. 2010;171(1-2):140-145.
- 33. Shi K, Jian F, Lv C, Ning C, Zhang L, Ren X, Dearen TK, Li N, Qi M, Xiao L. Prevalence, genetic characteristics, and zoonotic potential of *Cryptosporidium* species causing infection in farm-raised rabbits in China. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48(9):3263-3266.
- 34. Van Zeeland YRA, Schoemaker NJ, Kik MJL, van der Giessen JWB. Upper respiratory tract infection caused by *Cryptosporidium baileyi* in three mixed breed falcons (*Falco rusticolus x Falco cherrug*). Avian Dis Dig. 2008;3(2):357-363.
- 35. Wang R, Zhang X, Shu H, Sheng L, Feng Y, Jian F, Ning C, Qi M, Zhou Y, Fu K, Wang Y, Sun Y, Wang Q, Xiao L. Genetic characterizations of *Cryptosporidium spp.* and *Giardia duodenalis* in humans in Henan, China. Exp Parasitol. 2013;127(1):42-45.
- 36. Waldren LS, Cheng-Kwok-Sang C, Power ML. Wildlife associated *Cryptosporidium fayeri* in human, Australia. Emerg Infect. Dis. 2010;16(12):2006-2007.
- 37. Yoder JS, Beach MJ. *Cryptosporidium* surveillance and risk factors in the U.S. Exp Parasitol. 2010;124(1):31-39.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **CYTAUXZOONOSIS**

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Felids,	Tick-borne	Domestic cats and	Non-clinical	Mortality can	Avoid contact	No
wild and	(Amblyomma	some exotic felids:	or mild to	be high even	with ticks by	
domestic	americanum	some cats develop no	severe	with	keeping cats	
	and	clinical signs while	including	treatment. A	indoors.	
	Dermacentor	others may develop	death; could	combination	Outside cats	
	variabilis)	high fever, lethargy,	depend on	of atovaquone	should have	
		dyspnea, depression,	numerous	and azithro-	effective	
		dehydration, anorexia,	factors such	mycin seems	acaricides	
		anemia,	as species of	to have the	applied.	
		hepatosplenomegaly,	felid, strain	highest	No vaccine	
		and/or jaundice.	or genotype	success rates.	available.	
		Others die acutely.	of parasite,	Supportive		
		Exotic felids: often no	or other	care also		
		clinical signs.	unknown	should be		
			factors.	provided.		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Michael J. Yabsley

Sheet completed on: 1 August 2013; updated 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Adam Birkenheuer

Susceptible animal groups: Felids. *Cytauxzoon felis* has been reported from domestic cats, bobcats (*Lynx rufus*), puma (*Puma concolor*), and captive exotic felids (e.g., tigers [*Panthera tigris*]) in the United States. *Cytauxzoon manul* infects the Pallas cat. *Cytauxzoon* spp., some genetically similar to *C. felis*, have been reported from domestic cats and numerous free-ranging and/or captive exotic felids in South America, Africa and Europe.

Related *Cytauxzoon* spp. has been reported from meerkats (*Suricata suricatta*) from South Africa and Formosan pangolins (*Manis pentadactyla pentadactyla*) from Taiwan.

Causative organism: Cytauxzoon spp. are Apicomplexan parasites in the Order-Piroplasmida which are related to important human and veterinary pathogens in the genera Babesia and Theileria spp. In the US, Cytauxzoon felis is the causative agent of cytauxzoonosis in domestic cats and some exotic felids. Bobcats, and other wild felids (e.g., cougars), are the natural reservoir but chronically infected domestic cats can serve as a source of infection for ticks. Outside of the US, other Cytauxzoon species or genetic variants of C. felis infect wild and domestic felids; however, clinical cytauxzoonosis is rare.

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: *C. felis* has been reported from numerous states in the eastern US but is likely found throughout the range of the vector(s) and the main wildlife reservoir (bobcats). Other species of *Cytauxzoon* have been reported in parts of South America, Africa, Europe and Asia. Some of the *Cytauxzoon* likely represent novel species or have been described as separate species (e.g., *C. manul*), but genetic data indicates that *Cytauxzoon* from Brazil is closely related to *C. felis* from the US. Exotic felids kept in enclosures that allow tick exposure within the natural range of any *Cytauxzoon* spp. are at risk of infection.

Incubation period: *C. felis* can typically be detected in erythrocytes of infected cats approximately 1-3 weeks after an infected tick bite. Clinical signs typically occur 5-16 days after infected tick bite.

Clinical signs:

<u>Domestic cats</u>: The majority of domestic cats develop severe clinical disease but some never develop clinical signs, but remain chronic carriers. Those with clinical signs may develop high fever, lethargy, dyspnea, depression, dehydration, anorexia, anemia, hepatosplenomegaly, and/or jaundice.

Captive wild/exotic felids: Development of clinical signs is highly variable and may depend on felid species,

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **CYTAUXZOONOSIS**

strain of parasite, or some other factor. Fatal cases have been reported in a tiger housed in Florida and lions in Brazil; however, asymptomatic infections have been detected in tigers in US and ocelots (*Leopardus pardalis*), oncilla (*Leopardus tigrinus*), jaguar (*Panthera onca*), and puma in Brazil.

<u>Wild felids</u> (natural reservoirs): Wild reservoir species rarely develop clinical signs but very rare acute mortality has been reported among young bobcats. In addition, three infected cougar in the US developed a transient anemia and increased serum bilirubin concentrations and increased alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase activities soon after infection; however, all recovered rapidly without treatment.

Clinical pathological, gross, and histopathological findings: Parasitemias of *C. felis* on blood smears are generally low (<5%), even for clinically ill felids. Leukopenia or pancytopenia may be present as well as thrombocytopenia and normocytic, normochromic anemia. Gross lesions are typically severe as death occurs due to severe occlusions of vessels by developing parasites. Felids may have pale or icteric mucous membranes, petechiae and ecchymoses in the lung, heart, lymph nodes and on mucous membranes, splenomegaly, lymphadenomegaly, and hydropericardium. Numerous large schizonts will be noted in the cytoplasm of infected macrophages that often occlude the lumens of numerous vessels of many tissues, especially the lungs. Despite the large numbers and size of schizonts, a lack of inflammatory reaction generally is present.

Diagnosis: Piroplasms may be detected in stained thin blood smears if sufficiently high parasitemias are present; however, subclinical chronic carriers generally have very low parasitemias. Although feline babesiosis has not been reported in domestic cats in the US, *C. felis* trophozoites are morphologically similar to other small piroplasms so PCR testing is necessary to definitive identify *C. felis*. If possible, a fine needle aspiration of a peripheral lymph node, spleen, or liver should be performed to identify schizonts in macrophages. These intracellular schizonts are not found in babesiosis cases so can be used to definitively identify *Cytauxzoon* infections. Several PCR protocols have been developed for the detection of *C. felis*. If PCR assays are not specific to *C. felis*, amplicons should be sequenced to confirm identification as other piroplasms can infect felids, especially wild felids.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Thin blood smears fixed and stained for detection of piroplasms and anticoagulated whole blood (for PCR testing and preparation of thin blood smears). Formalin fixed needle biopsies of tissues for histologic evaluation for schizonts.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Many diagnostic laboratories have PCR based assays for *C. felis*.

Treatment: Despite treatment, mortality rates can be high. The greatest success has been obtained using atovaquone (15 mg/kg, PO, tid for 10 days) and azithromycin (10 mg/kg, PO, sid for 10 days) with supportive care (fluid therapy and heparin). Limited success has been obtained using imidocarb and diminazene diaceturate while even less success has been obtained using parvaquone, buparvaquone, trimethoprim/sulfadiazine, and sodium thiacetarsamide.

Prevention and control: Because *Cytauxzoon* is tick-borne, limiting exposure of felids to ticks is necessary to prevent transmission. For domestic cats, the best prevention is to keep cats indoors. For exotic or wild felids or domestic cats that are allowed outdoors, an effective acaracide or acaricide-treated collar should be used to prevent or limit tick infestation. If possible, tick checks can also decrease risk by finding and removing ticks prior to transmission. Habitat modification can also be used around a premise to decrease local habits for ticks which should decrease tick infestation rates of animals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Prevent tick-exposure

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: This parasite is tick-borne so direct contact between animals is not a risk factor for infection. However, tick prevention should be implemented.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Not applicable

Experts who may be consulted:

Michael J. Yabsley

Associate Professor

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **CYTAUXZOONOSIS**

College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia Athens, Georgia 30602

(706) 542-1741

myabsley@uga.edu

Adam Birkenheuer
Associate Professor
College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University
Raleigh, NC 27607
(919) 513-8288
adam birkenheuer@ncsu.edu

Leah A. Cohn
Professor
College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Missouri
Columbia, MO 65211
(573) 882-7821
CohnL@missouri.edu

- 1. Birkenheuer AJ, Marr HS, Warren C, Acton AE, Mucker EM, Humphreys JG, Tucker MD. *Cytauxzoon felis* infections are present in bobcats (*Lynx rufus*) in a region where cytauxzoonosis is not recognized in domestic cats. Vet Parasitol. 2008;153:126-30.
- 2. Birkenheuer AJ, Le JA, Valenzisi AM, Tucker MD, Levy MG, Breitschwerdt EB. *Cytauxzoon felis* infection in cats in the mid-Atlantic states: 34 cases (1998-2004). J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2006;228:568-71
- 3. Brown HM, Lockhart JM, Latimer KS, Peterson DS. Identification and genetic characterization of *Cytauxzoon felis* in asymptomatic domestic cats and bobcats. Vet Parasitol. 2010;172:311-6.
- 4. Brown HM, Modaresi SM, Cook JL, Latimer KS, Peterson DS. Genetic variability of archived *Cytauxzoon felis* histologic specimens from domestic cats in Georgia, 1995-2007. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2009:21:493-8.
- 5. Brown HM, Berghaus RD, Latimer KS, Britt JO, Rakich PM, Peterson DS. Genetic variability of *Cytauxzoon felis* from 88 infected domestic cats in Arkansas and Georgia. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2009;21:59-63.
- 6. Brown HM, Latimer KS, Erikson LE, Cashwell ME, Britt JO, Peterson DS. Detection of persistent Cytauxzoon felis infection by polymerase chain reaction in three asymptomatic domestic cats. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2008;20:485-8.
- 7. Carli E, Trotta M, Chinelli R, Drigo M, Sinigoi L, Tosolini P, Furlanello T, Millotti A, Caldin M, Solano-Gallego L. Cytauxzoon sp. infection in the first endemic focus described in domestic cats in Europe. Vet Parasitol. 2012 Feb 10;183(3-4):343-52.
- 8. Cohn LA, Birkenheuer AJ, Brunker JD, Ratcliff ER, Craig AW. Efficacy of atovaquone and azithromycin or imidocarb dipropionate in cats with acute cytauxzoonosis. J Vet Intern Med. 2011 Jan-Feb;25(1):55-60.
- 9. Filoni C, Catão-Dias JL, Cattori V, Willi B, Meli ML, Corrêa SH, Marques MC, Adania CH, Silva JC, Marvulo MF, Ferreira Neto JS, Durigon EL, de Carvalho VM, Coutinho SD, Lutz H, Hofmann-Lehmann R. Surveillance using serological and molecular methods for the detection of infectious agents in captive Brazilian neotropic and exotic felids. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2012 Jan;24(1):166-73.
- 10. Garner MM, Lung NP, Citino S, Greiner EC, Harvey JW, Homer BL. Fatal cytauxzoonosis in a captive-reared white tiger (*Panthera tigris*). Vet Pathol. 1996 Jan;33(1):82-6.

CYTAUXZOONOSIS

- 11. Hoover JP, Walker DB, Hedges JD. Cytauxzoonosis in cats: eight cases (1985-1992). J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1994 Aug 1;205(3):455-60.
- 12. Haber MD, Tucker MD, Marr HS, Levy JK, Burgess J, Lappin MR, Birkenheuer AJ. The detection of *Cytauxzoon felis* in apparently healthy free-roaming cats in the USA. Vet Parasitol. 2007 May 31;146(3-4):316-20.
- 13. Harvey JW, Dunbar MR, Norton TM, Yabsley MJ. Laboratory findings in acute *Cytauxzoon felis* infection in cougars (*Puma concolor couguar*) in Florida. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2007 Jun;38(2):285-91.
- 14. Lewis KM, Cohn LA, Downey ME, Whitney MS, Birkenheuer AJ. Evaluation of *Cytauxzoon felis* infection status in captive-born wild felids housed in an area endemic for the pathogen. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2012 Oct 15;241(8):1088-92.
- 15. Maia LM, Cerqueira Ade M, de Barros Macieira D, de Souza AM, Moreira NS, da Silva AV, Messick JB, Ferreira RF, Almosny NR. *Cytauxzoon felis* and 'Candidatus Mycoplasma haemominutum' coinfection in a Brazilian domestic cat (*Felis catus*). Rev Bras Parasitol Vet. 2013;22:289-91.
- 16. Leclaire S, Menard S, Berry A. Molecular characterization of *Babesia* and *Cytauxzoon* species in wild South-African meerkats. Parasitology. 2015;142:543-8.
- 17. Meinkoth J, Kocan AA, Whitworth L, Murphy G, Fox JC, Woods JP. Cats surviving natural infection with *Cytauxzoon felis*: 18 cases (1997-1998). J Vet Intern Med. 2000;14:521-5.
- 18. Peixoto PV, Soares CO, Scofield A, Santiago CD, França TN, Barros SS. Fatal cytauxzoonosis in captive-reared lions in Brazil. Vet Parasitol. 2007;145:383-7.
- 19. Pollard DA, Reichard MV, Cohn LA, James AM, Holman PJ. Genetic variability of cloned *Cytauxzoon felis* ribosomal RNA ITS1 and ITS2 genomic regions from domestic cats with varied clinical outcomes from five states. Vet Parasitol. 2017;244:136-143.
- 20. Reichard MV, Thomas JE, Arther RG, Hostetler JA, Raetzel KL, Meinkoth JH, Little SE. Efficacy of an imidacloprid 10 % / flumethrin 4.5 % collar (Seresto®, Bayer) for preventing the transmission of *Cytauxzoon felis* to domestic cats by *Amblyomma americanum*. Parasitol Res. 2013;112 Suppl 1:11-20.
- 21. Reichard MV, Meinkoth JH, Edwards AC, Snider TA, Kocan KM, Blouin EF, Little SE. Transmission of *Cytauxzoon felis* to a domestic cat by *Amblyomma americanum*. Vet Parasitol. 2009;161:110-5.
- 22. Reichard MV, Edwards AC, Meinkoth JH, Snider TA, Meinkoth KR, Heinz RE, Little SE. Confirmation of *Amblyomma americanum* (Acari: Ixodidae) as a vector for *Cytauxzoon felis* (Piroplasmorida: Theileriidae) to domestic cats. J Med Entomol. 2010;47:890-6.
- 23. Reichard MV, Baum KA, Cadenhead SC, Snider TA. Temporal occurrence and environmental risk factors associated with cytauxzoonosis in domestic cats. Vet Parasitol. 2008;152:314-20.
- 24. Schreeg ME, Marr HS, Tarigo J, Cohn LA, Levy MG, Birkenheuer AJ. Pharmacogenomics of *Cytauxzoon felis* cytochrome b: implications for atovaquone and azithromycin therapy in domestic cats with cytauxzoonosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51:3066-9.
- 25. Shock BC, Birkenheuer AJ, Patton LL, Olfenbuttel C, Beringer J, Grove DM, Peek M, Butfiloski JW, Hughes DW, Lockhart JM, Cunningham MW, Brown HM, Peterson DS, Yabsley MJ. Variation in the ITS-1 and ITS-2 rRNA genomic regions of *Cytauxzoon felis* from bobcats and pumas in the eastern United States and comparison with sequences from domestic cats. Vet Parasitol. 2012;190:29-35.
- 26. Shock BC, Murphy SM, Patton LL, Shock PM, Olfenbuttel C, Beringer J, Prange S, Grove DM, Peek M, Butfiloski JW, Hughes DW, Lockhart JM, Bevins SN, VandeWoude S, Crooks KR, Nettles VF, Brown HM, Peterson DS, Yabsley MJ. Distribution and prevalence of *Cytauxzoon felis* in bobcats (*Lynx rufus*), the natural reservoir, and other wild felids in thirteen states. Vet Parasitol. 2011;175:325-30.
- 27. Yabsley MJ, Murphy SM, Cunningham MW. Molecular detection and characterization of *Cytauxzoon felis* and a *Babesia* species in cougars from Florida. J Wildl Dis. 2006;42:366-74.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **CYTOMEGALOVIRUS (CMV)**

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mice, rats	In mice, urine, vertical transmission; in mice/rats, tears, saliva	None in natural infections; immune, reproductive, and hematopoetic effects when experimentally inoculated in mice	Usually subclinical	Depopulation and restock- ing with MCMV-free animals	Isolate wild individuals from laboratory colonies	No
Guinea pig	Saliva, urine, vertical	Pneumonia, fetal death; neonatal runting, neuro- logic deficits, deafness	Subclinical to severe	None effective	Separate infected from GpCMV-free	
Swine	Ocular/nasal discharges, urine, cervical fluids	Abortion, neonatal piglet losses, runting, poor weight gain, inclusion body rhinitis, pneumonia	Subclinical when >3 wks old	Aantibiotics for secondary bacterial invaders	"All-in- all-out" farrowing and weaning management	
Cattle	Possibly milk; not well documented	Rare to absent. Possible abortion; respiratory/ genital diseases produced experimentally only	Subclinical	None	None needed	
Horses	Probably respiratory secretions	Immunosuppression; corneal ulcers; pharynxgitis; lymphadenopathy; fever in foals.	Subclinical to mod- erate, possible foal death	Symptomatic	None	
Non-human primates	Bodily secretions	SIV-infected macaques similar to HIV infected humans; necro-	Majority subclinical	Symptomatic	Screen prior to intro- duction if necessary	

CYTOMEGALOVIRUS (CMV)

		tizing enteritis, encephalitis, lymphadenitis, pneumonitis				
Humans	Intrauterine, sexual contact, bodily fluids, trans-fusions and transplants, fomites	Congenital: childhood deafness. Acute acquired: mononucleosis- like fever, malaise, myalgia, arthralgia. Immunocompro- mised: retinitis,	Majority subclinical; fatalities in transplant patients	Antivirals—ganciclovir or Foscarnet	Good hygiene, hand washing, limiting transfusions, screening donors	
		esophogitis, pan- creatitis, pneumonia.				
Australian finches	Respiratory	Depression, anorexia, conjunctivitis, dyspnea	High mortality rate	Symptomatic	Isolate captive from wild birds	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Eleanor C. Manela Newcomb; updated by Jan Ramer

Sheet completed on: 1 April 2011; updated 1 September 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Meredith Clancy; Kyoung-Jin Yoon; Hayley Murphy

Susceptible animal groups: Rodents, swine, cattle, non-human and human primates, some other mammals, some marsupials, some passerine birds.

Causative organism: Family *Herpesviridae*, Subfamily *Betaherpesvirinae*, except in cattle and horses, where it is *Gammaherpesvirinae*.

Zoonotic potential: Although the virus has a restricted host range, interspecies transmission does occur in non-human primates. No natural transmission to humans from other species documented.

Distribution:

<u>Rodents</u>: The virus is widespread through reservoirs in wild populations. Specifically in guinea pigs, the virus is common in pets and laboratory populations but its distribution in the wild is unknown.

Swine: Worldwide, with >90% herd prevalence in North America, Europe, and Japan.

<u>Cattle</u>: Worldwide. <u>Equine</u>: Widespread.

Non-human primates: Widespread.

<u>Humans</u>: 85% of population worldwide and in US, 50-85% adults are infected by age 40. If infection is

acquired by mother during pregnancy, then up to 20% neonates severely affected.

Finches: reported mostly in Europe.

Incubation period: Unknown in most species. Swine: 10-20 days. Humans: 3-12 weeks. Lifelong latent infection occurs commonly, may produce periodic episodes of reactivation, viral replication and shedding.

CYTOMEGALOVIRUS (CMV)

Clinical signs: In rats, mice, and squirrels, no clinical signs are presented in natural infections. Guinea pigs, however, present weight loss, ruffled coat, abortion, and neonatal abnormalities. Swine present signs of respiratory, neurologic, and reproductive systems. Cattle present no correlation between presence of virus and specific lesions. Horses present conjunctivitis, oculonasal discharge, and cough. In finches, affected birds present respiratory disease and death. Humans and non-human primates are usually subclinical. Immunocompromised non-human primates can present diarrhea, melena, dyspnea, and terminal opportunistic infection. In humans, severe permanent disabilities in children can occur when primary infection occurs during pregnancy, or when acquired in AIDS patients, organ transplant and cancer chemotherapy. These clinical signs range from malaise to permanent hearing loss, and include mental retardation; gastrointestinal, pulmonary, and auto-immune disease; and death.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Marked enlargement (6x normal) of nucleus and cytoplasm of infected cells (cytomegaly) is observed with large intranuclear ("owl's-eye") and smaller basophilic intracytoplasmic inclusions.

Affected organs by rodent species include: <u>mice</u>: submandibular salivary gland; <u>rats</u>: salivary/lacrimal glands; European ground squirrels: salivary gland; guinea pigs: salivary glands/renal tubules.

Swine: macrophages in lungs, nasal mucosa, turbinates, and upper respiratory tract.

Sheep: cytomegaly with virus has been detected in lung tissue of lamb with Mycoplasma pneumonia.

Cattle: monocytes/macrophages in multiple organ sites.

Horses: leukocytes and respiratory tract and, kidneys.

<u>Non-human primates</u>: inclusion bodies in alveolar septa and septal lining, liver, CNS, spleen, kidney, testes; meningoencephalitis, necrotizing vasculitis; neutrophilic infiltrates may be prominent in CNS and gastrointestinal tract. Several other species (e.g. hamster, chimpanzee, and gorilla) have been diagnosed based on characteristic cytomegaly in the absence of virus isolation.

Diagnosis: Virus isolation from bodily fluids, macrophages, or affected tissues can be performed. Horses can have nasal swabs submitted. Serologic or molecular testing options (ELISA, IFA, PCR) are available.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Tissues and bodily fluids for virus isolation include biopsies or post-mortem samples, or urine, cervical secretions, semen, saliva, lung lavage, or blood.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Pathogen Detection Laboratory
California National Primate Research Center
University of California
Road 98 & Hutchison,
Davis, California 95616
(530) 752-8242
Fax: (530) 752-4816

PDL@primate.ucdavis.edu http://pdl.primate.ucdavis.edu/

VRL Laboratories-San Antonio P.O. Box 40100 7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200 San Antonio, Texas 78229 877-615-7275

Fax: 210-615-7771 http://www.vrlsat.com/

CYTOMEGALOVIRUS (CMV)

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4 Chatsworth, California 91311-3800

818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881 info@zoologix.com www.zoologix.com

Treatment: Most species are recommended to receive symptomatic treatment. If severely debilitated from disease, cull may be recommended and entire groups can be depopulated if virus will interfere with laboratory studies.

In humans, several weeks course of intravenous antivirals (e.g., ganciclovir or Foscarnet) are administered and treatment is usually lifelong for AIDS patients.

Prevention and control: Separate wild from captive populations to minimize transmission. Test individuals prior to introduction if applicable. All-in-all-out in production facilities used with all individuals moved out as a group and premises disinfected thoroughly between groups.

In humans, blood and blood-product transfusions should be limited and CMV-seronegative donors selected. High-titer CMV immunoglobulins may be prophylactic for bone marrow or renal transplant recipients.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Disinfectants or detergents should be utilized that are effective against herpesviruses,.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Do not introduce infected animal to pregnant or immunocompromised individuals, or to group-housed research animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Disinfect environment, depopulate and restock with CMV-free animals

Experts who may be consulted:

Camille Nelson Kotton, MD
Infectious Diseases Division
Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School
Boston, MA 02114
617-724-0082

Mark R. Schleiss, MD Pediatric Infectious Diseases University of Minnesota Medical School Minneapolis, MN 55455 612-626-9913

- 1. http://www.phsource.us/PH/ZD/VD/Cytomegalovirus%20Disease.htm Accessed 17 September 2013.
- 2. http://wildpro.twycrosszoo.org/List_Vols/GreatApesViral/List_GreatApe_ViralDis.htm Accessed 17 September 2013.
- 3. Colitz, C.M.H. and V. Kuonen. 2007. Ocular infections. *In*: Sellon, D.C., and M.T. Long. Equine Infectious Diseases. Saunders/Elsevier, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 111-112.
- 4. Diseases associated with viruses and *Chlamydia* II. 2007. *In*: Radostits, O.M., C.C. Gay, K.W. Hinchcliff, and P.D. Constable (eds.). Veterinary Medicine: A Textbook of the Diseases of Cattle, Sheep, Pigs, Goats and Horses. 10th ed., Elsevier Limited, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 1318-1319.

CYTOMEGALOVIRUS (CMV)

- 5. Donofrio, G., C. Flammini, F. Scatozza, and S. Cavirani. 2000. Detection of bovine herpesvirus 4 (BoHV-4) DNA in the cell fraction of milk of dairy cattle with history of BoHV-4 Infection. J. Clin. Micro. 38(12): 4668-4671.
- Guimaraes, M.B. 2001. Order Passeriformes (Songbirds): Selected infectious diseases. *In*: Fowler, M.E., and Z. S. Cubas (eds.). Biology, Medicine, and Surgery of South American Wild Animals. 1st ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. P. 206.
- 7. Hoover, D.M., and H.L. Thacker. 1979. Ovine pulmonary cytomegalovirus. Vet. Path. 16(4): 413-419.
- 8. Jacoby, R.O., and D.J. Gaertner. 2006. Rat cytomegalovirus. *In*: Suckow, M.A., S.H. Weisbroth, and C. L. Franklin (eds.). The Laboratory Rat, 2nd ed. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, Massachusetts. Pp. 434-435.
- 9. King, N.W. 2001. Herpesviruses of nonhuman primates. *In*: Williams, E.S., and I.K. Barker (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, 3rd ed. Blackwell Publishing, Ames, Iowa. Pp: 147, 152-153.
- Leendertz, F.H., M. Deckers, W. Schempp, F. Lankester, C. Boesch, L. Mugisha, A. Dolan, D. Gatherer, D. J. McGeoch, and B. Ehlers. 2009. Novel cytomegaloviruses in free-ranging and captive great apes: phylogenetic evidence for bidirectional horizontal transmission. J. Gen. Virol. 90: 2386-2394.
- 11. Mahy, B.W. J. 2009. The Dictionary of Virology. Academic Press/Elsevier, Burlington, Massachusetts. 520 pp.
- 12. Martin, W.J. 1996. Simian cytomegalovirus-related stealth virus isolated from the cerebrospinal fluid of a patient with bipolar psychosis and acute encephalopathy. Pathobiol. 64: 64-66.
- 13. Schiefer, B. 1974. Bovine abortion associated with cytomegalovirus infection. Zentralblatt fur Veterinarmedizin Relhe B. 21(1-2): 145-151.
- 14. Yoon, J.K. and N. Eddington. 2006. Porcine cytomegalovirus. *In*: Straw, B.E, J. J. Zimmerman, S. D'Allaire, and D. J. Taylor (eds). Diseases of Swine. 9th ed., Blackwell Publishing Ltd., Oxford, United Kingdom. Pp. 323-329.

DERMATOPHYTOSIS

Animal Group(s)	Transmissio n	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoono tic
Affected						
Most vertebrates including mammals, reptiles, and avian species	Direct contact with infected hairs, fomites, infected animals, or environment (rare). Contact does not always result in infection.	Lesions can appear different in each species, but most consist is a well-demarcated area of alopecia with grey-white scaling, crusting, and mild erythema. Depending on the species and complicating factors lesions may or may not be pruritic.	Generalized dermatophytosis can be difficult to cure, but mortality is low. In healthy hosts and low burden of disease dermatophytosis can be self-limiting.	Standard of care for treatment involves topical antifungal agents in combination with systemic therapies (see below for details).	Holding period for newly introduced animals. Isolation of affected animals until mycological cure. Protective clothing and good personal hygiene after handling infected animals. Decontamination of all fomites (brushes, blankets, toys, cages, etc.) and environment. Vaccines do not protect against exposure in dogs and cats, though may show some efficacy in cattle and horses (see below).	Yes- Specific c species carry higher potential for zoonos is than others (see details below).

Fact Sheet compiled by: Samantha Lockwood

Sheet completed on: 8 February 2018 **Fact Sheet Reviewed by:** Ryan Colburn

Susceptible animal groups: All mammals can be affected.

- •Avian species-rare, mostly seen in domestic fowl.
- •Reptiles-uncommonly affected; reports in lizards, snakes (green anacondas, *Eunectes murinus*), chameleons, and one report in an iguana.
- •Pocket Pets-rabbits, chinchillas, ferrets, guinea pigs, hedgehogs, less commonly rats and mice.
- •Often animals with compromised or underdeveloped immune systems will be more commonly affected. Young, stressed, elderly, or sick (neoplasia, underlying metabolic disease) animals are more likely to develop infection after exposure. Animals with compromised skin barriers such as allergic patients, genetic predispositions (Yorkshire terries and Persian cats), or long coats may also be more likely to develop infection after exposure.

Causative organism: Three genera- *Microsporum*, *Epidermophyton*, *and Trichophyton*. The three genera can be categorized into anthrophilic (adapted to humans), zoophilic (adapted to animals), and geophilic (normally live in environment, but occasionally are infectious). Both *Microsporum* and *Trichophyton* are anthrophilic and zoophilic, whereas only one species of *Epidermophyton* (*E. floccosum*) has been known to cause disease in humans (anthrophilic). The most common geophilic species that can cause disease are species from the *M. gypsum* complex.

- •Overall, the most common species that affect domestic animals are *Microsporum canis* (dogs and cats), *M. equinum* (horses), *M. nanum* (pigs), *M. gypseum* (dogs and cats), *T. mentagrophytes* (horses, dogs, and cats), *M. persicolor* (voles), and several species of *T. mentagrophytes* complex (rodents, rabbits, hedgehogs).
- *Microsporum canis* is the most common causative agent in dogs and cats, but can be routinely found in horses, rabbits, cattle, sheep, goats, camelids, and swine.
- •Microsporum gallinae occurs in domestic birds (chickens), uncommon in wild birds.
- •Microsporum nanum is the most commonly isolated species in swine, though *T. mentagrophytes*, *T. verrucosum*, and *M. canis* have also been isolated.
- •*Microsporum gypseum* has been found in many different species of animals, but is mostly geophilic. It has been reported in cats, dogs, ruminants, camelids, horses, pigs, birds, and rodents.

DERMATOPHYTOSIS

- Trichophyton spp. is most commonly isolated from reptiles.
- *Trichophyton equinum* is the most common cause of dermatophytosis in horses. It has also been reported in dogs, cats, goats, and sheep.
- •*Trichophyton mentagrophytes* is common in many species, such as cattle, horses, pigs, dogs, cats, and especially rabbits, rodents-including guinea pigs. *T. mentagrophytes* var. *erinacei* occurs in the European and African hedgehog.
- *Trichophyton verrucosum* is the most common cause of dermatophytosis in cattle, goats, and sheep. It has been reported in horses, donkeys, and South American camelids.
- Trichophyton simmii affects non-human primates (monkeys), poultry and dogs.

Zoonotic potential: Dermatophytosis poses a risk to humans especially when working in shelters or multi-animal facilities. *M. canis* (dogs and cats), *T. verrucosum* (cattle), *Arthroderma benhamiae* (guinea pigs) and *Arthroderma vanbreuseghemii* (cats, dogs, rabbits, mice, and chinchillas) appear to have a more common frequency in humans. Occasionally *T. equinum* can be transmitted to humans. *T. rubrum*, the cause of athlete's foot in humans has been reported to cause reverse zoonosis in dogs and cats.

Distribution: Most species of dermatophyte are worldwide.

- •T. simii is rarely seen outside of India
- •T. erinacei geographical distribution includes Europe, East Asia, and New Zealand
- •M. persicolor geographical distribution includes Europe and the USA
- •The causative agent that predominates in any one particular area can vary depending on the climate, geographic locations, and other factors such as concentration or livestock, pets, or exotic animals present.
- •Humid, warm, tropical, and subtropical areas appear to have higher incidence.

Incubation period: The infective form of dermatophyte is the arthrospore. Infection can be established within hours after exposure, though clinical signs generally occur 1-3 weeks after exposure in animals and 4-14 days in humans.

Clinical signs:

Canine/Feline- Variable pruritus, though when complicated by secondary bacterial infection pruritus is more commonly noted. *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* can be extremely pruritic and mistakenly diagnosed as allergic disease. Focal to locally extensive areas well demarcated expanding alopecia with scale, crust, and follicular papules; these lesions are often expansive with chronicity. Facial lesions are common, though any of area of the body can be affected. Fungal kerions are another manifestation of disease characterized by an exudative, well circumscribed nodular furunculosis seen more commonly on limbs and the face of animals; these are often associated with dermatophytosis in dogs.

Equine-One or more circular patches of erythematous alopecia with scaling and crusting. Early lesions can appear as papular urticaria. Lesions are most often seen in the saddle and tack areas (thorax, head, and shoulders). Pruritus is usually minimal, but occasionally severe (suggestive of ectoparasitism).

Bovine-Non-pruritic periocular lesions (mostly in calves), discrete patches of alopecia with scaling white-grey crusts; papules and nodules can be present as well. Fungal kerions can be seen in cattle. Lesions are most commonly seen on the head, neck, and pelvis. For bulls the dewlap and intermaxiallary space will often be affected.

Caprine/Ovine-Pruritus is rare. Alopecia, scale, erythema, and yellowish-grey crusting most often seen on the face, pinna, neck, and limbs. Udders and teats can be affected.

Porcine-Lesions are often diffuse, but seen mostly behind the ears and on the trunk. Annular areas of red to brown discoloration with superficial orange-brown crusting are noted. Alopecia and pruritus are rare.

Pocket Pets-Pruritus is common. The face, neck and limbs are commonly affected by areas of alopecia with scale and crust. Ears are often affected in rabbits.

Reptiles-Blisters that rupture into brown/yellow crusts can be seen. Other manifestations include proliferative growths or nodules that are often described as appearing 'necrotic'.

Avian-Alopecia (loss of feathers, though feathers are not infected) with scale and white crusts. Hyperkeratosis

DERMATOPHYTOSIS

or white plaque formation can be seen. Occasionally feather plucking and self-mutilation occur.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Post-mortem and gross findings are the same as clinical signs and skin lesions present ante-mortem.

- •The most common histopathological findings include:
- 1) perifolliculitis, folliculitis, and furunculosis more specifically, infiltrative lymphocytic mural folliculitis, suppurative luminal folliculitis, and pyogranulomatous furunculosis
- 2) hyperplastic or spongiotic superficial perivascular or interstitial dermatitis with prominent parakeratotic or orthokeratotic hyperkeratosis of the epidermis and hair follicles
- 3) intraepidermal pustular dermatitis (suppurative, neutrophilic epidermitis)
- •Arthroconidia and hyphae can be detected in hair shafts with H&E staining, but special staining such as Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) and Grocott methenamine silver (GMS) lends for an easier detection.

Diagnosis: There is no one gold standard diagnostic test for dermatophytosis; diagnosis includes multiple complementary techniques.

- •Woods lamp examination will not provide a definitive diagnosis for dermatophytosis. This technique is helpful in identification of infected hairs, thus allowing a clinician to pinpoint the best area to pluck hairs or brush lesions for cytological evaluation and culture sampling.
- •Trichogram and cytological evaluation of infected hairs and/or scale can reveal arthrospores and hyphae in 40-70% of cases and provides a preliminary diagnosis.
- •Dermoscopy has recently been used in cats as a non-invasive diagnostic tool. On evaluation variably amounts of yellow to brown crusts are common and slightly curved or broken hairs with a homogenous thickens named "comma hairs" are common.
- •Fungal culture is commonly used for diagnosis of dermatophyte. A Mackenzie brush technique utilizing a soft bristle toothbrush is ideal for collection of samples; 20 brush strokes, 2-3 minutes of brushing, or until the brush is full of hair should be achieved when collecting samples. Sabouraud's dextrose agar or Dermatophyte Test Media (DTM) are the most reliable culture plates to confirm dermatophytosis. Specific dermatophyte species can be determined by assessment of macroconidia on cytological evaluation of colony growth from culture plates.
- •It is important to note that T. equinum requires nicotinic acid (vitamin B3) for growth on fungal cultures.
- •Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) has become a common and expedient diagnostic tool for evaluation of dermatophytosis. False positives may occur due to fomite carriage or detection of non-viable dermatophyte organisms after recent treatment. False negative results may be due to poor sample collection or marker used for detection does not correlate to specific dermatophyte species (i.e. only *Microsporum* and *Trichophyton* spp. are currently available for PCR testing).
- •Skin biopsy is not often used, but can be helpful when fungal kerions are present, negative culture or PCR results occur.
- *It is important to note that ectoparasites, bacterial pyoderma, and *Malassezia* dermatitis should be ruled out when approaching diagnostic testing for dermatophytosis.*

Material required for laboratory analysis: Infected hairs and/or scale; samples for infected hairs/scale can be detected using Wood's lamp evaluation, trichogram, or cytological evaluation.

•Test media such as Sabouraud's dextrose agar, DTM, Mycobiotic Agar (Difco, Detroit, MI), Mycosel Agar (BBL, Cockeysville, MD), Sab-duets (Hardy Diagnostics, Mountainview, CA), and Derm Duet (Hardy Diagnostics, Mountainview, CA) can all be used for culture.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Most clinics/clinicians will grow and review cultures in-house. Most commercial laboratories (Idexx, Antech) and veterinary schools will offer culture. Idexx laboratories offers PCR testing for *Microsporum* and *Trichophyton* spp.

Treatment: End point of treatment is considered with two or three negative culture (or PCR) results at consecutive weekly (2-4 weeks) intervals occur, this is considered a mycological cure.

•Clipping the hair, especially in long coated cats or severely infected animals, should be performed. This is

DERMATOPHYTOSIS

particularly recommended in multi-cat facilities. In single animal households it is not necessarily needed. This decreases the burden of infection and allows for easier topical application of shampoos. Clipping the hair can also reduce chances of false positive results on culture and PCR.

- •Effective topical agents include lime sulfur (1:16 dilution), 2% combined miconazole/chlorhexidine (1:1 ratio) formulations, and 0.2% enilconazole formulations. The animal's entire body should be treated twice weekly with topical therapies, allowing contact time for 10 minutes each treatment.
- •In large animals 0.5% sodium hypochlorite (1:10 dilution of household bleach) can be used as topical therapy, but can be caustic to the skin.
- •Systemic antifungal therapy includes griseofulvin, itraconazole, terbinafine, fluconazole, and ketoconazole. When considering systemic antifungal treatment it is imperative to note that compounded antifungal drugs have been shown to be inconsistent in dose, stability, and efficacy, therefore are not recommended. Itraconazole and terbinafine appear to have the best efficacy in treating dermatophytosis. Other systemic treatment options can be used though close monitoring for side effects, such as hepatic toxicity, is recommended.
- •In horses, other than griseofulvin, no other antifungals are approved for oral use in the United States.
- •The dose of griseofulvin for large animal species is widely variable. There is high evidence of spontaneous resolution; therefore, often in large animal species dermatophytosis will be left untreated.
- •Itraconazole, fluconazole, ketoconazole, and terbinafine are often used in small animals (dogs and cats). Itraconazole is the treatment of choice for cats. There are varying dosages, duration, and regimens available for all the azole drugs. Pulse regiments with itraconazole and terbinafine have also been shown to be effective in some species. Itraconazole and terbinafine are most commonly used for pulse therapy such as one week on and one week off, or 2 days/week.
- •Overall, treatment for generalized or serve dermatophytosis in all animals should include a combination of systemic and topical antifungals. If lesions are minimal, less than 2-3 lesions, then considering topical therapy alone is valid., or spontaneous resolution.

Prevention and control: Arthroconidia can remain viable in the environment and be infective for months to years, though studies have shown over time viability decreases.

- •With the exception in cattle and horses, vaccines are not efficacious at preventing disease in other species. In Europe (Soviet Union and Scandinavia), a modified live *Trichophyton verrucosum* vaccine for cattle and modified live *Trichophyton equinum* vaccine for horses have shown to be effective. The vaccine is administered intramuscularly in calves at one and three weeks of age and in horses intramuscularly twice at 14 day intervals. The vaccine for cattle can have protection against *T. verrucosum* for up to 4-5 years.
- •Control of dermatophytosis includes proper hygiene, routine disinfection of facilities, tools, housing, bedding, and toys, reducing fomites by using proper protective gear, limit handling and number of people handling infected patients, and isolation of infected patients.
- •Vacuuming/sweeping facilities helps to remove any dander, scale, or infected hairs that could be lingering in the environment. This is considered a mechanical clean to remove any organic debris harboring arthroconidia. After removal of debris, disinfection is necessary to kill remaining arthroconidia.
- •In equine medicine, tack and riding gear used in infected horses should be solely used in those individuals and properly disinfected or disposed of after use.
- •Isolation of newly acquired animals for 2-4 weeks is recommended. Fungal culture and/or fungal PCR of all newly acquired animals are recommended to reduce asymptomatic carriers.
- •Clipping the fur of infected animals and proper disposal can help reduce the amount of arthrospores introduced into the environment.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities:

- •Environmental cleaning is aimed at reducing transmission of disease to animals and humans, minimizing fomite carriage, and shortening the course of unnecessary treatment.
- •There are three major steps in decontaminating housing facilities:
 - 1) Mechanically remove all debris, fur, and fomites from facility (as described above).

DERMATOPHYTOSIS

- 2) Thoroughly wash all surfaces with water and detergent.
- 3) Disinfect with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite (chlorine bleach) at a 1:100 dilution is effective and less irritating to humans and animals. This should be allowed to sit for 10 minutes. The diluted bleach solution does not retain efficacy over time and is recommended to be made new weekly.
- •Other topical disinfectants include accelerated hydrogen peroxide, 1% Formaldehyde Solution (Formalin) and Enilconazole Environmental Spray (concentrate diluted to 0.2%). Household cleaners labeled to be effective against *Trichophyton* spp. can also be effective with 10 minute contact time.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Healthy non-infected animals should never be introduced to infected animals. As stated above, contact alone does not always result in disease, but exposing a healthy animal to an infected animal is not recommended.

- •Animals should only be allowed to interact once mycological cure has been achieved.
- *Trichophyton verrucosum* and *Trichophyton equinum* modified live vaccines in Europe both show efficacy at preventing disease in cattle and horses respectively. All other vaccines have not been shown to be efficacious in other species.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak:

- •Treating all infected animals and achieving mycological cure.
- •Disinfecting housing facilities adequately (see above).
- •Following isolation protocols for newly acquired animals.

Experts who may be consulted:

Tom Lewis, DVM, DACVD Dermatology for Animals 480-633-2277

Anthea Schick, DVM, DACVD Dermatology for Animals 480-633-2277

- 1. Bettenay S. Ringworm-What's New? Proc. World Small Anim Vet Assoc; 2013. P. 2008.
- 2. Bond R. Topical Therapy in the Treatment of Dermatophytosis. J Small Anim Dermatol for Practitioners. 2009;2: 1-4.
- 3. Boothe D. *Small Animal Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*. 2nd ed. St Louis (MO): Elsevier Saunders; 2012.
- 4. The Center for Food Security and Public Health [Internet]. Dermatophytosis: c2004-2013 [cited 2013 September 06]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/dermatophytosis.pdf
- 5. Clubb SL, Dorrestein GM, Quesenberry K. Mycotic Diseases. In: Altman RB (ed.). Avian Medicine and Surgery. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders; 1997. p. 323-331.
- 6. Hensel P. An Update On Current Systemic Antifungal Drugs. J Small Anim Dermatol for Practitioners 2009;2: 5-9.
- 7. Hnilica KA, May ER, Sargent S, Frank LA. Dermatophytosis: Decontaminating Multianimal Facilities. *Compendium.* 2006; 564-79.
- 8. Idexx Reference Laboratories [Internet]. IDEXX Reference Laboratories Introduces the Ringworm (Dermatophyte) RealPCRTM Panel for Fast and Accurate Diagnosis of Dermatophytosis in dogs and cats: 2014 [cited 2019 February 18]. Available from https://www.vetmarket.co.il/Content/Images/uploaded/%D7%91%D7%93%D7%99%D7%A7%D7%95
 - https://www.vetmarket.co.il/Content/Images/uploaded/%D/%91%D/%93%D/%99%D/%A/%D/%93%D/%95%D/%99%D/%A/%D/%95%D7%AA%20%D7%9E%D7%A2%D7%91%D7%93%D7%94/Idexx/DU_realpcr-dermatophytepanel.pdf

DERMATOPHYTOSIS

- 9. Kraemer A, Hein J, Heusinger A, Mueller RS. Clinical Signs, Therapy and Zoonotic Risks of Pet Guinea Pigs with Dermatophytosis. Mycoses 2012;56.2:168-72.
- 10. Laporte C, Cruz-Espindola C, Thungrat K, Schick A, Lewis TP, Boothe DM. Quality assessment of fluconazole capsules and oral suspensions compounded by pharmacies located in the United States. Am J Vet Res. 2017;78(4):421-432.
- 11. Mawby D, Whittemore J, Genger S, Papich MG. Bioequivalence of orally administered generic, compounded, and innovator-formulated itraconazole in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med. 2014;28(1):72-77.
- 12. Merchant SR [Internet]. Overview of Dermatophytosis: 2018 [cited 2019 February 18], Available from https://www.merckvetmanual.com/integumentary-system/dermatophytosis/overview-of-dermatophytosis
- 13. Mettler-Net, Claudia. Dermatophytes: Diagnosis and Therapy. In: Proc. British Small Anim Vet Congress; 2010.
- 14. Miller WH, Griffin CE, Campbell KL, Muller GH, Scott DW. Fungal and Algal Skin Diseases. Muller & Kirk's Small Animal Dermatology. 7th ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier Mosby, 2013. 223-43.
- 15. Moriello K. Dermatophytosis: Monitoring Treatment and Treatment Failures. J Small Anim Dermatol for Practitioners. 2009;2:10-18.
- 16. Moriello K. Diagnostic Techniques for Dermatophytosis. Clin Techniques in Small Anim Pract 2001;16:219-24.
- 17. Moriello K. Treatment of Dermatophytosis in Dogs and Cats: Review of Published Studies. Vet Dermatol 2004;15: 99-107.
- 18. Moriello K, Hondzo H. Efficacy of disinfectants containing accelerated hydrogen peroxide against conidial arthrospores and isolated infective spores of Microsporum canis and Trichophyton spp. Vet Dermatol. 2014;25:191-e48
- 19. Moriello K, Coyner K, Patterson S, Mignon B. Diagnosis and treatment of dermatophytosis in dogs and cats-clinical consensus guidelines of the world association for veterinary dermatology. Vet Dermatol. 2017;28:266-e68.
- 20. The National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians Animal Contact Compendium Committee [Internet]. Compendium of Measures to Prevent Disease Associated with Animals in Public Settings, 2017: 2017 [cited 2019 February 18]. Available from http://nasphy.org/Documents/AnimalContactCompendium2017.pdf
- 21. Pare JA, Sigler L, Rosenthal KL, Mader, DR. Microbiology: Fungal and Bacterial Diseases of Reptiles. In: Reptile Medicine and Surgery. 2nd ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2006. p. 218-221.
- 22. Patterson S. Skin Diseases of Exotic Pets. Ames (IA): Blackwell Publishing Company; 2006. p. 195, 205, 223, 234, 251, 264-266, 277, 292-293, 314.
- 23. Quesenberry KE, Carpenter JW. Ferrets, Rabbits, and Rodents: Clinical Medicine and Surgery. 2nd ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders, 2004.
- 24. Scott DW, Miller WH. Equine Dermatology. 2nd ed. Philadelphia (PA): Saunders; 2011. 172-83.
- 25. Scott DW. Large Animal Dermatology. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders; 1988. 172-82.
- 26. University of Guelph [Internet]. Worms and Germs Blog: 2019 [cited 2019 February 18]. Available from https://www.wormsandgermsblog.com/

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **DIROFILARIA IMMITIS**

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Many mammal species: Dogs Cats Ferrets	Female mosquito vector: >70 out of 3000 mosquito species worldwide; 16 species east of the Mississippi, three on the California coast, including Aedes, Anopheles, Culex, Mansonia, and Psorophora spp.	Dogs: Tricuspid regurgitation murmur, right heart failure, pulmonary hypertension, pulmonary thrombo- embolism, jugular pulses, allergic pneumonitis, ascites, hemo-globinemia, and hemo-globinuria (caval syndrome). Cats: Pulmonary granulomas, dyspnea, chylothorax, blindness, tachycardia, syncope. Ferrets: Anorexia, cough, weakness, dyspnea, bilirubinuria	Dogs: Asymptomati c or mild, may progress to fatal Cats: Asymptomati c to fatal; possible spontaneous cure with no treatment Ferrets: Potentially severe, >4 worms can be fatal	Dogs: Melarsomine dihydro- chloride Cats: Symptomatic treatment or surgical extraction only Ferrets: Injectable moxidectin, if available	Dogs: Macrolytic lactones— monthly oral ivermectin, milbemycin oxime, or moxidectin; or monthly topical moxidectin or selamectin; or parenteral moxidectin every 6 months. Mosquito control, keep animals indoors Cats: Same as dogs Ferrets: Liquid ivermectin, topical moxidectin	Yes, but rare

Fact Sheet compiled by: Andrew Moorhead

Sheet completed on: Updated 14 Jan 2019

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Elizabeth Arnett-Chinn

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals. Dogs 100% susceptible. Cats 61-90% susceptible. Domestic dog and wild canids (wolf, coyote, fox), and possibly Eurasian otter, are definitive hosts. Raccoons, wolverines, coyotes, deer, and bears are wildlife reservoirs. Documented in the rabbit, ferret, river otter, muskrat, harbor seal, sea lion, red panda, Japanese raccoon dog, wild cat, black-footed cat, golden cat, bobcat, ocelot, clouded leopard, snow leopard, African leopard, tiger, African lion, American black bear, polar bear, horse.

Causative organism: *Dirofilaria immitis*, a nematode intravascular parasite, that lives in bloodstream of host, normally pulmonary vessels

Zoonotic potential: Occasionally occurs and usually causes pulmonary dirofilariasis; in Florida, 100 cases were documented in the last 40 years.

Distribution: Diagnosed in 48 contiguous states plus Hawaii and US territories and worldwide.

Incubation period: Prepatent period at least 6-7 months in definitive host, 7-8 months in cat. Temperature dependent maturation of organism in mosquito occurs >57°F. In mosquito: ingested L1 (microfilariae) molt

DIROFILARIA IMMITIS

into L2 in 8-10 days post-infection, molt to L3 in 2-3 days after second molt, then migrate to mouth parts in 1-2 more days. Total development time can be as short as14-15days. In dog: L3 injected into host by mosquito molt to L4 in 3-12 days in skin, molt to juvenile adult heartworm in subcutaneous tissue and muscle in 50-70 days, migrate to heart via vascular system by day 70-120,. L1 (microfilariae) discharged by mature nematodes 6-9 months post infection and can survive up to 2-3 years in the bloodstream. Worm longevity: 5-7 years in dog, 2-3 years in cat. Clinical signs may not appear for one year after infection.

Clinical signs: Lethargy, weakness, fatigue, exercise intolerance, dyspnea, cough, anorexia, weight loss, vomiting, diarrhea, collapse, seizures, sudden death. Humans: aberrant host--worms do not reach adult stage-no microfilaremia.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Female nematodes <12", males <7", microfilariae <1/800". Worms found in lobar arteries and main pulmonary artery when mild (e.g., 10 worms); right atrium and caudal vena cava, and rarely the right ventricle when severe (e.g., >40 worms). Dogs: 1-250 worms. Cats: 1-3 worms. Rabbits: aberrant host—granulomatous lung nodule reported. Humans: "coin lesion" in lungs, can be confused radiographically with carcinoma.

Diagnosis: In dogs and exotic species, antigen test (most sensitive, nearly 100% specific) detects adult female *D. immitis* protein >5-7 months post-infection. Cats: Both antigen and antibody tests preferred. Ancillary tests: Modified Knott or filtration test for microfilariae to differentiate *D. immitis* from *Acanthocheilonema* (formerly *Dipetalonema*) *reconditum*, thoracic radiography, ultrasonographic visualization of worms.

Material required for laboratory analysis: In-house antigen blood testing simple and inexpensive for dogs. Blood tubes for both antigen and antibody testing for cats.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any veterinary diagnostic laboratory that performs the diagnostic testing. **Treatment**: Dogs--arsenical compound: melarsomine dihydrochloride—only effective on worms >120 days old. Maximum 98% efficacy on adult worms. Adjunct therapy: Pretreatment with macrocyclic lactone 8-12 weeks to eliminate migrating larvae <60 days old and allow larvae 60-120 days old to reach melarsomine-susceptible age. Doxycycline 10 mg/kg bid for 4 weeks to reduce inflammation from filarial-associated *Wolbachia*. Surgical extraction of adult heartworms in acute caval syndrome. Cats: adulticide treatment not recommended. Symptomatic: prednisolone, bronchodilators. Surgical removal via right jugular venotomy or right ventriculotomy. Extreme caution must be exercised with melarsomine in exotic carnivores due to narrow margin of safety.

Prevention and control: Dogs and cats-- macrocyclic lactones: ivermectin/pyrantel (Heartgard Plus—Merial, dog and cat; Iverhart Plus—Virbac; Tri-Heart—Merck); milbemycin oxime/lufenuron (Sentinel-Novartis); moxidectin (Advantage Multi—Bayer, dog and cat; ProHeart 6;—Zoetis, dogs only); selamectin (Revolution—Zoetis)—all against L3, early L4; microfilariae—milbemycin oxime (off-label at preventive dose). Preventives have some efficacy against adult heartworms, but studies have mostly been performed with ivermectin/pyrantel compounds. Efficacy declines in late stages of L4. As of this writing,resistance to heartworm preventives has been proven; however, it does not appear to be a concern except in the Mississippi Delta region.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Not applicable

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: None, although presence of mosquitoes will increase risk to non-infected individuals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak:

Treat affected individuals, eliminate microfilariae pre-treatment (Topical moxidectin/imidicloprid-FDA-labelled for microfilariae eliminiation. Milbemycin oxime 500 ug/kg or ivermectin at 50 ug/kg will also result inclearance of microfilariae.), mosquito control

Experts who may be consulted:

American Heartworm Society

DIROFILARIA IMMITIS

P.O. Box 8266

Wilmington, DE 19803-8266

info@heartwormsociety.org

http://www.heartwormsociety.org/

- 1. American Heartworm Society [Internet]. Heartworm Guidelines: 2018. [cited 2019 January 14] https://www.heartwormsociety.org/veterinary-resources/american-heartworm-society-guidelines
- 2. Cottrell DK. Use of moxidectin (ProHeart 6) as a heartworm adulticide in four ferrets. Exotic DVM. 2004;6:9-12.
- 3. Freda S [Internet]. Dirofilaria immitis: 2003 [cited 2019 January 14] Available from http://animaldiversity.ummz.umich.edu/site/accounts/information/Dirofilaria_immitis.html
- 4. Gamble KC, Fried JJ, Rubin GJ. Presumptive dirofilariasis in a pale-headed saki monkey (Pithecia pithecia). J Zoo Wildl Med. 1998;1:50-4.
- 5. Kiku M, Byeong-Kirl B, Chae-Woong L. Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*), a definitive host for *Dirofilaria immitis*. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2003;34(2):200-201.
- 6. Lung NP, Murray S, Garner MM. Mortality in North American river otters (*Lontra canadensis*) following administration of melarsomine for *Dirofilaria immitis* infection. In: Proc Am Assoc Zoo Vet; 2006 p. 146-47.
- 7. Mazzario S, Cassini RR, Voltan L, Aresu L, Frangipane di Regalbono A. Heartworm (*Dirofilaria immitis*) infection in a leopard (*Panthera pardus pardus*) housed in a zoological park in north-eastern Italy. Parasit Vectors. 2010;3:25.
- 8. McCall JW, Genchi C, Kramer LH, Guerrero J, Venco L. Heartworm disease in animals and humans. Adv Parasitol. 2008;66:193-285.
- 9. Muro A, Genchi C, Cordero M, Simon F. Human dirofilariasis in the European Union. Trends Parasitol. 1999;15(9):386-389.
- 10. Nakagaki K, Yoshida M, Nogami S, Nakagaki K. Experimental infection of *Dirofilaria immitis* in raccoon dogs. J. Parasit. 2007;93(2):432-434.
- 11. Nayar JK, Rutledge CR. Mosquito-borne dog heartworm disease. University of Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, EDIS; 1998.
- 12. Neiffer DL, Klein EC, Calle PP, Linn M, Terrell SP, Walker RL, Todd D, Vice CC, Marks SK. Mortality associated with melarsomine dihydrochloride administration in two North American river otters (*Lontra canadensis*) and a red panda (*Ailurus fulgens fulgens*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2002;33(3):242-248.
- 13. Ray J. Effect of reservoir host and climactic conditions on emerging heartworm disease. emerging heartworm disease: part 2. Publication 2644, Extension Service of Mississippi State University. 2011.
- 14. Wang F, Guo JJ, Tsai Y, Lin JY. *Dirofilaria immitis* infection in a captive Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*). J Vet Clin Sci. 2008;1(4):30-33.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual EASTERN EQUINE ENCEPHALOMYELITIS (EEE)

Transmission | Clinical Signs | Severity Zoonotic

Aiiiiiai	1141151111551011	Cillical Signs	Severity	Treatment	1 ic vention	Zoonone
Group(s)					and Control	
Affected						
Birds,	Mosquito	Febrile, altered	Equine fatality rate	Supportive	Formalin-	Yes;
equids, and	(Culiseta	mentation,	is up to 90%;	care	inactivated	however, not
occasionally	melanura)	neurologic	survivors usually		whole viral	believed to
other		abnormalities,	exhibit long-term		vaccine, insect	transmit from
mammals		seizures,	neurologic signs;		control	horses as
		paresis,	human fatality rate			viremia is too
		paralysis, death	is 50-75%			low
T 4 C1 4 C						

Fact Sheet Compiled by: Erica Lipanovich

Completed on: updated 10 October 2017

Animal

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Elizabeth Arnett-Chinn

Susceptible Animal Groups: Birds are the principal enzootic hosts. Clinical cases occur in equids and occasionally other mammals, including swine, cows, rodents and opossums. Mammals are almost always dead-end hosts. Snakes, turtles and fish are suspected to be an amplifier or over-wintering reservoir.

Causative Organism: Eastern equine encephalomyelitis virus (Family Togaviridiae, genus Alphavirus). There are four lineages of EEE. Group I is endemic in North American and the Caribbean and causes most of the human cases. The other three groups (IIA, IIB, III, and IV) cause primarily equine illness in South or Central America and are now classified as the Madariaga virus.

Zoonotic Potential: Mosquito bites from *Culiseta melanura* is the important vector in the maintenance cycle in birds. The majority of isolates have been found in 27 species of mosquitoes (e.g., some Aedes, Coquillettidia, and Culex species).

Distribution: Western Hemisphere - North American variant is found in eastern Canada, all states east of the Mississippi River, Arkansas, Minnesota, South Dakota, Texas, and the Caribbean islands. The South American variant is confined to central and South America.

Incubation Period: 4 to 10 days, and rarely up to 3 weeks.

Clinical Signs: Equids frequently include altered mentation, impaired vision, aimless wandering, head pressing, circling, anorexia, grinding of teeth, esophageal paralysis, irregular or ataxic gait, paresis, paralysis, seizures, coma and death. Many horses progress to recumbency within 12-18 hours of onset of neurological abnormalities. Most deaths occur within 2-3 days after onset of signs. Mortality of equids with clinical signs is 50-90%.

Most people infected have no apparent illness. Two types of illness can develop in humans, systemic or encephalitic. Systemic infection has an abrupt onset of malaise, fever, chills, arthralgia and myalgia lasting one to two weeks. Recovery is complete if there is no CNS involvement. Encephalitic illness can be abrupt or become present after a few days of systemic illness, such as fever, headache, irritability, restlessness, drowsiness, anorexia, vomiting, diarrhea, cyanosis, altered reflexes, convulsions, and coma. One third of all EEE human cases usually die within 2 to 10 days after onset of symptoms. Those persons who recover have irreversible neurological damage.

Birds: Most cases are asymptomatic but fatal outbreaks have occurred in emus, game birds such as pheasants, whooping cranes, passerines and psittacines.

Post mortem, Gross or Histological Findings: Gross lesions are rare but congestion may be present in the meninges of acutely affected animals. Histologic findings are typical of encephalomyelitis which include severe gliosis with necrosis of the neuropil in the cerebrum and through the corona radiate to the thalamus and perivascular cuffing throughout the mid and hindbrain and cervical spinal cord.

Diagnosis: Clinical presentation in an endemic area, EEEV-specific IgM antibody in serum or cerebrospinal fluid samples (CSF), and confirmed by neutralizing antibody testing of acute and convalescent phase serum specimens.

EASTERN EQUINE ENCEPHALOMYELITIS (EEE)

Material Required for Laboratory Analysis: Serum and CSF samples are collected from live animals to detect virus-specific IgM and neutralizing antibodies. Brain, spinal cord, and other tissues may also be collected from necropsied animals.

Relevant Diagnostic Laboratories: Only a few state laboratories or other specialized laboratories are capable of doing the testing. National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases (NCEZID) through the CDC. https://www.cdc.gov/ncezid/dvbd/specimensub/arboviral-shipping.html. Accessed 2 October 2017. The state health departments must be notified upon submission.

Treatment: Supportive and symptomatic care.

Prevention and Control: There is no vaccine for humans. Reducing exposure to mosquitoes, mosquito control, and vaccination in equids. Vaccination of captive, at risk bird species birds in areas high virus activity is often practiced. No cross-immunity obtained when vaccinated for other alphaviruses (e.g., western equine encephalitis virus) or flaviviruses (e.g., West Nile virus) or bunyaviruses (e.g., La Crosse virus).

Suggested Disinfectant for Housing Facilities: Clean infected environment with an approved EPA disinfectant.

Notification: Suspected cases are reported according to individual State procedure, typically by notification of the State Arboviral Coordinator or State Animal Health Official. Reports of positive equine cases of arboviral disease are reported to ArboNET, an internet-based arbovirus surveillance and reporting system managed by state health departments and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. ArboNET captures laboratory-confirmed positive cases in humans, horses, other mammals, birds, and mosquitoes across the U.S. Equine cases vary by state, but those reported to ArboNET are confirmed by State Veterinarians prior to reporting.

Measures Required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: In most states, reporting is mandatory.

Measures Required for Introducing Animals to Infected Animal: Maintain infected animal in a quarantine situation. Do not introduce infected animal to an animal with a compromised immune system.

Conditions for Restoring Disease-Free Status after an Outbreak: Clean infected environment with diluted bleach to the extent possible. Minimize contact of infected staff with animal.

Experts Who May Be Consulted:

USDA, APHIS, Veterinary Services

4700 River Road, Unit 41 Riverdale, MD 20737–1231

Telephone (301) 734–8093

Fax (301) 734–7817

www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/index.shtml

Center for Disease Control and Prevention

Public Health Resources:

State or Territorial Health Departments

https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/international/relres.html

- 1. Arrigo NC, Adams AP, Weaver SC. Evolutionary patterns of eastern equine encephalitis virus in North versus South America suggest ecological differences and taxonomic revision. J. Virol. 2010;84(2): 1014-1025.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Eastern Equine Encephalitis; 2016 [cited 2017 October 2] Available from http://www.cdc.gov/EasternEquineEncephalitis/index.html.
- 3. Franklin RP, Kinde H, Jay MT, Kramer LD, Green EGN, Chiles RE, Ostlund E, Husted S, Smith J, Parker MD. Eastern equine encephalomyelitis virus infection in a horse from California. Emerg Infect Dis. 2002;8(3): 283-88.
- 4. Graham SP, Hassan HK, Chapman T, White G, Guyer C, Unnasch TR. Serosurveillance of eastern equine encephalitis virus in amphibians and reptiles from Alabama, USA. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;86(3):540-4.
- 5. Kahn CM, Line S. Equine viral encephalomyelitis. In: The Merck Veterinary Manual; 10th ed, Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck & Co., Inc; 2010. p. 1183-1189.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **EASTERN EQUINE ENCEPHALOMYELITIS (EEE)**

- 6. Nandalur M, Urban AW [Internet]. Eastern equine encephalitis: 2018 [cited 2019 February 18]. Available from http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/233442-overview
- 7. Stull JW, Talbot EA, MacRae S, Montero JT, Matyas B, Cantor F, Konomi R, DeMaria A, Hayes EB, Smith TL, Nasci RS, Sejvar JJ, O'Leary DR, Campbell GL, Noga AJ, Lanciotti RS, Plotinski RN, Schumacher S, Farnon EC. Eastern equine encephalitis; New Hampshire and Massachusetts September 2005. MMWR Weekly. 2006;55:697-700.
- 8. Whitley RJ. Viral encephalitis. New Engl J Med. 1990;323(4):242-250.
- 9. United States Department of Agriculture [Internet]. Epidemiology and Ecology of Eastern Equine Encephalomyelitis; 2004 [cited 2017 October 2]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal health/emergingissues/downloads/EEE042004.pdf

RESTON EBOLAVIRUS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Macaque,	Direct contact	Anorexia, lethargy,	Macaque:	Isolation of	Quarantine of	Whereas the
swine	with infected	diarrhea or melena	Fatal	unaffected	imported	Ebola genus
	animals,	with frank blood,	Swine:	animals	primates in	viruses are
Fruit bats	secretions or	bleeding from	Can vary		country of	known to
are a	droplets	external orifices,	from	No successful	origin and in	cause disease
possible	Possible aerosol	petechial to	subclinical	clinical	import facilities	in humans,
reservoir	transmission in	suffusive	to severe	treatment	in the US	this one is
	pigs	hemorrhage				not.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Dawn Zimmerman

Sheet completed on: 27 December 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Tim Georoff

Susceptible animal groups: Cynomolgus monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*) were identified in the index case in 1989 and subsequent outbreaks among animals imported from the Philippines in 1990, 1992 and 1996. An additional outbreak has been documented at a lab facility in the Phillipines in 2015. Reston ebolavirus virus has also been isolated from swine in the Philippines and China, which were co-infected with porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV). It is unclear if swine are an incidental host or part of the virus' transmission cycle. Bats in the Philippines, Bangladesh, and China have tested seropositive; however, their epidemiological role is unknown.

Note: African green monkeys (*Chlorocebus aethiops*) and baboons (*Papio hamadryas*) are resistant to both RESTV infection.

Causative organism: Reston virus (RESTV) species Reston ebolavirus, family Filoviridae.

Zoonotic potential: Humans exposed to the disease in primates and swine have become seropositive but have no apparent or clinically mild infection. It is unknown how infection would affect immune-compromised people, pregnant women, or children.

Distribution: Philippines (and animals recently imported from Philippines), China, Bangladesh. Geographic distribution may be larger depending of the reservoir distribution.

Incubation period: 7-14 days.

Clinical signs: In primates: Anorexia, lethargy or sudden death may be the only signs. Fever, cough, nasal exudates, swollen eyelids, splenomegaly, and renomegaly can occur. Animals may also show signs of hemorrhagic fever with diarrhea or melena with frank blood, bleeding from external orifices, petechial to suffusive hemorrhage.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Maculopapular rash, splenomegaly, widespread petechial hemorrhages, hemorrhage in proximal duodenum, and interstitial pneumonia are observed grossly. Lymphoid necrosis, massive fibrin deposition in spleen, hepatic necrosis, necrosis of adrenal cortex and pulmonary bronchiolar and alveolar epithelium, interstitial nephritis, and amphophilic cytoplasmic inclusion bodies in many tissues including liver, adrenal gland, and spleen are observed histologically. Extensive viral replication in tissue macrophages and interstitial fibroblasts.

Diagnosis: In blood during acute phase: ELISA, RT-PCR (rapid, more sensitive than antigen detection ELISA, and allows identification of the virus species), virus isolation (requires a BSL-4 lab), IgG/IgM, immunohistochemical staining and histopathology on post-mortem or collected tissues to localize viral antigen. Biosafety concerns during the collection and processing of the specimens.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Testing liver samples by ELISA antigen capture is the mandatory test for confirmation or ruling-out the diagnosis in suspected dead primates during quarantine (https://www.cdc.gov/ncezid/dhcpp/vspb/pdf/primate-form-508.pdf).

RESTON EBOLAVIRUS

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Viral Special Pathogens Branch

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Rd

Atlanta, Georgia 30333 Phone: 470-312-0094

Treatment: Based on epidemiology from prior outbreaks it appears that virus spread through group-housed animals is unavoidable. Since asymptomatic animals may be present in groups during the incubation period, strict depopulation is likely the best course of action.

Prevention and control: Pre-shipment quarantine of primates to be shipped from Philippines.

CDC licensed primate import quarantine facility with special permit required for *Cynomolgus* monkeys, rhesus, and African green monkeys. Diagnostic testing of <u>potentially</u> affected animals, personal protective equipment to prevent exposure of personnel and close coordination with importer and CDC. Strict isolation of groups of imported animals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Hypochlorite or phenolic disinfectants are generally recommended for disinfection. Ebola virus is susceptible to 2% sodium hypochlorite, 2% glutaraldehyde, 5% peracetic acid, 1% formalin.

Notification: CDC

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Depopulation of affected group and premise disinfection.

Experts who may be consulted:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Viral Special Pathogens Branch or Division of Global Migration and Quarantine (DGMQ).

May be contacted 24 hours a day through the CDC Emergency Operations Center (770-488-7100).

- 1. Barrette RW, Metwally SA, Rowland JM, Xu L, Zaki SR, Nichol ST, Rollin PE, Towner JS, Shieh WJ, Batten B, Sealy TK, Carrillo C, Moran KE, Bracht AJ, Mayr GA, Sirios-Cruz M, Catbagan DP, Lautner EA, Ksiazek TG, White WR, McIntosh MT. Discovery of swine as a host for the Reston ebolavirus. Sci. 2009;325(5937):204-6.
- 2. Cameron, KW, Reed PE. Ebola Hemorrhagic Fever. *In*: Miller, R.E., and M.E. Fowler (eds.). Fowler's Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, 7th ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier, Saunders; 2011. p. 416-421.
- 3. Cantoni D, Hamlet A, Michaelis M, Wass MN, Rossman JS. Risks Posed by Reston, the Forgotten Ebolavirus. mSphere. 2016 Dec 28;1(6).
- 4. Demetria C, Smith I, Tan T, Villarico D, Simon EM, Centeno R, Tachedjian M, Taniguchi S, Shimojima M, Miranda NLJ, Miranda ME, Rondina MMR, Capistrano R, Tandoc A 3rd, Marsh G, Eagles D, Cruz R, Fukushi S. Reemergence of Reston ebolavirus in Cynomolgus Monkeys, the Philippines, 2015. Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24(7):1285-1291.
- 5. Marsh GA, Haining J, Robinson R, Foord A, Yamada M, Barr JA, Payne J, White J, Yu M, Bingham J, Rollin PE, Nichol ST, Wang LF, Middleton D. Ebola reston virus infection of pigs: clinical significance and transmission potential. J Infect Dis. 2011;204 Suppl 3:S804-9.
- 6. Miranda ME, Ksiazek TG, Retuya TJ, Khan AS, Sanchez A, Fulhorst CF, Rollin PE, Calaor AB, Manalo DL, Roces MC, Dayrit MM, Peters CJ. Epidemiology of Ebola (subtype Reston) virus in the Philippines, 1996. J Infect Dis. 1999;179 Suppl 1:S115-9.
- 7. Rollin PE, Williams RJ, Bressler DS, Pearson S, Cottingham M, Pucak G, Sanchez A, Trappier SG, Peters RL, Greer PW, Zaki S, Demarcus T, Hendricks K, Kelley M, Simpson D, Geisbert TW, Jahrling PB, Peters CJ, Ksiazek TG. Ebola (subtype Reston) virus among quarantined nonhuman primates recently imported from the Philippines to the United States. J Infect Dis. 1999;179 Suppl 1:S108-14.

RESTON EBOLAVIRUS

- 8. Sayama Y, Demetria C, Saito M, Azul RR, Taniguchi S, Fukushi S, Yoshikawa T, Iizuka I, Mizutani T, Kurane I, Malbas FF Jr, Lupisan S, Catbagan DP, Animas SB, Morales RG, Lopez EL, Dazo KR, Cruz MS, Olveda R, Saijo M, Oshitani H, Morikawa S. A seroepidemiologic study of Reston ebolavirusin swine in the Philippines. BMC Vet Res. 2012;18(8):82.
- 9. Weingartl HM, Embury-Hyatt C, Nfon C, Leung A, Smith G, Kobinger G. Transmission of Ebola virus from pigs to non-human primates. Sci Rep. 2012;2:811.

ECHINOCOCCOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Carramitry	Tractment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
	Tansinission		Severity	Treatment		Zoonouc
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Mammals	Intermediate	IH/AH:	IH/AH:	IH/AH	Eggs susceptible	Yes
	(IH)/accidental	Abdominal	Initially	Benzimidazoles	to desiccation	
	(AH) hosts:	distention	subclinical	or percutaneous	and extreme	
	Fecal-oral	and pain,	but cyst	drainage of	temperatures;	
		lethargy,	growth can	hepatic cysts	avoid feeding	
	Definitive	inappetance,	lead to		potentially-	
	(DH) host:	cough,	hepatic and	DH:	infected	
	predation or	dyspnea	respiratory	Praziquantel	carcasses;	
	-	dysplica	disease	Traziquanter	1	
	ingestion of				anthelmintic bait	
	infected		which may		(praziquantel)	
	IH/AH		be fatal		for DH; dog	
					population	
					management;	
					education; EG95	
					vaccine	

Fact Sheet compiled by: M. Camille Harris

Sheet completed on: 19 March 2011; updated 20 March 2013

Fact Sheet reviewed by: Malika Kachani, Philip Craig, Linda Pote

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals: primates (Old World monkeys and great apes) – including significant number of primate cases in the literature; ungulates; marsupials; rodents; canids

Causative organism: Primary transmission cycles include:

E. granulosus complex (EG; wolf-cervid; canid-livestock) molecular species include:

- E. granulosus sensu stricto [sheep, Tasmanian sheep and buffalo strains]
- E. equinus [EE; horse strain]
- E. ortleppi [EOr; cattle strain]
- E. canadensis [EC; camel, pig, and cervid strains]
- E. felidis [EF; lion strain]

E. multilocularis (EM; fox/canid/felid/rodent)

E. oligarthrus (EOI; felid-agouti/paca)

E. vogeli (EV; bush dog-paca)

E. shiquicus (ES; Tibetan fox-pika)

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Humans are susceptible by ingesting shed *Echinococcus* eggs (EG, EC, EM, EOl, EV, EOr).

Distribution:

EG: Worldwide; EM: Northern Hemisphere

EOl and EV: Central and South America; ES: Qinghai-Tibet plateau of China

Incubation period:

<u>IH/AH incubation period</u>: Months (e.g., rodents) to years (e.g., primates) depending on hydatid cyst location and growth rate; <u>DH prepatent period</u>: EG (32-80 days); EM (28-35 days)

Clinical signs: Larval metacestode infections of IH/AH are initially subclinical and signs may not develop during the host's life span. Clinical signs are related to cyst location, which is most often the liver and lungs.

ECHINOCOCCOSIS

As cysts develop, signs may include lethargy, abdominal pain, abdominal enlargement (due to hepatomegaly), inappetance, and respiratory signs. Cyst rupture may lead to anaphylaxis. EM is most likely to eventually cause clinical disease after a 5-15 year asymptomatic period. No clinical signs in DH are noted.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Adult cestodes (body length: 1.2 - 11 mm) are found in the small intestine of DH with EG primarily in the upper third and EM in the middle third. The formation of fluid-filled cysts is primarily in hepatic and pulmonary tissues, but can occur in any organ of IH/AH. EG and EOI are usually associated with a single cyst. Similar to a metastasizing neoplasm, EM and EV form masses of small cysts. Viable protoscolices may be present within cysts.

Diagnosis:

<u>Antemortem</u>: Imaging may be used to identify and classify fluid-filled cysts in IH/AH (see Table 2.5, Eckert et al. 2001) along with a cytologic exam of FNA. Fecal diagnosis in DH is difficult due to the small size of gravid proglottids (1-2mm) and inability to differentiate from eggs of *Taenia* species. Fecal coproantigen ELISA and confirmatory *Echinococcus* PCRs have been developed for DH. Serum antibody ELISAs have been developed but *Taenia* false positives may occur and species validation is limited. Percutaneous drainage of cysts may reveal the presence of protoscolices.

<u>Postmortem</u>: Fluid-filled cysts may be seen at necropsy (primarily hepatic and pulmonary). In IH/AH, histopathology may reveal the presence of protoscolices within brood-capsules or in hydatid sand. In DH, the scraping, filtration and counting technique can be used to extract cestodes from the intestines.

Material required for laboratory analysis: cyst fluid, serum (IH/AH); feces, small intestine (DH)

Treatment: In humans, treatment options include surgical removal of cysts and ultrasound-guided partial removal of cyst fluid and injection of anthelmintic (PAIR – puncture, aspiration, injection, reaspiration). Alternatively, anthelmintics (benzimidazoles) can be used for IH/AHs. Degenerating cysts may not require treatment and monitoring would be an option.

Prevention and control: Infective material can be decontaminated by extreme temperatures (70°C for 12 hrs; -80°C for 48 hrs). When handling infective material, personal protective equipment should be worn to reduce the risk of human exposure. Ensure the DH's diet does not include potentially infected organs and carcasses. Prevent scavenging and predation by susceptible mammals. Decontaminate foliage or branches used for environmental enrichment. Pet and feral dog population management and deworming. Education of animal care workers, dog owners and other at-risk human populations. Anthelmintic baiting of foxes (50mg praziquantel/bait). EG95 vaccine has been shown to be protective against EG in sheep, goats, cattle and tammar wallabies.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Chemical disinfection is unreliable but $\geq 3.75\%$ bleach (NaOCl) solution for 1 hr (metal surface) or 2-3 hrs (concrete) may be effective for EM. Facilities can be decontaminated by 40% relative humidity and 30°C for at least 48 hrs.

Notification: Echinococcosis is an OIE reportable disease and USDA should be notified.

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Philip S. Craig

Cestode Zoonoses Research Group, School of Environment and Life Sciences, University of Salford Manchester M5 4WT, UK.

(44.161) 295.54.88

(44.161) 295.52.15

p.s.craig@salford.ac.uk

Dr. Thomas Romig

Institute of Parasitology, Hohenheim University, 1Schloß Hohenheim

70599 Stuttgart, 0711 459-0, Germany (Rapporteur).

ECHINOCOCCOSIS

+49 160 9476 7579

Thomas.Romig@uni-hohenheim.de

Malika Kachani PhD, DVM

Professor of Parasitology, College of Veterinary Medicine

Western University of Health Sciences

309 E Second Street

Pomona, California 91066

(909) 469-5302

mkachani@westernu.edu

Dr. Peter DePlazes

University of Zurich,

Vetsuisse Fac,

Institute Parasitol

Winterthurerstr 266A, CH-8057

Zurich, Switzerland

Deplazesp@access.uzh.ch

- 1. Barnes, T.S., A.W. Goldizen, J.M. Morton, and G.T. Coleman. 2008. Cystic echinococcosis in a wild population of the brush-tailed rock-wallaby (*Petrogale penicillata*), a threatened macropodid. Parasitol. 135: 715-723.
- 2. Barnes, T.S., L.A. Hinds, D.J. Jenkins, G.T. Coleman, A.L. Colebrook, C.T. Kyngdon, C.G. Gauci, and M.W. Lightowlers. 2009. Efficacy of the EG95 hydatid vaccine in a macropodid host, the tammar wallaby. Parasitol. 136: 461-468.
- 3. Blutke, A., D. Hamel, M. Huttner, H. Gehlen, T. Romig, K. Pfister, and W. Hermanns. 2010. Cystic echinococcosis due to *Echinococcus equinus* in a horse from southern Germany. J. Vet. Diag. Invest. 22: 458-462.
- 4. Boufana, B., M.F. Stidworthy, S. Bell, J. Chantrey, N. Masters, S. Unwin, R. Wood, R.P. Lawrence, A. Potter, J. McGarry, S. Redrobe, R. Killick, A.P. Foster, S. Mitchell, A.G. Greenwood, Y. Sako, M. Nakao, A. Ito, K. Wyatt, B. Lord, and P.S. Craig. 2012. *Echinococcus* and *Taenia* spp. from captive mammals in the United Kingdom. Vet. Parasit. 190:95-103.
- 5. Brunetti, E., P. Kern, and D.A. Vuitton. 2010. Expert consensus for the diagnosis and treatment of cystic and alveolar echinococcosis in humans. Acta Tropica 114: 1-16.
- 6. Eckert, J., M.A. Gemmell, F.-X. Meslin, and Z.S. Pawlowski, eds. 2001. WHO/OIE Manual on Echinococcosis in Humans and Animals: a Public Health Problem of Global Concern. World Organisation for Animal Health (Office International des Epizooties), Paris, France. 265 pp. Available online at http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2001/929044522X.pdf
- 7. Foreyt, W.J., M.L. Drew, M. Atkinson, and D. McCauley. 2009. *Echinococcus granulosus* in gray wolves and ungulates in Idaho and Montana, USA. J. Wildl. Dis. 45: 1208-1212.
- 8. Gesy, K., M. Pawlik, L. Kapronczai, B. Wagner, B. Elkin, H. Schwantje, and E. Jenkins. 2013. An improved method for the extraction and quantification of adult *Echinococcus* from wildlife definitive hosts. Parasit. Res. 112(5):2075-8.
- 9. Hegglin, D., and P. Deplazes. 2013. Control of *Echinococcus multilocularis*: strategies, feasibility, and cost-benefit analyses. Internat. J. Parasit. 43: 327-337.
- 10. Hegglin, D., P.I. Ward, and P. Deplazes. 2003. Anthelmintic baiting of foxes against urban

ECHINOCOCCOSIS

- contamination with Echinococcus multilocularis. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 9: 1266-1272.
- 11. Laura, L.P., B. Martinez-Fernandez, J. Gonzalez, M.C. Ferreras, M.J. Garcia-Iglesias, and J.F. Garcia-Marin. 2012. Severe hepatitis due to alveolar echinococcosis (*Echinococcus multilocularis*) in a *Gorilla g. gorilla*. J. Comp. Path. 146: 90.
- 12. OIE. 2008. Echinococcosis/Hydatidosis. *In*: Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals (mammals, birds and bees), 6th ed. Office International des Épizooties, Paris, France. Pp. 175-189.
- 13. Weiss, A.T.A., C. Bauer, and K. Kohler. 2010. Canine alveolar echinococcosis: morphology and inflammatory response. J. Comp. Path. 143: 233-238.
- 14. Yamano, K., A. Kanetoshi, A. Goto, M. Kishimoto, N. Kobayashi, S. Fujimoto, and K. Yamada. 2009. Japanese monkey (*Macaca fuscata*) with alveolar echinococcosis after treatment with albendazole for 10 years: serodiagnosis and determination of albendazole metabolites. Parasit. Res. 106: 69-74.
- 15. Zimmerman, D.M., M. Douglass, D.R. Reavill, and E.C. Greiner. 2009. *Echinococcus oligarthrus* cystic hydatidosis in Brazilian agouti (*Dasyprocta leporina*). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 40: 551-558.

EDWARDSIELLOSIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected						
Several teleost species, especially in warm water.	Unknown, probably oral.	Septicemia, enteritis, skin ulcers, petechiae.	Variable, can be severe with concurrent stressors.	Systemic antibiotics based on culture and sensitivity and regulations.	Remove stressors; improve disinfection.	Yes.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Catherine Hadfield

Sheet completed on: 28 November 2013; updated 6 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Leigh Clayton, Lester Khoo

Susceptible animal groups: Various teleost species, usually those found in warm water. Common in American, European, and Japanese eels, channel catfish, carp, bass, Japanese flounder, and many tropical marine teleosts. It can also cause disease in some invertebrates, amphibians, reptiles, and mammals.

Causative organism: Enterobacteriaceae, *Edwardsiella tarda* and *Edwardsiella piscicida*. These species cannot be differentiated phenotypically, so earlier reports of *E. tarda* may represent *E. piscicida*. Molecular diagnostics are required for differentiation. Other strains may be identified in the future.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Usually necrotic skin wounds or gastroenteritis but it can spread systemically.

Distribution: Worldwide.

Incubation period: 5-7 days.

Clinical signs: Acute or chronic presentation may include: lethargy, inappetance, ulcers, hyperemia, petechiation, erythema, pale gills, coelomic distension, positive buoyancy, and ocular lesions (such as keratitis, uveitis, and exophthalmia). Mortalities tend to be low.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Congestion and/or focal necrosis of spleen, liver, kidney, and heart are observed. Malodorous abscesses in the viscera or skeletal muscle may be seen. Small, straight Gram negative rods which may be motile can be present. Inflammation, often suppurative but may be granulomatous, can be observed in infected organs, such as kidneys.

Diagnosis: Bacterial culture from lesions, blood or organs. PCR, DNA hybridization, or sequencing required for differentiation of *E. tarda* and *E. piscicida*. However, bacteria may be present in the gastrointestinal tract of healthy fish.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Blood culture can be performed. Tissue swabs or preferably tissue samples for bacterial culture, especially kidney. Samples should be transported at 4°C.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Most laboratories should be able to culture *Edwardsiella* spp., but further identification may require specialist fish laboratories.

Treatment: Removal of stressors is important for successful treatment and good supportive care should be provided. Water quality and disinfection should be improved. Systemic antibiotics, based on culture and sensitivity and relevant legislation, e.g., trimethoprim sulfa, florfenicol, can be used. However, as of June 2013, no FDA-approved medications are available for use in food fish. Immunostimulants, e.g., glucans, glycans, alginate, or ascorbic acid.

Prevention and control: Stressors (e.g., temperature, water quality, stocking density, and organic load) should be reduced in the environment. Water can be disinfected with UV or ozone. *E. tarda* vaccines are under trial.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Susceptible to most common disinfectants: 1% sodium

EDWARDSIELLOSIS

hypochlorite, 70% ethyl alcohol, iodophors, phenols.

Notification: None.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Introduction of animals should be avoided if clinical signs are present.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Not known; animals can carry the bacteria asymptomatically.

Experts who may be consulted: Most fish clinicians will be familiar with Edwardsiellosis and can be consulted if an outbreak is encountered.

- 1. Abayneh, T., C.J. Colquhoun, and H. Sorum. 2013. *Edwardsiella piscidia* sp. nov., a novel species pathogenic to fish. J. Applied Microbiol. 114: 644-654.
- 2. Cheng, S., Y. Hu, M. Zhang, and L. Sun. 2010. Analysis of the vaccine potential of a natural avirulent *Edwardsiella tarda* isolate. Vaccine 28: 2716-2721.
- 3. Griffin, M.J., S.M. Quiniou, T. Cody, M. Tabuchi, C. Ware, R.C. Cipriano, M.J. Mauel, and E. Soto. 2013. Comparative analysis of *Edwardsiella* isolates from fish in the eastern United States identifies two distinct genetic taxa amongst organisms phenotypically classified as *E. tarda*. Vet. Microbiol. 165: 358-372.
- 4. Lowry, T., and S.A. Smith. 2007. Aquatic zoonoses associated with food, bait, ornamental and tropical fish. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 231: 876-880.
- 5. Mainous, M.E., S.A. Smith, and D.D. Kuhn. 2010. Effect of common aquaculture chemicals against *Edwardsiella ictaluri* and *E. tarda*. J. Aq. Anim. Health 22: 224-228.
- 6. Mohanty, B.R., and P.K. Sahoo. 2007. *Edwardsiella* in fish: a brief review. J. Biosciences. 32: 1331-1344.
- 7. Ringo, E., R.E. Olsen, J.L.G. Vecino, S. Wadsworth, and S.K. Song. 2012. Immunostimulants and nucleotides in aquaculture: a review. J. Marine Sci. Res. Dev. 2: 1-22.
- 8. Roberts, R.J. 2001. The bacteriology of teleosts. *In*: Roberts, R.J., (ed), 3rd edition. Fish Pathology. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 297-331.
- 9. Wang, W.S., and D.H. Wang. 1997. Enhancement of the resistance of tilapia and grass carp to experimental *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Edwardsiella tarda* infections by several polysaccharides. Comp. Immun. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 20: 261-270.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual *Ehrlichia ruminatum* (HEARTWATER)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s) Affected		Signs			and Control	
Mammals - domestic and wild ruminants.	Non- contagious tick borne disease via	Acute – fever, anorexia, diarrhea, serosa or mucosa	Subclinical to peracute death. Dependent	Remove ticks and antibiotics (e.g., tetracycline).	Tick control and test for carrier status in animals prior to	No
Infections in other mammals (mice), and possibly (although not definitively proven) in reptiles, and birds.	Ambylomma spp. ticks including US tick species: A. maculatum, A. cajennense, A. dissimile, A. americanum.	petechiae, respiratory and neurologic signs. Peracute – sudden death!	on strain, host, and environment. Most common is acute clinical disease.	Submit ticks for diagnosis using the pCS20 RT or nested PCR.	translocations. "Vaccination" (see below) can be used in areas with endemic heartwater present.	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sharon L. Deem

Sheet completed on: updated 31 July 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Beth Bicknese

Susceptible animal groups: Ruminant species (domestic and wild) and other mammals (mice). Sheep and goats more susceptible than cattle and European breeds more susceptible than zebu type. Infections in birds and reptiles have not been confirmed.

Causative organism: *Ehrlichia ruminantium* (previously called *Cowdria ruminantium*). A small, intracellular, Gram negative, pleomorphic coccus bacteria found in endothelial cells, monocytes and neutrophils.

Zoonotic potential: Not definitively, although pCS20 sequences have been amplified in humans in South Africa

Distribution: Endemic countries are on the African continent south of the Sahara, Madagascar, various small islands in the Indian and Atlantic Oceans, and islands in the Caribbean. A foreign animal disease for US, concern of entry is high due to illegal wildlife trade with infected ticks and the potential for domestic ruminants and white tailed deer in the US to serve as host species. All susceptible animals legally imported (e.g., zoo animals and stocking of exotic animal ranches) to the US from heartwater endemic regions may serve as a route of introduction of *E. ruminantium* to the American continents. Ticks on tortoises from Africa can carry infected ticks.

Incubation period: This period varies with species infected, route of infection, and strain of *E. ruminantium*. In domestic cattle, incubation is 12 days after intravenous injection of *E. ruminantium* "vaccine". The period is shorter (e.g., 7 days) when more virulent strains are used. Incubation period of tick transmitted heartwater is 18-21 days.

Clinical signs: Severity ranges from subclinical infection to peracute disease. Clinical signs range from mild transient fever in subclinical cases to death without premonitory signs in peracute cases – i.e., presenting as sudden death. The acute form is characterized by rapid onset of fever (41.5° to 42°C), tachypnea, inappetence, petechiation on serosal and mucosal surfaces, and neurologic signs (e.g., hyperesthesia, high-stepping or unsteady gait, twitching eyelids, head pressing, chewing, abnormal tongue movement, individual muscle tremors). In domestic cattle and goats profuse, fetid, hemorrhagic diarrhea commonly occurs terminally.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Hydrothorax, pulmonary edema, ascites, hydropericardium ("heartwater"), cerebral edema, edema of the lymph nodes, and splenomegaly are observed. *E. ruminantium* found in brain endothelial cells lining capillaries as colonies in all animals that have died of heartwater. Rare to find colonies in brain smears of infection carrier animals.

Ehrlichia ruminatum (HEARTWATER)

Diagnosis: Clinical signs in ruminants with known *Amblyomma* spp. tick infestations may be suggestive although a number of differential diagnoses must be considered due to the non-specific gastrointestinal and neurologic signs. In peracute cases, anthrax and peracute typanosomiasis are top differentials. In acute cases, rabies, cerebral babesiosis, cerebral theileriosis, tetanus, cerebral listeriosis, coccidiosis, arsenical or plant intoxication, hemorrhagic septicemia, and hemonchosis can be confused with *E. ruminantium*. Differential diagnoses are host species and geographical location dependent. Clinical pathologic changes are variable but may include progressive anemia, marked decline in thrombocytes, fluctuations in total and differential white cell counts, increased total bilirubin, and a decrease in total serum proteins. Serologic diagnostics for antibodies (e.g., ELISA and Western blot) and pathogen detection (e.g., DNA probes and pCS20 PCR) are available. It is recommended that MAP1B ELISA and the pCS20 PCR (nested or reverse transcript) be run on samples from animals with suspected *E. ruminantium* infection to detect both antibodies and the pathogen. (NB: Animals that die of heartwater will not have antibodies detected in the blood.) Definitive diagnosis (gold standard) is brain smears showing the organisms in endothelial cells that stain positive with Giemsa stain. In addition to the brain, organisms may be identified by light microscopy in kidney, lung, and heart tissue.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Brain tissue, *Amblyomma* spp. ticks, and blood or bone marrow collected in anti-coagulant.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Submissions from suspect cases coming from the US:

USDA-APHIS-VS National Veterinary Services Laboratory (NVSL)

1920 Dayton Ave. (for packages)

P.O. Box 844 (for letters)

Ames, IA 50010

Phone: (515) 337-7266 Fax: (515) 337-7397

Submissions from suspect cases coming from foreign countries:

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory (FADDL), Plum Island, New York

40550 Route 25 (for packages)

Orient Point, NY 11957

P.O. Box 848 (for letters)

Greenport, NY 11944-0848

Phone: (631)323-3256

Fax: (631) 323-3366

Treatment: Limited value in clinically ill animals after the onset of neurologic or gastrointestinal signs. Administration of antibiotics (sulfonamides and tetracyclines) at the start of a febrile response may be successful.

Prevention and control: In regions free or heartwater (US), control depends on tick control (*Amblyomma* spp.) and regulation of animal movements (e.g., subclinical carriers). In endemic regions, control is dependent on maintenance of endemic stability through vaccination and strategic tick control. "Vaccination" (infection and treatment) is possible in endemic regions with intravenous injection of live *E. ruminantium* organisms and then intravenous administration of antibiotics started at first rise in body temperature. Hazard of live vaccination is that it most likely will induce carrier status. Inactivated vaccines are being developed and may soon be commercially available to minimize clinical signs but they do NOT prevent infection.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Organism is extremely fragile outside the host, losing its viability within hours. Tick control is backbone of heartwater prevention. Appropriate acaracides are important and proper quarantine periods when moving animals from heartwater endemic to non-endemic regions.

Notification: OIE list B notifiable disease.

Ehrlichia ruminatum (HEARTWATER)

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Heartwater is a reportable foreign animal disease in the US to USDA-APHIS.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Although a non-contagious disease, all infected animals should be quarantined and treated with acaricides routinely. Risk of spread from infected ticks on carrier animals when animals (and their ticks) are introduced to new areas or when potential *Amblyomma* spp. vector ticks in heartwater free regions feed on carrier animals and become infectious.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Tick control and culling.

Experts who may be consulted:

Thomas Kasari, DVM, MVSc, MBA, DACVIM, DACVPM

Analytical Epidemiologist

National Surveillance Unit

Center for Epidemiology and Animal Health

USDA/APHIS/VS

National Resources Research Center, Bldg. B.

2150 Centre Avenue, Mailstop 2E6

Fort Collins, CO 80526-8117

970 494-7351

Fax: 970 494-7174

tom.r.kasari@aphis.usda.gov

Dr. Suman Mahan

Zoetis

BLDG 300-330P

Kalamazoo, Michigan 49009

Tele: 269 833 2636 Fax: 860 686 7114

Suman.Mahan@zoetis.com

- 1. Burridge MJ, Peter TF, Allan SA, Mahan SM. Evaluation of safety and efficacy of acaricides for control of the African tortoise tick (*Amblyomma marmoreum*) on leopard tortoises (*Geochelone pardalis*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2002;33: 52-57.
- 2. Deem SL. Heartwater (*Ehrlichia ruminantium*). In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.), Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine: Current Therapy 6. Saint Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2007. p. 438-443.
- 3. Deem SL. A review of heartwater and the threat of introduction of *Cowdria ruminantium* and exotic *Amblyomma* spp. ticks to the American mainland. J Zoo Wildl Med. 1998 29:109-113.
- 4. Karasi TR, Miller RS, James AM, Freier JE. Recognition of the threat of *Ehrlichia ruminantium* infection in domestic and wild ruminants in the continental United States. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2010;237:520-530.
- 5. Kock ND. Heartwater. In: Williams ES, Baker IK (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 477-480.
- 6. Louw M, Allsopp MT, Meyer EC. *Ehrlichia ruminantium*, an emerging human pathogen a further report. S Afr Med J. 2005;95:948-950.
- 7. Mahan SM. 2008. Heartwater. In: Brown C, Torres A (eds.). Foreign Animal Diseases, 7th Edition. Saint Joseph (MO): United States Animal Health Assocation. 2008. p. 287-296.
- 8. Peter TF, Anderson EC, Burridge MJ, Mahan SM. Demonstration of a carrier state for *Cowdria ruminantium* in wild ruminants from Africa. J Wildl Dis. 1998;34:567-575.

EHRLICHIOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)					and Control	
Affected						
Mammals	Mechanical, via vectors (tick-borne)	Non-specific: fever, depression, lethargy, thrombocytopenia, anemia, weight loss, muscle/joint pain, lymphadenopathy, hepatocellular enzyme abnormalities	Subclinical or mild illness to severe, potentially fatal disease	Tetracycline antibiotics (doxycycline is drug of choice)	Tick control, screened blood donors, inspect animals frequently in tick-infested areas	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Dawn Zimmerman and Danielle R. Graham Snyder

Sheet completed on: 22 December 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Majorie Bercier

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals (reported in humans, canids, felids, bovids, camelids, cervids, equids, and rodents)

Causative organism:

Tick-borne bacteria (family: *Anaplasmataceae*): small, gram-negative, pleomorphic, obligate intracellular cocci that infect different blood cells in various animals, including humans.

- Ehrlichia chaffeensis (human monocytic ehrlichiosis), known reservoirs include white-tailed deer and dogs.
- Ehrlichia ewingii (canine granulocytic ehrlichiosis, CGE), known reservoirs include white-tailed deer and dogs.
- Ehrlichia canis (canine monocytic ehrlichiosis, CME), known reservoirs include dogs.
- Ehrlichia ruminantium (heartwater), known reservoirs include ruminants.
- Ehrlichia muris, known reservoirs include wild small rodents.
- Other (*Ehrlichia muris eauclairensis*, formerly *E. muris*-like agent (EMLA), an emerging human pathogen in Midwestern US)

Note: *Ehrlichia risticii* has been reclassified as *Neorickettsia risticii* and *Ehrlichia platys* as *Anaplasma platys*. *Ehrlichia equi*, *Ehrlichia phagocytophila*, and Human Granulocytic Ehrlichial Agent are now considered to be the same species and have been reclassified as *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*.

Zoonotic potential: Yes, via vectors or mechanical transmission.

Distribution: Almost every state in the US has reported a case of ehrlichiosis. Most human cases occur in the south-central and southeastern US. *E. canis* is endemic in southern, eastern, south-central and in southwest US, and is mainly transmitted by the brown dog tick *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*. *E. ewingii* is found predominantly in southern and mideastern US and is mainly transmitted by the lone star tick *Amblyomma americanum*. *E. chaffeensis* occurs predominantly in the southeastern US and is also transmitted by *A. americanum*. Globally, *Ehrlichia* has been reported in South America, Asia, Africa, and Europe.

Incubation period:

Humans: 5-10 days after a tick bite.

Dogs: 8-20 days.

It is estimated that the infected tick must be attached to the host for 24-48 hours for transmission to occur. *Ehrlichia* can remain alive in the developing tick for up to 5 months. Acute infection develops 1-3 weeks after transmission and lasts ~2-4 weeks. After ~6-9 weeks, the organism is eliminated in an immunocompetent animal or a parasitemia develops with no clinical signs in the subclinical phase which can last from weeks to years or mild to severe clinical signs. If the animal cannot mount an effective immune response, the animal becomes chronically infected.

Clinical signs: Generally non-specific, multi-systemic: fever, depression, lethargy, thrombocytopenia, anemia,

EHRLICHIOSIS

anorexia, weight loss, lymphadenopathy; hepatocellular enzyme abnormalities, possibly gastrointestinal signs (vomiting, diarrhea), polymyositis, polyarthritis, rash, ocular signs (uveitis or retinal petechiae), reproductive disorders, and neuropathies.

Clinical signs depend on the strain of *Ehrlichia*, dose of infection, species, immunological status of host, and concurrent infections with other tick-borne parasites.

In dogs, the <u>acute phase</u> is generally mild and causes immune-mediated platelet destruction and manifesting in lethargy, anorexia, lymphadenopathy, fever, and is often associated with the presence of ticks. In the <u>subclinical phase</u>, dogs appear normal with a somewhat reduced platelet count and elevated globulin levels; this phase can last months to years. In the <u>chronic phase</u>, clinical signs recur with up to 60% of infected dogs presenting with abnormal bleeding due to reduced platelet numbers; elevated globulin levels are almost always seen; uveitis, neurological effects, and glomerulonephritis can also result; most dogs do not show full pancytopenia. Infections with *E. ewingii*, which primarily causes disease in the immunocompromised, tend to additionally produce arthritis.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

Gross: splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, and lymphadenopathy during acute phase.

Histologic: extensive plasma cell infiltration of parenchymal organs; perivascular cuffing particularly of the lungs, kidneys, spleen, meninges, and eyes.

Diagnosis:

- History of exposure and clinical signs (diagnosis of subclinical disease based on anamnesis, geographic location, persistent antibody titers, mild thrombocytopenia, and hypergammaglobulinemia).
- Morulae (intracytoplasmic bacterial aggregates) in monocytes on blood and buffy coat smears (Romanowski stain); however, often only seen in a small percentage of blood smears of infected dogs, and only found in the bloodstream for a few days in the acute stage.
- Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), e.g. IDEXX "snap 4DX" (includes Lyme disease and heartworm tests; detects *E. canis*, not *E. ewingii*) not quantitative.
- Detection of *E. canis* serum antibodies with indirect Immunofluorescence Antibody Test (IFA), antibodies can be detected as early as 7 days post-infection, although animals may not be seropositive until 28 days post-infection. It takes 6-9 months after infection for titers to drop. Serologic cross-reactions may occur with other rickettsial agents.

With ELISA and IFA, a positive test only indicates exposure and does not imply active infection. A titer >1:80 is considered positive. If <1:80, considered suspect and should retest in 2-3 weeks (titers will increase rapidly in the acute stage, look for four-fold increase between paired serum samples or test again using PCR or Western blot). IFA and ELISA tests detect *Ehrlichia* species other than *E. canis*.

- Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR, e.g. Antech FastPanelTM PCR Canine Ehrlichiosis/Anaplasmosis Profile for *E. canis*, *E. chafeensis*, and *E. ewingii*, cross-reacts with *Anaplasma*). PCR can detect *E. canis* in dogs within 4-10 days of exposure, *before* they become seropositive. PCR remains positive for several weeks after infection has cleared, as it does not distinguish between live and dead organisms. Peptide and recombinant antigens are available for *E. ewingii*; however, CGE diagnosis is usually made via visualization of morulae within neutrophils, PCR, ELISA, or Western immunoblot.
- Western immunoblot
- Demonstration of ehrlichial antigen in tissue sample by immunohistochemical methods, or *in situ* hybridization.
- Isolation of ehrlichial species from a clinical specimen in cell culture.

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Serology: serum taken within first week of illness, with second sample taken 2-3 weeks later. Retain acutephase serum sample and submit two samples together at same time.

PCR: 0.5ml whole blood (EDTA), or biopsy specimens from organs such as lymph nodes, spleen, liver, or bone marrow

Sample blood prior to starting antimicrobial therapy to avoid false negative test results.

EHRLICHIOSIS

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Antech (FastPanelTM PCR Canine Ehrlichiosis/Anaplasmosis Profile) and Zoologix (PCR, two tests: one is *E. canis* specific, other detects but does not differentiate most common *Ehrlichia* species). PCR panel for tickborne diseases which includes common *Ehrlichia* species.

NCSU diagnostic PCR-https://www.cvm.ncsu.edu/vth/ticklab.html

OSU diagnostic PCR and serology- http://riki-lb2.vet.ohio-state.edu/ehrlichia/

Treatment: Tetracycline antibiotic for at least one month - usually doxycycline which allows for a more convenient dosing schedule. Dramatic initial improvement usually observed within 24-48 hours. Treatment success should be based on remission of clinical signs, decline in *E. canis* antibody titers, and concurrent decrease in gamma globulins. Rifampin and Levofloxacin may also be effective. Imidocarb is sometimes used in conjunction with antibiotics, usually for co-infections with *Babesia* and *Hepatozoon*. With severe disease, blood transfusions or intravenous fluids may be necessary. Corticosteroids (prednisone) can be used to palliate immune-mediated secondary reactions such as immune-mediated arthritis or platelet loss. Generally, the prognosis during the acute phase is good if the animal is treated properly. Animals in the chronic stage have a poorer prognosis.

Prevention and control: Exposure to ticks should be limited and use of preventatives (e.g. permethrin) considered. Animals should be examined for ticks in tick-infested areas and at peak time of year (April through September). Vegetation can be modified to discourage tick and wild host habitation. Seronegative blood donors should be used for transfusions. Vaccine development against CME shows promise.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Area-wide application of acaricides and removal of leaf litter and brush are effective. Consider least-toxic pesticide for use on targeted barriers.

Notification: Not required

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Animals may be carriers but ticks are still needed for transmission. Note that transmission can occur through a blood transfusion when the donor is infected.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Tick control in the environment is essential. Infected ticks can transmit the disease for 155 days, and after treatment, an animal is still susceptible to reinfection with the same, or another *Ehrlichia* species. However, short-term protection has been described with some *Ehrlichia* infections, waning after about one year. Prophylactic administration of tetracycline at a lower dose is effective in preventing *E. canis* infection in situations where disease is endemic. Treatment must be extended for many months through at least one tick season if the endemic cycle is to be successfully eliminated. PCR, conducted several weeks after termination of treatment, can provide confidence that a treatment has been effective versus an animal entering a subclinical phase.

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Anthony Barbet Dept Infectious Diseases & Pathology University of Florida barbet@ufl.edu)

Yasuko Rikihisa, PhD Department of Veterinary Biosciences The Ohio State University CVM rikihisa.1@osu.edu

- 1. Andre MR, Adania CH, Machado RZ, Allegretti SM, Felippe PAN, Silva KF, Nakaghi ACH. Molecular and serologic detection of *Ehrlichia* spp. in endangered Brazilian wild captive felids. J Wildl Dis. 2010;46(3):1017-1023.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Ehrlichiosis: 2018 [cited 2018 November 15].

EHRLICHIOSIS

- Available from http://www.cdc.gov/ehrlichiosis/
- 3. Iqbal Z, Chaichansiriwithaya W, Rikihisa Y. Comparison of PCR with other tests for early diagnosis of canine ehrlichiosis. J Clin Microbiol. 1994;32:1658-1662.
- 4. Lappin MJ. Canine ehrlichiosis. In: Nelson RW, Couto CG (eds.) Small Animal Internal Medicine, 4th ed. Philadelphia (PA): Elsevier Health Sciences. 2009
- 5. Little SE. 2010. Ehrlichiosis and anaplasmosis in dogs and cats. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract. 2010;40:1121-1140.
- 6. Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services. 2008. Communicable Disease Investigation Reference Manual, Section 4.0 Diseases and Conditions: Ehrlichiosis/ Anaplasmosis.
- 7. Neer TM. Canine monocytic and granulocytic ehrlichiosis. In: Greene CE (ed.) Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat, 2nd ed. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders Co; 1998. Pp.139-147.
- 8. Neer TM, Breitschwerdt EB, Greene RT, Lappin MR. Consensus statement on ehrlichial disease of small animals from the Infectious Disease Study Group of the ACVIM. J Vet Intern Med. 2002;16:309-315.
- 9. Perez M, Rikihisa, Y, Wen B. *Ehrlichia canis*-like agent isolated from a man in Venezuela: antigenic and genetic characterization. J Clin Microbiol. 1996;34:2133-2139.
- 10. Pritt BS, Sloan LM, Johnson DKH, Munderloh UG, Paskewitz SM, McElroy KM, McFadden JD, Binnicker MJ, Neitzel DF, Liu G, Nicholson WL, Nelson CM, Franson JJ, Martin SA, Cunningham SA, Steward CR, Bogumill K, Bjorgaard ME, Davis JP, McQuiston JH, Warshauer DM, Wilhelm MP, Patel R, Trivedi VA, Eremeeva ME. Emergence of a new pathogenic *Ehrlichia* species, Wisconsin and Minnesota, 2009. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(5):422-429.
- 11. Rikihisa Y. Diagnosis of canine monocytic ehrlichiosis: development of advanced techniques to combat a global disease. Vet J. 2010;14: 250-251.
- 12. Sainz Á, Roura X, Miró G, Estrada-Peña A, Kohn B, Harrus S, Solano-Gallego L. Guideline for veterinary practitioners on canine ehrlichiosis and anaplasmosis in Europe. Parasit Vectors,2015;8:75.
- 13. Waner T, Harrus S, Bark H, Bogin E, Avidar Y, Keysary A. Characterization of the subclinical phase of canine erhlichiosis in experimentally infected beagle dogs. Vet Parasit. 1997;69:307-317.
- 14. Waner T, Harrus S, Jongejan F, Bark H, Keysary A, Cornelissen A. Significance of serological testing for ehrlichial diseases in dogs with special emphasis on the diagnosis of canine monocytic ehrlichiosis caused by *Ehrlichia canis*. Vet. Parasit. 2001;95:1-15.
- 15. Waner T, Keysary A, Bark H, Sharabani E, Harruss S. Canine monocytic ehrlichiosis an overview. Israel J. Vet. Med. 1999;54(4):103-107.
- 16. Wen B, Rikihisa Y, Mott JM, Greene R, Kim HY, Zhi N, Couto GC, Unver A, Bartsch R. Comparison of nested PCR with immunofluorescent-antibody assay for detection of *Ehrlichia canis* infection in dogs treated with doxycycline. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1997;35:1852-1855.
- 17. Zhang C, Xiong Q, Kikuchi T, Rikihisa Y. Identification of 19 polymorphic major outer membrane protein genes and their immunogenic peptides in *Ehrlichia ewingii* for use in a serodiagnostic assay. Clin. Vaccine Immunol. 2008;15: 402-411.

ENCEPHALITOZOONOSIS / ENCEPHALITOZOON CUNICULI

Animal	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)					Control	
Affected						
Rabbits;	Ingestion of	Asymptomatic;	Frequently	Variably	Environmental	Yes.
rodents;	environmentally	neurologic;	asymptomatic in	successful;	sanitation to	
canids;	resistant spores	nephritis to	immunocompetent	prolonged	prevent spore	
and	passed in	end-stage renal	adult animals.	benzimidazoles.	contamination.	
sporadic	urine/feces of	failure; uveitis				
cases in a	infected host;		However,			
variety of	transplacental.		progressive			
mammals			disease can be			
			fatal.			

Fact Sheet compiled by: Karen Snowden

Sheet completed on: 26 September 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Elizabeth Didier; Susan Rohrer; Meredith M. Clancy

Susceptible animal groups: Domestic rabbits, rodents (mice, rats, muskrats, guinea pigs, hamsters, ground shrews), domestic dog; sporadic cases reported in a variety of wild carnivores including farmed blue fox (*Alopex lagopus*), wild red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), martens (*Martes* spp.) and mink (*Mustela vison*). Sporadic natural infections reported in several species of non-human primates, including squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*), emperor tamarins (*Saguinus imperator*), Goeldi's monkeys (*Callimico goeldii*), and experimental infections reported in vervet monkeys (*Cercopithecus pygerythrus*).

Causative organism: *Encephalitozoon cuniculi*; phylum Microsporidia (intracellular eukaryotic single-celled organism; classified by some as protozoa, by others as fungi)

Zoonotic potential: Yes, immunocompromised human cases reported. Direct animal to human transmission has not been reported although molecular characterization shows animal and human genotypes identical.

Distribution: Ubiquitous; worldwide from tropical to temperate to cold climates.

Incubation period: Poorly defined in natural infections and dependent on spore dose. Death in experimentally infected puppies in 2-8 weeks and in experimentally infected immune deficient mice 10-27 days.

Clinical signs: Most frequently, the infection is asymptomatic in immunocompetent adult animals. Progressive neurologic signs including ataxia, head tilt, circling, head pressing, can present in rabbits and canids. Progressive glomerulonephritis to end-stage renal failure can occur in dogs. Uveitis, sometimes with cataract development, can occur in rabbits.

Post mortem, gross or histologic findings: Encephalitis with multifocal to disseminated mononuclear or granulomatous inflammatory infiltrates and perivascular cuffing in the brain; glomerulonephritis; uveitis with cataract formation; intracellular organisms commonly seen in vascular endothelium of brain, glomeruli and renal tubular epithelium of kidney.

Diagnosis: Microscopically, the Gram positive organisms can be visualized in histologic sections; microscopically visualized spores in body secretions such as urine sediment or CSF using modified trichrome stain or chitin-binding Calcofluor or Fungi-Fluor stain; PCR of tissue samples; detect parasite-specific antibodies using IFA or ELISA.

ENCEPHALITOZOONOSIS / ENCEPHALITOZOON CUNICULI

Material required for laboratory analysis: tissue, body fluids for staining and microscopy to visualize intracellular organisms or spores; tissue, body fluids for PCR; serum for antibody detection (IFA, ELISA).

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Serologic screening is available for rodent/rabbit species through major

laboratory research animal vendors. Molecular diagnostic testing is available only through research labs, not commercially available.

Charles River Laboratories

1-877-274-8371

A list of locations can be found at: http://www.criver.com/about-us/locations

IDEXX Reference Laboratories

One IDEXX Drive

Westbrook, Maine 04092

1-888-433-9987

A list of locations can be found at: http://www.idexx.com/

Treatment: Prolonged administration of albendazole has been used in humans and anecdotally used successfully in dogs. Prolonged administration of fenbendazole has been reported in rabbits.

Prevention and control: Environmental sanitation very important to prevent contamination with environmentally resistant spores; transmission of spores via fomites is probable. Research rodent/rabbit colonies use a serologic test and cull approach to eliminate carrier animals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Environmentally resistant spores can be inactivated by chlorine, peroxide and other disinfectants with adequate contact time.

Notification: Not reportable in animals or humans.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended. Asymptomatic seropositive animals can shed parasite spores intermittently for months/years, posing risk of exposure of introduced uninfected animals to environmentally resistant spores.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Remove seropositive animals from population; rigorous environmental cleanup and disinfection

Experts who may be consulted:

Karen Snowden

Texas A&M University

Dept. of Veterinary Pathobiology, #4467

College Station, TX 77843-4467

(979) 862-4999 ksnowden@cvm.tamu.edu

ENCEPHALITOZOONOSIS / ENCEPHALITOZOON CUNICULI

- 1. Akerstedt, J., K. Nordstoga, A. Mathis, E. Smeds, and P. Deplazes. 2002. Fox encephalitozoonsis: isolation of the agent from an outbreak in farmed blue foxes (*Alopex lagopus*) in Finland and some hitherto unreported pathologic lesions. J. Vet. Med. Series B. 49(8): 400-405.
- 2. Baneaux, P.J., and F. Pognan. 2003. *In utero* transmission of *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* strain type I in rabbits. Lab. Anim. 37: 132-138.
- 3. Cray, C., and Y. Rivas, Y. 2013. Seroprevalence of *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* in dogs in the United States. J. Parasitol. 99(1): 153-154.
- 4. Dado, D., F. Izquierdo, O. Vera, A. Montoya, M. Mateo, S. Fenoy, A.L. Galván, S. García, A. García, E. Aránguez, L. López, C. del Águila, and G. Miró. 2012. Detection of zoonotic intestinal parasites in public parks of Spain. Potential epidemiological role of *Microsporidia*. Zoonoses Pub. Health. 59: 2328.
- 5. Didier, E.S. 2005. Microsporidiosis: an emerging and opportunistic infection in humans and animals. Acta Trop. 94: 61-76.
- 6. Didier, E.S. 1995. Comparison of three staining methods for detecting microsporidia in fluids. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33(12): 3138-3145.
- 7. Franzen, C., and A. Muller. 1999. Molecular techniques for detection, species differentiation and phylogenetic analysis of microsporidia. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 12(2): 243-285.
- 8. Giordano, C., A. Weigt, A. Vercelli, M. Rondena, G. Grilli, and C. Guidice. 2005. Immunohistochemical identification of *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* in phacoclastic uveitis in four rabbits. Vet. Ophthal. 8(4) 271-275.
- 9. Harcourt-Brown, F.M., and H.K.R. Holloway. 2003. *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* in pet rabbits. Vet. Rec. 152: 427-431.
- 10. Illanes, O.G., E. Tiffani-Castiglioni, J.F. Edwards, and J.A. Shadduck. 1993. Spontaneous encephalitozoonosis in an experimental group of guinea pigs. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 5: 649-651.
- 11. Johnson, C.H., M.M. Marshall, L.A. DeMaria, J.M. Moffet, and D.G. Korich. 2003. Chlorine inactivation of spores of *Encephalitozoon* spp. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69(2): 1325-1326.
- 12. Mathis, A., R. Weber, and P. Deplazes. 2005. Zoonotic potential of the microsporidia. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 18(3): 423-445.
- 13. Muller-Doblies, U.U., K. Herzog, I. Tanner, A. Mathis, and P. Deplazes. 2002. First isolation and characterization of *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* from a free-ranging rat (*Rattus norvegicus*). Vet. Parasitol. 107: 279-285.
- 14. Snowden, K.F., B.C. Lewis, J. Hoffman, and J. Mansell. 2009. *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* infections in dogs: a case series. J. Am. Anim. Hosp. Assoc. 45: 225-231.
- 15. Waller, T. 1979. Sensitivity of *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* to various temperatures, disinfectants and drugs. Lab. Anim. 13: 227-230.
- 16. Wasson, K., and R.L. Peper 2000. Mammalian microsporidiosis. Vet. Pathol. 37: 113-128.

ENCEPHALOMYOCARDITIS VIRUS (EMC)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals	Feco-oral, urine, or carcass ingestion. Rodents are asymptomatic carriers.	Range from non-clinical signs to nonspecific (lethargy, anorexia) to cardiac failure and sudden death	Many infections are asymptomatic, but may manifest as sudden death	Supportive care for cardiac failure if possible	Rodent and rodent feces control Hygienic feeding practices +/- vaccine	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Kay Backues

Sheet completed on: 9 Jan 2019

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Gretchen Cole

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals, including humans

Causative organism: Encephalomyocarditis virus which belongs to genus Cardiovirus in the family Picornavirus. The traditional virus should be labeled EMCV-1 as a new strain isolated from a wood mouse is being called EMCV-2. The new strain can be distinguished serologically and by molecular testing. The host range of EMCV-2 remains to be determined.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Infection in humans is common although many are asymptomatic and unrecognized.

Distribution: Worldwide, free ranging and captive. In US, disease primarily seen in states bordering the Gulf of Mexico.

Incubation period: Viremia may occur within 24 hours of infection.

Clinical signs: Many infections are nonlethal and probably subclinical. Subtle nonspecific clinical signs include lethargy, anorexia, listlessness or dyspnea. Signs of acute heart failure may occur, especially in primates and artiodactylids. Typical presentation is death without any prior signs of illness. Neurologic signs are not common except in smaller non-human primates and some rodents.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross findings primary limited to cardiovascular system: myocardium severely marked with pale streaks, petechiae or ecchymosis on the epicardial surface. Sequelae to heart failure such as pulmonary edema, hydrothorax, hydropericardium, froth in trachea or bronchi, and fibrin in the body cavities. Pulmonary edema is often severe and dramatic, lungs are wet and heavy. Histologic findings include lymphocytic, plasmacytic necrotizing myocarditis; congested and markedly edematous lungs. Encephalitis is frequently seen in rodents, and may be seen in larger animals but the CNS infrequently is submitted for larger animals.

Diagnosis: Histologic appearance of affected tissues is very suggestive of disease. Further diagnostics to be considered include polymerase chain reaction (PCR); virus isolation from tissues – fresh or frozen; serologic testing via virus neutralization (VN), hemagglutination-inhibition, or ELISA for paired titer, although this route is not very helpful in acute cases. If animals survive, antibody testing may be helpful.

Material required for laboratory analysis: PCR on whole blood, serum, plasma, or tissue (fresh or frozen) so at necropsy liver, heart, and spleen should be collected in most animals. Tissues for virus isolation: heart muscle, spleen, liver and brain from wildlife species. In addition, take intestine from rodent species.

ENCEPHALOMYOCARDITIS VIRUS (EMC)

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Zoologix Inc. (PCR)

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4

Chatsworth CA 91311-3800

Phone: 818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881

Email: info@zoologix.com

www.zoologix.com

Texas A&M Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Laboratory (Virus neutralization)

College Station Laboratory

PO Box Drawer 3040

College Station, TX 77841-3040

Phone: (979) 845-3414 Toll Free: (888) 646-5623 Fax: (979) 845-1794 http://tvmdl.tamu.edu

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL

P.O. Box 844 (letters)

1920 Dayton Ave. (packages)

Ames, IA 50010 Phone: (515) 337-7266 Fax: (515) 337-7397

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/

Treatment: Generally no treatment is performed because animal are often asymptomatic for infection or found dead. Supportive care for cardiac failure can be provided in less than acute cases.

Prevention and control: Consistent and long term rodent control and prevention of rodent access to animal enclosures and food sources is critical for prevention. Hygienic feeding practices are important. If rodent feces are detected, increase rodent control measures and change feeding practices such as not leaving food bowls available overnight. Enclosure surfaces and food bowls should be cleaned with appropriate disinfectants. In enclosures with heavy contamination of rodent feces, removal of soil and substrate should be considered.

Commercial vaccines are not available in the US. Vaccine research is ongoing and recent trials have shown some promise in producing antibodies in tested species. However, USDA allows the production and use of autogenous product from an affected institution's viral isolates at that institution only. To discuss this possibility, available contact is: Dr. Mark Titus, Newport Laboratories, Worthington, MN 56187, (800) 220 2522, direct phone: 507-372-3563, www.newportlabs.com, mtitus@newportlabs.com.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 5.25% sodium hypochlorite or household bleach at 3% dilution can be used by adding 3 gallons bleach to 2 gallons water and mixing thoroughly. This combination can be corrosive and damage clothing. Potassium peroxymonosulfate and sodium chloride (Virkon-S) - 1% dilution, Follow label directions. Sodium carbonate (soda ash) – 4% dilution, Add 5.33oz sodium carbonate to 1 gallon hot water (mildly caustic).

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: None

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Remove all evidence of rodent feces in the environment, clean the affected animal's living spaces with an approved disinfectant (see above), increase rodent control, re-evaluate animal feeding strategies. A zoo collection animal (non-rodent species) that recovers from disease is not considered a carrier.

ENCEPHALOMYOCARDITIS VIRUS (EMC)

Experts who may be consulted:

Kay Backues, DVM

Director of Animal Health

Tulsa Zoo

5701 East 36th Street N

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74115

Phone: (918) 669-6243 Fax: (918) 669-6888 kbackues@tulsazoo.org

References

- 1. Gaskin JM [Internet]. Overview of Encephalomyocarditis Virus Infection: 2018 [cited 2019 January 14]. Available from https://www.merckvetmanual.com/generalized-conditions/encephalomyocarditis-virus-infection/overview-of-encephalomyocarditis-virus-infection
- 2. APHIS [Internet]. Cleaning and Disinfection of Premises: 2005 [cited 2013 August 14]. Available from
 - http://www.aphis.usda.gov/emergency_response/tools/cleaning/htdocs/images/Annex09_Cleaning.pd f
- 3. Backues KA. Encephalomyocarditis virus infection in zoo animals. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, 6th ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2008. p. 75- 78.
- 4. Canelli E, Luppi A, Lavazza A, Lelli D, Sozzi1 E, Martin AMM, Gelmetti D, Pascotto E, Sandri C, Magnone W, Cordioli P. Encephalomyocarditis virus infection in an Italian zoo. Virol J. 2010;7:64.
- 5. Kilburn JJ, Murphy DP, Titus M, Payton ME, K.A. Backues KA. Vaccination of llamas (*Llama glama*) with an experimental killed encephalomyocarditis virus vaccine. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2011;42:65-68.
- 6. McLelland DJ, Kirkland PD, Rose KA, Dixon RJ, Smith N. Serologic responses of barbary sheep (*Ammotragus lervia*), Indian antelope (*Antilope cervicapra*), wallaroos (*Macropus robustus*), and chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) to an inactivated encephalomyocarditis virus vaccine. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2005;36: 69-73.
- 7. Philipps A, Dauber M, Growth M, Schirrmeier H, Platzer M, Krumbholz A, Wutzler P, Zell R. Isolation and molecular characterization of a second serotype of the encephalomyocarditis virus. Vet. Micro. 2012;161: 49-57.
- 8. Thomson GR, Bengis RG, Brown CC. Picornavirus infections. In: Williams ES, Barker IK(eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, 3rd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State Press; 2001. p. 119-130.

EQUINE INFECTIOUS ANEMIA

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Family	Hematophagus insects;	Variable:	Variable	No treatment	No vaccine; keep	No
Equidae	iatrogenic (blood trans-	Fever, weight			~200m from	
	fusion, needles, surgical	loss, icterus,			antibody positive	
	instruments, teeth	anemia, edema			animals; control	
	floating, etc.)	and weakness			insects	
	*	•			,	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Amanda Guthrie

Sheet completed on: 16 March 2011; updated 5 April 2013; updated 12 Feb 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Mark Drew, Nancy Carpenter

Susceptible animal groups: All members of Equidae although donkeys and mules are less likely to develop severe clinical signs.

Causative organism: Lentivirus in family Retroviridae (subfamily Orthoretrovirinae)

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: It is distributed nearly worldwide, except a few countries including Iceland and Japan.

Incubation period: Although usually a week to 45 days or longer is required for infection, it typically takes 45 days or more for sufficient antibody to be produced to cause positive test result.

Clinical signs: Nonspecific; equid may have fever and transient inappetence. Severity of disease depends on strain and dose of virus and health of the animal.

<u>Acute</u>: weakness, depression, inappetence, jaundice, tachypnea, tachycardia, ventral pitting edema, thrombocytopenia, petechiae on mucus membranes, epistaxis or blood stained feces.

<u>Chronic</u>: recurring clinical signs that vary from mild illness and failure to thrive to fever, depression, petechial hemorrhages on mucus membranes, weight loss, anemia and dependent edema.

Asymptomatic: Carriers with no clinical signs.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Findings during febrile illness include generalized lymph node enlargement, an enlarged liver with a prominent lobular pattern, an enlarged spleen, mucosal and visceral hemorrhages, ventral subcutaneous edema, and vascular thrombosis. Histopathology of these tissues reveals accumulations of lymphocytes and macrophages in liver, lymph nodes, adrenal glands, spleen, meninges, and lung. Extramedullary hematopoiesis and proliferation of reticuloendothelial cells is evident. Pathology of infected animals with no clinical signs are generally unremarkable, although some may have glomerulitis, retinal depigmentation and choroiditis.

Diagnosis: Agar Gel Immunodiffusion (Coggins test) is only legally recognized test, but now at least three rapid ELISA tests are available; a positive ELISA must be verified with a Coggins test. Positive animals are infected for life.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Blood drawn by accredited veterinarian and must be submitted to an approved lab.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Labs are widely available in each state.

Treatment: None.

Prevention and control: As no vaccine is available, uninfected animals must be maintained ~200m from antibody positive animals. Coggins test is used for surveillance for asymptomatic carriers and at preshipment and quarantine. All horses should be tested annually; interstate travel requires a negative EIA test as do most horse shows or public sales. Control by decreasing risk through effective fly control and proper disinfection of equipment between animals.

Note: EIA virus can be passed from mare to foal *in utero*, is present in milk and semen and can be transmitted venereally, may be transmitted via aerosols.

EQUINE INFECTIOUS ANEMIA

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: None; virus is only transmitted via contact with blood or other bodily secretions.

Notification: EIA is reportable to state veterinarian and federal APHIS office; check state and local laws.

Some jurisdictions require permanent identification of positive animals with brands or tattoos.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Federally reportable disease.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: While not recommended, positive animals should remain ~200m away from uninfected animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: It is recommended that positive animals be removed from the population

Experts who may be consulted:

National Veterinary Services Laboratory

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL

1920 Dayton Ave. (for packages)

P.O. Box 844 (for letters)

Ames, IA 50010

Phone: (515) 337-7266 Fax: (515) 337-7397

https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/lab-info-

services/sa_diagnostic_tests/ct_diagnostic_tests

Resources:

- 1. Equine Infectious Anemia [Internet]. The Center for Food Security & Public Health: c2003-2015 [cited 2018 February 12]. Available from
 - http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/equine_infectious_anemia.pdf
- 2. Equine Infectious Anemia [Internet]. United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Services: 2008 [cited 2018 February 12]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/publications/animal_health/content/printable_version/fs_equine_infectious_anemia.pdf
- 3. Equine Infectious Anemia (EIA) [Internet]. United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Services: 2017 [cited 2018 February 12]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/nvap/NVAP-Reference-Guide/Equine/Equine-Infectious-Anemia
- 4. Equine Infectious Anemia: The Only Protection is Prevention [Internet] American Association of Equine Practitioners:2018 [cited 2018 February 12]. Available from https://aaep.org/horsehealth/equine-infectious-anemia-only-protection-prevention
- 5. Testing for Equine Infectious Anemia (EIA) in the United States, 2015 [Internet]. United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Services: 2017 [cited 2018 February 12]. Available from
 - https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahms/equine/downloads/equine15/Equine15_is_EIA.pdf
- 6. Timoney PJ, Linnep FV. Overview of Equine Infectious Anemia [Internet] [cited 2018 February 12]. Available from http://www.msdvetmanual.com/generalized-conditions/equine-infectious-anemia/ anemia/overview-of-equine-infectious-anemia

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **ERYSIPELAS** (*Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae*)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Swine, sheep, turkeys, multiple other vertebrate species	Bacteria shed in urine, saliva, nasal secretions, and feces. Transmitted by direct contact with infected animals or body discharges (ingestion, transcutaneous). Apparently healthy swine can be carriers.	Acute disease – pyrexia, anorexia, depression, stilted gait, diamond skin lesions, death. Chronic – exercise intolerance, lameness, enlarged joints	Mild to severe. High mortality in untreated animals.	Acute disease – penicillin. Chronic disease – no treatment.	Vaccinate herd, practice good sanitation, avoid overcrowding, quarantine new animals, eliminate chronic carriers.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cora Singleton

Sheet completed on: 1 March 2011, updated 31 October 2012.

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Pat Morris; Alex Ramirez

Susceptible animal groups: Swine, sheep, turkeys, multiple other vertebrate species

Causative organism: Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae, a facultative anaerobic, weak gram-positive bacillus.

Zoonotic potential: *E. rhusiopathiae* causes local skin lesions (erysipeloid) in humans as an occupational disease of people who handle and process meat, veterinarians, game handlers, leather workers, and laboratory workers.

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: Bacteremia usually develops within 24 hours of exposure. Bacteria may persist in joints and lymphoid tissue for months.

Clinical signs: Acute disease – Pyrexia, anorexia, depression, stilted gait, raised rhomboid light pink to purple skin lesions ("diamond-skin" lesions), abortion, and sudden death.

Chronic disease – Animals that survive acute disease may show exercise intolerance and cyanosis (valvular endocarditis), swollen joints and lameness (arthritis).

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

Acute disease – Widespread congestion, petechial and ecchymotic hemorrhages, microthrombi and focal necrosis, mononuclear inflammation.

Chronic disease – Proliferative nonsuppurative arthritis, vegetative endocarditis.

Diagnosis: Clinical signs and necropsy lesions (especially "diamond-skin" lesions), bacterial culture, and serology. A variety of serologic tests are available, which are more valuable for detection of chronic infection on a herd basis than for detection of acute disease in individual animals.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Swab or tissue sample (blood, organs, joints) for culture.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Multiple laboratories available.

Treatment: Penicillin is the antibiotic of choice for acute disease but macrolides, stretogramins (eg., quinupristin/dalfopristin, pristinamycin, virginiamycin), tetracyclines, lincomycin and tylosin may also be

ERYSIPELAS (Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae)

effective. Hyperimmune serum may be useful early in the course of disease. No practical treatment for chronic erysipelas is available.

Prevention and control: Vaccinate herd, practice good sanitation, avoid overcrowding, quarantine new animals, and eliminate chronic carriers.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Phenolic, alkali, hypochlorite, or quaternary ammonium disinfectants are effective.

Notification: Erysipelas is not reportable to USDA/APHIS or OIE but may be reportable to local or state agencies.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Eliminate chronic carrier animals.

Experts who may be consulted:

Veterinary Diagnostic and Production Animal Medicine Department

Iowa State University College of Veterinary Medicine

Phone: 515-294-1950 Fax: 515-295-3564

http://vetmed.iastate.edu/vdpam/

References

- 1. Cowart, R. P. 1995. An Outline of Swine Diseases: A Handbook. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 23-25.
- 2. Friend, M., and C. J. Franson. 1999. Field Manual of Wildlife Diseases: General Field Procedures and Diseases of Birds. U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Madison, Wisconsin.
- 3. Granier, F. 2001. Management of erysipelas. Ann. Dermatol. Venereol. 128 (3 Pt 2): 429-42.
- 4. Jackson, P. G. G., and P. D. Cockcroft. 2007. Handbook of Pig Medicine. Saunders Elsevier, London, England. Pp. 59-60, 194-196.
- 5. Jensen, W. I., and Cotter, S.E. 1976. An outbreak of erysipelas in eared grebes (*Podiceps nigricollis*). J. Wildl. Dis. 12: 583–586.
- 6. Martins, C. M. L. V., and A. M. Mendes. 1979. Erysipelas in an otter (*Lutra lutra*). Anias Suppl. Med. Vet., Lisboa 21: 83–93.
- 7. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services. 2012. National Animal Health Laboratories Network. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahln/downloads/all_nahln_lab_list.pdf. Accessed 03 September 2013.
- 8. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services. 2011. National Veterinary Services Laboratories, Diagnostic Tests Available. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/diagnos_tests.shtml. Accessed 03 September 2013.
- 9. Wood, R. L. 1999. Erysipelas. *In:* Straw, B. E., S. D'Allaire, W. L. Mengeling, D. J. Taylor (eds.). Diseases of Swine, 8th ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 419-430.
- 10. Work, T. M., D. Ball, and M. Wolcott. 1999. Erysipelas in a free-ranging Hawaiian crow (*Corviix hawaiiensis*). Avian Dis. 43: 338-341.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual *Escherichia coli* – **STEC/EPEC**

Animal Groups Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Shiga- toxigenic- genic E. coli (STEC): ruminants, swine	Fecal-oral; animal to animal; Direct contact with contaminated surfaces; contaminated feed.	Asymptomatic infection without clinical disease in animals	Non-pathogen transient predominantly but not exclusively; summer commensal of ileum and large colon	Oral neomycin (experimental)	Two commercial vaccines for cattle; environmental hygiene; gloves when working with known colonized animals	Yes; young children <5 years of age at highest risk especially from direct animal contact, e.g. at children's zoos although STEC-induced disease can occur in people of all ages.
Enteropathogenic <i>E. coli</i> (EPEC): various serotypes based on pili typing or enteropathogenesis: ruminants, swine	Fecal-oral; direct contact with infected surfaces and pastures	Severe acute diarrhea, usually seen between 1-10 days of age	Severe with death common if untreated	Fluid and electrolyte replacement, systemic antibiotics	Good colostrum transmission, birthing area management, vaccination of the dam preparturiton or use of oral antibody preparation at birth	Not generally

Fact Sheet compiled by: Victor Cortese

Sheet completed on: 19 April 2011; updated 10 August 2013; November 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Guy Loneragan, Franklyn Garry

Susceptible animal groups: Ruminants, swine; also for EPEC, all species to a lesser degree should be considered susceptible. Serotype pathogenicity tends to be strongly related to animal species. Disease is almost exclusively seen in neonates.

Causative organism: Shiga-toxigenic *Escherichia coli* (STEC) O157:H7 or O157:non-motile; many STEC serogroups including O26, O45, O103, O111, O121 and O145 may also infect ruminants and may cause zoonotic disease. For EPEC, enterotoxigenic, enterohemorrhagic and attaching and effacing. Further identification based on pilus types - K99 (predominant in cattle), F4 (K88), F5 (K99), F41, F6 (987P) and F18 *E.coli*,

Zoonotic potential: STEC is zoonotic and may result in mild to severe disease which may occasionally be fatal. EPEC causes mild to severe in other species, but rarely causes disease in humans, although occasional fatal disease in infected people has occurred.

Distribution: Highly prevalent in ruminant herds in temperate regions throughout the year with very high prevalence in summer months; uncommon in swine. Variable distribution within herds is observed.

Incubation period: In STEC, the incubation period is unknowable because there is no disease. The patent period of fecal shedding is summer biased, variable (2 weeks +/- one week) and may be sporadic or episodic. For EPEC, the incubation period is very short with diarrhea often seen within 12-48 hours after exposure.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual *Escherichia coli* – **STEC/EPEC**

Clinical signs: Asymptomatic condition is noted with STEC. However, for EPEC, severe watery diarrhea that may be blood tinged is presented and resultant severe dehydration. With some attaching and effacing *E. coli*, mucosal lining maybe be sloughed and voided in the diarrhea. Toxins may cause a hypersecretory diarrhea.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: In STEC, no visible gross lesions; may observe rare attaching and effacing histopathological lesions in colonic mucosal of colonized animals. For EPEC, fluid filled intestinal tract and mucosal lining damage is observed with some strains.

Diagnosis: Culture and isolation using enrichment, immuno-concentration, selective chromogenic agar, and PCR or serologic confirmation of suspect isolates is available for STEC. Similarly for EPEC, culture and isolation can be used, with FA, EM and PCR as confirmation.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Fresh rectal feces or freshly ground deposited feces (10gm) for either STEC or EPEC, or for STEC, environmental samples (e.g., hide swabs, surface swabs, soil and water) can be cultured, and for EPEC, intestinal section.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Various veterinary research laboratories; any BSL-2 bacteriological laboratory if personnel are adequately trained in STEC detection methods

Treatment: In STEC, oral neomycin sulfate in water at label dose has been used experimentally. In EPEC, oral electrolytes and IV fluids, in severe cases, systemic antibiotics, and NSAIDs may be needed.

Prevention and control: One vaccine based on siderophore technology has been shown to decrease fecal shedding of O157 and is available for use in cattle. Isolate infected animal groups and prevent contact of people with animals and animal feces. Clean and disinfect animal housing areas and surfaces. Animal hides, oral cavity and feces may contain high numbers of viable STEC O157. Handling sanitation of workers and handlers is recommended. Hand-washing stations recommended for visitors.

Several vaccines are available for use in cattle and swine to enhance colostral transmission of antibodies against the various types of enteropathogenic *E. coli*. Oral antibody preparation can be given to the neonate have also been shown to be helpful in controlling the disease. Isolate infected animal groups and change birthing area is important.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Potassium peroxymonosulfate and sodium chloride (i.e. Virkon-S); avoid bleach solutions and lime as disinfectants.

Notification: Reportable in all 50 US states if human disease occurs for STEC.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None currently

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Await negative fecal test results. Consider use of vaccination of known infected animals to decrease potential shedding of the bacteria.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: For STEC, isolation from contact with other animals or public for at least two weeks, followed by serial negative fecal culture of all animals in group. Place in cleaned and disinfected housing; may wish consider permanent withdrawal from herd or euthanasia of animal having direct contact with public, especially children. For EPEC, isolation from contact with other animals or public for at least two weeks and separation of recovered animals form newborns.

Experts who may be consulted:

Victor Cortese, DVM, PhD Dipl ABVP Zoetis Inc. 746 Veechdale Road Simpsonville, KY 40067 610-662-6505 victor.cortese@zoetis.com

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual *Escherichia coli* – **STEC/EPEC**

Guy Loneragan BVSc, PhD (STEC) Animal and Food Sciences Texas Tech University, MS 2141 Lubbock, Texas 79409 806-742-2805x268 guy.loneragan@ttu.edu Franklyn Garry DVM, PhD (EPEC) School of Veterinary Medicine Colorado State University 1678 Campus Delivery Fort Collins, CO 80523-1678 970-297-0371 franklyn.garry@colostate.edu

References:

- 1. Blanco M, Blanco J, Blanco JE, Ramos J. Enterotoxigenic, verotoxigenic, and necrotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from cattle in Spain. Am J Vet Res. 1993;54(9):1446-1451.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Outbreaks of *E. coli* O157:H7 associated with petting zoos North Carolina, Florida, and Arizona, 2004-2005. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Reports, Dec. 23, 2005 54(50):1277-1280.
- 3. Francis DH, Collins JE, Libal MC, Zeman DH, Johnson DD, Neiger RD. Attaching and effacing *Escherichia coli* infection as a cause of diarrhea in young calves. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1990;196(6):897-901.
- 4. Goode B, O'Reilly C, Dunn J, Fullerton K, Smith S, Ghneim G, Keen J, Durso L, Davies M, Montgomery S. Outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 infections after petting zoo visits, North Carolina State Fair, October-November 2004. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2009;163(1):42-48.
- 5. Jankel BH, Francis DH, Collins JE, Libal MC, Zeman DH, Johnson DD. Attaching and effacing *Escherichia coli* infections in calves, pigs, lambs, and dogs. J Vet Diag Invest. 1989;1(1):6-11.
- 6. Keen JE, Wittum TE, Dunn JR, Bono JL, Durso LM. Shiga-toxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157 in agricultural fair livestock, United States. Emer Infect Dis. 2006;12:780-786.
- 7. Keen JE, Durso LM, Meehan TP. Isolation of *Salmonella enterica* and Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157 from feces of animals in public contact areas of United States zoological parks. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73:362-365.
- 8. Keen JE, Durso LM, Bono JL, Laegreid WW. Oral neomycin therapy reduces shiga toxigenic *E. coli* (STEC) O157 fecal shedding in naturally-infected beef cattle. In: Proc 87th Conf Res Workers Anim Dis; 2006. p. 148.
- 9. Lim JY, Yoon J, Hovde CJ. A brief overview of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and its plasmid O157. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2010;20(1):5-14
- 10. McMillian M, Dunn JR, Keen JE, Brady KL, Jones TF. Risk behaviors for disease transmission among petting zoo attendees. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2007;231:1036-1038.
- 11. Moon HW, Nagy B, Isaacson RE, Orskov I. Occurrence of K99 antigen on *Escherichia coli* isolated from pigs and colonization of pig ileum by K99+ enterotoxigenic *E. coli* from calves and pigs. Infect Immun. 1977;15(2):614-620.
- 12. National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, Inc. (NASPHV). Compendium of measures to prevent disease associated with animals in public settings, 2009: National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, Inc. (NASPHV). Morb. Mort.Wkly Rep. Recom Rep. 2009;58(RR-5):1-21.
- 13. Nguyen TD, Vo TT, Vu-Khac H. Virulence factors in *Escherichia coli* isolated from calves with diarrhea in Vietnam. J Vet Sci. 2011;12(2):159–164.
- 14. Pennington H. Escherichia coli O157. Lancet. 2010;376:1428-35.

FELINE CALCIVIRUS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Felids - domestic and exotic	Direct (oronasal); indirect (fomites)	Acute and chronic respiratory forms (mainly upper respiratory infection); arthritic form (lameness); virulent systemic form	Variable	Symptomatic	Prevention of exposure to infected animals; vaccination; disinfection	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Tara M. Harrison

Sheet completed on: 2 May 2011; 7 September 2012; updated January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Dalen Agnew; Annabel Wise; Roger Maes; Rebecca Smedley

Susceptible animal groups: Domestic and exotic felids

Causative organism: Feline calicivirus (FCV)

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: World-wide distribution is in all members of Felidae. Disease is most common in multi-cat environments (e.g., shelters, breeding facilities) and in feral cats. The latter has been implicated in spreading this virus to a zoological institution in North America. Reports of this infection have been made in other zoological institutions.

Incubation period: Variable (2-10 days) and recovery typically in 7-10 days in the absence of complications.

Clinical signs: Mild upper respiratory infection: ocular and nasal discharge with potential for secondary infections; oral ulceration is a common transient sign.

Systemic infection: sloughing of oral mucosa (tongue), foot pads, and other mucosal epithelia; edema; pyrexia; ulcerative dermatitis; anorexia; jaundice; and death (mortality rates up to 60%); adult cats are more severely affected than kittens with virulent systemic infections.

Lymphoplasmacytic gingivitis/stomatitis and arthritis ("limping syndrome") are also observed in domestic cats.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

Respiratory form: oral ulceration; nasal and ocular discharge; conjunctivitis; rarely interstitial pneumonia. Virulent systemic form: cutaneous edema and ulceration associated with vasculitis; hepatocellular necrosis; interstitial pneumonia; rarely gastrointestinal ulceration; intestinal crypt lesions and pancreatitis have been reproduced experimentally.

Lymphoplasmacytic gingivitis/stomatitis: proliferative/ulcerative lesions.

Limping syndrome: acute synovitis with thickening of the synovial membrane and increased joint fluid.

Diagnosis: Virus isolation (VI), RT-PCR, virus neutralization or ELISA on paired sera, FA, immunohistochemistry (IHC); always in conjunction with compatible clinical signs

Material required for laboratory analysis: Oropharyngeal and conjunctival swabs of lesions for VI or RT-PCR (use synthetic swabs); paired sera to quantitate virus neutralizing antibody titers; affected tissues for VI, RT-PCR, FA, or IHC.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Most diagnostic laboratories can identify.

Treatment: Supportive; prevention or treatment of secondary infections

Prevention and control:

Prevention: Vaccination using Fel-O-Vax PCT + CaliciVax® vaccine to minimize severity of infection, particularly of virulent systemic strains; only killed vaccines should be used in exotic felids. There have been several cases of suspected vaccine-induced calicivirus in tigers and lions in the United States (personal communication, Harrison 2012, Rivas 2015).

Control: limit access to feral cats that can carry and spread FCV and recovered animals can shed infectious virus for months to years. Proper cleaning as FCV can survive up to 14 days on inanimate objects.

FELINE CALCIVIRUS

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 1:30 dilution of commercial bleach; potassium peroxymonosulfate; chlorine dioxide; substituted phenolic compounds; quaternary compounds formulated at appropriate concentration and pH.

Notification: None required

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan N/A

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Vaccination of non-exposed animal and monitoring of shedding status of both infected and incoming animal; preferably introduce incoming non-shedding animals to infected animal only after verification that the infected animal is no longer shedding infectious FCV.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Many felids can become chronic carriers so continue to monitor shedding through VI or RT-PCR. Once absence of shedding has been verified, continue to vaccinate infected animals as immunity is waning, and vaccinate susceptible animals to minimize clinical signs.

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Roger K. Maes

Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Virology (Section Chief) Michigan State University Diagnostic Center for Population and Animal Health 4125 Beaumont Rd Lansing, MI 38910 517-432-5811 maes@dcpah.msu.edu

Dr. Tara Harrison North Carolina State University College of Veterinary Medicine 1060 William Moore Dr Raleigh, NC 27606 919-513-7350 tara harrison@ncsu.edu

References:

- 1. DiMartino B, Ceci C, DiProfio F, Marsilio F. *In vitro* inactivation of feline calicivirus (FCV) by chemical disinfectants: resistance variation among field strains. J Feline Med Surg. 2009;11(7):556-564.
- 2. Harrison TM., Sikarskie J, Kruger J, Wise A, Mullaney TP, Kiupel M, Maes RK. Calicivirus epidemic in captive exotic felids. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2007;38(2):292-299.
- 3. HarrisonTM, Harrison SH, Sikarskie JG, Armstrong D. Humoral response to calicivirus in captive tigers given a dual-strain vaccine. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2014;45(1):23-38.
- 4. Huang C, Hess J, Gill M, Hustead D. A dual-strain feline calicivirus vaccine stimulates broader cross-neutralization antibodies than a single-strain vaccine and lessens clinical signs in vaccinated cats when challenged with a homologous feline calicivirus strain associated with virulent systemic disease. J. Feline Med. Surg. 2010;12:129-137.
- 5. Hurley KF, J.E. Sykes JE. Update on feline calicivirus: new trends. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract. 2003;33(4):759-772.
- 6. Hurley KE, Pesavento PA, Pedersen NC, Poland AM, Wilson E, Foley JE. An outbreak of virulent systemic feline calicivirus disease. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2004;224(2): 241-249.
- 7. Pederson NC, Hawkins KF. Mechanisms of persistence of acute and chronic feline calicivirus infection in the face of vaccination. Vet Microbiol. 1995;47: 141-156.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **FELINE CALCIVIRUS**

- 8. Radford AD, Coyne KP, Dawson S, Porter CJ, Gaskell RM. Feline calicivirus. Vet Res. 2007;38(2):319-335.
- 9. Radford AD, Addie D, Belák S, Boucraut-Baralon C, Egberink H, Frymus T, Gruffydd-Jones T, Hartmann K, Hosie MJ, Lloret A, Lutz H. Feline calicivirus infection ABCD guidelines on prevention and management. J. Feline Med. Surg. 2009;11:556-564.
- 10. Rivas AE, Langan JN, Colegrove KM, Terio K, Adkesson MJ. Herpesvirus and calicivirus infection in a black-footed cat (*Fels nigripes*) family group following vaccination. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2015;46(1):141-145.
- 11. Tian J, Liu D, Liu Y, Wu H, Jiang Y, Zu S, Liu C, Sun X, Liu J, Qu L. Molecular characterization of a feline calicivirus isolated from tiger and its pathogenesis in cats. Vet Microbiol. 2016;192: 110-117.
- 12. Whitehead K, McCue KA. Virucidal efficacy of disinfectant actives against feline calicivirus, a surrogate for norovirus, in a short contact time. Am J Infect Control. 2010;38(1): 26-31.

FELINE IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Felids - most infections are species- specific, but some evidence for interspecific transmission in captive collections.	Horizontal transmission is the most prevalent route; prevalence suggests exposure occurs concurrent with sexual maturity. Vertical transmission can occur, but is the exception.	Asymptomatic to severe, depending on the strain of the virus and stage of the disease. Most often asymptomatic in nondomestic felids, but may include moderate to severe oral cavity disease, mild to progressive anemia, skin infections, weight loss, vomiting, diarrhea, and neurologic disease	Usually asymptomatic but CD4 ⁺ cell depletion - depending on the strain – can present with increased morbidity and mortality. Infection is life-long.	No specific treatment, but supportive care indicated with clinical signs.	Testing all felids prior to introduction into a collection; controlling feral cat populations	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Kristian J. Krause

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011; updated 25 February 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Karen A. Terio, Susan VandeWoude, Winston Vickers

Susceptible animal groups: Felids

Causative organism: Feline Immunodeficiency Virus, a Lentivirus

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: Worldwide. In domestic cats, most commonly found in intact feral males. In non-domestic wild felids, an increase in seroprevalence correlates with sexual maturity.

Incubation period: 3-6 months

Clinical signs: In most non-domestic felids with naturally occurring disease, FIV positive cats are asymptomatic. However, in domestic cats and captive non-domestic felids infected with certain strains, especially older cats, signs can include mild to progressive anemia, moderate to severe oral disease, especially stomatitis, mild to significant weight loss, chronic or non- healing skin infections, vomiting, diarrhea, neurologic disease, and atypical lymphosarcoma.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Findings correlate with associated diseases, if any, present.

Diagnosis: Western blot and ELISA assays are the most commonly used method of diagnosing FIV. Western blot is available for domestic cats, cougars, and African lions and may be more sensitive than domestic cat FIV

FELINE IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS

based ELISA. PCR is available, but is not as reliable because strain genetic variation is high.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, plasma or whole blood can be used for diagnosis.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Any laboratory capable of running the FIV ELISA is able to diagnose FIV; however, this assay may be less sensitive than strain specific Western Blot.

Treatment: Most non-domestic felids do not need any treatment. Treatment is for any specific clinical signs that arise and is supportive.

Prevention and control: Felids should be tested by ELISA prior to introduction into a new facility with other felids. Special care should be taken to prevent interaction with feral cats.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: FIV is labile outside the host animals. It is easily inactivated by detergents and routine disinfectants. Routine cleaning procedures will prevent transmission. Dental and surgical instruments, anesthesia circuits, endotracheal tubes, and other items potentially contaminated with body fluids should be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized between uses. Fluid lines, multi-dose medication containers, and food can become contaminated with body fluids (especially blood or saliva) and should not be shared.

Notification: Receiving institutions should be notified of an infected animal.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: No specific measures need to be taken. Whether or not to introduce infected and non- infected animals should be based on a population management decision, knowing that non-infected animals may become infected. Knowledge of the strain and likely clinical disease can assist with these decisions.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Not applicable as infection is life-long

Experts who may be consulted:

Sue VandeWoude, DVM (Western blot testing)

Professor of Comparative Medicine

Department of Microbiology, Immunology, Pathology

Director, Laboratory Animal Resources

Colorado State University

1619 Campus Delivery

Fort Collins CO 80523-1619

Phone: (970) 491-7162 Fax: (970) 491-0523 suev@lamar.colostate.edu

References:

- 1. Adams, H., M. Van Vuuren, A-M. Bosman, D. Keet, J. New, and M. Kennedy. 2009. The epidemiology of lion lentivirus infection among a population of free-ranging lions (Panthera leo) in Kruger National Park, South Africa. J. S. Afr. Vet. Assoc. 80: 151-156.
- 2. Adams, H., M. Van Vuuren, S. Kania, A-M. Bosman, D. Keet, J. New, and M. Kennedy. 2010. Sensitivity and specificity of a nested polymerase chain reaction for detection of lentivirus infection in lions (Panthera leo). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 41: 608-615.
- 3. American Association of Feline Practitioners Retrovirus Guidelines. 2008. J. Feline Med Surg.10: 300-316.
- 4. Bevins, S.N., S. Carver, E.E. Boydston, L.M. Lyren, M. Alldredge, K.A. Logan, S.P. Riley, R.N. Fisher, T.W. Vickers, W. Boyce, M. Salman, M.R. Lappin, K.R. Crooks, and S. VandeWoude. 2012. Three

FELINE IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS

- pathogens in sympatric populations of pumas, bobcats, and domestic cats: implications for infectious disease transmission. PLoS One. 7:e31403.
- 5. Brennan, G., M.D. Podell, R. Wack, S. Kraft, J.L. Troyer, H. Bielefeldt-Ohmann, and S. VandeWoude. 2006. Neurologic disease in captive lions (Panthera leo) with low-titer lion lentivirus infection. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44:4345-4352.
- 6. Franklin, S.P., J.L. Troyer, J.A. Terwee, L.M. Lyren, W.M. Boyce, S.P.D. Riley, M.E. Roelke, K.R. Crooks, and S. VandeWoude. 2007. Frequent transmission of immunodeficiency viruses among bobcats and pumas. J. Virol. 81:10961-10969.
- 7. Franklin, S.P., J.L. Troyer, J.A. Terwee, L.M. Lyren, R.W. Kays, S.P.D. Riley, W.M. Boyce, K.R. Crooks, and S. VandeWoude. 2007. Variability in assays used for detection of lentiviral infection in bobcats (Lynx rufus), pumas (Puma concolor), and ocelots (Leopardus pardalis). J. Wildl. Dis. 43:700-710.
- 8. Munson, L., K.A. Terio, M-P. Ryser-Degiorgis, E.P. Lane, and F. Courchamp. 2010. Wild felid diseases: conservation implications and management strategies. In: Macdonald, D.W., and A.J. Loveridge (eds.). Biology and Conservation of Wild Felids. Oxford University Press, Oxford, England. Pp. 237-259.
- 9. O'Brien, S.J., J.L. Troyer, M.A. Brown, W.E. Johnson, A. Antunes, M. E. Roelke, and J. Preon-Slattery. 2012. Emerging viruses in the Felidae: shifting paradigms. Viruses 4: 236-57.
- Roelke, M.E., M.A. Brown, J.L. Troyer, H. Winterbach, C. Winterbach, G. Hemson, D. Smith, R.C. Johnson, J. Pecon-Slattery, A.L. Roca, K.A. Alexander, L. Klein, P. Martelli, K. Krishnasamy, and S. J. O'Brien. 2009. Pathological manifestations of feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) infection in wild African lions. Virol. 90:1-12.
- 11. Roelke, M.E., J. Pecon-Slattery, S. Taylor; S. Citino, E. Brown, C. Packer, and S. Vande Woude, and S. J. O'Brien. 2006. T-lymphocyte profiles in FIV-infected wild lions and pumas reveal CD4 depletion. J. Wildl. Dis. 42: 234-248.
- 12. Troyer, J.L., J. Pecon-Slattery, M.E. Roelke, W. Johnson, S. VandeWoude, N. Vazquez-Salat, M. Brown, L. Frank, R. Woodroffe, C. Winterbach, H. Winterbach, G. Hemson, M. Bush, K.A. Alexander, E. Revilla, and S.J. O'Brien. 2005. Seroprevalence and genomic divergence of circulating strains of feline immunodeficiency virus among Felidae and Hyaenidae species. J. Virol. 79: 8282-8294.
- 13. Troyer, J. L., M.E. Roelke, J.M. Jespersen, N. Baggett, V. Buckley-Beason, D. Macnulty, M. Craft, C. Packer, J. Pecon-Slattery, and S.J. O'Brien. 2011. FIV diversity: FIV Ple subtype composition may influence disease outcome in African lions. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 143: 338-346.
- 14. VandeWoude, S., and C. Apetrei. 2006. Going wild: lessons from naturally occurring T- lymphotrophic lentiviruses. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 19: 728-762.

FELINE INFECTIOUS PERITONITIS (FIP)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected					Control	
Felids –	Primary mode	Malaise,	Some cats exposed to	No	Proper	No
domestic	of	inappetance,	the virus remain	treatment	management	
cats and	transmission is	weight loss, and	healthy while those that	has yet	can decrease	
some exotic	through feces.	fluctuating fever.	develop the disease	proven	the	
cat species	The virus is	Effusive form –	have a poor prognosis.	effective in	incidence of	
	highly	ascites, thoracic	Clinical course is a few	curing cats	FIP in	
The disease	infective and	and/or pericardial	days to several months.	of FIP. The	catteries.	
has been	over 90% of	effusion.	The course is typically	disease is		
documented	cats in multi-	Ocular lesions	rapid with the effusive	considered	There is no	
in cheetahs.	cat households	and CNS signs	form of the disease and	fatal.	effective	
	typically	more common in	may be longer with the		vaccine.	
	seroconvert.	the dry form.	dry form.			

Fact Sheet compiled by: Danelle M. Okeson

Sheet completed on: December 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kay Backues, Beth Bicknese

Susceptible animal groups: Felids – domestic cats and African lion, mountain lion, leopard, jaguar, lynx, serval, caracal, European wild cat, sand cat, Pallas cat, and cheetah (which seem to be more susceptible than other exotic felids). Most deaths in domestic cats occur in cats 3-16 months of age and are uncommon after 5 years.

Causative organism: Only a portion of cats infected with the coronavirus develop FIP. All feline coronavirus (FCoV) types may induce systemic infection. While the precise mechanism by which FIP develops is unclear, two main hypotheses have been proposed. In both cases, the ability of an FCoV to replicate in macrophages is a key pathogenic event. In the first hypothesis, a primarily avirulent FCoV that replicates in enterocytes undergoes a mutation that allows it to replicate in macrophages. In the second hypothesis, the host's immune response and viral load determine whether a cat infected with any FCoV will develop FIP (from AAFP 2013 disease fact sheet).

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: FIP may occur wherever FCoV occurs – worldwide and ubiquitous among cat populations.

Incubation period: Under experimental conditions, 2-14 days is required for the effusive form of the disease while several weeks longer for experimentally induced dry/non-effusive form.

Clinical signs: Early signs of the disease may be non-specific: lethargy/malaise, fluctuating fever, loss of appetite, weight loss, and may cause failure to thrive in young cats.

The disease is categorized as two forms:

- Effusive/wet form with vasculitis and polyserositis ascites, thoracic and/or pericardial effusion. The effusive form is the more common form of the disease.
- Non-effusive/dry form with granulomatous lesions in kidneys, intestinal tract (leading to chronic diarrhea), lymph node enlargement.

Ocular and neurologic signs occur in <9% of cats with the wet form, but are relatively frequent in cats with the dry form. Ocular signs may include chorioretinitis and retinal perivascular cuffing, keratic precipitates in the anterior eye, and uveitis. Neurologic signs may include nystagmus, cranial nerve defects, seizures, ataxia, hyperesthesia, and behavioral changes.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

-Effusive (wet) form of FIP - gross findings: viscous thoracic or abdominal fluid; pyogranulomas that tend to follow the course of the cranial mesenteric artery – leading to thickened omentum containing pyogranulomas, and pyogranulomas covering the serosal surface of the abdominal viscera. The pyogranulomas appear as small, coalescing, fibrinous plaques.

FELINE INFECTIOUS PERITONITIS (FIP)

-Dry form – gross findings: pyogranuolomas that appear as raised, gray-white nodules ($\geq 0.5 - 2$ cm) in the kidneys, liver, intestines, and visceral lymph nodes. CNS lesions and ocular lesions are more common in the dry form. Eye lesions may include iridocyclitis or chorioretinitis, and anterior uveitis, retinitis with hemorrhage and/or retinal detachment, and optic neuritis. Pyogranulomas may be found in the brain and spinal cord, or CNS lesions may manifest as diffuse meningitis.

Diagnosis: The disease can be difficult to diagnose. Currently, there is no test specific for FIP. "Ultimately, FIP must be diagnosed by applying a workable knowledge of the disease with sensible weighing of signalment, history, clinical signs, clinicopathologic findings, serology, and ante-or post-mortem examination of affected tissues by histopathology and immunohistochemistry" (Pedersen).

Antibody testing: Serology - ELISA, IFA (immunofluorescent antibody), and virus-neutralization tests detect the presence of coronavirus antibodies in a cat, but these tests cannot differentiate between the various strains of feline coronavirus.

Antigen testing: Immunohistochemistry on effusions or lesions containing infected macrophages is currently the gold standard for FIP diagnosis.

A PCR test is offered by a commercial laboratory and is said to differentiate between the non-pathogenic coronavirus biotype and the virulent or pathogenic biotype for use in domestic cats (IDEXX).

Material required for laboratory analysis: Effusions or lesions (such as pyogranulomas) containing infected macrophages – for immunohistochemistry (IHC). IHC tests for viral antigen. IHC using fluorescein staining requires fresh or frozen tissue sections. IHC using horseradish peroxidase (HRPO) staining may be performed on formalin fixed and paraffin embedded tissues. Both methods may be used on cells collected from effusions that have been acetone fixed. The fluorescein staining method is 5-10 times more sensitive than the HRPO method. Test sensitivity is dependent on having infected macrophages, so random biopsies of liver or kidney (biopsies not containing macrophages) in cats with FIP will not yield positive results. Peritoneal, pleural, CSF fluid, or tissue biopsies may be used in the PCR test.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Several veterinary college laboratories and commercial veterinary labs offer FIP testing or referral to the appropriate lab.

University of Tennessee www.vet.utk.edu/diagnostic/virology/index.php

University of California Davis http://www.sockfip.info/fip-studies/114-instructions-to-veterinarians-for-sending-fip-fluid-samples.html

Treatment: No treatment has yet proven effective in curing the disease. Supportive care can be provided. Since clinical disease is caused by the cat's immune response to the virus, proposed treatments have been aimed at controlling that response. In one study, feline interferon omega reportedly induced complete or partial remission in two-thirds of cats with FIP. However, the treatment proved totally ineffective in a larger double blinded study.

A pilot study at the University of Tennessee using an immunostimulant on three cats with the dry form (non-effusive) of FIP showed some promise. Two of three cats were still receiving treatment and were still alive 2 years after diagnosis (Legendre).

Prevention and control: Given the constraints on testing, it may be best to manage cheetahs as if the population is endemically infected (Gaffney, et al).

In case of a suspected outbreak or a seropositive animal, clinicians should contact FIP experts and/or clinicians who have dealt with similar situations in a captive wildlife setting. It is beyond the scope of this fact sheet to provide recommendations for every possible scenario. FIP is typically a problem in group-housed cats, such as in breeding catteries or rescue groups. Since there is no readily available ante-mortem test, cats cannot be effectively tested prior to introduction to a group. Strict hygiene (especially for litter boxes) and keeping cats in small groups can help reduce viral contamination.

Although a licensed FIP vaccine available, no effective vaccine is available as this vaccine has not been proven to prevent FIP, and it is not generally recommended by the American Association of Feline Practitioners Feline Vaccine Advisory Panel.

FELINE INFECTIOUS PERITONITIS (FIP)

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: The virus can survive for approximately 2 months in a dry environment. However, the virus is readily inactivated by detergents and disinfectants.

Notification: Not a reportable disease

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: See information under prevention and control. While not all cats exposed to the coronavirus that causes FIP will develop the disease, it is advisable not to mix cats with known infected cats.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Difficult in a multi-cat facility when other cats in the household or facility are likely infected. While many cats will not develop FIP disease, they may still shed the virus. Shedding may follow one of three patterns: 1) persistent for 18 months or more, 2) persistent for 4-6 months and intermittent for months thereafter, or 3) cleared within 6-8 months – most cats (Pedersen).

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Niels C. Pedersen, University of California, Davis College of Veterinary Medicine, contact information and further information on submitting samples may be found at the www.sockfip.info web site under information for veterinarians.

References:

- 1. Addie DD, Ishida T. Feline infectious peritonitis: therapy and prevention. In: Bonagura, JD, Twedt DC (eds). Kirk's Current Veterinary Therapy XIV. St. Louis (MO): Saunders-Elsevier; 2009. p.1295-1299.
- 2. American Association of Feline Practitioners . Feline Vaccination Advisory Panel Report. J. Feline Med. Surg. 2013;15(9):785-808.
- 3. American Association of Feline Practitioners Feline Vaccination Advisory Panel [Internet]. Disease Information Fact Sheet Feline Infectious Peritonitis; 2013 [cited 2019 February 18] Available from https://www.catvets.com/public/PDFs/PracticeGuidelines/Guidelines/Vaccination/FelineInfectiousPeritonitis FactSheet.pdf
- 4. Cornell Feline Health Center [Internet]. Feline infectious peritonitis; 2002 [cited 2019 February 18] Available from https://www.vet.cornell.edu/departments-centers-and-institutes/cornell-feline-health-center/health-information/feline-health-topics/feline-infectious-peritonitis
- 5. Gaffney PM, Kennedy M, Terio K, Gardner I, Lothamer C, Coleman K, Munson L. Detection of feline coronavirus in cheetah (Acinonyx jubatus) feces by reverse transcription-nested polymerase chain reaction in cheetahs with variable frequency of viral shedding. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2012;14;43(4):776-86.
- 6. Giori L, Giordano A, Giudice C, Grieco V, Paltrinieri S. Performances of different diagnostic tests for feline infectious peritonitis in challenging clinical cases. J Small Anim Pract. 2011;52(3):152-7.
- 7. Horzinek MC, Addie D, Belák S, Boucraut-Baralon C, Egberink H, Frymus T, Gruffydd-Jones T, Hartmann K, Hosie MJ, Lloret A, Lutz H. ABCD: Update of the 2009 guidelines on prevention and management of feline infectious diseases. J. Feline Med. Surg. 2013 Jul;15(7):530-9.
- 8. IDEXX Reference Laboratories [Internet]. Diagnostic update; 2015 [cited 2019 February 18]. Available from https://idexxcom-live-b02da1e51e754c9cb292133b-9c56c33.aldryn-media.com/filer_public/dd/81/dd8192c9-ae9b-4d1b-841a-06748adc75ac/feline-infectious-peritonitis-virus.pdf
- 9. Legendre AM, Bartges JW. Effect of polyprenyl immunostimulant on the survival times of three cats with the dry form of feline infectious peritonitis. J Feline Med Surg. 2009;11:624-626.
- 10. O'Brien SJ, Troyer JL, Brown MA, Johnson WE, Antunes A, Roelke ME, Pecon-Slattery J. Emerging viruses in the felidae: shifting paradigms. Viruses 2012;4:236-257.
- 11. Pedersen N. A review of feline infectious peritonitis virus infection: 1963-2008. J Feline Med Surg. 2009;11(8):225-258.

FELINE LEUKEMIA VIRUS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Felids	High quantities of virus shed in nasal secretions & saliva; also shed in urine, feces, and milk, as well as semen and vaginal fluids from infected cats; most often transmitted to exotic felids via contact with or ingestion of domestic feral cats	Early – cats may have no signs. Anorexia, enlarged lymph nodes, persistent fever, gingivitis, stomatitis, persistent diarrhea, neurologic signs, eye conditions, abortions, reproductive failures	Depends on individual cat's immune response; typically asymptomatic and transient in exotic felids	No controlled studies proving effectiveness of immune modulators and interferon against the virus.	The retrovirus does not survive long outside the body under normal conditions Vaccination Exclusion of feral cats	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Danelle M. Okeson

Sheet completed on: updated December 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kay Backues

Susceptible animal groups: Felids. The first confirmed case of FeLV-associated lymphoma in a non-domestic felid occurred in a cheetah. Recent evidence suggests that the critically endangered Iberian lynx (*Lynx pardinus*) may be particularly susceptible to FeLV. The virus is otherwise not considered endemic in exotic felids, although antigen-positive animals have been documented, as well as seropositive, asymptomatic animals. FeLV has been isolated in leopard cat, European wildcat, and cougar.

Causative organism: A retrovirus, more specifically an oncornavirus/

Zoonotic potential: Not a zoonosis

Distribution: Rare but documented antigen-positive exotic cats have been found worldwide. In a study of more than 18,000 domestic cats, 2.3% of cats were FeLV antigen positive on ELISA testing. Prevalence was higher (3.6%) among cats allowed outdoors. Prevalence was highest among sick feral cats; 15.2% of tested ill feral cats were FeLV positive.

Incubation period: Infected cats may experience a prolonged period of clinical latency.

Clinical signs: In domestic cats, a variety of disease conditions are associated with retroviral infection including anemia, chronic inflammatory conditions, lymphoma, susceptibility to secondary and opportunistic infections, cutaneous abscesses, oral inflammation, and reproductive problems. Knowledge and understanding of the outcome of FeLV infection in domestic cats has changed. In the past, approximately one third of cats were believed to become persistently viremic and up to two thirds to eventually clear the infection. Newer research suggests that most cats remain infected for life following exposure but may revert to an aviremic state (regressive infection). In the case of a regressive infection, no antigen or culturable virus is present in the blood, but FeLV proviral DNA can be detected in the blood by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Therefore, two clinically relevant outcomes of FeLV exposure can be considered:

- 1) progressive infection domestic cats typically succumb to FeLV-associated diseases within a few years. However these retrovirus positive cats may live without related illness for several years. "A decision about euthanasia should not be made based on a positive test alone." (AAFP)
- 2) regressive infection cats have an effective immune response, virus replication is contained, and there is no viral shedding. These cats have little risk of developing FeLV associated disease. Exotic cats typically belong to this group

FELINE LEUKEMIA VIRUS

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Cats infected with FeLV that develop progressive infection may develop FeLV-related diseases including lymphoid malignancies, non-regenerative anemia, and myeloproliferative disorders. Findings may also include diseases secondary to immunosuppression, such as severe bacterial infections and toxoplasmosis.

Diagnosis:

Antigen testing – ELISA: This screening test detects the core viral antigen p27. This antigen is produced in large quantities in most infected domestic cats and most will test positive within 30 days of exposure. However, when results of antigen testing are negative but recent infection cannot be ruled out, testing should be repeated a minimum of 30 days after the last potential exposure.

Antigen testing – IFA: Antigen testing using immunofluorescent antibody (IFA) testing also detects p27 antigen within infected blood cells via bone marrow or blood smears. However, false negatives may occur in the following scenarios with domestic cats: leukopenic cats, cats with regressive infection, or cats that resist bone marrow infection. False positives may occur with sample preparation error, when background fluorescence is high, or when results are interpreted by inexperienced lab personnel.

Confirmatory testing: Cats that test positive on screening tests should be further tested with confirmatory tests. A second soluble antigen test should be performed, preferably using a test from a different manufacturer. (Virus culture is the gold standard, but not readily available in North America.) Practitioners should be aware that cats developing regressive infection may be only transiently antigenemic and may revert to negative status on soluble antigen tests. Confirmatory testing with PCR: Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) can detect FeLV RNA or DNA within one week of viral exposure in domestic cats; even when FeLV p27 antigen is not yet detectable. PCR testing detects either viral RNA or cell-associated DNA (provirus) in blood, bone marrow, and tissues.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Whole blood for antigen testing; blood, bone marrow, or tissues for PCR testing

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Most commercial veterinary laboratories, most state veterinary diagnostic labs, Cornell University

Treatment: Immune modulators and interferon inducers are used in retrovirus-infected domestic cats, including FeLV-infected cats. Although reports of uncontrolled studies frequently suggest dramatic clinical improvement, these effects generally have not been reproduced in controlled trials. Preliminary laboratory studies have identified four drugs with anti-FeLV activity that may warrant further study into their mechanisms of action and feasibility for veterinary use.

Prevention and control: In domestic cats, identification and segregation of infected cats is considered the single most effective method for preventing new infections with FeLV. Feral cats should be excluded from contact with exotic cats in zoos. While retroviruses are generally unstable outside their host, they can remain viable in dried biological deposits for more than a week.

As with domestic cats, zoos should determine the FeLV status of all exotic cats. Cats should be tested for FeLV infection at quarantine and routine exams. If exotics cats are to be vaccinated, testing before initial vaccination is also recommended. However, since routine screening tests detect antigen, not antibody, vaccination does not typically interfere with FeLV testing.

Several injectable inactivated vaccines with adjuvants and a recombinant vaccine without adjuvants (designed for transdermal administration) are commercially available in the United States. The vaccine is not currently recommended as a core vaccine for exotic cats in zoos, but may be used in situations of high-risk, such as extensive exposure to infected feral cats.

When FeLV vaccination is determined to be appropriate, a two-dose primary series is recommended, with the first dose administered as early as 8 weeks of age followed by a second dose administered 3-4 weeks later. A single booster vaccination should be administered 1 year following completion of the initial series and repeated annually in cats that remain at risk of exposure.

FELINE LEUKEMIA VIRUS

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Common hospital disinfectants and detergents will inactivate the retrovirus.

Notification: Not a reportable disease

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: The virus can be shed through casual contact such as grooming. Exotic felids have not been shown to maintain the infection. If a zoo felid is confirmed FeLV-infected, it may infect conspecifics, but the risk may be low.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Retroviruses are unstable outside their host and are quickly inactivated by detergents and common hospital disinfectants. However, retroviruses can remain viable in dried biological deposits for more than a week.

Experts who may be consulted:

American Association of Feline Practitioners guidelines on Retrovirus management are available online: www.catvets.com/guidelines/practice-guidelines/retrovirus-management-guidelines

References

- 1. American Association of Feline Practitioners. Feline Vaccination Advisory Panel Report. J. Feline Med Surg. 2013;15(9):785-808.
- 2. American Association of Feline Practitioners Feline Vaccination Advisory Panel [Internet]. Disease Information Fact Sheet Feline Leukemia Virus; 2013 [cited 2019 February 18] Available from https://www.catvets.com/public/PDFs/PracticeGuidelines/Guidelines/Vaccination/FelineLeukemiaVirus_FactSheet.pdf
- 3. Cornell Feline Health Center [Internet]. Feline infectious peritonitis; 2016 [cited 2019 February 18] Available from https://www.vet.cornell.edu/departments-centers-and-institutes/cornell-feline-health-center/health-information/feline-health-topics/feline-leukemia-virus
- 4. Filoni C, Catão-Dias JL, Cattori V, Willi B, Meli ML, Corrêa SH, Marques MC, Adania CH, Silva JC, Marvulo MF, Ferreira Neto JS, Durigon EL, de Carvalho VM, Coutinho SD, Lutz H, Hofmann-Lehmann R. Surveillance using serological and molecular methods for the detection of infectious agents in captive Brazilian neotropic and exotic felids. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2012;24(1): 166-173.
- 5. Geret CP, Cattori V, Meli ML, Riond B, Martinez F, López G, Vargas A, Simon MA, Lopez-Bao JV, Hofmann-Lehmann R, Lutz H. Feline leukemia virus outbreak in the critically endangered Iberian lynx (*Lynx pardinus*): high-throughput sequencing of envelope variable region A and experimental transmission. Arch Virol. 2011;156(5):839-854.
- 6. Goodrich JM, Quigley KS, Lewis JC, Astafiev AA, Slabi EV, Miquelle DG, Smirnov EN, Kerley LL, Armstrong DL, Quigley HB, Hornocker MG. Serosurvey of free-ranging Amur tigers in the Russian Far East. J Wildl Dis. 2012;48(1):186-189.
- 7. Greggs WM, Clouser CL, Patterson SE, Mansky LM. Discovery of drugs that possess activity against feline leukemia virus. J Gen Virol. 2012;93: 900-905.
- 8. Harrison TM, McKnight CA, Sikarskie JG, Kitchell BE, Garner MM, Raymond JT, Fitzgerald SD, Valli VE, Agnew D, Kiupel M. Malignant lymphoma in African lions (*Panthera leo*). Vet Pathol. 2010;47(5): 952-957
- 9. Hartmann K. Feline leukemia virus and feline immunodeficiency virus. In: Bonagura JD, Twedt DC (eds.). Kirk's Current Veterinary Therapy XIV. St. Louis (MO): Saunders-Elsevier; 2009. p. 1278-1283.
- 10. Kennedy-Stoskopf, S. Emerging viral infections in large cats. In: Fowler, M.E. and R.E. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine Current Therapy 4. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders, Co; 1999. p. 401-410.
- 11. Lee I, Levy J, Gorman S, Crawford P, Slater M. Prevalence of feline leukemia virus infection and serum antibodies against feline immunodeficiency virus in unowned free-roaming cats. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2002;220:620–62.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **FELINE LEUKEMIA VIRUS**

- 12. Levy J, Crawford C, Hartmann K, Hofmann-Lehmann R, Little S, Sundahl E, Thayer V. 2008 American Association of Feline Practitioners' feline retrovirus management guidelines. J Feline Med Surg. 2008;10(3):300-316.
- 13. Marker L, Munson L, Basson PA, Quackenbush S. Multicentric T-cell lymphoma associated with feline leukemia virus infection in a captive Namibian cheetah (*Acinonyx jubatus*). J Wildl Dis. 2003;39:690–695.
- 14. Meli ML, Cattori V, Martinez F, López G, Vargas A, Simon MA, Zorrilla I, Munoz A, Palomares F, Lopez-Bao JV, Pastor J, Tandon R, Willi B, Hofmann-Lehmann R, Lutz H. Feline leukemia virus and other pathogens as important threats to the survival of the critically endangered Iberian lynx (*Lynx pardinus*).PLoS ONE 2009;4(3):e4744. Available from doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004744
- 15. O'Brien SJ, Troyer JL, Brown MA, Johnson WE, Antunes A, Roelke ME, Pecon-Slattery J. Emerging viruses in the felidae: shifting paradigms. Viruses. 2012;4:236-257.

FELINE PANLEUKOPENIA

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected					Control	
Felidae;	Oronasal	Depression,	Subclinical	Fluid therapy,	Vaccination;	No
limited other	exposure to virus;	anorexia, severe	to fatal	antibiotics,	disinfection	
carnivores	transplacental	dehydration,		antiemetic,		
		leukopenia		analgesics		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Ray Wack; updated by Christine Molter

Sheet completed on: 1 March 2011; updated 3 November 2012; updated 2 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: James Evermann, Ray Wack

Susceptible animal groups: Felidae, mustelidae, procyonidae, viverridae, hyaenidae

Causative organism: Feline Panleukopenia virus (FPV [parvovirus]), in rare cases, canine parvovirus 2a,

2b or 2c

Zoonotic potential: None known

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: 2-7 days, rarely up to 14 days

Clinical signs: Most cases are subclinical in cats > 1yr of age or those with partial protection from maternal antibodies. Most cases with illness are < 1 yr of age. Peracute cases may result in death. Acute cases present with fever, anorexia, depression, vomiting, diarrhea, hematochezia, severe dehydration, septic shock, and DIC. In transplacental infections, ataxia and tremors with normal mentation are observed in kittens due to cerebellar hypoplasia. Retinal lesions are also possible.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Virus replicates in and destroys rapidly dividing cells especially in bone marrow, lymphoid tissue and gastrointestinal tract mucosa. Transplacental infection may result in cerebellar hypoplasia, retinal dysplasia, embryonic resorption, fetal mummification, abortion, or stillbirth.

At necropsy, signs of sepsis and dehydration. Intestinal crypts can be dilated and contain sloughed epithelial cell debris. Blunting and fusion of villi may be present. Eosinophilic intranuclear inclusion bodies are rare.

Diagnosis: Hemogram often shows panleukopenia (WBC <3,000) with neutropenia being more common than lymphopenia, and thrombocytopenia and anemia. Fecal FPV antigens may be detected through an inhouse immunochromatographic test kit, but antigen is present for short duration of time and false-negatives are possible. Definitive diagnosis can be made with IFA staining of tissue samples and PCR amplification and identification of virus DNA or virus isolation.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum titers can be used to document successful vaccination using hemoagglutination inhibition or indirect immunofluorescence testing. Tissue samples can be tested for presence of virus using fluorescent antibody staining of histopathology sections. Virus particles can be identified in feces using virus isolation, PCR amplification and identification of virus DNA, or electron microscopy.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Lab

Bustad Hall Room 155N Pullman WA 99164-7034 Phone: 509-335-9696

waddl@vetmed.wsu.edu http://waddl.vetmed.wsu.edu/

Animal Health Diagnostic Center College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University

PO Box 5786

FELINE PANLEUKOPENIA

240 Farrier Rd

Ithaca, NY 14852-5786 Phone: 607-253-3900 Fax: 607-253-3943

https://ahdc.vet.cornell.edu/

Treatment: Aggressive fluid therapy is needed to correct dehydration, antibiotics to treat or prevent sepsis, antiemetic if vomiting, analgesia for abdominal pain, and nutritional support for hypoglycemia and anorexia. Leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, hypoalbuminemia, and hypokalemia are negative prognostic factors in domestic cats with panleukopenia.

Prevention and control:

<u>Vaccination</u>: Most cats produce a robust long lasting immunity following illness or vaccination. Vaccinated queens generally transfer protective levels of antibodies. The first vaccination is usually given at 6-9 weeks of age with booster vaccines given every 3-4 weeks with the last dose being administered when the kitten is > 16 weeks old, to ensure that interfering maternal antibodies do not inactivate the modified live virus or block vaccine response. A booster should be given 1 year later. Unvaccinated adults should be given a total of 2 doses of the vaccine 3-4 weeks apart. Vaccine titers suggest that triennial or longer booster intervals are effective after the initial series. Greater than 95% of domestic cats respond to primary vaccination series with protective titers that may last more than 7 years. A few non-domestic cats have been documented to be non-responders, so determination of titers is recommended.

Killed vaccines are often used in non-domestic cats due to rare cases of vaccine induced disease with modified live vaccines, though modified live vaccines are available. Pregnant, immunosuppressed, sick cats, or kittens < 4 weeks of age should not be vaccinated with a modified live product.

Fel-O-Vax (Boehringer Ingelheim) is a commonly used killed vaccine given as a 1 ml dose regardless of the size of the cat. A 0.5 ml dose Fel-O-Vax vaccine has also become commercially available recently. Control: Virus sheds in all secretions in the acute phase and in feces for up to 6 weeks after recovery. Susceptible animals should not be with or in close proximity to positive animals until they have been vaccinated and/or protective antibody titers have been demonstrated. Transmission on fomites is common, thus stringent infectious disease control protocols are required. All surfaces should be disinfected with products labeled and proven effective against parvoviruses. It may also be necessary to bathe recovered animals especially if they are to be exposed to juveniles for whom vaccine protection cannot be assured.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Virus is very resistant to inactivation, survives for a long time in environment and is transmitted on fomites. Dilute household bleach, formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde or peroxygen disinfectants are effective.

Notification: None required

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Susceptible animals should not be introduced to infected animals until protective antibody titers have been demonstrated in the animals to be introduced. Viral shedding may occur for at least 6 weeks in infected animals and viral particles may remain infectious in the environment for more than a year.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Multiple swabs for PCR amplification and identification of FPV DNA should be collected from infected and exposed animals to assure that viral shedding has stopped.

Experts who may be consulted:

James Evermann, MS, PhD

Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Lab

Bustad Hall Room 155D Pullman WA 99164-7034

Phone: 509-339-3607 ife@vetmed.wsu.edu

FELINE PANLEUKOPENIA

References:

- 1. Duarte MD, Barros SC, Henriques M, Fernandes TL, Bernardino R, Monteiro M, Fevereiro M. 2009. Fatal infection with feline panleukopenia virus in two captive wild carnivores (*Panthera tigris* and *Panthera leo*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2009;40(2):354-359.
- 2. Govindasamy L, Hueffer K, Parrish CR, Agbandje-McKenna M. Structures of host range-controlling regions of the capsids of canine and feline parvoviruses and mutants. J Virol. 2003;77:12211-12221.
- 3. Harrison TM, Mazet JK, Holekamp KE, Dubovi E, Engh AL, Nelson K, Van Horn RC, Munson L. Antibodies to canine and feline viruses in spotted hyenas (*Crocuta crocuta*) in the Masai Mara National Reserve. J Wildl Dis. 2004;40: 1-10.
- 4. Hoelzer K, Shackelton LA, Holmes EC, and Parrish CR. Within-host genetic diversity of endemic and emerging parvoviruses of dogs and cats. J Virol. 2008;11:11096-11105.
- 5. Kruse B, Unterer S, Horlacher K, Sauter-Louis C, Hartmann, K. Prognostic factors in cats with feline panleukopenia. J Vet Intern Med. 2010;24:1271-1276.
- 6. Mouzin DE, Lorenzen MJ, Haworth JD and King VL. Duration of serologic response to three viral antigens in cats. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2004;224:61-66.
- 7. Risi E, Agoulon A, Allaire F, Le Drean-Quenec'hdu S, Martin V, Mahl P. Antibody response to vaccines for rhinotrachetitis, caliciviral disease, panleukopenia, feline leukemia and rabies in tigers (*Panthera tigris*) and lions (*Panthera leo*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2012;43:248-255.
- 8. Sassa Y, Yamamoto H, Mochizuki M, Umemura T, Horiuchi M, Ishiguro N, Miyazawa T. Successive deaths of a captive snow leopard (*Uncia uncia*) and a serval (*Leptailurus serval*) by infection with feline panleukopenia virus at Sapporo Maruyama Zoo. J Vet Med Sci. 2011;73:491-494.
- 9. Squires RA. Overview of feline panleukopenia [Internet]. Merck Veterinary Manual; 2018 [cited 2018 January 2]. Available from <a href="http://www.merckvetmanual.com/generalized-conditions/feline-panleukopenia/overview-of-feline-panleukopenia/overview-overview-of-feline-panleukopenia/overview-overvie
- 10. Steinel A, Munson L, van Vuuren M, Truyen U. Genetic characterization of feline parvovirus sequences from various carnivores. J Gen Virol. 2000;81:345-350.
- 11. Wack RF, Kramer LW, Cupps W, Clawson S, Hustead DR. The response of cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*) to routine vaccination. J Zoo Wildl Med. 1993;24:109-117.
- 12. Zhou P, Zhang X, Zeng W, Zheng Q, Hao X, Lin X, Zheng Y, Wang L, Zhang G, Li S. MicroRNA expression analysis of feline and canine parvovirus infection in vivo (felis). PLoS ONE 12(10): e0185698. Available from https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185698.

FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected		Signs			and Control	
Artiodactylids (cloven- hooved animals), e.g. cattle, pigs, sheep, goats, cervids, African buffalo; also a few members of other orders (e.g., captive Asian elephants); Equids are not affected	Contact with affected animals (high concentrations of virus are present in FMD vesicles) or their bodily fluids (e.g., saliva, milk, semen), mechanical vectors (including people); ingestion (e.g., common source water or feed); insemination; aerosol (respiratory or	Fever (2-3 days); vesicular lesions followed by erosions/ulcers on the tongue, lips, oral mucosa, teats and/or between the hooves; abundant stringy saliva; decreased appetite, lameness, abortion; myocarditis in young animals. Species with minimal signs (e.g., sheep) might not have characteristic	High morbidity (up to 100%) low mortality (except for young)	Depending on the phase and type of outbreak, infected animals and herds may be slaughtered. In a large outbreak, animals may be allowed to recover with palliative care	Importation bans (raw hides, trophies, unpreserved or uncooked animal products); surveillance test and slaughter, or quarantine until recovered; and disinfection of premises; strategic vaccination	Human cases seem to be very rare, with mild signs, and not of public health significance. Virus might also be carried mechanic- ally in the nares for short periods
	oral); iatrogenic	vesiculation.				

Fact Sheet compiled by: S. W. Jack; updated by James Roth and Gayle Brown

Sheet completed on: 31 March 2011; updated 28 April, 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Julie Napier

Susceptible animal groups: Artiodactylids (cloven-hoofed animals), e.g., cattle, swine, cervids, antelope, buffalo, sheep, goats, giraffe, as well as a few members of other orders (e.g., Asian, but not African, elephants).

Causative organism: Foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) *Aphthovirus* in family Picornaviridae. Multiple serotypes (O, A, C, SAT 1, SAT 2, SAT 3, Asia 1). Some strains primarily affect certain species (e.g., the pig O Cathay strain); Immunity to one serotype does not protect from other serotypes.

Zoonotic potential: Human infections seem to be very rare, with mild clinical signs and no public health significance. Many of these infections were reported in people exposed to large amounts of virus in vaccine plants, although cases also occurred after drinking FMDV-infected raw milk for several days.

Distribution: Endemic in parts of Asia, Africa, Middle East and South America

Incubation period: 2-14 days

Clinical signs: Fever (2-3 days); vesicles followed by erosions/ulcers on the tongue, lips, oral mucosa, teats and between the hooves; abundant stringy saliva if mouth is significantly affected; decreased appetite, lameness, abortion; sudden death from myocarditis in newborns; rare instances of sudden death in adults, especially in some severely affected wildlife species. The pattern of illness varies between species, and some species (e.g., sheep) can have minimal signs. Shedding may occur before the onset of clinical signs. Cattle may be persistently infected in the pharynx, but no evidence that they transmit infection. African buffalo can be long term shedders and transmit the virus.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Tongue/Oral: blanched foci to vesicles to complete ulceration with fibrin. Interdigital redness, vesicles or ulceration and similar on coronary bands are seen. Vesicles or erosions may also be found on udder, occasionally other sites. Myocardial pallor or streaking may be observed; young animals with myocardial lesions may not have vesicles. Lesions are species dependent, less severe in sheep and goats than cattle or swine. Location of lesions can also vary between species.

FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE

Diagnosis: Grossly, it is indistinguishable from other vesicular diseases (vesicular stomatitis, swine vesicular disease, Seneca virus A, vesicular exanthema of swine). Other differential diagnoses include diseases with mouth and/or foot signs such as traumatic stomatitis, bovine virus diarrhea, bluetongue, malignant catarrhal fever, contagious ecthyma, and epizootic hemorrhagic disease of deer. Lab detection of FMDV is based upon virus isolation, antigen ELISA, and rRT-PCR. Serology tests for detecting exposure include virus neutralization and various ELISA assays.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Before collection of samples, proper authorities should be contacted and only send appropriate samples under secure conditions to authorized laboratories. Preferred sample is epithelium from un-ruptured or freshly ruptured vesicles, esophageal-pharyngeal (probang) samples. Other samples may include myocardium from heart failure deaths, milk and other secretions and excretions. For suspect carriers, esophageal-pharyngeal fluids should be submitted.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: National Animal Health Laboratory Network (NAHLN), select FMD Laboratories for a list of the 45 labs approved for FMD diagnostics. FMD is a select agent and requires BSL-3/BSL3 Ag. Limit access to building/lab, negative air pressure, HEPA filtered incoming air. Double HEPA-filtered air exit, all sewage treated, and work in specialized cabinets within lab.

Treatment: Depending on the phase and type of outbreak, infected animals and herds may be slaughtered. In a large outbreak, animals may be allowed to recover with palliative care.

Prevention and control: Avoidance of sources is most important. This approach can be via importation bans (raw hides, trophies, unpreserved/uncooked animal products); surveillance test and slaughter; or quarantine until recovered. Disinfection of premises is important as the virus could persist in environment possibly up to a few months especially under cold conditions. Virus is inactivated by acidification (pH <6) of muscle during rigor mortis, but can persist in other tissues (e.g., in bones, lymph nodes) if pH remains above 6.0. Vaccination has been applied in outbreaks.

<u>Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities:</u> Following removal of all organic debris (power-washer), most disinfectants will inactivate the FMD virus e.g. sodium hydroxide (2%), sodium carbonate (4%), citric acid (0.2%), acetic acid (2%), sodium hypochlorite (3%), potassium peroxymonosulfate/sodium chloride (1%), and chlorine dioxide. Iodophors, quaternary ammonium compounds are less effective. <u>Use EPA-approved</u> disinfectants for FMD.

Notification: REPORTABLE DISEASE - Federal and State Animal Health Officials (AVIC and SAHO, respectively) must be notified. USDA-APHIS will contact the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE)

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Surveillance in zoos during an outbreak will be determined by the Responsible Regulatory Officials (Federal, State, or Tribal) depending on the epidemiology of the outbreak.

Contact USDA-APHIS:

Center for Epidemiology and Animal Health National Surveillance Unit

2150 Centre Avenue, Building B, Mailstop 2E6

Fort Collins, CO 80526-8117

national.surveillance.unit@aphis.usda.gov

http://nsu.aphis.usda.gov/

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: No animals should be introduced into the zoo until the disease is brought under control, or it is demonstrated that the zoo is free of infection and the animal to be introduced is also free of infection.

Contact USDA-APHIS:

Veterinary Services

APHIS, USDA

4700 River Road, Unit 41

Riverdale, MD 20737-1231

(301) 851-3595

FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Surveillance to demonstrate absence of infection and absence of virus circulation, according to the <u>OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code</u>. Time to regain FMD free status varies with method of eradication and surveillance (e.g., use and type of vaccination). It may be possible for the zoo to be declared an FMD free compartment according to OIE Guidelines.

Experts who may be consulted: When FMD is suspected you must contact Federal and State Animal Health Officials (AVIC and SAHO, respectively); USDA-APHIS will contact the OIE.

References:

- 1. Acha PN, Szyfres B. Foot and mouth disease. In: Zoonoses and Communicable Diseases Common to Man and Animals, Volume II: Chlamydioses, Rickettsioses, and Viroses, 3rd edition. Scientific and Technical Publication No. 580. Pan American Health Organization. Washington (DC): PAHO; 2003. p. 133-145.
- 2. Amass SF, Pacheco JM, Mason PW, Schneider JL, Alvarez RM, Clark LK, Ragland D. Procedures for preventing the transmission of foot-and-mouth disease virus to pigs and sheep by personnel in contact with infected pigs. Vet Rec. 2003;153(5):137-140.
- 3. Amass SF, Mason PW, Pacheco JM, Miller CA, Ramirez A, Clark LK, Ragland D, Schneider JL, Kenyon SJ. Procedures for preventing transmission of foot-and-mouth disease virus (O/TAW/97) by people. Vet Microbiol. 2004;103(3-4):143-149.
- 4. Bartley LM, Donnelly CA, Anderson RM. Review of foot-and-mouth disease virus survival in animal excretions and on fomites. Vet Rec. 2002;151:667-669.
- 5. Bauer K. Foot- and-mouth disease as zoonosis. Arch Virol Suppl. 1997;13:95-97.
- 6. Committee on Foreign and Emerging Diseases of the United States Animal Health Association [Internet]. Foreign Animal Diseases, 7th edition; c2008 [cited 2018 Jan 16]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/emergency_response/downloads/nahems/fad.pdf.
- 7. Foot and Mouth Disease [Internet]. USDA APHIS Veterinary Service [cited 2018 Jan 16]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/publications/animal_health/2013/fs_fmd_general.pdf.
- 8. Foot and Mouth Disease Fact Sheet [Internet]. The Center for Food Security and Public Health, Iowa State University [cited 2018 Jan 16]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/foot and mouth disease.pdf.
- 9. Foot-and-mouth Disease [Internet]. The Merck Veterinary Manual [cited 2018 Jan 16]. Available from http://www.merckmanuals.com/vet/generalized_conditions/foot-and-mouth_disease/overview_of_foot-and-mouth_disease.html.
- 10. Kitching RP. Global epidemiology and prospects for control of foot-and-mouth disease. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2005;288:133-148.
- 11. National Animal Health Laboratories Network (NAHLN) Briefing: July 2010 [Internet]. USDA SPHIS [cited 2018 Jan 16]. Available from
- http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahln/downloads/NAHLNBriefingCurrent.pdf.
- 12. Prempeh H, Smith R, Müller B. Foot and mouth disease: the human consequences: the health consequences are slight, the economic ones huge. Brit Med J. 2001;322(7286):565-566.
- 13. Schaftenaar W. Use of vaccination against foot and mouth disease in zoo animals, endangered species and exceptionally valuable animals. Rev Sci Tech. 2002;21(3):613-623.
- 14. Sellers RF, Donaldson AI, Herniman KA. Inhalation, persistence and dispersal of foot-and-mouth disease virus by man. J Hyg. 1970;68(4):565-573.
- 15. Thomson GR, Vosloo W, Bastos AD. Foot and mouth disease in wildlife. Virus Res. 2003;91:145-161.
- 16. Torres A. Foot-and-mouth disease. In: Brown C, Torres A (eds). Foreign Animal Diseases, 7th edition. Committee of Foreign and Emerging Diseases of the US Animal Health Association. Boca Publications Group, Inc. Boca Raton (FL): USAHA; 2008. p. 261-275.

FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE

- 17. Wright CF, Gloster J, Mazelet L, Paton DJ, Ryan ED. Short-lived carriage of foot-and-mouth disease virus in human nasal cavities after exposure to infected animals. Vet Rec. 2010;167(24):928-931.
- 18. 9 CFR PART 94—Rinderpest, Foot-And-Mouth Disease, Exotic Newcastle Disease, African Swine Fever, Classical Swine Fever, Swine Vesicular Disease, and Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy: Prohibited and Restricted Importations [Internet]. ECFR [cited 2018 Jan 16]. Available from http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-

bin/retrieveECFR?gp=&SID=c1a545d13df32ce5ce464b3867ca835f&r=PART&n=9y1.0.1.4.36.

19. Foot and Mouth Disease Fact Sheet [Internet]. The Center for Food Security and Public Health, Iowa State University [cited 2018 Jan 16]. Available from

http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/foot_and_mouth_disease.pdf

- 20. Bartley LM, Donnelly CA, Anderson RM. Review of foot-and-mouth disease virus survival in animal excretions and on fomites. Vet Rec. 2002;151:667-669.
- 21. Foreign Animal Disease Preparedness and Response Plan Guidelines: Cleaning and Disinfection [Internet]. USDA APHIS [cited 2014 Jul]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/emergency_management/downloads/nahems_guidelines/cleaning_disfection.pdf
- 22. Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories Appendix D Agriculture Pathogen Biosafety [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control & Eradication [cited 2018 April]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/biosafety/publications/bmbl5/bmbl5_appendixd.pdf
- 23. Approved Disinfectants for FMD Virus [Internet]. Center for Food Security and Public Health, Iowa State University; c2017 [cited 2018 April]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/pdf-library/FMD-Resources/DisinfectantsForFMDVirus.pdf
- 24. Potential Pesticides to use Against the Causative Agents of Selected Foreign Animal Diseases in Farm Settings [Internet]. USDA APHIS Animal Health; c2017 [cited 2018 April 16]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/emergency_management/downloads/fad_epa_disinfectants.pdf
- 25. Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) Response [Internet]. USDA APHIS Animal Health; c2015 [cited 2018 April 16]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/emergency_management/downloads/fmd_plan_rrg_ee.pdf
- 26. Foot and Mouth Disease [Internet]. OIE; c2013 [cited 2018 April 16]. Available from http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Animal_Health_in_the_World/docs/pdf/Disease_cards/FOOT_AND_MOUTH_DISEASE.pdf

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Mammals	Contact with, or ingestion of contaminated food, water, soil, or animals.	Diarrhea, abdominal pain, bloating, dehydration, lethargy, anorexia	Generally mild	Fenbendazole; metronidazole	Personal and environmental hygiene	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Gwen E. Myers

Sheet completed on: 1 February 2011; updated 15 August 2013 **Fact Sheet Reviewed by**: Joseph Camp; George D. Di Giovanni

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals; predominantly canids, felids, and humans.

Causative organism: *Giardia lamblia* (syn. *G. intestinalis*, *G. duodenalis*, etc.); currently, eight assemblages or genotype groups are identified and named A-H. Dogs are most commonly infected with Assemblages C and D, while cats are infected with Assemblage F. Humans are most commonly infected with Assemblages A and B with a few cases of E and F reported.

Zoonotic potential: Yes, although the taxonomic issues are under review to verify this conjecture as very few well-documented cases of zoonotic transmission have been published in the peer-reviewed literature.

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: Time from exposure to clinical signs is generally 7-14 days although some infected animsl some show clinical signs as early as 5 days.

Clinical signs: Abdominal pain, diarrhea, gas or bloating, lethargy, dehydration, weight loss, anorexia, and vomiting are typical depending on severity of infection.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Histopathologic changes in the intestines vary from villous atrophy of the intestinal wall to hyperplasia of goblet cells and vacuolated epithelial cells.

Diagnosis: Two morphologic forms of *Giardia* exist: trophozoites and cysts. The trophozoite, a motile form, is binucleated, pear shaped, and flagellated. Diagnosis of *Giardia* infection can be difficult in that cysts are shed intermittently and are delicate, and artifacts (grass pollen, yeast, etc.) mimic to varying degrees the morphology of *Giardia* cysts. Microscopy of fresh feces may identify motile trophozoites that appear as a face with the two nuclei forming the eyes and median bodies forming the mouth. Mix a drop of fresh liquid feces with a drop of normal saline. Trophozoites are not often found in semi-formed or firm feces. Trophozoites have a concave ventral surface and a rapid "falling leaf" motion which may be the only motion visible may be the flagella. Duodenal fluid aspiration and examination of the sediment for motile trophozoites requires either endoscopy or exploratory laparotomy to obtain duodenal fluid, making this an impractical means of diagnosing *Giardia*.

Zinc sulfate fecal by centrifugation is better than zinc sulfate fecal flotation but due to intermittent shedding of cysts, the sensitivity is approximately 70%. Fecal ELISA tests identify *Giardia* specific antigens from trophozoites, avoiding the problem of intermittent cyst excretion in the feces. False negative ELISA results are not common; but a negative fecal ELISA does not eliminate the possibility of *Giardia* infection in an animal with appropriate clinical signs. Positive test results can occur in asymptomatic dogs and cats since some animals may harbor the organisms without having clinical signs.

IDEXX Laboratories has an in-house, quick SNAP Giardia test that is ELISA-based. Immunofluorescence

GIARDIASIS

(IFA) test identifies cysts. In one study, this test was the best single test for detecting subclinical giardiasis.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Feces

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any laboratory capable of diagnosing protozoal fecal parasites

Treatment: Fenbendazole and metronidazole have been used, although high doses have been associated with neurological dysfunction. Furazolidone (Furoxone® Suspension, SmithKline Beecham, 4 mg/kg BID for 7 days) is available as a suspension and is convenient to administer to cats and small dogs and has been shown to be effective in cats. Quinacrine (6.6 mg/kg BID For 5 days) has been shown to be 100% effective in dogs. Approximately half of the dogs treated developed minor and reversible anorexia, fever, or lethargy. Quinacrine has been shown to improve clinical signs in cats but not to eliminate infection; however, quinacrine is not currently available in the US.

Prevention and control: *Giardia* vaccine (Pfizer) is on the market but it is not intended to prevent infection in the vaccinated animal. Instead, the vaccine is licensed as an adjunct to treatment and is used to reduce the shedding of cysts by the vaccinated patient. Cysts are very resistant and can survive several months outside the host in wet, cold conditions, even water, but they are susceptible to desiccation in dry and hot conditions. Proper hygiene, especially to prevent human infection/zoonosis,is important.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Removal of organic matter prior to disinfection with bleach diluted to a 1:32 solution.

Notification: None required

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Recommended to keep infected or shedding animals isolated until clear of parasite.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Decontamination and disinfection of environment, and when possible, bathing animal prior to placing in cleaned environment.

Experts who may be consulted:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases

Waterborne Disease Prevention Branch

1600 Clifton Rd

Atlanta, GA 30333

800-CDC-INFO

http://www.cdc.gov/ncezid/dfwed/index.html

References:

- 1. Ballweber, L.R., L. Xiao, D.D. Bowman, G. Kahn, and V.A. Cama. 2010. Giardiasis in dogs and cats: update on epidemiology and public health significance. Trends Parasit. 26(4): 180-189.
- 2. Feng, Y., and L. Xiao. 2011. Zoonotic potential and molecular epidemiology of *Giardia* species and giardiasis. Microbiol. Rev. 24(1): 110-140.
- 3. Lebbad, M., I. Petersson, L. Karlsson, S. Botero-Kleiven, J. O. Andersson, B. Svenungsson, and S.G. Svärd. 2011. Multilocus genotyping of human *Giardia* isolates suggests limited zoonotic transmission and association between assemblage B and flatulence in children. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 5(8): e1262.doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001262.
- 4. Leib, M.S., and A.M. Zajac. 1999. Giardiasis in dogs and cats. Vet. Med. 94(9): 793-802.
- 5. Leib, M.S. 2010. *Giardia* and *Tritrichomonas foetus*: an update (SA136). Proc. Western Vet. Conf. Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 6. Meyer, E.A., and S. Radulescu. 1979. *Giardia* and giardiasis. Adv. Parasitol. 17: 1–47.
- 7. Nazer, H. 2013. Giardiasis. E-Medicine. http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/176718-overview. Accessed 28 August 2013.

- 8. Rishniw, M., J. Liotta, M. Bellosa, D. Bowman, and K.W. Simpson. 2010. Comparison of 4 *Giardia* diagnostic tests in diagnosis of naturally acquired canine chronic subclinical giardiasis. J. Vet. Int. Med. 24(2): 293-297.
- 9. Zajac, A.M., J. Johnson, and S.E. King. 2002. Evaluation of the importance of centrifugation as a component of zinc sulfate fecal flotation examinations. J. Am. Anim. Hosp. Assoc. 38: 221-224.

Animal group (s) affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Primarily equids, also a risk to exotic felids, humans, dogs, cats, sheep, goats, camels, hamsters, mice, and guinea pigs	Injection, Ingestion, and inhalation of particles or direct contact between open skin or mucous membrane and infected tissue or secretions. Non-equid species often by ingestion of infected horse meat. Chronically infected asymptomatic horses are still highly infectious.	Loss of stamina, dyspnea, acute-coughing, high fever, nasal discharge and ulcers, epistaxis, fulminant septicemia Chronic cutaneous or generalized lymphadenopathy, and ulcerated skin nodules. Horses more commonly see chronic progressive form while acute sepsis is more common in donkeys and mules. Felids develop localized nodules on nasal mucosa and bloody nasal discharge within 8-14 days after consuming infected meat.	The course of infection is dependent on the route of exposure. Acuteaerosol/sepsis leads to death typically in 4-7 days to 3-4 weeks after onset of illness. Chronic form can last for years in horses with periodic relapses	Antibiotics may be used in endemic areas though will us. Need at least two given concurrently. Euthanasia required in non-endemic areas.	Strict entry requirements from endemic areas to non- endemic areas. CFT test and PCR used for diagnosis. Mallein ¹³ tests used commonly in endemic regions. Reportable in non-endemic areas to OIE and USDA as well as local veterinary authorities	Yes. Potential bioterrorism weapon ⁷ . Tier 1 Select Agent

Fact Sheet compiled by: Annette Gendron

Sheet completed on: Updated 4 Sep 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sarah Churgin

Susceptible animal groups

Equids are primarily affected with the chronic progressive form seen more often in horses while the acute form is more common in donkeys and mules. Other animals such as dogs, cats (including zoo & wild felids), sheep, goats, camels, wild cats, bears, wolves, hamsters, mice and guinea pigs are at risk. Cattle and pigs are resistant.

Causative organism

Burkholderia mallei (also previously designated Pseudomonas mallei, Bacillus mallei, Pfeifferella mallei, Mycobacterium mallei, Loefferella mallei, Malleomyces mallei, and Actinobacillus mallei), has been

identified as the causative agent. It is a gram-negative, non-motile, non-spore forming, aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacillus as well as a facultative intracellular and host-adapted pathogen.

Zoonotic potential

Zoonotic transmission occurs through ingestion of contaminated meat, and injection, ingestion and inhalation of infectious particles or direct contact between open skin or mucous membranes and infected tissue or secretions.

Distribution

Re-emerging trans-boundary disease endemic with increasing outbreaks in parts of the Middle East, Asia, as well as Central and South America (also seen Africa). It has been eradicated from North America, Australia, Japan and Western Europe through surveillance and destruction of affected animals, and strict import restrictions.

Incubation period In natural infections - 4-7 days to several months/years.

Clinical signs

The organism is zoonotic with four basic forms in both horses and humans: cutaneous "farcy", upper respiratory, pulmonary and septicemic. In equids, the acute cutaneous form is more common in mules and donkeys, with death typically occurring in 4-7 days to 3-4 weeks after onset of illness. The chronic cutaneous form of the disease is more common in horses and causes regional lymphadenopathy and skin nodules that ulcerate and drain, with induration, enlargement, and nodularity of regional lymphatics on the extremities and in other areas. The chronic form is characterized by flares and remissions over years. The acute respiratory form results in the highest mortality and may begin with ulceration of the nasal mucosa and nodules that secrete bloody discharge, often leading to sepsis. The stellate scars in the nasal mucosa from healed ulcers are considered characteristic of the disease. Nasal infections may spread to the lower respiratory system. The pulmonary form occurs in most clinical cases, often in combination with other forms of glanders and is characterized by nodular abscesses. The septic form of glanders results in coughing, a high fever and release of an infectious nasal discharge, often followed by fulminant septicemia and death within days. Multi-organ abscesses develop predominantly in the lung, liver and spleen and often lead to septic shock. Other lesions that can be seen are osteomyelitis, meningitis, orchitis or brain abscesses. Death may occur within 1-2 weeks or several months. Apparent survivors act as carriers and maintain the spread of the disease. Zoo and wild felids consuming infected meat will develop localized nodules on nasal mucosa and conjunctiva with bloody nasal discharge within 8-14 days after consuming contaminated meat.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings

Nodules, granulomas and ulcer formation seen in various tissues. Histopathologic lesions within the respiratory tract include vasculitis and thrombosis of vessels of the nasal mucosa with ulceration, suppuration and spread to the submucosa. In addition, glanders induces a neutrophilic leukocytosis and anemia caused by depressed erythropoietic activity in the bone marrow. Gram or Giemsa stains of lesion exudates may reveal the organisms.

Diagnosis

Clinical and bacteriological diagnosis of glanders is difficult in the early stages of the disease. Nearly 90% of infections exist as nonclinical or latent. Complement Fixation (CF) is the official test recommended by the OIE for international movement of equids. Unfortunately, in addition to false negative and false positive reactions, the test cannot differentiate *B. mallei* from *B. pseudomallei* or an infected from a "maleinized" (previously tested) animal. The "Mallein test" is the most commonly used test for glanders and uses a protein fraction of the glanders organism to test for a cell-mediated hypersensitivity response. It is injected intradermally i.e. intrapalpebral or is given topically by ocular drop. Palpebrae will swell markedly in 1-2 days in a positive reaction. The test is used more frequently in domesticated animals in endemic regions, but the sensitivity and specificity of the test depend largely on what protein fraction is used. The Mallein test may give a false positive by cross reaction with *Streptococcus equi*, *B pseudomallei* or other *Burkhlderia* ssp. and may also leave the horse with a transient or permanent CF test for glanders and interfere with future serological testing. Culture of the organism is the gold standard. Due to its highly infectious nature, however, suspected isolates

GLANDERS

should only be sent to an accredited reference laboratory. Automated bacterial identification systems do not always correctly identify this organism, which can be a particular problem when the index of suspicion for *B. mallei* infection is low. Final differentiation of cultures of *B. mallei* from *B. pseudomallei* (mellioidosis) can be done with rapid low risk DNA testing at a designated laboratory (with 16S rRNA sequencing and the use of a variety of molecular typing methods: *fliC* PCR, *flip* RT-PCR, et. A Western Blot test has been developed and an ELISA test using another immunoreactive protein is in development.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum and/or infected tissue

Relevant diagnostic laboratories

NVSL/USA – Complement Fixation (515) 337-7200

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animalhealth/lab_info_services/about_nvsl.shtml

OIE: https://www.fli.de/en/institutes/institute-of-bacterial-infections-and-zoonoses-ibiz/ (Germany) and http://www.cvrl.ae/contacts.php (Dubai)

Treatment

No vaccine is available for animal or human use though several promising avenues are currently being pursued in rodent and on-human primate models. Information on antibiotic treatment is sparse and while gentamycin, azithromycin, doxycycline, ciprofloxacin and sulfonamides are thought to be effective for treatment in man and some laboratory animals, mortality would likely still be high and multiple antibiotics must be used concurrently. As a rule, authorities forbid the treatment of glanders horses outside endemic areas. Animals diagnosed with glanders in non-endemic regions must be euthanized.

Prevention and control

Any equids entering the US or other non-endemic countries must have a negative CF test for glanders.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities

Decontamination can be achieved with common disinfectants (solutions of benzalkonium chloride, 1% sodium hypochlorite, 70% ethanol, 2% glutaraldehyde, iodine, mercuric chloride in alcohol, and potassium permanganate), heat treatment to >72°C (130°F).

Notification

In suspected cases of glanders USDA-AVIC and state and local Veterinarians should be alerted. Internationally, cases should be reported to the Office International des Epizooties (OIE), the World Health Organization (WHO), and the state and local veterinary authority in each country.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan Reportable disease

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal

Infected animals would be isolated in endemic countries or euthanized in non-endemic countries.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak Disinfection and euthanasia recommended. Isolation and testing of exposed animals if permitted.

Experts who may be consulted: NVSL and OIE personnel (see websites above)

References

- 1. Alibasoglu M, Yesildere T, Calislar T, Inal T, Calsikan U. Glanders outbreak in lions in the Istanbul zoological garden. Berl. Munch. Tierarztl Wochenschr. 1986;99(2):57-63.
- 2. Al-Ani FK., Roberson J. Glanders in horses: a review of the literature. Veterinarski Arhiv. 2007;16:203.
- 3. Alkafaw AA, Al-Ani FK, Albassam LS. Hematological changes in Arabian horses infected with Glanders. Vet Rec. 1977;101(21):427.
- 4. Aschenbroich, SA, Lafontaine ER, Hogan RJ. Melioidosis and glanders modulation of the innate immune system: barriers to current and future vaccine approaches. Expert Rev Vaccines. 2016;15(9):1163-81.
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Glanders: 2018 [cited 2019 February 21]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/glanders/index.html

GLANDERS

- 6. Dohre, SK, Kamthan A, Singh S, Alam SI, Kumar S. Identification of a new diagnostic antigen for Glanders using immunoproteome analysis. Comp Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Disease. 2017;53:26-32
- 7. Dvorak GD, Glenda D and Spickler AR.. Glanders. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2008;233(4)570-5778.
- 8. Elschner MC, Scholz HC, Melzer F, Saqib M, Marten P, Rassbach A, Dietzsch M, Schmoock G, de Assis Santana VL, de Souza MM, Wernery R. Use of a Western Blot technique for the serodiagnosis of Glanders. BMC Vet Res. 2011;7:4.
- 9. Gee JE, Sacchi CT, Glass MB, De BK, Weyant RS, Levett PN, Whitney AM, Hoffmaster AR, Popovic T. Use of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for rapid identification and differentiation of *Burkholderia mallei* and *B. pseudomallei*. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41:4647-4654.
- 10. Khan I, Wieler LH, Melzer F, Elschner MC, Muhammad G, Ali S, Sprague LD, Neubauer H, Saqib M. Glanders in Animals: A Review on Epidemiology, Clinical Presentation, Diagnosis and Countermeasures. <u>Transbound Emerg Dis. 2012</u>;60:204221.
- 11. Missouri Department of Health & Senior Services [Internet].Glanders and Melioidosis; 2016 [cited 2019 February 21]. Available from https://health.mo.gov/emergencies/ert/med/glanders.php
- 12. Naureen A, Saqib M, Muhammad G, Hussain MH, Asi MN.Comparative evaluation of Rose Bengal plate agglutination test, mallein test, and some conventional serological tests for diagnosis of equine Glanders. J Vet Diag Invest. 2007;16(4):362-367.
- 13. Paar M. Glanders and farcy: a remerging disease. Eq Vet Ed. 2009;21:151-152.
- 14. Peacock SJ, Schweizer HP, Dance DA, Smith TL, Gee JE, Wuthiekanun V, DeShazer D, Steinmetz I, Tan P, Currie BJ. Management of Accidental Laboratory Exposure to *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *B. mallei*. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14:1-11.
- 15. Russell P, Eley SM, Ellis J, Green M, Bell DL, Kenny DJ, Titball RW.Comparison of efficacy of ciprofloxacin and doxycycline against experimental melioidosis and Glanders. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2000;45:813-8.
- 16. Torres AG, Steinmetz I. Recent Progress in melioidosis and glanders. Front Microbiol. 2012;3:1-2.
- 17. U'Ren JM, Van Ert MN, Schupp JM, Easterday WR, Simonson TS, Okinaka RT, Pearson T, Keim P. Use of a Real-Time PCR TaqMan Assay for Rapid Identification and Differentiation of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *Burkholderia mallei*. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43(11):5771–5774.
- 18. Whitlock GC, Estes DM, Torres AG. Glanders: off to the races with *Burkholderia mallei*. FEMS Microbiol Letter 2007;277:115-22.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Humans, Rodentia, Chiroptera, Didelphimorphia, Soricomorpha	Infection in rodents occurs horizontally, often associated with fighting. Humans are infected via inhalation of the virus in aerosolized urine, feces, or saliva; by direct contact with these materials; or by the bite of an infected rodent.	Early signs include fatigue, fever, myalgia, nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain. Later signs include coughing, shortness of breath and tachycardia. Illness can progress rapidly to severe cardiorespiratory failure and shock.	In humans, Sin Nombre Hantavirus has a 50% mortality rate.	No cure exists.	Avoid contact with wild and peridomestic rats and mice; rodent control; use appropriate personal protective equipment – especially respiratory - when infestations are severe.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Gerardo Suzán and A. Alonso Aguirre

Sheet completed on: February 21, 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Peter Black

Susceptible animal groups: Humans. Other mammal species may be infected through contact with rodents, but they are not known to have clinical signs or to transmit the virus to humans.

Carrier rodents include cotton rat (*Sigmondon hispidus*), deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*), Rice rat (*Oryzomys palustris*), and white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*).

Causative organism: Hantavirus (Sin Nombre) in the Americas causes a pulmonary syndrome while Old World hantaviruses in Eastern Asia cause hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome and epidemic nephropathy in Europe.

Zoonotic potential: Yes, directly from rodents or their contaminated products.

Distribution: Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome is distributed in the Americas in rural areas in peridomestic settings (barns, outbuildings, and sheds). Old World hantaviruses that produce hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome and epidemic nephropathy are reported in both rural and urban areas.

Incubation period: 1 to 5 weeks.

Clinical signs: In humans, early signs include fatigue, fever, myalgia (thighs, hips, back, and shoulders), nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain. Later, up to 10 days post-infection, signs include coughing and shortness of breath, and tachycardia. Illness can progress rapidly to severe cardio-respiratory failure and shock.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome is characterized by a unique constellation of pulmonary, hematological, and reticuloendothelial pathological findings. Findings may include pleural effusions, alveolar edema and fibrin, and an interstitial mononuclear cell infiltrate. Immunoblast type cells in the lungs, blood, bone marrow, lymph nodes, liver, and spleen. Hematological findings include left-shifted neutrophilic leukocytosis, thrombocytopenia, hemoconcentration in severe cases, and circulating immunoblasts.

Diagnosis: Detection of hantavirus-specific IgM antibodies or a 4-fold or greater increase in hantavirus-specific IgG antibody titer and detection of hantavirus antigen by immunohistochemistry in serum. Other tissues including lung, spleen, kidney, liver and heart can be used for Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and reverse transcriptase-PCR (RT-PCR) as post-mortem options.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Nobuto blood filter strips (Advantec Nobuto Blood Filter Strip, Cole-Palmer) is used with whole blood.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Viral Special Pathogens Branch 1600 Clifton Rd Atlanta, GA 30333 Hotline (877) 232-3322 (404) 639-1510

Treatment: While no primary cure for hantavirus pulmonary syndrome, supportive treatment should include respiratory intensive care management and oxygen therapy. Ribavirin in treating hantavirus pulmonary syndrome has little effect.

Prevention and control: Avoid contact with wild and peridomestic rats and mice. Rodent control in and around houses, specially, if heavy rodent infestation is present. Ventilation helps to remove aerosolized virus inside structures prior to cleanup. While cleaning infested structures, use rubber boots or disposable shoe covers; rubber or latex gloves; protective goggles. Use appropriate respiratory protection when infestations are severe.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Two types of disinfecting solutions are recommended to clean up rodent materials.

- 1. General-Purpose Household Disinfectant --- Prepare according to the label, if not prediluted. Almost any agent commercially available in USA is sufficient as long as the label states that it is a disinfectant. Effective agents include those based on phenols, quaternary ammonium compounds, and hypochlorite.
- 2. Hypochlorite Solution (1:10 bleach solution) can be used in place of a commercial disinfectant. When using chlorine solution, avoid spilling the mixture on clothing or other items that might be damaged by bleach. Wear rubber, latex, vinyl, or nitrile gloves when preparing and using chlorine solutions. Chlorine solutions should be prepared fresh daily.

Notification: Request immediate notification of test results from the laboratory to the regional public health authority.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Field researchers directly involved in disease ecology studies should follow the CDC guidelines for sampling small mammals for virologic testing (Mills et al., 1995).

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Do not introduce infected animals to other places.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Thorough clean-up and disinfection and rodent control should be performed. Minimize contact of humans with rodents. Antibody and molecular surveillance in rodents and disease surveillance in humans.

Experts who may be consulted:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Viral Special Pathogens Branch 1600 Clifton Rd Atlanta, GA 30333 (877) 232-3322 (404) 639-1510

HANTAVIRUS

- 1. Aberle SW, Lehner P, Ecker M, Aberle JH, Arneitz K, Khanakah G, Radda A, Radda I, Popow-Kraupp T, Kunz C, Heinz FX. Nephropatia epidemica and Puumala virus in Austria. Euro J Clin Microbiol & Infect Dis. 1999;18:467–472
- 2. Arai S, Bennett SN, Sumibcay L, Cook J, Song JW, Hope A, Parmenter C, Nerurkar VR, Yates TL, Yanagihara R. Phylogenetically distinct hantaviruses in the masked shrew (*Sorex cinereus*) and dusky shrew (*Sorex monticolus*) in the United States. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2008;78(2):348–351
- 3. Arai S, Gu SH, Baek LJ, Tabara K, Bennett SN, Oh HS, Takada N, Kang HJ, Tanaka-Taya K, Morikawa S, Okabe N, Yanagihara R, Song JW. Divergent lineages of newfound hantaviruses harbored by phylogenetically related crocidurine shrew species in Korea. Virol. 2012;424(2):99-105.
- 4. Arai S, Nguyen ST, Boldgiv B, Fukui D, Araki K, Dang CN, Ohdachi SD, Pham TD, Boldbaatar B, Satoh H, Yoshikawa Y, Morikawa S, Tanaka-Taya K, Yanagihara R, Oishi K. 2013. Novel batborne hantavirus, Vietnam. Emerg Infect Dis. 19(7):1159–116
- 5. Glass GE, Livingstone W, Mills JN, Hlady WG, Fine JB, Biggler W, Coke T, Frazier D, Atherley S, Rollin PE, Ksiazek TG, Peters CJ, Childs JE. 1998. Black Creek Canal virus infection in *Sigmodon hispidus* in southern Florida. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 59: 699-703.
- 6. Guo WP, Lin XD, Wang W, Tian JH, Cong ML, Zhang HL, Wang MR, Zhou RH, Wang JB, Li MH, Xu J, Holmes EC, Zhang YZ. Phylogeny and origins of hantaviruses harbored by bats, insectivores, and rodents. PLoS Pathol. 2013;9(2):e1003159.
- 7. Hutchinson KL, Rollin PE, Peters CJ. Pathogenesis of a North American hantavirus, Black Creek Canal virus, in experimentally infected *Sigmodon hispidus*. Am J Trop Med Hyg.1998;59:58-65.
- 8. Mills JN, Corneli A, Young JC, Garrison LE, Khan AS, Ksiazek TG. CDC, 2002. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome United States: updated recommendations for risk reduction. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2002: 51(RR-9): 1-12.
- 9. Mills JN, Yates TL, Childs JE, Parmenter RP, Ksiazek TG, Rollin PE, Peters CJ. Guidelines for working with rodents potentially infected with hantavirus. J Mamm. 1995;76: 716–722.
- 10. Nolte KB, Federsen RM, Foucar K, Zaki SR, Koster FT, Madar D, Merlin TL, McFeeley PJ, Umland ET, Zumwalt RE. Hantavirus pulmomary syndrome in the United States: a pathological description of a disease caused by a new agent. Human Path. 1995;26: 110-120.
- 11. Nuzum EO, Rossi CA, Stephenson EH, LeDuc JW. Aerosol transmission of Hantaan and related viruses to laboratory rats. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1988;38: 636-640.
- 12. Plyusnin A, Hörling J, Kanerva M, Mustonen J, Cheng Y, Partanen J, Vapalahti O, Kukkonen SK, Niemimaa J, Henttonen H, Niklasson B, Lundkvist A, Vaheri A. Puumala hantavirus genome in patients with nephropathia epidemica: correlation of PCR positivity with HLA haplotype and link to viral sequences in local rodents. J Clin Microbiol. 1997;35:1090–1096
- 13. Pratt HD, Brown RZ. Biological factors in domestic rodent control. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1979; publication no. 79-8144. 32 pp.
- 14. Scott HG, Borom MR. 1977. Rodent-borne disease control through rodent stoppage. Washington, DC: US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1977; publication no. 77-8343. 34 pp.

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Multiple taxa:	Not well	Gastro-	Non-clinical	Multimodal	Difficult but	Possibly
primates; felids	understood	intestinal signs,	or mild to	symptomatic	iatrogenic	
(primarily	but probably	primarily	severe;	treatment to	exposure can	
cheetahs, but	through	gastritis but	depending on	reduce	be prevented	
lions, tigers and	conspecific	hepatic and	immune	Helicobacter	through	
domestic cats,	grooming	intestinal	status of	spp. load can	appropriate	
and other small	and fecal-	disease occurs	animal and	reduce gastric	cleaning of	
felids have been	oral	in some	co-factors	irritation and	endoscopy	
reported);	transmission.	species; signs	that are not	clinical signs,	equipment.	
canids, rodents,		range from	well	but		
ferret, sea otters.		asymptomatic	understood.	reinfection/		
		to anorexia,		recrudescence		
Disease is		vomiting,		is likely.		
described best		regurgitation,				
in humans, but		stomach				
induced and		ulceration,				
natural disease		diarrhea with				
has been		undigested				
reported in		food in feces,				
multiple		and weight				
species.	111 6	loss.				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Copper Aitken-Palmer

Sheet completed on: 20 February 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Lily Parkinson

Susceptible animal groups: Humans are the most broadly susceptible group. Within the veterinary field, felids (in particular cheetah), ferrets, non-human primates and rodents are susceptible. Gastritis associated with *Helicobacter*-like organisms is a profound cause of morbidity and mortality in the cheetah (S. African cheetah, 40% of the mortalities; Cheetah Research Council indicated that 86% of cheetah study population is affected). A few reports of *Helicobacter*-like organisms have been reported in association with gastritis in other species including felids (bobcat, *Felis rufus*; Pallas cat, *F. manul*; Canada lynx, *F. lynx canadensis*; fishing cats, *F. viverrina*; margays, *F. wiedii*; sand cats, *F. margarita*; African lion, *Panthera leo*; snow leopards, *P. uncia*; Siberian tiger, *P. tigris altaica*; jaguar, *P. onca*), domestic dogs, southern sea otter (*Enhydra lutris nereis*) and non-human primates (cynomolgus monkeys). Laboratory induced infections to study *Helicobacter* spp. primarily have involved domestic ferrets, macaques, pigs, guinea pigs, hamsters and mice.

Causative organism: The genus *Helicobacter* was created in 1989 with approximately 20 species currently described across all taxa. The essential property of almost all *Helicobacter* spp. is the presence of sheathed flagella, and in most species, possession of strong ureolytic (urease producing) ability, particularly those associated with gastric mucosa. Considerable diversity in cell morphology is present with respect to cell length, number and location of flagella, and presence of periplasmic fibrils. *H. pylori* has a global distribution and infects human gastric mucosa (predominately the gastric cardia) with evidence for infection in cats. The most commonly described pathogenic species of *Helicobacter* include: *H. pylori* (human), *H. heilmannii* (cat, dog), *H. felis* (mouse model), *Helicobacter acinonychis* (formerly *H. acinonyx;* persists in the gastric fundus in cheetah), *H. mustelae* (domestic ferrets) and *H. enhydrae* (southern sea otter). *H. acinonychis* lacks the *cag*

pathogenicity island (PAI), but is otherwise the most closely related *Helicobacter* to *H. pylori*. The PAI is the characteristic component causing the human neutrophilic inflammatory response, but has not been associated with *Helicobacter* spp. infecting cheetah. Multiple strains of *H. acinonychis* have been reported, but the demographic of these strains within North America and other populations of felids is poorly understood.

The urease produced by *Helicobacter* and the flagella allow the organism to survive in the gastric environment over a wide spectrum of pH, penetrate into gastric mucous layer, and reach the gastric epithelium where it can then attach to cells. Both cellular immune response and humoral response to *H. pylori* are believed to contribute to disease pathogenesis.

In cheetah, gastritis is associated with single species or multi-species infections of *Helicobacter* spp. (*H. pylori*like, *H. heilmannii*, *H. felis*, or *H. acinonychis* (formerly *H. acinonyx*)). *Helicobacter*-associated gastritis causes morbidity and mortality in captive cheetah, but this reaction to *Helicobacter* spp. is not seen in freeranging cheetahs when infected with the same *Helicobacter* spp. It has been hypothesized that immunomodulation caused by chronic stress (elevated glucocorticoids) or other factors may play a role in the pathogenesis of cheetah gastritis. Pet cats are frequently colonized by *H. heilmannii* without substantial correlation between infection and degree of gastritis. Differences in the pathogenicity of *Helicobacter* spp. across taxa are apparent, making understanding the pathogenesis, epidemiology and treatment difficult.

An occurrence of natural infection with *H. pylori* in a group of cynomolgus monkeys was associated with chronic active gastritis and gastric erosions. *H. pylori* were isolated from these monkeys in different countries within Asia with multiple strains isolated.

Zoonotic potential: The exact route of transmission of *H. pylori* among people is unknown. Several routes of transmission of *H. pylori* have been proposed including fecal-oral, oral-oral, gastro-oral, and via respiratory droplets. In humans, familial associated spread from person-to-person is suspected. Under controlled laboratory conditions, human sourced H. pylori has been shown to infect non-human primates. However, H. pylori occurring naturally in monkeys (or other species) are unlikely to represent a major route of transmission to humans, since close contact between nonhuman primates and humans is typically limited. H. pylori has been cultured from feline salivary and gastric sections, and H. pylori DNA has been found in in feline feces and dental plaque raising the possibility that *H. pylori* could be transmitted from cats to humans via saliva, vomit, or feces. H. pylori in humans can be excreted through several routes, with concentrations highest in vomitus. In developing countries, it is suspected that H. pylori may have an environmental reservoir (e.g. untreated water or contaminated food). Transmission of Helicobacter and subsequent clinical disease between humans and animals is poorly studied, but veterinarians should be careful and take personal protective precautions for potential exposure. In humans, H. pylori is associated with gastric cancer and is a known carcinogen of the stomach. Human medical endoscopists and endoscopy nurses have significantly higher rates of *H. pylori* than other medical professionals. Because of this, appropriate precautions using proper personal protective equipment (gloves, masks) should be used by veterinary staff conducting endoscopy, performing dental procedures, handling saliva or fecal material.

Distribution: *H. pylori* is the most common bacterial infection in the world affecting people, with estimates that it infects half of the people worldwide, but causes clinical disease in only a small percentage of those infected. The discrepancy between infection and clinical disease is a problem for physicians; it is difficult to discern when to treat patients. To help with this challenge, standardized human medical guidelines recommend only treating people suffering from peptic ulcer disease or mucosally associated lymphoma.

The distribution of *Helicobacter* spp. in animals is poorly understood and under studied. Hand raised cheetah have been found to be *Helicobacter* negative until introduced to other cheetah (personal comm. S. Citino). But it can be assumed that most cheetah (free-ranging and captive) have been exposed to various *Helicobacter* spp. of varying strains.

Incubation period: Unknown

Clinical signs: Clinical signs range across taxa, but most are consistent with gastrointestinal signs. Cheetah with *Helicobacter*-associated gastritis display partial or full anorexia as the most common clinical sign leading to vomiting, regurgitation, diarrhea with undigested meat in feces, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), acquired lower esophageal sphincter dysfunction, acquired hiatal hernia, and weight loss.

Clinical pathological, gross, and histopathological findings: *Helicobacter*-associated gastritis cannot be identified by gross evaluation of the stomach by endoscopy. Gastric ulcers can be identified via ante-mortem endoscopy evaluation or post-mortem gross evaluation, but further testing is needed to identify *Helicobacter*. As a spiral shaped bacterium, cytology can be helpful when diagnosing *Helicobacter*-associated gastritis. Histopathologic and immunological findings in cheetah with *Helicobacter*-associated gastritis are described as florid lymphocyte and plasma cell infiltrates within the gastric lamina propria and glandular epithelium, parietal cell apoptosis, leading to gland hyperplasia, goblet cell metaplasia, fibrosis and atrophy of the glandular fundus. Cheetahs with severe gastritis have larger numbers of active B cells and plasma cells.

Diagnosis: Rapid urease test, C-13-urea breath test (UBT), serology, gastric biopsy with histopathology (rec. minimum 5 biopsies for submission for gastritis & helicobacter evaluation), and touch cytology are all highly accurate invasive diagnostic tests for gastric *Helicobacter* organisms, whereas culture and polymerase chain reaction are the only means to identify *Helicobacter* to the species level.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Stomach (multiple fundic biopsies recommended for cheetah, ferret, dogs, and cats) biopsies (full thickness with mucosa) for histopathology, once initial diagnosis and grading of gastritis has been performed, non-invasive C-13-urea breath test (UBT) can offer an alternative to repeated biopsies for therapeutic monitoring.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Karen Terio, DVM, PhD, DACVP (cheetah-histopathology diagnosis and grading)

Zoological Pathology Program

University of Illinois

LUMC Bldg 101 Rm 0745

2160 S First St.

Maywood, IL 60153 Phone: 708-216-6183 Fax: 708-216-5934 kterio@illinois.edu

Treatment: Triple therapy with a proton pump inhibitor (PPI), in combination with amoxicillin and clarithromycin is the established treatment for *H*. pylori. Metronidazole is used in the place of amoxicillin as part of the triple therapy for penicillin hypersensitive patients. Metronidazole is an important treatment for *Helicobacter*, but resistance among strains of *H. acinonychis* and *H. pylori* have been reported. For human cases of *H. pylori*, resistance to metronidazole has been reported in up to 80%, and resistance to clarithromycin in 2-10% of strains cultured. Resistance to one antibiotic, when triple therapy is attempted reduces the efficacy of therapy up to 50%. For *H. pylori*, quadruple therapy incorporating a bismuth compound with a PPI, tetracycline and metronidazole has been a choice for rescue therapy if triple medication course is not successful. Ranitidine-bismuth citrate has been shown to over-come metronidazole and clarithromycin resistance, and can be used in place of a PPI for rescue therapy as studied in humans. PPI triple therapy has been shown to provide

the most consistent and durable therapy in humans. The exact mechanism by which PPI exert their effect on *H. pylori* eradication is not clear, but it is suspected that the potent acid suppression creates an optimal pH for bacterial growth and cell division allowing the key antibiotics amoxicillin and clarithromycin to act more effectively on the bacterium. *H. pylori* resistance to amoxicillin is not often reported, but amoxicillin is less effective when used alone on *H. pylori* than clarithromycin or metronidazole.

Because treatment of *Helicobacter* requires the use of several medications, compliance is a significant challenge to success. Resistance of *H. pylori* toward levofloxacin is rising worldwide, due to a point mutation reducing quinolone susceptibility. Because the quinolones are used for second line therapy when triple or quadruple courses are ineffective, a major concern for human medicine exists. Resistance to amoxicillin and tetracycline is low due to the need for multiple simultaneous mutations in genes. The comparison of drug resistance across different *Helicobacter* species is poorly studied, but *H. acinonychis* is used to model *Helicobacter* drug resistance.

In cheetah, optimal treatments are described as lansoprazole/clarithromycin/amoxicillin treatment group which produced a short-term decrease in inflammation when compared to controls. Lansoprazole has been shown to have direct bacteriocidal activity against *Helicobacter* spp. Prednisone should not be used because it has no effect on gastric inflammation and does not reduce *Helicobacter* load. Further treatment protocols recommend omeprazole/clarithromycin/amoxicillin or tetracycline/metronidazole/Pepto-Bismol for 28 days to achieve short-term *Helicobacter* eradication in cheetahs. Alternative treatments for delayed gastric emptying in cheetah associated with bacterial gastritis have been described using both Y-U pyloroplasty and incisional gastropexy. This procedure was combined with *Helicobacter* multi-therapy for tetracycline, metronidazole, and bismuth subsalicylate for one week.

Prevention and control: Personal protective equipment such as wearing barrier gloves and hand washing is recommended to prevent exposure. Proper cleaning of endoscopy equipment requires use of a detergent (enzymatic cleaner) and brush (mechanical cleaning over manual cleaning preferred) to remove blood, mucus, and tissue from the endoscope channels prior to disinfection. The World Congresses of Gastroenterology recommends that endoscopes be soaked in 2% activated glutaraldehyde for at least 10 minutes after cleaning to prevent transfer of *Helicobacter* between patients. Sterilization of biopsy forceps, or the use of disposable biopsy forceps is preferred to prevent transfer of *Helicobacter*. Typically, as biopsy forceps penetrate the gastric mucosa, they are difficult to clean and pose a significant risk for cross transfer among patients.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: None

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: None

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Because transmission is poorly understood, it is suspected there cannot be a disease-free status for susceptible species. *Helicobacter*-associated disease does not present as an "outbreak". It is believed that secondary factors are necessary to result in clinical disease (i.e., gastritis) associated with *Helicobacter* across all taxa.

Experts who may be consulted:

Karen Terio, DVM, PhD, DACVP Zoological Pathology Program University of Illinois LUMC Bldg 101 Rm 0745 2160 S First St.

Maywood, IL 60153 Phone: 708-216-6183 Fax: 708-216-5934 kterio@illinois.edu

Scott B. Citino, DVM, DACZM White Oak Conservation Center 581705 White Oak Road Yulee, Florida 32097 904-225-3387 scottc@wogilman.com

- 1. Batchelder M, Fox JG, Hayward A, Yan L, Shames B, Murphy JC, Palley L. Natural and experimental *Helicobacter mustelae* reinfection following successful antimicrobial eradication in ferrets. Helicobacter 1996:1:34-42.
- 2. Brown LM. Helicobacter pylori: Epidemiology and routes of transmission. Epi Rev. 2000;22:283-297.
- 3. Chatfield J, Citino S, Munson L, Konopka S. Validation of the C-13-urea breath test for use in cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*) with *Helicobacter*. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2004;35:137-141.
- 4. Citino SB, Munson L. Efficacy and long-term outcome of gastritis therapy in cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2005;36:401-416.
- 5. Dailidiene D, Dailide G, Ogura K, Zhang M, Mukhopadhyay AK, Eaton KA, Cattoli G, Kusters JG, Berg DE. *Helicobacter acinonychis*: Genetics and rodent infection studies of a *Helicobacter pylori*-like gastric pathogen of cheetahs and other big cats. J Bacteriol. 2004;186:356-365.
- 6. De Francesco V, Ierardi E, Hassan C, Zullo A. *Helicobacter pylori* therapy: present and future. World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther. 2012;3:68-73.
- 7. Devaux L, Huynh M, Hernandez J, Nicolier A. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy in ferret and the histological assessment of the endoscopic biopsies. Vet Record. 2015;178(4):96.
- 8. Doi SQ, Kimbason T, Reindel J, Dubois A. Molecular characterization of *Helicobacter pylori* strains isolated from cynomolgus monkeys (*M. fascicularis*). Vet Microbiol. 2005;108:133-139.
- 9. Eaton KA, Dewhirst FE, Radin MJ, Fox JG, Paster BJ, Krakowka S, Morgan DR. *Helicobacter-Acinonyx* Sp-Nov, Isolated from Cheetahs with Gastritis. Int J of Syst Bacteriol. 1993;43:99-106.
- 10. Ford AC, Axon ATR. Epidemiology of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and public health implications. Helicobacter. 2010;15:1-6.
- 11. Goh KL. Update on the management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection, including drug-resistant organisms. J Gastroen Hepatol. 2002;17:482-487.
- 12. Kinsel MJ, Kovarik P, Murnane RD. Gastric spiral bacteria in small felids. J Zoo Wildl Med. 1998;29:214-220.
- 13. Kodama M, Murakami K, Sato R, Okimoto T, Nishizono A, Fujioka T. Helicobacter pylori-infected animal models are extremely suitable for the investigation of gastric carcinogenesis. World J Gastroenterol. 2005;11:7063-7071.
- 14. Lane E, Lobetti R, Burroughs R. Treatment with omeprazole, metronidazole, and amoxicillin in captive South african cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*) with spiral bacteria infection and gastritis. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2004;35:15-19.
- 15. Lane EP, Miller S, Lobetti R, Caldwell P, Bertschinger HJ, Burroughs R, Kotze A, van Dyk A. Effect of diet on the incidence of and mortality owing to gastritis and renal disease in captive cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*) in South Africa. Zoo Biol. 2012;31:669-682.

- 16. Munson L. Diseases of Captive Cheetahs (*Acinonyx-Jubatus*) Results of the Cheetah Research Council Pathology Survey, 1989-1992. Zoo Biol. 1993;12:105-124.
- 17. Munson L, Nesbit JW, Meltzer DGA, Colly LP, Bolton L, Kriek NPJ. Diseases of captive cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus jubatus*) in South Africa: A 20-year retrospective survey. J Zoo Wildl Med. 1999;30:342-347.
- 18. Munson L, Terio KA, Worley M, Jago M, Bagot-Smith A, Marker L. Extrinsic factors significantly affect patterns of disease in free-ranging and captive cheetah (*Acinonyx jubatus*) populations. J Wildl Dis. 2005;41:542-548.
- 19. Neiffer DL, Pardo AD, Klein EC. Use of pyloroplasty (Y-U) to treat presumed delayed gastric emptying in a cheetah (*Acinonyx jubatus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2000;31:552-557.
- 20. Neiger R, Dieterich C, Burnens A, Waldvogel A, Corthesy-Theulaz I, Halter F, Lauterburg B, Schmassmann A. Detection and prevalence of Helicobacter infection in pet cats. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36:634-637.
- 21. Neiger R, Simpson KW. Helicobacter infection in dogs and cats: Facts and fiction. J Vet Int Med. 2000;14:125-133.
- 22. Shen Z, Batac F, Mannion A, Miller MA, Bakthavatchalu V, Ho C, Manning S, Paster BJ, Fox JG. Novel urease-negative *Helicobacter* sp. '*H. enhydrae* sp. nov' isolated from inflamed gastric tissues of southern sea otters. Dis Aq Org. 2017;123:1-11.
- 23. Terio KA, Munson L, Marker L, Aldridge BM, Solnick JV. Comparison of Helicobacter spp. in cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*) with and without gastritis. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:229-234.
- 24. Terio KA, Munson L, Moore PF. Characterization of the gastric immune response in cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*) with *Helicobacter*-associated gastritis. Vet Pathol. 2012;49:824-833.
- 25. Wack RF, Eaton KA, Kramer LW. Treatment of gastritis in cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 1997;28:260-266.

HELMINTHS OF UNGULATES

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals, mostly ungulates	Fecal/oral mainly from grazing on contaminated pastures.	Weight loss, progressive weakness, anemia, diarrhea, failure to thrive, ventral edema.	Large range with some cases mild, but infection can be fatal in animals with concurrent debilitating conditions	Anthelminthic treatment based on parasite susceptibility, pasture rotation, use of mixed species exhibits	Routine fecal examination and deworming based on these findings, promote good general health of the animals	Yes, but, with proper precautions, risk is low.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Rebecca Bloch

Sheet completed on: 9 June 2011; updated 30 October 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Thomas Craig; Holly Haefele Susceptible animal groups: Ungulates, other mammals

Causative organism: Trematodes, cestodes, nematodes, acanthocephalans

Zoonotic potential: A risk of contracting *Trichinella* spp., *Spirometra* spp., or *Taenia* spp. is present from consumption of undercooked pork or beef, or eating watercress with *Fasciola* species attached. The public health significance is low and can be avoided with proper food safety.

Distribution: Worldwide, though the particular parasite of concern in a given area will vary by location, temperature, and moisture conditions.

Incubation period: Varies by parasite and environmental conditions and often larvae become dormant during unfavorable conditions both in the host and environment.

Clinical signs: These presentations depend on the type of infection, and the age, previous experience with the parasite, and health status of the animal, and may be absent in an otherwise healthy animal. In more severely affected animals, clinical signs may include weight loss, progressive weakness, anemia, diarrhea, and hypoproteinemia with development of subcutaneous edema especially in the intermandibular space and ventral abdomen.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Thin body condition with depletion of internal fat stores. Adult parasite presence in the organ it inhabits with possible associated inflammation of this tissue. Anemia and fluid in body cavities may also be seen.

Diagnosis: Sample 5-10% of animals in a herd situation, and more may be necessary based on housing and predisposition to being affected. Fecal egg counts can be performed quantitatively with tests like the McMaster's test for animals housed in larger groups or can be performed qualitatively with a simple float test for small numbers of animals. Quantitative fecal exams performed before and after deworming for a comparative fecal egg count reduction, fecal larval cultures, larval culture sensitivities, and pasture larval counts are recommended in areas facing large amounts of parasite resistance to anthelminthic medications.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Fresh fecal samples are optimal, if they can be analyzed within 1-2 hours, but otherwise refrigerate at 4°C. Samples kept in anoxic conditions do not develop and are useful for prolonged periods of time if cool. Refrigerated samples can be shipped over a 24-48h period to an outside lab packed with ice or other coolant, but do not freeze samples.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Most parasitology laboratories are capable of running larval cultures to speciate the parasite.

HELMINTHS OF UNGULATES

Treatment: Supportive care for animals that are debilitated by this infection. Anthelminthic administration based on parasite level and susceptibility is recommended. Anthelminthic resistance is a problem in some areas, an example being *Haemonchus contortus* in Texas. Drug alternatives such as copper oxide wire particles and bioactive condensed tannins can be used. The best time to make use of routine deworming (i.e., not clinically affected animals) is during the "off-season" when the parasites are in the host and not on the ground. Off season timing is determined by the specific parasites being targeted.

Prevention and control: Options for prevention include: pasture rotation; housing dead end hosts with definitive hosts (i.e., equids housed with ruminants); timely removal of feces to prevent eggs from developing into infective third stage larvae; use of elevated feeding stations or feed troughs to remove food sources from the ground; and reduction of numbers or elimination of intermediate hosts.

Routine monitoring of fecal parasite levels through fecal exams during peak larval parasite times of spring and summer, comparative fecal egg count reduction, fecal larval cultures, larval culture sensitivities, and pasture larval counts are recommended in problem situations. Characterization of the abundance and type of parasites present at post-mortem examination should be performed. Additional monitoring and treatment for neonates, lactating females, and other animals under higher stress conditions should be considered.

More recent avenues of control include the following. Creation of refugia by allowing for survival of some parasites through treatment of only the most affected animals to create a pool of parasites that are not resistant to the commonly used anthelminthics. These parasites can dilute the genetics from anthelminthic resistant parasites. Use of a nematode-trapping fungus, *Duddingtonia flagrans*, administered orally to reduce developing larvae numbers once they are deposited in feces. Work is being undertaken to create vaccines for specific parasites to reduce the impact of infection but these are not commercially available.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Remove fecal material promptly from enclosures. Appropriate sanitation and disinfection should be performed.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: To prevent introduction of a novel or resistant parasite to the resident population, quarantine with repeat fecal examinations is recommended. If possible the new animal should be housed on a dry lot or other surface that can be completely cleaned to prevent reinfection following anthelminthic treatment. Repeat fecal examination is recommended 7 days following treatment with at least two negative samples before the animal is introduced to pasture.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Re-establish a parasite control plan based on culture and parasite load. Remove as much fecal material from the environment as possible.

Experts who may be consulted:

Thomas M. Craig DVM, PhD Department of Veterinary Pathobiology Texas A&M University College Station, Texas 77843-4467 phone (979) 845-9191 tcraig@cvm.tamu.edu

- 1. Ballwebber, L.R. 2006. Diagnostic methods for parasitic infection in livestock. Vet. Clin. Food Anim. 22: 695-705.
- 2. Craig, T.M. 2000. General considerations for determining the epidemiology and control of gastrointestinal nematodes in wild and exotic ruminants. *In*: Proceedings AAZV and IAAAM joint conference, New Orleans, Louisiana. Pp. 435-437.
- 3. Fleming, S.A., T. Craig, R.M. Kaplan, J.E. Miller, C. Navarre, and M. Rings. 2006. Anthelminthic

HELMINTHS OF UNGULATES

- resistance of gastrointestinal parasites of small ruminants. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 20: 435-444.
- 4. Fontenot, D. K., A. Kinney-Moscona, R.M. Kaplan, and J. Miller. 2008. Effects of copper oxide wire particle bolus therapy on trichostrongyle fecal egg counts in exotic artiodactylids. J. Zoo Wild. Med. 39: 642-645.
- 5. Fontenot, D. K., and J.E. Miller. 2012. Alternatives for gastrointestinal parasite control in exotic ruminants. *In*: Miller, R.E., and M.E. Fowler (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine Current Therapy, Volume 7. W.B. Saunders Co., St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 581-588.
- 6. Goossens, E., J. Vercruysse, F. Vercammen, and P. Dorny. 2006. Evaluation of three strategic parasite control programs in captive wild ruminants. J. Zoo Wild. Med. 37: 20-26.
- 7. Helminths. 2008. *In:* Bowman, D. D. (ed.). Georgis' Parasitology for Veterinarians, 9th ed. W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 115-239.
- 8. Kenyon, F., A.W. Greer, G.C. Coles, G. Cringoli, E. Papadopoulos, J. Cabaret, B. Berrag, M.
- 9. Pybus, M.J. Liver flukes. 2001. *In:* Samuel, W.M. and M.J. Pybus (eds.). 2001. Parasitic diseases of wild mammals, 2nd ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 121-396.
- 10. Varady, J.A. VanWyk, E. Thomas, J. Vercruysse, and F. Jackson. 2009 The role of targeted selective treatments in the development of refugia-based approaches to the control of gastrointestinal nematodes of small ruminants. Vet. Parasitol. 164: 3-11.
- 11. Various authors. (Rhinoceridae Miller, R.E.; Tapiridae Janssen, D.L.; Equidae Walzer, C.; Suidae and Tayassuidae Morris P.J. and A.L. Shima; Hippopotamidae Miller, M.A.; Camelidae Fowler, M.E.; Giraffidae Bush, M.; Cervidae and Tragulidae Flach, E.; Bovidae and Antilocapridae Citino, S.B.; Sheep, Goats, and Goatlike Animals Fowler, M.E.) *In:* Fowler, M.E. and R.E. Miller (eds.). 2003. Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine 5th edition. Saunders, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp 564-5, 573-4, 582-85, 596-99, 607-609, 620-622, 632, 639, 646-7, 671-72, 682.

HEMOTROPIC MYCOPLASMA (HEMOBARTONELLOSIS, FELINE INFECTIOUS ANEMIA OR HEMOTROPHIC MYCOPLASMOSIS)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Felids Canids Ursids Mice Cattle Swine	Blood sucking arthropods: fleas and ticks. Vertical: <i>in utero</i> , during parturition, or lactation. Horizontal: bite wounds. Iatrogenic: blood transfusion or infected needles.	Fever Anorexia Weight loss Anemia Tachycardia Splenomegaly Vomiting	Can vary from mild to severe and can lead to death in some animals.	Antibiotics; blood transfusion; steroids if an immune mediated component is suspected	Eliminate and prevent arthropods	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Gretchen A. Cole

Sheet completed on: 21 June 2011; updated 5 March 2013; updated 9 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sathya Chinnadurai

Susceptible animal groups: Felids, canids, ursids

Causative organism: Specialized mycoplasma bacteria that lack a cell wall and are small (diameter 0.1-1.0µm). They can be circular or bar shape, which aggregate and form pinion teeth on the surface of red blood cells.

Mycoplasma haemofelis (formerly Hemobartonella felis and Eperythrozoon felis). M. haemocanis, M. haemomuris, M. wenyonii, M. haemosuis

Zoonotic potential: Yes, one report in an immunodeficiency virus-infected human co-infected with *Mycoplasma haemofelis* and *Bartonella henselae* in Brazil

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: In the domestic cat, it takes 2-17 days from infection until parasites are seen in blood. Peak parasitemia occurs over 1-5 days. Clinical signs generally begin 1 month after infection.

Clinical signs: Commonly, fever, anorexia, and weight loss are observed. Additionally, tachycardia, anemia, decreased hemoglobin, slight to moderate icterus, vomiting, and splenomegaly may be seen.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic finding: No pathognomonic postmortem findings are associated with this disease. Emaciation, splenomegaly (2-5 x normal size), friable spleen, icterus, and bone marrow hyperplasia may be observed

Diagnosis: Mycoplasmas cannot grow in culture media. PCR is the most reliable diagnostic test. Blood smear should be examined by direct microscopy before starting treatment. Organisms may be found in fresh, uncoagulated blood smear. These smears should be examined daily for 5-7 days since parasitemia is cyclic. Parasites are found on the surface of the erythrocyte (extracellular) or free in the smear. It is recommended to use Giemsa, Wright-Giemsa, May-Gruenwald-Giemsa, or Wright-Leishman stains to be able to differentiate this organism from stain precipitate, refractile artifacts, and *Cytauxzoon*; the latter is intracellular, normally found in the center and occurring singly.

Direct Coombs' test may be positive in some species during the acute phase.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Microscope, blood smear slide, and stain.

Blood in EDTA and standard blood shipment supplies to submit for PCR.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Most commercial veterinary laboratories can examine blood smears and submit a sample for PCR testing.

HEMOTROPIC MYCOPLASMA (HEMOBARTONELLOSIS, FELINE INFECTIOUS ANEMIA OR HEMOTROPHIC MYCOPLASMOSIS)

Treatment: Common treatments in domestic cat include tetracycline, doxycycline, or enrofloxacin. If severe anemia is present, consider glucocorticoid treatment such as prednisolone.

Prevention and control: Prevent and eliminate arthropod vectors (flea and tick control). Blood for transfusion should be PCR tested.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Standard cleaning and disinfection of areas to remove blood and control of ectoparasites should eliminate the organism from housing facilities

Notification: Currently none

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Eliminate fleas and ticks. In nondomestic cats, negative animals have been housed with positive animals without evidence of horizontal transfer. However, since carrier state may occur, the possibility of transmission in animals with direct contact or close enough to share ectoparasites should be considered.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Treat affected animals, eliminate ectoparasites, and prevent exposure to new ectoparasites. Due to carrier state, may not be able to consider a population disease-free.

Experts who may be consulted:

Joanne Messick DVM. DACVP

Associate Professor

Comparative Pathobiology

Purdue University

625 Harrison Street

West Lafayette, IN 47907

765-496-1748 jmessic@purdue.edu

- 1. André MR, Adania CH, Allegretti SM, Machado RZ. Hemoplasmas in wild canids and felids in Brazil. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2011;42:342-347.
- 2. Dos Santos AP, dos Santos RP, Biondo AW, Dora JM, Goldani LZ, de Oliveira ST, Guimarães AMS, Timenetsky J, de Morais HA, González FHD, Messick JB. Hemoplasma infection in HIV-positive patient. Brazil. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14:1922-1924.
- 3. Haefner M, Burke TJ, Kitchell BE, Lamont LA, Schaeffer DJ, Behr M, Messick JB. Identification of *Haemobartonella felis* (*Mycoplasma Haemofelis*) in captive nondomestic cats. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2003;34:139-143.
- 4. Harvey JW. Hemotrophic mycoplasmosis (Hemobartonellosis). In: Greene, C.E. (ed.) Infectious diseases of the dog and cat 3rd ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2006. p. 252-260.
- 5. Harvey JW. Hemotrophic Mycoplasmosis (Haemobartonellosis). In: Tilley L.P. and Smith F.W.K. (eds.). Blackwell's Five Minute Veterinary Consult Canine and Feline, 4th ed. Ames (IA); Blackwell Publishing; 2007. p. 591.
- 6. Iso T, Suzuki J, Sasaoka F, Sashida H, Watanabe Y, Fujihara M, Nagai K, Harasawa R. Hemotropic mycoplasma infection in wild black bears (*Ursus thibetanus japonicas*). Vet Microbiol. 2013;16:184-189.
- 7. Fard RMN, Vahedi S, Mohammadkhan F. Haemotropic mycoplasmas (haemoplasmas): a review. Int J Adv Biol Biom Res. 2014;2(5):1484-1503.
- 8. Messick JB. Hemotropic Mycoplasmas (Hemoplasmas). MerckVetManual.com [cited 2018 Jan 9] Available from http://www.merckvetmanual.com/circulatory-system/blood-parasites/hemotropic-mycoplasmas

HEMOGREGARINES OF REPTILES

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected		Signs			and Control	
Reptiles,	Vector-borne; vector	Usually	Usually non-	No effective	Avoid contact	No.
including	varies by species or	none.	clinical.	treatment known	with potential	
tuatara	genera of parasite			for reptiles.	vectors.	
	(e.g., leeches for		Mild disease	A decrease in		
	Haemogregarina of		may be	parasitemias, but	Captive	
	aquatic turtles, ticks		observed in	not clearance,	animals	
	for <i>Hemolivia</i> , and a		unnatural	has been noted	should have	
	wide range of		hosts.	with atovaquone-	effective and	
	invertebrates for			proguanil in one	safe	
	Hepatozoon in			study.	acaricides	
	terrestrial reptiles)				applied.	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Michael J. Yabsley

Sheet completed on: 7 August 2013, updated 2018.

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Ellis C. Greiner; Guilherme G. Verocai

Susceptible animal groups: Reptiles. Certain genera are generally detected in certain groups but recent genetic data suggests that many of these parasite species have broad host ranges. For example, the genus *Haemogregarina* is most commonly reported from aquatic turtles whereas *Hepatozoon* has a wide range of reptilian hosts including snakes, lizards, tuatara, and tortoises.

Causative organism: There are currently four genera of haemogregarines (Apicomplexa: Adeleiorina) reported from reptiles.

- Haemogregarina are intraerythrocytic parasites that are most commonly reported from aquatic turtles. Leeches are the only known vectors for aquatic turtle parasites. Numerous other hosts, such as alligators, snakes, and tortoises have reported Haemogregarina spp. infections. However, these reports are based on morphologic data from only intraerythocyte stages, which is insufficient to distinguish the genera. The absence of morphologic data for other life stages and vectors is a common problem among all genera and "species" of hemogregarines of reptiles that has hindered appropriate classification.
- *Hepatozoon* are intraleukocytic parasites that infect a wide range of reptiles as well as mammals and birds. Many species infecting terrestrial reptiles previously classified within *Haemogregarina* were transferred to *Hepatozoon*, and so this change should be considered for a correct and up-to-date diagnosis.
- *Karyolysus* are intraerythrocytic parasites of lizards in the genera *Lacerta* and *Podarcis*. These parasites are transmitted by mites.
- *Hemolivia* are intraerythrocytic parasites of tortoises and lizards and a few amphibians. Parasites with known life cycles utilize ticks in the genera *Amblyomma* or *Hyalomma* as vectors.

Zoonotic potential: None.

Distribution: Worldwide depending on range of appropriate hosts and vectors.

Incubation period: Highly variable. It is also unknown for most species as most species have only been detected in naturally infected hosts that have unknown histories. Generally, in experimental trials, parasites are not observed in the blood for several weeks.

Clinical signs: Generally no clinical signs are noted in natural hosts, although the parasites are often observed in blood smears during routine examination. Unnatural hosts (e.g., experimental studies or captive exotic animals) may exhibit lethargy and anorexia.

Clinical pathological, gross, and histopathological findings: Animals with very high parasitemias may develop mild anemia. Unnatural hosts may develop leukocytosis and elevated AST. Lesions are generally mild and microscopic. Intracellular stages can be observed in liver, lung, or spleen of vertebrate hosts. Granulomas are sometimes observed surrounding haemogregarine stages. Histopathologic lesions (e.g., necrosis and severe

HEMOGREGARINES OF REPTILES

inflammatory infiltrates surrounding parasite stages in liver and lungs) may be more severe in unnatural hosts.

Diagnosis: Examination of stained thin blood smears. Meronts can be observed by histologic examination of liver and other organs from tissues collected at post mortem examinations.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Thin blood smears fixed and stained for detection of intracellular parasite stages. Formalin fixed tissues for histologic evaluation for meronts.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Many diagnostic laboratories can examine blood smears and tissue sections for parasites.

Treatment: No effective treatment known for reptiles. A decrease in parasitemias, but not clearance, has been noted with atovaquone-proguanil in one study.

Prevention and control: Because the haemogregarines are vector-borne, limiting exposure of reptiles to ectoparasites is necessary to prevent transmission. Four acaricides (chlorpyrifos, cyfluthrin, lindane, and permethrin) proved efficacy against tick infestation in leopard tortoises.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: It is not a matter of disinfection but rather prevention of exposure to ectoparasites and limiting environmental contamination with tick life-stages.

Notification: None.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: These parasites are vector-borne so direct contact between animals is not a risk factor for infection. However, ectoparasite prevention should be implemented.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: n/a

Experts who may be consulted:

Michael J. Yabsley

Associate Professor

College of Veterinary Medicine

University of Georgia

Athens, Georgia 30602

(706) 542-1741

myabsley@uga.edu

- 1. Burridge MJ,Trevor F, Allan SA, Mahan SM. Evaluation of safety and efficacy of acaricides for control of the African tortoise tick (*Amblyomma marmoreum*) on leopard tortoises (*Geochelone pardalis*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2002;33:52-57.
- 2. Foronda P, Santana-Morales MA, Orós J, Abreu-Acosta N, Ortega-Rivas A, Lorenzo-Morales J, Valladares B. Clinical efficacy of antiparasite treatments against intestinal helminths and haematic protozoa in *Gallotia caesaris* (lizards). Exp Parasitol. 2007;116: 361-365.
- 3. Krampitz HE, Haberkorn A. Experimental treatment of *Hepatozoon* infections with the anticoccidial agent toltrazuril. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B. 1988;35:131-137.
- 4. Siddall ME. Phylogeny of adeleid blood parasites with partial systematic revision of the haemogregarine complex. J Euk Microbiol. 1995;42:116-125.
- 5. Telford SR Jr. Hemoparasites of the Reptilia: Color Atlas and Text. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2008. 376 p.
- 6. Wozniak EJ, Kazacos KR, Telford, Jr. SR, McLaughlin GL. Characterization of the clinical and anatomical pathological changes associated with *Hepatozoon mocassini* infections in unnatural reptilian hosts. Int J Parasitol. 1996;26:141-146.
- 7. Wozniak EJ, Telford, Jr. SR, DeNardo DF, McLaughlin GL, Butler JF. Granulomatous hepatitis associated with *Hepatozoon* sp. meronts in a southern water snake (*Nerodia fasciata pictiventris*). J Zoo Wildl. Med. 1998;29:68-71.

HEPATITIS A

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Humans,	Fecal-oral	Occasionally mild	Rarely fatal;	Rarely	Vaccination	Yes
non-human	route	illness (anorexia,	most recover	indicated,	or immune	
primates		lethargy, vomiting,	acute infections	supportive	globulin	
		fever, and diarrhea);	without	care	potentially	
		liver enzyme	permanent liver			
		elevation; jaundice	damage			
		common in humans				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Zoltan S. Gyimesi

Sheet completed on: 4 February 2011, 21 August 2013; updated 30 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Ed Ramsay

Susceptible animal groups: Humans, non-human primates (chimpanzees, Old World monkeys, New World monkeys).

Causative organism: Hepatitis A virus (HAV); *Hepatovirus* genus, *Picornaviridae* family. Both human and simian strains.

Zoonotic potential: Yes **Distribution:** Worldwide

Incubation period: 15-50 days, fecal-oral transmission. Following exposure and infection, virus can be shed in feces prior to seroconversion or clinical signs.

Clinical signs: Virus rarely causes clinical disease in non-human primates. Infected individuals can be viremic for up to 30 days prior to the onset of clinical signs. Seroconversion may be associated with transient liver enzyme elevation (AST, ALT, total bilirubin). Nonspecific illness (anorexia, lethargy, fever) or gastrointestinal disease is possible. Duration of viremia and fecal shedding can be 2 months or more.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Hepatocellular degeneration and necrosis, Kupffer cell proliferation, and lymphocytic periportal hepatitis can be observed. Histologic changes that may be present are similar to liver lesions caused by infection with this virus in humans.

Diagnosis: Blood testing for antibody/antigen. Liver biopsy/histopathology. Fecal PCR.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Whole blood, serum/plasma. Feces or liver potentially.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

VRL Laboratories 7540 Louis Pasteur Road, Suite 200 San Antonio, Texas 78229 877-615-7275 fax 210-615-7771 Anthony.Cooke@vrl.net

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4 Chatsworth, California 91311 818-717-8880 818-717-8881 fax info@zoologix.com

Treatment: This is not typically indicated. Supportive care can be provided.

Prevention and control: In humans, immune globulin (containing sufficient anti-HAV concentrations to be protective) or inactivated vaccine (typically for people at higher risk) can be administered. Vaccines can be given post-exposure during outbreaks per WHO.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Sodium hypochlorite or 2% glutaraldehyde.

HEPATITIS A

Notification: Public health officials may need to be notified if zoonotic (primate to human) transmission occurs.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None currently

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: HAV can remain infective in stored feces for at least 30 days. If concerned about introduction of animals to a known infected animal, animals that are seronegative (i.e. not immune), the seronegative animal could be immunized with the human HAV vaccine prior to introduction. Similarly, a seropositive animal with negative stool samples (by PCR) is probably recovered and no longer infectious to others.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Clinically significant outbreaks are uncommon. Disease-free status should be obtainable via appropriate environmental disinfection, and making sure primates are either seronegative or seropositive but no longer shedding HAV.

Experts who may be consulted:

Linda J. Lowenstine, DVM, PhD, DACVP

Professor Emeritus

University of California, Davis

Vet Med: Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology

Davis, CA 95616 Phone: 530-752-1182 Fax: 530-752-3349 lilowenstine@ucdavis.edu

- 1. Bower WA, Nainan OV, Han X, Margolis H. Duration of viremia in hepatitis A virus infection. J Infect Dis. 2000;182:12-17.
- 2. Brack M. Virus hepatitis A. In: Heijink I, Ryser-Degiorgis MP (eds.). EAZWV Transmissible Diseases Handbook, 2nd ed., Houten (Netherlands): Van Setten Kwadraat. 2004. p. 427-429.
- 3. CDC [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [cited 30 January 2018]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hav/index.htm
- 4. Dienstag JL, Isselbacher KJ. Acute viral hepatitis. In: Braunwald E, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, D.L. Longo, Jameson JL (eds.). Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 15th ed., New York (NY): McGraw-Hill. 2001. p. 1721-1737.
- 5. Lerche NW. Emerging viral diseases of nonhuman primates. In: Fowler ME (ed.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, Current therapy 3. Philadelphia (PA): WB Saunders Company; 1993. p. 340-344.
- 6. Mbithi JN, Springthorpe VS, Sattar SA. Chemical disinfection of hepatitis A virus on environmental surfaces. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1990;56(11):3601-3604.
- 7. McCaustland KA, Bond WW, Bradley DW, Ebert JW, Maynard JE. Survival of hepatitis A virus in feces after drying and storage for 1 month. J Clin Microbiol. 1982;16(5):957-958.
- 8. Ramsay E, Montali RJ. Viral hepatitis in New World primates. In: Fowler ME (ed.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, Current therapy 3. Philadelphia (PA): W.B Saunders Company, 1993. p. 355-358.
- 9. Robertson BH. Viral hepatitis and primates: historical and molecular analysis of human and nonhuman primate hepatitis A, B, and the GB-related viruses. J Viral Hepat. 2001;8(4):233-242.
- 10. WHO [Internet]. World Health Organization. [cited 30 January 2018]. Available from http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs328/en/

HEPATITIS B VIRUS (HBV)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Primates, esp. gibbons, wooly monkeys, apes, humans; also rodents, birds, bats.	Transmitted vertically (perinatal) or horizontal (percutaneous or mucosal exposure to infected body fluids, i.e. blood, saliva, sexual fluids, wound exudate)	Weight loss, lethargy, anorexia, icterus, abdominal discomfort, nausea, vomiting, pyrexia, joint pain	Often asymptomatic in non-human primates but can cause severe disease in gibbons and wooly monkeys; increased prevalence of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic infections in woodchucks, humans.	Supportive care; antivirals or α-interferon can be attempted but to date unsuccessful in animal cases.	Human recombinant vaccine should be considered for non- exposed primates	Assumed but unproven

Fact Sheet compiled by: Ellen Bronson, med. vet., DACZM

Sheet completed on: 31 January 2011; updated 12 March 2013 and 14 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sam Sander

Susceptible animal groups: Hepadnaviruses are divided into two genera: Orthohepadnavirus in mammals; Avihepadnavirus in birds. Orthohepadnavirus infect humans, apes, and rodents. Human Hepatitis B virus consists of at least 10 genotypes (A through J) with several sub-genotypes. Non-human primate hepatitis B viruses are species-specific and infect chimpanzees, orangutans, gorillas, gibbons, and woolly monkeys. Rarely reported or experimental in other primates (macaques, baboons, spider monkey, vervet monkey, and ruffed lemurs). Species-specific rodent hepadnaviruses also infect woodchucks, ground squirrels, and arctic squirrels. Most recently, hepadnaviruses have been identified in multiple species of bats. Avihepadnaviruses infect birds, including ducks, geese, herons, storks, cranes. Other hepadnaviruses have recently been identified in fish (African cichlid, white sucker, bluegill) and amphibians (Tibetan frog). Woodchucks and ducks are used as experimental models for hepatitis B in humans. Chimpanzees were historically used as a surrogate model for human HBV, but federal regulation in US no longer permits their use for invasive research.

Causative organism:

Orthohepadnaviruses (Mammals)

- Human hepatitis B virus (at least 10 genotypes most with several sub-genotypes)
- Chimpanzee hepatitis B virus (ChHBV)
- Orangutan hepatitis B virus (OuHBV)
- Gorilla hepatitis B virus (GoHBV)
- Gibbon hepatitis B virus (GiHBV)
- Woolly monkey hepatitis B virus (WMHBV)
- Woodchuck hepatitis virus (WHV)
- Ground squirrel hepatitis virus (GSHV)
- Arctic ground squirrel hepatitis virus (ASHV)
- Bat hepatitis virus

Avihepadnaviruses (Birds)

- Duck hepatitis B virus
- Heron hepatitis B virus
- Stork hepatitis B virus
- Crane hepatitis B virus
- Ross' goose hepatitis B virus

HEPATITIS B VIRUS (HBV)

- Snow goose hepatitis B virus
- Parrot hepatitis B virus

Zoonotic potential: Transmission of nonhuman primate hepatitis B viruses to humans is in theory possible although yet unproven; transmission of human HBV infection to non-human primates is well documented. Risk analysis should be performed for primate and veterinary staff in zoos and rehabilitation centers to assess need for vaccination against HBV.

Distribution: Multiple species and subspecies-specific and regional variants exist, but many are thought to cross-infect other species, although further epidemiologic and molecular studies are ongoing and needed. Recombination between ape variants has been proven. Infection has been shown in free-ranging chimpanzee, gorilla, orangutan, and gibbon populations.

Incubation period: 30 - 180 days (average 75 - 90 days)

Clinical signs: Infection can result in:

- 1. Acute transient or fulminant hepatitis, with fever, anorexia, lethargy, nausea, vomiting, icterus, abdominal discomfort, ascites. Increases in alanine transferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) documented in several species.
- 2. Asymptomatic infection or mild disease and clearance of the virus with lifelong immunity.
- 3. Chronic hepatitis leading to liver failure or hepatocellular carcinoma. Increases in ALT and AST possible.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Hepatitis, hepatic necrosis, hepatic fibrosis is seen in humans, gibbons, and wooly monkeys, but rarely in other primates. Chronic infections can lead to hepatic cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in humans as well as in woodchucks, to a lesser degree in ground squirrels and ducks, but has not been reported in non-human primates. More cases with histologic and clinical disease may become evident as non-human primates diagnosed only in the past few decades age and develop chronic disease.

Diagnosis: Increased ALT and AST on biochemical analysis. Since the genome of human and non-human primate hepatitis B viruses are similar, human Hepatitis B testing is applicable in non-human primates as follows:

HBsAg+ and HBsAB- indicates active, acute or chronic infection;

HBsAg- and HBsAB+ indicates exposure but clearance of virus and natural immunity (or vaccination);

HBcAg+ indicates acute infection (< 6 mo);

HBcAB+ indicates acute or chronic infection; indicates previous exposure or chronic infected carrier status;

HBeAg+ indicates active virus production and infectivity;

HBeAg+ and HBeAB- indicates active virus production and high infectivity;

HBeAg- and HBeAB+ indicates low or no viral shedding and typically a predictor of long-term clearance of virus, but still potentially infectious;

PCR testing also available and indicates infectivity if positive.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum for liver enzyme analysis and serology testing; serum or whole blood EDTA or ACD for PCR testing.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

1. VRL Labs, P.O. Box 40100, 7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200, San Antonio, Texas 78229, Tel. 877-615-7275; www.vrl.net

HBsAg, HBsAB, HBcAB: 0.5-1.0 ml serum for each test required Hepatitis B PCR: 2 ml fresh EDTA whole blood

2. Zoologix, Inc., 9811 Owensmouth Ave, Suite 4, Chatsworth, CA 91311; Tel. 818-717-8880. http://www.zoologix.com/primate/Datasheets/HepatitisB.htm

Hepatitis B total antibody testing (ELISA): 0.5 ml EDTA whole blood or spun serum/plasma Qualitative real time PCR: 0.2 ml EDTA or ACD whole blood, 0.2 ml plasma or serum, 0.2 ml fresh/frozen/fixed liver tissue

HEPATITIS B VIRUS (HBV)

Treatment: Supportive care, no specific treatment proven in non-human primates. In humans, tenofovir or entecavir and other nucleoside analog antivirals or α -interferon are given if high HBeAg+ and DNA+ and increased ALT (chronic active hepatitis). In humans, antivirals suppress the virus but do not provide a cure, while α -interferon cures a low percent of those treated for 1 year. Lamivudine and α -interferon have been attempted in limited cases in chimpanzees and woodchucks, respectively, without signs of improvement.

Prevention and control: Screen colony once and new animals at preshipment or quarantine examination with HBsAg or PCR. Avoid adding positive breeding animals to negative and unvaccinated groups. Vaccination can be used to protect negative (HBsAB- or PCR-) animals if exposed to positive animals. Two single antigen recombinant vaccines are currently available in the US in humans (Engerix B and Recombivax HB) and given at 0, 1, and 6 months with life-long immunity in humans.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 1:10 bleach; The virus can survive up to 7 days even on surfaces contaminated by dried blood/bodily fluids.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: N/A

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Animal to be introduced should be tested, if (HBsAg- or PCR- and HBsAB-), animal should be vaccinated before introduced to positive (HBsAg+ or PCR+) animal.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Area should be completely cleaned and disinfected. All animals should be tested with HBsAg or PCR and HBsAB to determine status. Animals that are HBsAg- or PCR- and HBsAB- should be immunized.

Experts who may be consulted:

Robert E. Lanford, Ph.D.

Southwest National Primate Research Center

Department of Virology and Immunology

Texas Biomedical Research Institute

7620 NW Loop 410

San Antonio, TX 78227

210 258 9445

rlanford@txbiomed.org

- 1. Bonvicino CR, Moreira MA, Soares MA. Hepatitis B virus lineages in mammalian hosts: Potential for bidirectional cross-species transmission. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20:7665-7674.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [Internet]. Hepatitis B Questions and Answers for Health Professionals; 2018 [cited 2018 December 29]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hbv/hbvfaq.htm
- 3. Gerlich WH. Medical virology of hepatitis B: how it began and where we are now. Virol J. 2013;10:239.
- 4. Heckel JO, Rietschel W, Hufert FT. Prevalence of hepatitis B virus infections in nonhuman primates. J Med Primatol. 2001;30:14-19.
- 5. Lanford RE, Chavez D, Brasky KM, Burns RB, Rico-Hesse R. Isolation of a hepadnavirus from the woolly monkey, a New World primate. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1998;95:5757-5761.
- 6. Sa-Nguanmoo P, Rianthavorn P, Amornsawadwattana S, Poovorawan Y. Review: Hepatitis B virus infection in non-human primates. Acta Virol. 2009;53:73-82.
- 7. Thornton SM, Walker S, Zuckerman JN. Management of hepatitis B virus infections in two gibbons and a western lowland gorilla in a zoological collection. Vet Rec. 2001;149:113-115.
- 8. World Health Organization [Internet]. Hepatitis B 2018 [cited 2018 December 29]. Available from https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hepatitis-b

DUCK VIRAL ENTERITIS (DUCK PLAGUE)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Ducks, geese, swan of all ages	Bird to bird contact or via environment; water is important for transmission. Spontaneous viral shedding by duck plague carriers, particularly during spring	Diarrhea, blood stained vent, cyanotic bill, inability to fly, convulsions, polydipsia, hypersensitivity to light	Moderate to severe	No effective treatment	Minimize exposure; vaccine for commercial flocks	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Gwen E. Myers

Sheet completed on: 21 February 2011; updated 15 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Simone Stoute; Gary Riggs

Susceptible animal groups: Ducks, geese, swan - susceptibility varies greatly among waterfowl species (bluewinged teal > Canada goose > mallard, Muscovy > pintail); other aquatic birds do not become infected, with exception of two coots in Spain during an epizootic. All ages are susceptible. Juveniles may be more susceptible than adults, but in commercial waterfowl, adult breeders' mortality may be higher than young ducks. Sometimes higher mortality reported in females than in males. Carriers can produce infected offspring, which also may shed virus.

Causative organism: Herpesvirus, Anatid herpesvirus 1

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: North America, Europe, Asia, Africa

Incubation period: Bird to bird contact or via environment. Water appears important for transmission. Incubation period (exposure to death) is 3-7 days in domestic ducks, as long as 14 days in wild populations.

Clinical signs: Hypersensitivity to light with birds seeking cover and darkened areas, extreme thirst, droopiness, decreased egg production, bloody discharge from vent or bill, inappetence, ataxia, inability to fly, convulsions, and phallus prolapse. Birds can also have a characteristic 'cold sore' lesion under tongue especially during the carrier state.

Shedding: oral, cloacal, fecal, egg & from tissues and body fluids of carcasses. Spontaneous virus shedding by duck plague carriers, particularly during spring - may be related to physiological stresses of daylight duration change and onset of breeding.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

Gross:

- -Buccal cavity: whitish plaques in pharynx occasionally.
- -Esophagus: petechial to ecchymotic hemorrhages, necrotic/diphtheritic/cheesy membranous lesions along longitudinal folds on mucosal surface if slightly longer course, particularly caudal esophagus and common in swans.
- -Proventriculus: focal mucosal hemorrhage and/or necrosis.
- -Intestines: Hemorrhagic enteritis. Variable extent, from petechiation and small ulcers (e.g. in jejunum) to hemorrhagic/necrotic annular rings (ducks) or discs ('button ulcers') (geese, swans) in intestines (related to lymphoid tissue distribution) in ileum.
- -Cloaca: mucosal hemorrhages, later necrotic/diphtheritic/caseous membranous lesions as in esophagus.
- -Cardiovascular system: petechiae to paintbrush hemorrhages on surface, particularly at base and in coronary grooves (common) or in myocardium. May be particularly visible on pericardial fat.

DUCK VIRAL ENTERITIS (DUCK PLAGUE)

-Liver: Pinpoint hemorrhages (petechiae) and/or focal necrosis. May be swollen, friable, pale (copper colored).

-Thymus and bursa of Fabricius (young birds): hemorrhages, surrounding tissues edematous.

Histologic:

- -Focal hemorrhages in most organs.
- -Liver: Necrosis of hepatocytes, with hemorrhage and limited heterophil infiltration. Occasional areas of caseous necrosis with surrounding coagulation necrosis are observed.
- -Gastrointestinal tract: Necrosis of epithelial cells sloughed into lumenthat have been raised from surface by hemorrhage.
- -Large eosinophilic intranuclear inclusion bodies may be found in: hepatocytes, bile duct epithelial cells, epithelial cells of esophagus, intestine, bursa of Fabricius, pancreatic cells and Hassall's corpuscles.

Diagnosis: Generally a post-mortem diagnosis. Viral isolation, mortality and lesions following animal sub-inoculation, serum neutralization, ID of a herpesvirus using EM, microscopic confirmation of viral intranuclear inclusion bodies in tissue cells and PCR.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Tissue samples; liver, lung, spleen, kidney, cloacal swabs.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

State Animal Disease Diagnostic laboratories

Texas Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Lab College Station Laboratory P.O. Box Drawer 3040

College Station, Texas 77841-3040

(979) 845-3414

Treatment: No successful treatment.

Prevention and control: Prevention aimed at minimizing exposure of the population-at-risk; depopulation, removal of birds from the infected environment, sanitation, and disinfection. Avirulent, live-virus vaccine developed for domestic white Pekin ducks but it is not reliable in protecting other species.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Virus is hardy, survives for weeks in ideal environmental conditions. Phenolic based disinfectants, Chlorine bleach; water may be decontaminated by chlorination (3ppm). Scrub concrete ponds with hypochlorite (5.25% solution).

Notification: Reportable to State Veterinarian and USDA-APHIS-VS involvement

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: No known requirements as this is reportable for tracking/surveillance.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended - infected animals should be isolated or culled.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Quarantine, depopulate, clean and disinfect environment for captive flocks.

Experts who may be consulted:

Simone Stoute, DVM, PhD, DACPV

Director, Cornell University Duck Research Laboratory

Department of Population Medicine and Diagnostic Sciences

College of Veterinary Medicine

Cornell University

P.O. Box 217

Eastport, NY 11941

631-325-0600

sts66@cornell.edu

DUCK VIRAL ENTERITIS (DUCK PLAGUE)

- 1. Brand, C.J., and D.E. Docherty. 1984. A survey of North American migratory waterfowl for duck plague (duck virus enteritis) virus. J. Wildl. Dis. 20: 261–266.
- 2. Hansen, W.R., S.E. Brown, S.W. Nashold, and D.L. Knudson. 1999. Identification of duck plague virus by polymerase chain reaction. Avian Dis. 43: 106–115.
- 3. Hess, J.C. and J.A. Pare. 2004. Viruses of waterfowl. Sem. Av. Exot. Pet. Med. 13: 176-183.
- 4. Leibovitz, L. 1971. Duck plague. *In*: Davis, J. W. and others (eds.) Infectious and Parasitic Diseases of Wild Birds. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 22–33.
- 5. Wobeser, G.A. 1997. Duck plague. *In*: Diseases of Wild Waterfowl, 2nd ed., Plenum Press, New York, New York. Pp. 15–27.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **ENDOTHELIOTROPHIC ELEPHANT HERPESVIRUS (EEHV)**

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s) Affected		Signs			and Control	
Affected Asian elephants, especially ages 1-8 years. Rarely, African elephants.	Direct transmission between animals via trunk secretions and saliva or other body fluids.	Signs may be very mild. Edema of head, neck, trunk, legs. Cyanotic, swollen tongue. Lethargy, anorexia, mild colic, diarrhea, or constipation Lameness. Alterations in sleep patterns. Monocytopenia, thrombocytopen ia.	Can be fatal in young elephants, if not – and even when – promptly treated. It may cause ulcers or vesicles in mouth and on vaginal mucosa. Milder clinical or sub-clinical forms exist. In African elephants, carrier state also exists in lymphoid lung nodules, and possibly reactivated in	Antiviral medications: Famciclovir orally or rectally. Ganciclovir intravenously, acyclovir orally, rectally or intravenously. Supportive care: intravenous fluid support, plasma transfusions. see eehvinfo.org for more	It is recommended to run PCR on whole blood of Asian elephants 1-8 years of age weekly to detect early viremia before clinical signs.	No.
			nodules, and			

Fact Sheet compiled by: Lauren Howard

Sheet completed on: 25 January 2011; updated 3 January 2013, January 3, 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Gretchen Cole

Susceptible animal groups: Infant and juvenile Asian (and very occasionally African) elephants are more likely to die from EEHV Hemorrhagic disease than older animals although there are reported cases of fatalities in elephants up to 40 years old. Adult elephants of both species may be subclinical carriers or may display a milder form of the disease with intermittent oral and vaginal/vestibular lesions.

Causative organism: Elephant Endotheliotropic Herpesviruses (EEHV) = Novel genus names Probosciviruses. Several types and multiple strains have been isolated and identified from fatal cases and clinically ill elephants.

Zoonotic potential: None known.

Distribution: Seven related species/types of EEHV have been identified in captive Asian or African elephants throughout the world. Multiple cases of the same hemorrhagic disease have been identified in wild Asian calves in Asia. Most captive and all wild elephants likely carry several EEHV types in a latent state. EEHV 1, 3/4 (test used does not distinguish between 3 and 4) and 5 detected in trunk washes of clinically normal camp elephants in India.

ENDOTHELIOTROPHIC ELEPHANT HERPESVIRUS (EEHV)

EEHV Strain	Species	Clinical Picture	
1A	Asian	Hemorrhagic disease	
1B	Asian	Hemorrhagic disease	
2	African	Hemorrhagic disease; lung nodules, skin nodules	
3	Asian/African	Hemorrhagic disease; lung nodules, skin nodules	
4	Asian	Hemorrhagic disease	
5	Asian	Hemorrhagic disease	
6	African	Lung nodules	
7	African	Lung nodules, skin nodules	

Incubation period: In retrospective analysis of clinical cases, EEHV viremia has been detectable on quantitative PCR of whole blood 1 to 2 weeks prior to clinical signs. It is suspected that EEHV infections usually remain latent with sporadic subclinical reactivation (i.e., shedding in trunk washes or other secretions) throughout the lifetime of an infected elephant.

Clinical signs: Changes in hemogram (monocytopenia, thrombocytopenia) may occur before clinical signs of illness. Initial signs may be mild or vague: lethargy, decreased food or water intake, mild colic, diarrhea, lameness or stiffness, oral mucosal lesions, ocular lesions, and alterations in sleep patterns may occur. More severe signs include edema of the head, neck, trunk, and thoracic limbs, and lingual cyanosis.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross necropsy findings may include pericardial effusion with diffuse petechial hemorrhages throughout the heart, tongue, and visceral surfaces. Lingual cyanosis and hepatomegaly may also be seen. Ulcerations of the oral cavity, larynx and large intestine have been seen. Histologic findings may include extensive microhemorrhages and edema in the heart and tongue, with lymphocytic, monocytic, and neutrophilic infiltration of the myocardium. Capillary endothelial cells of the myocardium, tongue, and hepatic sinusoids may contain amphophilic to basophilic viral inclusion bodies. These herpesviral particles are usually intranuclear, and occasionally intracytoplasmic, but have not been seen outside of cells.

Diagnosis: PCR on whole blood detects viremia. Viremia may be low and remain low/subclinical or may increase and lead to EEHV Hemorrhagic Disease, serial testing is recommended in any viremic elephant. Close evaluation of the hemogram can identify early monocytopenia and thrombocytopenia. Virus can also be detected in serum of severely affected animals. Post-mortem PCR analysis can be done on heart, liver, tongue, intestines, and any other hemorrhagic tissues. PCR on lung nodules can be performed; it is important to note that several EEHV types have been found by PCR in lung nodules of asymptomatic carrier Africans, but not known yet in asymptomatic Asians. Trunk wash or saliva swab PCR may demonstrate EEHV shedding, which occurs sporadically in most elephants at one point or another.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Whole blood in EDTA tube, frozen (PCR).

Frozen serum (PCR, future antibody testing – no test available as of 2018).

Transfer all liquid samples to plastic tubes before shipping

Heart, liver, spleen, lymph nodes, intestines, skin or mucosal nodules, lung nodules, frozen (PCR).

Cell pellet from centrifuged trunk wash (real-time PCR), or saliva swab in DNA preservative. Fresh, unfrozen samples of serum, positive trunk washes and lesions needed for attempts at cell culture.

ENDOTHELIOTROPHIC ELEPHANT HERPESVIRUS (EEHV)

Relevant diagnostic laboratories

Smithsonian, National Zoological Park

Department of Pathology

Attn: Erin Latimer/Laura Richman

3001 Connecticut Ave NW

Washington, DC 20008

(202) 633-4252

(703) 855-9611

latimere@si.edu

Please call or/email before sending samples

Baylor College of Medicine, Department of Virology and Microbiology

Performs quantitative real time PCR on whole blood or trunk wash samples.

For details, contact: Dr. Paul Ling: pling@bcm.edu

Lab phone: (713) 798 8475 Cell phone: (281) 460 1696

Treatment: Famciclovir (8-15 mg/kg orally or rectally TID) for Asian elephants has been reported (Brock *et al* 2012). Ganciclovir has also been used but must be given intravenously. Acyclovir has been used in several Asian countries.

Prevention and control: Weekly blood collection for whole blood PCR is recommended for Asian elephants 1 to 8 years of age, to detect viremia early on in disease process and allow for early treatment. Weekly CBCs may also help detect early viremia. Bank frozen whole blood, serum and trunk wash on all clinical elephants and herdmates for potential future study. Once prevalence is known from ongoing investigations, informed decisions can be made in regards to movement of individual elephants between populations.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Bleach diluted to 1:10 solution in water is often used to disinfect surfaces contaminated with most herpesviruses, although it has not been proven to inactivate EEHV.

Notification: No special notification process required.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Calves should not be isolated from their dams unless necessary to facilitate treatment.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: No cure is available for latent herpesviral infection. It is assumed to be endemic in both Asians and Africans.

Experts who may be consulted:

Website with information on detection, treatment, etc: www.eehvinfo.org

Erin Latimer/Laura Richman Smithsonian's National Zoological Department of Pathology 3001 Connecticut Ave. NW Washington, DC 20008 202-633-4252 (703) 855-9611 latimere@si.edu

Dennis Schmitt DVM (treatment advice)

203 Karls Hall

Missouri State University

ENDOTHELIOTROPHIC ELEPHANT HERPESVIRUS (EEHV)

901 South National Ave. Springfield, MO 65897 Phone: 417-836-5091

dennisschmitt@missouristate.edu

Lauren Howard, DVM, Dipl. ACZM Associate Director of Veterinary Services San Diego Zoo Safari Park 15500 San Pasqual Valley Road Escondido, CA 92027-7017 Phone: (760) 291 5407

lhoward@sandiegozoo.org

Paul Ling, PhD

Department of Biology and Microbiology

Baylor College of Medicine

pling@bcm.edu

Lab phone: (713) 798 8475 Cell phone: (281) 460 1696

- 1. Atkins L, Zong JC, Tan J, Mejjia A, Heaggans SY, Nofs SA, Stanton JJ, Flanagan JP, Howard L, Latimer E, Stevens MR, Hoffman DS, Hayward GS, Ling PD. Elephant endotheliotropic herpesvirus 5, a newly recognized elephant herpesvirus associated with clinical and subclinical infections in captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2013;44(1):136-143.
- 2. Association of Zoos & Aquariums [Internet]. Elephant TAB/SSP Research and Necropsy Protocol (*Elephas maximus* and *Loxodonta africana*); 2017 [cited 2018 29 December]. Available from http://elephantcare.org/wp-content/uploads/Eleph-Research-and-Necropsy-Protocol-June-2017.pdf
- 3. Brock AP, Isaza R, Hunter RP, Richman LK, Montali RJ, Schmitt DL, Koch DE, Lindsay WA. Estimates of the pharmacokinetics of famciclovir and its active metabolite penciclovir in young Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*). Am J Vet Res. 2012;73(12): 1996-1999.
- 4. Bronson E, McClure M, Sohl J, Wiedner E, Cox S, Latimer EM, Pearson VR, Hayward GS, Fuery A, Ling PD. Epidemiologic evaluation of EEHV 3B infection in an African elephant (*Loxodonta africana*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2017;48:335-343.
- 5. Denk D, Redrobe S, Latimer E, Hayward GS, Cracknel J, Classens A, Steinbach F, McGowan S, Dastjerdi A. Fatal elephant endotheliotropic herpesvirus type 5 infection in a captive Asian elephant. Vet Rec. 2012;171(15):380-1.
- 6. Fowler ME. Elephant endotheliotropic herpesvirus (EEHV) infection. In: Fowler ME, Mikota SK (eds.). Biology, Medicine, and Surgery of Elephants. Ames (IA): Blackwell Publishing; 2006. p. 132-133.
- 7. Fuery A, Tan J, Peng R, Flanagan JP, Tocidlowski ME, Howard LL, Ling PD. Clinical infection of two captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) with EEHV 1B. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2016;47:319-324.
- 8. Fuery A, Browning GR, Tan J, Long S, Hayward GS, Cox SK, Flanagan JP, Tocidlowski ME, Howard LL, Ling PD. Clinical infection of captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) with EEHV 4. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2016;47:311-318.
- 9. Hardman K, Dastjerdi A, Gurrala R, Routh A, Banks M, Steinbach F, Bouts T. Detection of elephant endotheliotropic herpesvirus type 1 in asymptomatic elephants using TaqMan real-time PCR. Vet Rec. 2012;170(8):205.
- 10. Hayward GS. Conservation: clarifying the risk from herpesvirus to captive Asian elephants. Vet Rec. 2012;170: 202-203.

ENDOTHELIOTROPHIC ELEPHANT HERPESVIRUS (EEHV)

- 11. Isaza R, Hunter RP, Richman LK, Montali RJ, Schmitt DL, Koch DE, Lindsay WA. Famciclovir pharmacokinetics in young Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*). In: Proc. Am. Assoc. Zoo Vet; 2003. p. 82-83.
- 12. Kendall R, Howard L, Masters N, Grant R. The impact of EEHV on the captive Asian elephant (Elephas maximus) population of the United Kingdom and Ireland (1995-2013). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2016;47:405-418.
- 13. Latimer E, Zong JC, Heaggans SY, Richman LK, Hayward GS. Detection and evaluation of novel herpesviruses in routine and pathological samples from Asian and African elephants: identification of two new probosciviruses (EEHV5 and EEHV6) and two new gammaherpesviruses (EGHV3B and EGHV5). Vet Microbiol. 2011;147:28-41.
- 14. Reid CE, Hildebrandt TB, Marx N, Hunt M, Thy N, Reynes JM, Schaftenaar W, Fickel J. Endotheliotropic elephant herpes virus (EEHV) infection: the first PCR-confirmed fatal case in Asia. Vet Q. 2006;28(2): 61-64.
- 15. Richman LK. Elephant herpesviruses. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 6. St. Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2008 p. 349-354.
- 16. Richman LK, Montali RJ, Cambre RC, Schmitt D, Hardy D, Hildbrandt T, Bengis RG, Hamzeh FM, Shahkolahi A, Hayward GS. Clinical and pathological findings of a newly recognized disease of elephants caused by endotheliotropic herpesviruses. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2000;36(1):1-12.
- 17. Richman LK, Hayward GS. Elephant herpesviruses. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 7th ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2012. p. 496-502.
- 18. Schaftenaar W, Reid C, Martina B, Fickel J, Osterhaus ADME. Nonfatal clinical presentation of elephant endotheliotropic herpes virus discovered in a group of captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2010;41(4):626-632.
- 19. Schmitt D, Hardy D, Montali RJ, Richman LK, Lindsay WA, Isaza R, West G. Use of famciclovir for the treatment of endotheliotropic herpesvirus infections in Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2000;31(4):518-522.
- 20. Stanton JJ, Zong JC, Eng C, Howard L, Flanagan J, Stevens M, Schmitt D, Wiedner E, Graham D, Junge RE, Weber MA, Fischer MA, Mejia A, Tan J, Latimer E, Herron A, Hayward GS, Ling PD. Kinetics of viral loads and genotypic analysis of elephant endotheliotropic herpesvirus-1 infection in captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2013;44(1):42-54.
- 21. Stanton JJ, Nofs SA, Peng R, Ling PD. Development and validation of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction assays to detect elephant endotheliotropic herpesviruses-2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. J. Virol Meth. 2012;186:73-77.
- 22. Stanton JJ, Zong JC, Latimer E, Tan J, Herron A, Hayward GS, Ling PD. Detection of pathogenic elephant endotheliotropic herpesvirus in routine trunk washes from healthy adult Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) by use of a real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay. Am J Vet Res. 2010;71(8):925-933.
- 23. Wiedner E, Howard L, Isaza R. Treatment of elephant endotheliotrophic herpesvirus (EEHV). In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 7th ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2012. p. 537-543.
- 24. Zachariah A, Zong JC, Long SY, Latimer EM, Heaggans SY, Richman LK, Hayward GS. Fatal herpesvirus (EEHV) hemorrhagic disease in wild and orphan Asian elephants in Southern India. J Wildl Dis. 2013;49(2):381-93.

EPSTEIN-BARR VIRUS (HUMAN HERPESVIRUS 4), EBV-RELATED LYMPHOCRYPTOVIRUSES

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
EBV affects primarily humans EBV-related viruses affect a wide range of NHP (Old World monkeys, apes, some New World species) Lymphocryptovirus has been associated with carcinomas of sea lions.	Direct contact through saliva	EBV in man mostly asymptomatic, but can manifest as Infectious Mononucleosis, Burkitt's lymphoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, hairy leukoplakia, immunodeficiency -associated lymphoproliferative disease. In other primates, lymphoproliferative disorders are presented. EBV-related viruses: usually asymptomatic, may cause lymphoproliferative disorders.	Fatal in cases of malignant tumors or lymphomas.	None reported.	Ubiquitous.	Yes, possible zooanthroponotic disease.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sam Rivera; updated by Jan Ramer

Sheet completed on: 1 June 2011; updated 23 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sam Rivera; Kevin Brunner

Susceptible animal groups:

EBV: Pongidae

EBV-related simian viruses: Old and New World NHP.

Causative organism: Epstein-Barr virus (*Human herpesvirus* 4), EBV-related lymphocryptoviruses (LCV): *Papiine herpesvirus* 1, *Cercopithecine herpesvirus* 14, *Macacine herpesvirus* 4, *Panine herpesvirus* 1, *Pongine herpesvirus* 2, *Gorilline herpesvirus* 1, *Callitrichine herpesvirus* 3.

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution:

EBV in man Worldwide

EBV-related LCV found in most old world NHP and some new world NHP.

EPSTEIN-BARR VIRUS (HUMAN HERPESVIRUS 4), EBV-RELATED LYMPHOCRYPTOVIRUSES

Incubation period: Variable

Clinical signs: These diseases are presented mainly as asymptomatic infections. EBV in man can cause infectious mononucleosis, nasopharyngeal carcinomas, Burkitt's lymphoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; in great apes can possibly cause malignant lymphomas; in macaques axillary/inguinal lymphadenopathy, similar to EBV induced infectious mononucleosis in humans without pharyngitis and splenomegaly, B-cell lymphoma, epithelial hyperkeratotic lesions on oral cavity, esophagus, chest, hands, and genitalia; in baboons malignant lymphoma; in orangutan leukemia; in gorilla B-cell lymphoma; in common marmoset B-cell lymphoma.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Lymphoproliferative disorders.

Diagnosis: Virus isolation, serology, PCR, Histopathology, Immunohistochemistry.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, whole blood, lymph nodes, spleen, bone marrow.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Pathogen Detection Laboratory, California National Primate Research Center, Road 98 & Hutchison,

University of California Davis, California 95616

(530) 752-8242 F (530) 752-40

Fax: (530) 752-4816 PDL@primate.ucdavis.edu

http://pdl.primate.ucdavis.edu

BioReliance, Serology/PCR Laboratories

14920 Broschart Rd.

Rockville, Maryland 20850

(301) 610-2227

(310) 610-2587

ahs@bioreliance.com

Virus Reference Laboratories, Inc.

7540 Louis Pasteur Road

San Antonio, Texas 78229

(887) 615-7275

Fax: (210) 615-7771

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4 Chatsworth, California 91311-3800

818-717-8880

Fax: 818-717-8881 info@zoologix.com

Treatment: None reported.

Prevention and control: Ubiquitous in captive collections.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Lipid solvents, soap, UV-light, heat.

Notification: None at this time.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None at this time.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Ubiquitous in captive collections

EPSTEIN-BARR VIRUS (HUMAN HERPESVIRUS 4), EBV-RELATED LYMPHOCRYPTOVIRUSES

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Latent infections preclude establishment precludes a disease-free status after an outbreak.

Experts who may be consulted:

Chih-Ling Zao, PhD

Chief Scientific Officer

VRL Laboratories

P.O. Box 40100

7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200

San Antonio, Texas 78229

Phone: 877-615-7275 Fax: 210-615-7771 chih-ling.zao@vrl.net

- 1. http://www.primateportal.org/ Accessed 6 August 2013.
- **2.** Bennett, T., C. Abee, and R. Henrickson (eds.). 1998. Nonhuman Primates in Biomedical Research: Diseases. Academic Press, San Diego, California. 512 pp.
- 3. Ehlers, B., A. Ochs, F. Leendertz, M. Goltz, C. Boesch, and K. Mätz-Rensing. 2003. Novel simian homologues of Epstein-Barr Virus. J. Virol. 77(19): 10695-10699.
- 4. Lerche, N. W. 2005. Common viral infections of laboratory primates. *In*: Wolfe-Coote, S. (ed). The Handbook of Experimental Animals; The Laboratory Primate. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, California. Pp. 75-89.
- 5. Lipscomb, T. P., D. P. Scott, R. L. Garber, A. E. Krafft, M. M. Tsai, J. Hl Lichy, J. K. Taubenberger, F. Y. Schulman, F. M. D. Gulland. 2000. Common metastatic carcinoma of California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*): evidence of genital origin and association with novel gammaherpesvirus. Vet. Path. 37(6): 609-617.
- 6. Voevodin, A.F., and P.A. Marx (eds.). 2009. Lymphocryptoviruses. *In:* Simian Virology. Willey-Blackwell, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 323-346.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **EQUINE HERPESVIRUS**

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)	Tansinission	Signs	Severity	Treatment	and Control	Zoonotic
Affected		Digits			and Control	
Mammals –	EHV1:	EHV1: abortion	EHV1: mild	EHV1:	EHV1:	Not
equids primarily;	aerosol	in mares and	to severe	supportive	vaccination	reported.
recombinant	droplets,	mild respiratory	possible,	care for	all pregnant	reported.
EHV1/9 strains	contact,	disease in	outbreaks if	encephalo-	mares,	
have been shown	fomite	horses <2 years;	uncontrolled	myelitis.	isolation of	
to infect ursids,	Infected foals,	neurologic form			known cases	
artiodactylids,	etal	more common	EHV3:	EHV3:		
camelids,	membranes	in older	generally	topical	EHV3: no	
rhinoceros, and	and aborted	animals, signs	mild, with	antiseptics	vaccine	
rodents.	fetuses are	range from	lesions	to prevent	available,	
	highly	ataxia to	resolving	infection	isolation of	
	contagious	paralysis and	within two	and reduce	cases.	
		death	weeks.	discomfort		
	EHV3:				EHV4:	
	sexually	EHV3:	EHV4: mild	EHV4:	vaccination	
	transmitted,	ulcers along	infections,	supportive	of horses <5	
	flies feeding	cutaneous	secondary	care	years old	
	on vaginal	mucous	bacterial			
	discharge of	membranes,	infections	EHV9:	EHV9: no	
	infected	especially	can increase	supportive	vaccine	
	mares,	genital tract.	severity	care,	available	
	fomites	F11374	FILMO	seizure		
	EHWA.	EHV4:	EHV9:	control		
	EHV4: aerosol	respiratory disease in	ranges from mild illness			
	droplets,	horses < 2 years	to severe			
	contact,	old. Depression,	disease, with			
	fomite	nasal discharge,	progression			
	Tomice	fever. Rarely	in a short			
	EHV9:	causes abortion	time period			
	unknown,	in pregnant	time period			
	fomite	mares.				
	transmission					
	suspected	EHV9:				
	_	neurologic signs				
		in affected				
		aberrant hosts,				
		including				
		ataxia, seizures,				
		and progressive				
		disease				
Fact Sheet compil	ed by : John Flan	ders				

EQUINE HERPESVIRUS

Sheet completed on: 1 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Ray Wack; John Vacek

Susceptible animal groups: Equids [EHV 1, 3, 4]; exotic/zoo cases of infection with a recombinant EHV1/EHV9 virus have been published in onager (*Equus hemionus*), polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*), Grevy's zebra (*E. grevyi*), plains zebra (*E. quagga*), blackbuck (*Antilope cervicapra*), Thomson's gazelle (*Eudorcas thomsonii*), reticulated giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis reticulata*), llama (*Lama glama*), alpaca (*Vicugna pacos*), black bear (*Ursus americanus*), guinea pig (*Cavia porcellus*), and Bactrian camel (*Camelus bactrianus*). Experimental infection has been demonstrated in Syrian hamsters (*Mesocricetus auratus*), domestic dogs (*Canis lupus familiaris*), and domestic pigs (*Sus scrofa*).

Causative organism: Equine viral abortion (Equine Herpesvirus 1 [EHV1]); Equine herpes myeloencephalopathy (EHV1); Equine coital exanthema (EHV3); Equine rhinopneumonitis (EHV4); Gazelle herpesvirus 1 (EHV9)

Zoonotic potential: No evidence for potential zoonosis is associated with any EHV strain.

Distribution: EHV strains are endemic worldwide, with no specific distribution pattern. EHV1/9 can be carried by exotic equids with no clinical signs.

Incubation period:

<u>EHV1</u>: Abortion in pregnant mares 2-4 weeks following exposure. Lifelong infection, with potential for recrudescence during stress or treatment with steroids. Neurologic form incubation averages 3-8 days but up to 14 days

EHV3: As short as 2 days.

EHV4: 2-10 days following exposure.

EHV1/9: recombinant: unknown

Clinical signs:

<u>EHV1</u> abortion: Sporadic or abortion "storm" can be observed. Spontaneous abortion of fetus within amniotic membranes in pregnant mares with no premonitory signs in the last trimester of gestation. Foals that are born alive are extremely weak and die within days.

<u>EHV1</u> encephalomyelopathy: Encephalomyelitis varies in severity. Mild cases are noted with slight ataxia, urinary incontinence, flaccid tail, decreased anal tone, limb edema and pyrexia. Severe cases result in paralysis, seizures, blindness, and ultimately death. Paresis and paralysis are often noted with an ascending pattern from the hindlimbs. Colic, ocular lesions, anorexia, and pyrexia are also reported. Mild cases may resolve uneventfully.

<u>EHV3</u>: Vesicular and ulcerative lesions are noted on the superficial mucosa of the external reproductive organs. Lesions are transient and heal in several weeks, leaving spots of depigmented skin. Stallions may be reluctant to breed. Affected horses may become life-long carriers, with flare-ups possible.

<u>EHV1/4</u> respiratory disease: Most common in foals older than 2 months, when maternal immunity is waning. Increased rectal temperature, serous to mucopurulent nasal discharge, anorexia, and depression, with recovery by 3 weeks. Clinical signs are uncommon in horses over 2 years of age. Abortion in pregnant mares may occur rarely.

EHV1/9 recombinant: Range of clinical signs, usually results in neurological disease in affected animals. Polar bears and black bears have been reported with tremors, excessive blinking, ptyalism, opisthotonos, seizures and progressive neurologic disease. A giraffe was euthanized due to ataxia, incoordination, abdominal pain, and a progressively deteriorating condition. Thomson's gazelles have been reported with recumbency, seizures, and progressive neurologic disease. EHV related abortion has been reported in a Asian rhino.

Guinea pigs housed in the same building as affected Thomson's gazelles were reported with abortion, hindlimb paralysis, and ataxia.

EQUINE HERPESVIRUS

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>EHV1</u> abortion: splenomegaly, grey necrotic foci in liver and pleural/peritoneal edema in aborted fetuses. Herpesviral intranuclear inclusion bodies in affected tissue.

<u>EHV1</u> encephalomyelopathy: Cases are noted with areas of hemorrhage throughout the CNS, and vasculitis and thrombosis of neural endothelial cells, with ischemic necrosis histologically.

<u>EHV3</u>: ulcers and vesicles on the vaginal, vestibular, vulvar, preputial, or penial mucosa of affected horses. Similar lesions may also be noted on oral mucosa or teats.

<u>EHV1/4</u> respiratory disease: Focal areas of necrosis in liver, spleen, and lungs with intranuclear inclusion bodies. Bronchointerstitial pneumonia may be noted when infected with secondary bacterial infections.

<u>EHV1/9</u> recombinant: Nonsuppurative encephalitis, with or without lymphohistocytic cuffing, multifocal gliosis, and vasculitis have been reported in a variety of affected species. Intranuclear inclusion bodies are sporadically reported.

Diagnosis:

EHV1 abortion/encephalomyelopathy:

- Pathology: Based on gross and histologic pathology in aborted foals, increased likelihood if intranuclear inclusion bodies are noted. Vasculitis in CNS tissue of encephalomyelitis cases.
- IHC: demonstrates viral presence in affected tissues
- CSF analysis: positive EHM horses typically have xanthochromia with increased protein. A monocytic pleocytosis is variably present. CSF samples are not accurate for PCR or ELISA testing.
- Viral isolation: (gold standard) Growth in horse and rabbit cell cultures, allows differentiation from EHV4 which only grows on equine cell cultures. Isolation from nasal swabs or blood samples of neurological horses, best results when taken during initial pyrexia. High viral burdens are more likely to have rapid turnaround time.
- PCR: can detect viral presence in collected tissues, including nasal swabs or uncoagulated (EDTA) blood, at low levels. Non-quantitative is run more routinely, but quantitative real-time is available.
- Paired serology: fourfold or greater increase in virus neutralizing antibody titers, or a single titer of 1:256 or higher, are consistent with positive diagnosis. However, this approach cannot distinguish between EHV1 and EHV4.
- ELISA: test pregnant mare serum when fetal tissues are not available to diagnose.

EHV3:

- Clinical: based on physical exam findings
- Paired serology: comparison of acute and convalescent serum samples for a rise in antibody titers.
- Electron microscopy: investigation of clinical samples, including scrapings from the affected mucosa
- Virus isolation: growth in equine cell cultures

EHV4:

- Clinical signs
- Virus isolation: growth only on equine origin cell lines
- ELISA: can distinguish EHV4 from EHV1
- Paired serology: comparison of acute and convalescent serum samples for a rise in antibody titrers.

EHV1/9 recombinant:

- PCR: analysis of collected tissue samples
- Western Blot: detection of viral proteins in neurologic tissue

Material required for laboratory analysis:

<u>EHV1</u>: Serum for ELISA testing, fetal tissue (lung, thymus, spleen) for histologic diagnosis, nasal swabs or blood (EDTA) for virus isolation or PCR analysis.

EQUINE HERPESVIRUS

EHV3: serum, scrapings of mucosa from affected areas.

EHV4: nasal swabs, whole blood, serum for various testing modalities.

EHV1/9: recombinant: nasal swabs, serum, CNS tissue

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Real-time PCR analysis offered by:

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/vs/nahss/equine/ehv/ehv_ehm_recommendations_051611.pdf

http://www.vetmed.ucdavis.edu/ceh/ehv1_diagnostic.cfm

Treatment:

<u>EHV1</u> encephalomyelopathy: Strict isolation, supportive care for encephalomyelitis. Urinary bladder decompression and rectal evacuation for incontinent patients and sling support if recumbent. Corticosteroids given IV once to twice daily for 3-5 days, followed by a tapered regimen, to decrease CNS inflammation. Treatment with antiviral medications has not been investigated, although good *in vitro* efficacy has been demonstrated.

<u>EHV3</u>: Antiseptic lotions and ointments to prevent secondary infection or discomfort. Discontinue breeding until all lesions are healed.

EHV1/4: respiratory disease: Supportive care.

EHV1/9 recombinant: Supportive care.

Prevention and control:

develop myeloencephalopathy.

<u>EHV1</u> abortion: Inactivated vaccines have been used to prevent abortion, with dosing at 5, 7, and 9 months of pregnancy. Literature does not currently indicate a protective effect of vaccination, but vaccines are successful at producing a high antibody response and limit nasal shedding. In cases of outbreaks, prophylactic vaccination of all horses is controversial. Isolation of pregnant mares and maintenance of closed groups is recommended to prevent further outbreaks in cases of infection. Any horse with respiratory signs also should be isolated. Horses will become infected life-long, with possible recrudescence during times of stress. <u>EHV1</u> myeloencephalopathy: quarantine exposed horses. No vaccine has been shown to be protective; however, it is recommended to vaccinate with inactivated vaccines to increase antibody titers and decrease shedding. Concerns have been noted that horses that have been vaccinated frequently are more likely to

<u>EHV3</u>: No vaccines are available. Isolation of affected horses. Horses will become infected life-long, with possible recrudescence during times of stress.

<u>EHV1/4</u> respiratory disease: Immunity after natural infection is short lived. Modified-live vaccines available for pneumonia, inactivated vaccines are also capable of inducing a high antibody response. Vaccine will decrease severity/incidence but still not prevent the disease. Horses <5 years old should have the first vaccination at 3-4 months of age, with boosters every 6 months, or as determined by the product. Horses will become infected life-long, with possible recrudescence during times of stress.

<u>EHV1/9</u> recombinant: No vaccine available, maintain separation of potential host species from aberrant hosts that have demonstrated susceptibility.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Being an enveloped virus, EHV is susceptible to most disinfectants and detergents.

Notification: No special notification requirements for any viral strain.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None currently for any viral strain.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: It is recommended that an isolation period of 21-28 days be placed on any animal that has tested positive or exhibited clinical signs of any form of the disease. Affected animals will remain latently infected following the quarantine period, and may continue to shed virus during times of stress.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: EHV infected animals will remain latently infected for the duration of their lives. They should remain isolated from healthy individuals.

EQUINE HERPESVIRUS

Experts who may be consulted:

Nicola Pusterla, DVM, PhD, DACVIM UC Davis School of Veterinary Medicine 3109 Tupper Hall Davis, CA 95616 530-752-7991 npusterla@ucdavis.edu

- 1. Anonymous. Recommendations for horses exposed to equine herpes virus (EHV-1) or equine herpes virus myeloencephalopathy (EHM). 2011. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/vs/nahss/equine/ehv/ehv_ehm _recommendations_051611.pdf; accessed 31 July 2013.
- 2. Anonymous. American Association of Equine Practitioners Guidelines on Vaccination. 2012. http://www.aaep.org/ehv.htm; accessed 31 July 2013.
- 3. Anonymous. Equine herpesvirus 1 & 4 related diseases. 2013. http://www.aaep.org/images/files/Equine Herpesvirus Final030513.pdf; accessed 31 July 2013.
- 4. Bildfell, R., C. Yason, D. Haines, and M. McGowan. 1996. Herpesvirus encephalitis in a camel (*Camelus bactrianus*). J. Zoo Wildl.Med. 27(3): 409-415.
- 5. Borchers, K., D. Böttner, D. Lieckfeldt, A. Ludwig, K. Frölich, B. Klingeborn, F. Widèn, G. Allen, and H. Ludwig. 2006. Characterization of equid herpesvirus 1 (EHV-1) related viruses from captive Grevy's zebra and blackbuck. J. Vet. Med. Sci./Jap. Soc. Vet. Sci. 68(7): 757-760.
- 6. Carter, G., and D. Wise. 2006. Herpesviridae. a concise review of veterinary virology; www.ivis.org/advances/toc_advances.asp; accessed July 29, 2013.
- 7. Donovan, T.A., M.D. Schrenzel, T. Tucker, A.P. Pessier, B. Bicknese, M.D.M. Busch, A.G. Wise, R. Maes, M. Kiupel, C. McKnight, and R.W. Nordhausen. 2009. Meningoencephalitis in a polar bear caused by equine herpesvirus 9 (EHV-9). Vet. Path. Online 46(6): 1138-1143.
- 8. Fukushi, H., T. Tomita, A. Taniguchi, Y. Ochiai, R. Kirisawa, T. Matsumura, T. Yanai, T. Masegi, T. Yamaguchi, and K. Hirai. 1997. Gazelle herpesvirus 1: a new neurotropic herpesvirus immunologically related to equine herpesvirus 1. Virol. 227(1): 34-44.
- 9. Fukushi, H., A. Taniguchi, K. Yasuda, T. Yanai, T. Masegi, T. Yamaguchi, and K. Hirai. 2000. A hamster model of equine herpesvirus 9 induced encephalitis. J. Neurovirol. 6(4): 314-319.
- 10. Greenwood, Alex D., K. Tsangaras, Simon Y.W. Ho, Claudia A. Szentiks, Veljko M. Nikolin, G. Ma, A. Damiani, Marion L. East, A. Lawrenz, H. Hofer, and N. Osterrieder. 2012. A potentially fatal mix of herpes in zoos. Curr. Biol. 22(18): 1727-1731.
- 11. Hoenerhoff, M.J., E.B. Janovitz, L.K. Richman, D.A. Murphy, T.C. Butler, and M. Kiupel. 2006. Fatal herpesvirus encephalitis in a reticulated giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis reticulata*). Vet. Path. Online 43(5): 769-772.
- 12. House, J.A., D.A. Gregg, J. Lubroth, E.J. Dubovi, and A. Torres. 1991. Experimental equine herpesvirus-l infection in llamas (*Lama glama*). J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 3(2): 137-143.
- 13. Kasem, S., S. Yamada, M. Kiupel, M. Woodruff, K. Ohya, and H. Fukushi. 2008. Equine herpesvirus type 9 in giraffe with encephalitis. Emerg.Infect. Dis. 14(12): 1948.
- 14. Kennedy, M.A., E. Ramsay, V. Diderrich, L. Richman, G. Allen, and L.N. Potgieter. 1996. Encephalitis associated with a variant of equine herpesvirus 1 in a Thomson's gazelle (*Gazella thomsoni*). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 27(4): 533-538.
- 15. Knowles, D.P. 2011. Members of the Family Herpesviridae, Subfamily Alphaherpesvirinae. *In*: MacLachlan, N.J. and E.J. Dubovi, (eds.). Fenner's Veterinary Virology, 4 ed. Elsevier, Inc, London, UK. Pp. 184-195.

EQUINE HERPESVIRUS

- 16. Lunn, D.P., N. Davis-Poynter, M.J.B.F. Flaminio, D.W. Horohov, K. Osterrieder, N. Pusterla, and H.G.G. Townsend. 2009. Equine herpesvirus-1 consensus statement. J. Vet. Int. Med. 23(3): 450-461.
- 17. Montali, R.J., G.P. Allen, J.T. Bryans, L.G. Phillips, and M. Bush. 1985. Equine herpesvirus type 1 abortion in an onager and suspected herpesvirus myelitis in a zebra. J Am Vet Med Assoc 187(11): 1248-1249.
- 18. Narita, M., A. Uchimura, K. Kimura, N. Tanimura, T. Yanai, T. Masegi, H. Fukushi, and K. Hirai. 2000. Brain lesions and transmission of experimental equine herpesvirus type 9 in pigs. Vet. Path. Online 37(5): 476-479.
- 19. Rebhun, W.C., D.H. Jenkins, R.C. Riis, S.G. Dill, E.J. Dubovi, and A. Torres. 1988. An epizootic of blindness and encephalitis associated with a herpesvirus indistinguishable from equine herpesvirus I in a herd of alpacas and llamas. J. Amer. Vet. Med. Assoc. 192(7): 953-956.
- 20. Schlipf, J.W., and M.O. Smith. 2009. Equine herpes myeloencephalopathy. *In*: Smith, B.P. (ed.), Large Animal Internal Medicine. Elsevier, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 982-984.
- 21. Schrenzel, M.D., T.A. Tucker, T.A. Donovan, M.D. Busch, A.G. Wise, R.K. Maes, and M. Kiupel. 2008. New hosts for equine herpesvirus 9. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 14(10): 1616.
- 22. Wilkins, P.A., and A.R. Woolums. 2009. Equine herpes viruses. *In*: Smith, B.P. (ed.), Large Animal Internal Medicine. Elsevier, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 545-547.
- 23. Wohlsein, P., A. Lehmbecker, I. Spitzbarth, D. Algermissen, W. Baumgärtner, M. Böer, M. Kummrow, L. Haas, and B. Grummer. 2011. Fatal epizootic equine herpesvirus 1 infections in new and unnatural hosts. Vet. Microbiol. 149(3–4): 456-460.

FELINE RHINOTRACHEITIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Felidae	Droplets,	Ocular nasal	High	Famciclovir,	Vaccination	No
	fomites	discharge,	morbidity,	lysine,		
		anorexia,	low	supportive		
		depression	mortality	care		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Ray Wack; updated by Christine Molter

Sheet completed on: 1 March 2011; updated 3 November 2012; updated 2 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: James Evermann, Lynelle Johnson, Ray Wack

Susceptible animal groups: Felidae

Causative organism: Feline herpesvirus type-1

Zoonotic potential: None **Distribution:** Worldwide

Incubation period: 2-6 days (recrudescence ~ 7 days after stressful event)

Clinical signs: Fever, sneezing, keratoconjunctivitis, ulcerative keratitis, salivation, facial dermatitis, Initially serous then mucopurulent ocular and nasal discharge, anorexia, and depression are observed typically. Chronic cases may develop ulcerative keratitis. Disease generally has high morbidity and low mortality except in kittens, immunocompromised, or geriatric cats. Co-infection with other respiratory viruses (especially calicivirus) and secondary bacterial infections are common. In cheetah, proliferative skin lesions at mucocutaneous interfaces have been observed. Clinical signs may persist up to 6 weeks.

Post-mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Erythematous swollen nasal mucus membranes and conjunctiva, hyperemic larynx and trachea, serous or purulent discharge in nares or eyes, early in the disease acidophilic intranuclear inclusions may be seen in affected epithelial cells.

Diagnosis: Clinical signs tend to be more upper respiratory and ocular than with calicivirus infections, but generally are challenging to differentiate. PCR and viral isolation performed on oronasal swabs can indicate presence of the infectious organism, but do not confirm FHV-1 as the causative agent of disease. PCR performed on facial dermatitis lesions and some ocular lesions (including corneal sequestra) is highly correlated with FHV-1 as the causative agent of disease. Cytology identification of acidophilic intranuclear inclusions affected epithelium is diagnostic. In latently infected cats, PCR and virus isolation is usually negative due to sequestered viral DNA in neurons.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Oronasal swabs, conjunctival scraping, respiratory epithelium

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Lab

Bustad Hall Room 155N Pullman WA 99164-7034 Phone: 509-335-9696 waddl@vetmed.wsu.edu

http://waddl.vetmed.wsu.edu/

Animal Health Diagnostic Center,

College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University

PO Box 5786 240 Farrier Rd

Ithaca, NY 14852-5786 Phone: 607-253-3900 Fax: 607-253-3943

https://ahdc.vet.cornell.edu/

FELINE RHINOTRACHEITIS

Treatment: General supportive treatment, including broad spectrum antibiotics for secondary bacterial infections; famciclovir can inhibit viral replication and lessen clinical signs. Lysine supplementation may be used. Oxygen or nebulization can be required in severe cases with respiratory distress or hard secretions. Nutritional support and fluid therapy are often required due to anorexia. Proliferative skin lesions may require wide excision or cryosurgery in cheetahs.

Prevention and control: Inactive and modified live vaccines, either injectable or intranasal formulations, usually in combination with other felid viruses are available. Vaccination does not prevent infection or shedding, but can reduce severity of signs and decrease the amount of shedding. Generally, only inactivated vaccines are used in non-domestic felids. Primary vaccination consists of 1 ml of vaccine (Fel-O-Vax, Boehringer Ingelheim) given every 2 – 3 weeks from 6 weeks through 18 weeks of age or a minimum of 3 vaccines in an unvaccinated adult cat. Response to vaccination should be documented with serum neutralization (SN) titer 2 – 3 weeks after the last vaccine. A SN titer of > or equal to 1:16 is considered protective. Antibody titers frequently decline rapidly in exotics and may not accurately reflect susceptibility. Cellular and mucosal immunity are important in moderating or preventing disease. Triennial booster vaccinations are recommended, but more frequent vaccination may be required if there is high exposure risk, due to the rapid antibody decline is some species.

In cheetahs, predictors of FHV infection included a dam receiving a pre-parturition modified live vaccine, being from a small litter, being born to a primiparous dam, and male sex.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Virus susceptible to most disinfectants, including dilute household bleach, quaternary ammonium disinfectants, peroxygen disinfectants.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Felids should be vaccinated, with response to vaccine documented prior to exposure to known positive cats.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Virus is shed intermittently potentially for remaining life of infected animal, but does not survive long in dry environments.

Experts who may be consulted:

James Evermann, MS, PhD

Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Lab

Bustad Hall 155D

Pullman, WA 99164-7034 Phone: 509-339-3607 ife@vetmed.wsu.edu

- 1. Hussein ITM, Menashy RV, Field H. Penciclovir is a potent inhibitor of feline herpesvirus-1 with susceptibility determined at the level of virus-encoded thymidine kinase. Antivir Res. 2008;78:268-274.
- 2. Kuehn NF, Dyer NW, Hauptman J, Taylor SM. Feline respiratory disease complex (Feline herpesviral rhinotracheitis, feline calicivirus) [Internet]. Merck Veterinary Manual; 2018 [cited 2018 January 2]. Available from https://www.merckvetmanual.com/cat-owners/lung-and-airway-disorders-of-cats/feline-respiratory-disease-complex-feline-herpesviral-rhinotracheitis,-feline-calicivirus.
- 3. Junge RE, Miller RE, Boever WJ, Scherba G, Sundberg J. Persistent cutaneous ulcers associated with feline herpesvirus type 1 infection in a cheetah. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1991;198:1057-1058.
- 4. Mouzin DE, Lorenzen MJ, HaworthJD, King VL. 2004. Duration of serologic response to three viral antigens in cats. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2004;224:61-66.
- 5. Munson L, Wack R, Duncan M, Montali RJ, Boon D, Stalis I, Crawshaw GJ, Cameron KN, Mortenson J, Citino S, Zuba J, Junge RE. Chronic eosinophilic dermatitis associated with persistent feline herpes virus infection in cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*). Vet Pathol. 2004;41:170-176.

FELINE RHINOTRACHEITIS

- 6. Risi E, Agoulon A, Allaire F, Le Drean-Quenec'hdu S, Martin V, Mahl P. Antibody response to vaccines for rhinotrachetitis, caliciviral disease, panleukopenia, feline leukemia and rabies in tigers (*Panthera tigris*) and lions (*Panthera leo*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2012;43:248-255.
- 7. Rivas AE, Langan JN, Colegrove KM, Terio K, Adkesson MJ. Herpesvirus and calicivirus infection in a black-footed cat (*Felis nigripes*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2015;46(1):141-5.
- 8. Schultz RD. Duration of immunity for canine and feline vaccines: a review. Vet Microbiol. 2006;117: 75-79.
- 9. Stiles J, Townsend WM, Rogers QR, Krohne SG. Effect of oral administration of L-lysine on conjunctivitis caused by feline herpesvirus in cats. Am J Vet Res. 2002;63:99-103.
- 10. Thomasy SM, Lim CC, Reilly CM, Kass PH, Lappin MR, Maggs DJ. Evaluation of orally administered famciclovir in cats experimentally infected with feline herpesvirus type-1. Am J Vet Res. 2011;72:85-95.
- 11. Thomasy SM, Schull O, Outerbridge CA, Lim CC, Freeman KS, Strom AR, Kass PH, Maggs DJ. Oral administration of famiciclovir for treatment of spontaneous ocular, respiratory, or dermatologic disease attributed to feline herpesvirus type 1: 59 cases (2006-2014). J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2016;249(5):526-38.
- 12. Thomasy SM, Whittem T, Bales JL, Ferrone M, Stanley SD, Maggs DJ. Pharmacokinetics of penciclovir in healthy cats following oral administration of famciclovir or intravenous infusion of penciclovir. Am J Vet Res. 2012;73:1092-1099.
- 13. Summers SC, Ruch-Gallie R, Hawley JR, Lappin MR. Effect of modified live or inactivated feline herpesvirus-1 parenteral vaccines on clinical and laboratory findings following viral challenge. J Feline Med Surg. 2017;19(8):824-830.
- 14. Wack RF, Kramer LW, Cupps W, Clawson S, Hustead DR. The response of cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*) to routine vaccination. J Zoo Wildl Med. 1993;24:109-117.
- 15. Witte CL, Lamberski N, Rideout BA, Fields V, Teare CS, Barrie M, Haefele H, Junge R, Murray S, Hungerford LL. Development of a case definition for clinical feline herpesvirus infection in cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*) housed in zoos. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2013;44(3):634-44.
- 16. Witte CL, Lamberski N, Rideout BA, Vaida F, Citino SB, Barrie MT, Haefele HJ, Junge RE, Murray S, and Hungerford LL. Epidemiology of clinical feline herpesvirus infection in zoo-housed cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubauts*). J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2017;251(8): 946-956.

FIBROPAPILLOMATOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Sea turtles,	Unknown; viral	Masses on the	Depends on	Supportive	None; in	No
especially	etiology, water-	skin and	location of	care; surgical	captivity,	
green sea	bourne, direct	viscera	nodules and	debridement/	quarantine	
turtles.	contact and		immune	debulking;	affected	
	Ozo-branchus		function.	euthanasia.	individuals.	
	leech are		Morbidity can			
	suspected.		reach 92%.			
	Horizontal		Mortalities			
	transmission		can reach			
	experimentally		88%.			
	proven					

Fact Sheet compiled by: Catherine Hadfield

Sheet completed on: 8 April 2011; updated: 5 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Leigh Ann Clayton, Lawrence Herbst, Craig Harms

Susceptible animal groups: Predominantly green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) are affected. However, Kemp's and olive Ridley (*Lepidochelys kempii* and *L. olivacea*) and loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) also may be. Rarely hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) have been affected. The problem has not been reported in leatherback sea turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*).

Causative organism: An alphaherpesvirus (chelonid fibropapilloma-associated herpesvirus, CFPHV) consistently is detected and lesions can be transmitted using cell-free tumor extracts, but the virus has not been isolated in culture. Virus types appear to cluster based on geographic origin, rather than host species: western Atlantic (Florida, Barbados, recently reported from Texas); Atlantic (Puerto Rico, recently reported from Gulf of Guinea); midwest-Pacific (Hawaii, Australia, Indonesia); and eastern Pacific (Costa Rica, California). It is possible that other viruses such as tornovirus, retroviruses, and reoviruses are involved. Changes in the environment, co-infections, or ecological factors affecting disease expression, or virus transmission, are likely causes for the recent emergence of FP epizootics at multiple locations around the world.

Zoonotic potential: None.

Distribution: Worldwide, but primarily circumtropical. Prevalence of disease varies with location (0-92%). It may be associated with eutrophic coastal ecosystems with high human population densities and agricultural run-off. The issue was first documented in the 1930s. Reported increase in prevalence in the late 1950's, especially in specific areas such as the Florida Keys and Indian River Lagoon, Florida, and Hawaii. Prevalence seems to be decreasing in Hawaii while increasing in other regions.

Incubation period: Clinically apparent FP developed 15-43 weeks after experimental inoculation. Initiation of tumor growth was positively correlated with water temperature. Inoculated turtles developed antibodies to CFPHV in < 1 year if they developed tumors. Turtles that did not develop tumors, did not seroconvert.

Clinical signs: White/grey/black nodules, 0.1 to >30 cm diameter, focal or multifocal, often involving the head, neck, and limbs, develop as fibropapillomas. Internal nodules (fibromas) are less common. Many fibropapillomatous lesions will resolve spontaneously. Number and severity may increase with curved carapace length (CCL) then decrease as CCL increases further. When tumors are numerous or large in size, they may impinge on function of affected structures which leads to progressive debilitation and death. Larger or ulcerated masses often have secondary infections.

Postmortem, gross, or histologic findings: Fibropapillomas are raised, sessile or polypoid masses with

FIBROPAPILLOMATOSIS

verrucous or smooth surfaces. Internal tumors can be found on the heart, lungs, liver, gall bladder, kidneys, skeletal muscle, and gastrointestinal tract, and are generally described as fibromas, myxofibromas, and fibrosarcomas of low-grade malignancy. Common histologic descriptions include vacuolation of the cytoplasm, balloon degeneration of epidermal cells, and benign papillary epidermal hyperplasia (especially in the stratum spinosum) occurring on thick stalks of proliferating fibrovascular stroma characterized by disorganized collagen fibers. Perivascular mononuclear cell inflammation is often observed in the deeper layers of the dermis.

Diagnosis: Clinical diagnosis is usually based on presence of skin or oral masses consistent in appearance with fibropapillomas. Endoscopy, laparoscopy, radiography, ultrasonography, MRI, and coeliotomy can be useful diagnostic modalities for identifying visceral tumors.

Definitive diagnosis requires compatible histopathology findings. Further support of a diagnosis occurs if intralesional DNA of CFPHV are detected by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from tissue obtained from tumors. *In situ* hybridization (ISH) can be used to detect CFPHV in nuclei of infected epithelial cells.

Material required for laboratory analysis Formalin-fixed tissue for histology and frozen tissue for PCR.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: All histopathology laboratories can assess tissues for compatible lesions. Herpesviral PCR and sequencing is available at the University of Florida and Hubbs-SeaWorld Research Institute.

Treatment: Supportive care (appropriate temperature, good water quality, low stocking density, fluid therapy, adequate nutrition, and, as needed, systemic antibiotics). The lesions may be excised surgically; laser surgery followed by second intention healing is usually recommended. Controlled studies on improved outcomes are lacking. Acyclovir has been found anecdotally to be useful. Tumors on and around the eyes are most important to remove. Turtles with internal tumors may require euthanasia.

Prevention and control: Currently no prevention and control measures for wild populations are available. In captivity, fibropapillomatous turtles should be quarantined from unaffected turtles, including by a separate water system. Some turtle rehabilitation centers will not accept turtles affected by fibropapillomas.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Standard disinfectants effective for herpesviruses should be effective against CFPHV.

Notification: None.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Unaffected individuals should not be introduced to affected turtles.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: None known. It is probable that affected turtles are CFPHV carriers for life. Experienced marine turtle rehabilitation facilities consider release of animals if they remain tumor-free for one year after surgical removal, although sufficient long-term housing is rarely available in large stranding events to accommodate this approach.

Experts who may be consulted:

Alonso Aguirre DVM MS PhD

Executive Director, Smithsonian-Mason School of Conservation, VA

(540) 635-0461

aaguirr3@gmu.edu

Larry Herbst DVM PhD

Professor, Department of Pathology and Department Of Microbiology & Immunology

Institute for Animal Studies

Albert Einstein College of Medicine, NY

FIBROPAPILLOMATOSIS

(718) 839-7135

lawrence.herbst@einstein.yu.edu

Thierry Work MS DVM USGS NWHC-HFS, Honolulu, HI (808) 792 9520 Thierry_work@usgs.gov

,

UF Diagnostic Lab

Tissue PCR for herpesvirus – notify laboratory before shipping for submission forms and parameters; use ice packs or dry ice via FedEx, UPS, or DHL

Costs (July 2013): \$100.00/test/sample. Turnaround time is 2-3 weeks.

http://labs.vetmed.ufl.edu/sample-requirements/microbiology-parasitology-serology/zoo-med-infections/ April Childress

University of Florida

College of Veterinary Medicine

2015 SW 16th Ave

Building 1017, Room V2-238

Gainesville, FL 32608

(352) 294 4420

ChildressA@ufl.edu

- 1. Aguirre, A.A., and P.L. Lutz. 2004. Marine turtles as sentinels of ecosystem health: is fibropapillomatosis an indicator. Ecohealth 1: 275-283.
- **2.** Balazs, G.H., and S.G. Pooley (eds.). 1991. Research plan for marine turtle fibropapilloma. N.O.A.A. U.S. Dept. Commerce, Honolulu, HI. 110 pp.
- 3. Chaloupka, M., G.H. Balazs, and T.M. Work. 2009. Rise and fall over 26 years of a marine epizootic in Hawaiian green sea turtles. J. Wildl. Dis. 45: 1138-1142.
- 4. Croft, L.A., J.P. Graham, S.A. Schaf, and E.R. Jacobson. 2004. Evaluation of magnetic resonance imaging for detection of internal tumors in green turtles with cutaneous fibropapillomatosis. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 9: 1428-1435.
- 5. Duarte, A., P. Faisca, N.S. Loureiro, R. Rosado, S. Gil, N. Pereira, and L. Tavares. 2012. First histological and virological report of fibropapilloma associated with herpesvirus in *Chelonia mydas* at Principe Island, West Africa. Arch. Virol. 157: 1155-1159.
- 6. Ene, A., M. Su, S. Lemaire, C. Rose, S. Schaff, R. Moretti, J. Lenz, and L.H. Herbst. 2005. Distribution of chelonid fibropapillomatosis associated herpesvirus variants in Florida: molecular genetic evidence for infection of turtles following recruitment to neritic developmental habitats. J. Wildl. Dis. 41: 489-497.
- 7. Fei Fan Ng, T., C. Manire, K. Borrowman, T. Langer, L. Ehrhart, and M. Breitbart. 2009. Discovery of a novel single-stranded DNA virus from a sea turtle fibropapilloma by using viral metagenomics. J. Virol. Mar: 2500-2509.
- 8. Foley, A.M., B.A. Schroeder, A.E. Redlow, K.J. Fick-Child, and W.G. Teas. 2005. Fibropapillomatosis in stranded green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) from the eastern United States (1980-98): trends and associations with environmental factors. J. Wildl. Dis. 41: 29-41.
- 9. Guimaraes dos Santos, R, A.S. Margins, E Torezani, C. Baptistotte, J. Farias, P.A. Horta, T.M. Work, G.H. Balazs. 2010. Relationship between fibropapillomatosis and environmental quality: a case study

FIBROPAPILLOMATOSIS

- with Chelonia mydas off Brazil. Dis. Aquat. Org. 89: 87-95.
- 10. Guimaraes, S.M., H.M. Gitirana, A.V. Wanderley, C. Monteiro-Neto, and G.L. Hajdu. 2013. Evidence of regression of fibropapillomas in juvenile green turtles *Chelonia mydas* caught in Niteroi, southeast Brazil. Dis. Aquat. Org. 102: 243-247.
- 11. Herbst, L.H., E.C. Greiner, L.M. Ehrhart, D.A. Bagley, and P.A. Klein. 1998. Serological association between spirorchidiasis, herpesvirus infection, and fibropapillomatosis in green turtles from Florida. J. Wildl. Dis. 34: 496-507.
- 12. Herbst, L.H., E.R. Jacobson, R. Moretti, T. Brown, J.P. Sundberg, and P.A. Klein. 1995. Experimental transmission of green turtles fibropapillomatosis using cell-free tumor extracts. Dis. Aquat. Org. 22: 1-12.
- 13. Kang, K.I., F.J. Torres-Velez, J. Zhang, P.A. Moore, D.P. Moore, S. Riverax, and C.C. Brown. 2008. Localization of fibropapilloma-associated turtle herpesvirus in green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) by *in situ* hybridization. J. Comp. Path. 139: 218-225.
- Murakawa, S.K.K., and G.H. Balazs (eds.). 2009. Bibliography of fibropapillomas in marine turtles.
 N.O.A.A. U.S. Dept. Commerce, Honolulu, HI. 47 pp.
 http://www.turtles.org/FIBROBIBLIO20091108.pdf Accessed 2 August 2013.
- Patricio, A.R., L.H. Herbst, A. Duarte, X. Veleez-Zuazo, N. Loureiro, N. Pereira, L. Tavares, and G.A. Toranzos. 2012. Global phylogeography and evolution of chelonid fibropapilloma-associated herpesvirus. J. Gen. Virol. 93: 1035-1045.
- 16. Tristan T, D.J. Shaver, J. Kimbro, T. deMaar, T. Metz, J. George, and A. Amos. 2010. Identification of fibropapillomatosis in green sea turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) on the Texas coast. J. Herp. Med. Surg. 20: 109-112.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Macaques; other non- human primates; humans	Bites, scratches, fomites, saliva or bodily fluids, mucosal absorption possible, laboratory transmission (i.e., needle puncture)	Macaques: generally intermittent mucosal pustules. Non macaque species: Sometimes are herpes-like mucosal lesions are associated with the point of infection. Most cases present with fever, and encephalitis manifesting neurologic signs, including: dsyphagia, ataxia, confusion, paresthesia and paralysis	Non macaque species: Without treatment, it is very fatal (70 - 80%). Some patients continue to have neurologic sequelae after recovery	Anti-virals, such as: ganciclovir, valacyclovir, and famciclovir. Many patients opt to remain on drugs for years after initial infection	Personal protective equipment, including gowns or coveralls, gloves, goggles and a mask or respirator.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Melinda Rostal

Sheet updated on: 3 May 2011; updated 30 October 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Richard Eberle; Jan Ramer

Susceptible animal groups: The disease occurs naturally in all macaques. Humans and other nonhuman primates are susceptible.

Causative organism: Macacine herpesvirus 1, also called herpes B virus or B virus

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: All reported cases in humans have been people that work with captive macaques or have been exposed in the field. Macaques are usually asymptomatic and may have been seronegative at the last screening or even at the time of the exposure prior to seroconversion. One report of human infection from Vietnam has been documented otherwise no cases from individuals has been reported in Asia in areas that macaques inhabit.

Incubation period: < 2 days to 2-3 weeks, usually 5-8 days. Two cases were reported where the patient had no previous exposure to macaques or exposure had occurred over 10 years previously.

Clinical signs:

<u>Macaques</u>: 80-100% of sexually mature macaques, especially if they have contact with other macaques, are seropositive for B virus. They rarely have clinical signs indicating infection or recrudescence; however, they will occasionally have herpes-like skin mucosal pustules. Research on shedding frequency is inconclusive, although it increases during periods of stress, including the breeding season.

<u>Humans</u>: Sometimes there are herpes-like skin/mucosal lesions associated with the point of infection accompanied by pain and itching and erythema. Most cases present with fever, and encephalitis manifesting in neurologic signs including: dsyphagia, ataxia, confusion, paresthesia and paralysis. Latency is established

HERPESVIRUS B

and reactivation can occur.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Macaques</u>: Most often, histological evidence of acute infection is present without gross pathology. The virus often remains latent in the trigeminal or sacral nerve ganglia, from which culture or PCR may be used to detect the virus. If oral or genital lesions are present there may be vesicle formation with leukocytic invasion of the area; when keratinized cells overlying the vesicle slough, a plaque of necrotic fibrinous material remains overlying the base of the ulcer. Intranuclear inclusions can be seen in tissues showing recent signs of degeneration.

<u>Humans</u>: Few reports of histological findings have been documented. Inclusion bodies are not typically found. Reported findings include severe inflammatory and degenerative changes in the spinal cord, particularly in the cervical cord and brainstem; the thalamus and hypothalamus may also be infected.

Diagnosis: B virus antibody ELISA or B virus recombinant ELISA assays are used. A negative antibody titer does not indicate the animal is not infected, only that it is not currently producing antibioties. Rising titers may be associated with viral shedding period. Diagnosis is often based on Western blot or virus neutralizing antibodies as well as virus isolation. PCR has been developed as well.

Material required for laboratory analysis:

For serology: 0.5-2.0 ml serum in plastic tube. Store and ship at -20°C or with dry ice.

For virology: Swabs of vesicle or other lesions. Place swab in 1-2 ml viral transport media, store at -80°C. CSF, autopsy samples of brainstem, biopsies from the site of inoculation: place in plastic storage/shipment tubes. Ship samples on dry ice according to US Department of Transportation regulations.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: B -virus is a BSL 4 agent.

B Virus Research and Resource Laboratory

Dr. Julia Hilliard

Georgia State University, Viral Immunology Center

161 Jesse Hill Jr Dr

Atlanta, GA 30302-4118

jhilliard@gsu.edu

For emergency: (404) 358-8168

Enteric, Respiratory, and Neurological Virus Laboratory Dr. David Brown Central Public Health Laboratory 61 ColindaleA ve. London NW9 5HT, England dbrown@phls.org.uk

Virus Reference Laboratory (non-human primates only) VRL – San Antonio 7540 Louis Pasteur Dr., Ste. 200

San Antonio, Texas 78229

(210) 614-7350

Anthony.Cooke@vrl.net

Treatment: Non macaques: Anti-virals are used to attempt to control or prevent encephalitis. Recommended medications include: ganciclovir, valacyclovir, and famciclovir. Many patients must remain on anti-virals for years after exposure. FEAU (2'-fluoro-5-ethyl-Ara-U) is a new anti-viral that appears effective in cell culture, but has not been used in a human case.

Prevention and control: All macaques need to be treated as B virus-infected. Due to the severity of

HERPESVIRUS B

infection with B virus in humans the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) developed guidelines to prevent B virus in workers handling macaques (http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00015936.htm.) The most recently updated version of these recommendations was given in 2002 by the B Virus Working Group. The recommendations are briefly outlined below.

- Personnel must wear appropriate personal protective equipment including glasses and faceshields, masks, long sleeve protecting clothing, and nitrile or latex gloves. If the animal is not sedated, leather gloves extending to the shoulder should be used.
- Personnel must be trained in the associated risks of infection and appropriate response protocols.
- Upon possible exposure, the person should immediately wash the wound or lavage the mucous membrane exposed for 15 minutes.
- Collect baseline serum and culture samples from the person and the macaque.
- Starting prophylaxis with an anti-viral within 24 hours is recommended if the case meets one of the following criteria:
 - o Exposure of mucosa or injured skin to an ill or immunocompromised or shedding macaque.
 - o Exposure of mucosa or injured skin that is not adequately cleaned.
 - o Laceration is of the head, neck or torso.
 - o Deep puncture bite or a needle puncture associated with macaque CSF fluid, herpes-like lesions, eyelids or mucosa.
 - o A post-cleaning culture of wound is positive for B virus.
 - o A laceration is caused by an object contaminated by macaque mucosal, genital or saliva secretions.
- Prophylaxis with an anti-viral should be considered if the case meets one of the following criteria:
 - o Exposure of mucosa or injured skin that has been adequately cleaned.
 - o A needles puncture was associated with blood from an ill or immunocompromised macaque.
 - o Skin that was recently exposed to contaminated macaque body fluid or cell culture has been lacerated.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Macaque housing should be cleaned with hot water and detergent by staff utilizing appropriate PPE such as masks and face protection while cleaning. Currently efforts are underway to create specific pathogen free (SPF) colonies of macaques and some groups has successfully maintained populations of macaques that are 99.3% free of B virus for longer than 7 years. This process involves initially keeping the macaques isolated and culling seropositive animals. No current recommendations for disinfecting housing facilities are available since in seropositive populations the virus tends to be ubiquitous and in SPF populations positive animals should be culled. All macaques should be treated as if positive for B virus. Research is also underway to create a B virus vaccine for macaques.

Notification: B virus has been a CDC Select Agent; however, as of 4 December 2012, it is no longer a select agent. B virus infections are not reportable on a national level, although states may vary in their reporting requirements.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: This virus is not listed under Annex A or B.

Measures required for introducing animals to an infected animal(s): If a seronegative animal is introduced to a seropositive animal it should be expected that the animal will seroconvert eventually, especially after the onset of sexual maturity. SPF colonies must cull seropositive animals and closely monitor cagemates to prevent the virus from becoming established in the colony.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: No specific standards exist at this time; however, it is recommended to test the animals for antibodies one month apart and again following a time

HERPESVIRUS B

period of greater than 6 months, but less than 12 months.

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Julia Hilliard

Georgia State University

PO Box 4118

Atlanta, GA 30302-4118

jhilliard@gsu.edu

For emergency: (404) 358-8168

- 1. CDC. 1987. Guidelines for prevention of herpesvirus simiae (B virus) infection in monkey handlers. MMWR 36: 680-682; 687-689.
- 2. Cohen, J, D Davenport, J Stewart, S Deitchman, H JK and Chapman. 2002. Recommendations for prevention of and therapy for exposure to B virus (cercopithecine herpesvirus 1). Clin. Infect. Dis. 35: 1191-1203.
- 3. Elmore, D and R Eberle. 2008. Monkey B virus (Cercopithecine herpesvirus 1). Comp. Med. 58: 11-22.
- 4. Hilliard, J.K., and J.A. Ward. 1999. B virus specific pathogen-free breeding colonies of macaques (*Macaca mulatta*): retrospective study of seven years of testing. Lab. Anim. Sci. 49: 144-148.

HERPESVIRUS HOMINIS TYPES 1 AND 2

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected					Control	
Predominantly affects humans	Direct	Mostly	Fatal disease	Acyclovir,	Avoid	Zooanthro-
but can cause	contact or airborne	asymptomatic, but can result	in Cebidae, Callitrichidae,	valacyclovir	contact with	ponotic disease
disease in		in recurrent	and tree		humans	
NHP: Aotidae,		rhinitis, labial	shrews.		with	
Callitrichidae,		herpes, lingual			active	
Cebidae,		plaques/ulcers,	Rarely fatal in		herpes	
Hylobatidae,		rhinitis, nasal	Pongidae		lesions	
Lemuridae,		discharge,				
Pongidae,		conjuctivitis,				
Scandentia.		salivation,				
		ataxia,				
		ulcerative				
		dermatitis,				
		death				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sam Rivera; updated by Jan Ramer

Sheet completed on: 1 June 2011; updated 23 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sam Rivera; Kevin Brunner

Susceptible animal groups: Aotidae, Callitrichidae, Cebidae, Hylobatidae, Lemuridae, Pongidae,

Scandentia.

Causative organism: Herpesvirus hominis types 1 and 2.

Zoonotic potential: Zooanthroponotic disease

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: In NHP: 2-14 days after experimental infection.

Clinical signs: In great apes, oral and pharyngeal vesicles and ulcers, vesicles on the lips and nose, conjunctival lesions, pustules, vesicles and/or ulcerated lesions of the genitalia, listlessness, anorexia. Infant great apes: diarrhea, vomiting, dyspnea, vesicles, death. Other NHP: conjunctival, oral and cutaneous lesions (vesicles to ulcers), rhinitis, keratitis, weakness, depression, anorexia, excessive salivation, nasal discharge, myoclonus, ataxia, seizures. Peracute death has been seen in callitrichids.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Multifocal vesicular and necrotizing dermatitis (face, arms, chest, legs), gingivitis and stomatitis, hepatomegaly with mottling, congestion or necrotic foci, splenomegaly with congestion, pulmonary edema, lymphadenopathy, ocular lesions (conjunctivitis, blepharitis, ulcers), adrenal enlargement, necrosis or hemorrhage, CNS lesions (edema, hemorrhage, focal softening and necrosis, congested meninges), multifocal meningoencephalitis (in gibbons) with multifocal nonsuppurative perivascular cuffing, necrosis, and gliosis and Cowdry type A intranuclear inclusions in spleen and liver.

Diagnosis: Serology, virus isolation, PCR, in-situ hybridization, histopatology.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Material from vesicles or other lesion, serum or whole blood.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Pathogen Detection Laboratory

California National Primate Research Center, Road 98 & Hutchison

University of California

Davis, California 95616

HERPESVIRUS HOMINIS TYPES 1 AND 2

(530) 752-8242

Fax: (530) 752-4816

PDL@primate.ucdavis.edu, http://pdl.primate.ucdavis.edu

BioReliance

Serology/PCR Laboratories

14920 Broschart Rd.

Rockville, Maryland 20850

(301) 610-2227

(310) 610-2587

ahs@bioreliance.com

Virus Reference Laboratories, Inc.

7540 Louis Pasteur Road

San Antonio, Texas 78229

(877) 615-7275

Fax: (210) 615-7771

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4

Chatsworth, California 91311-3800

818-717-8880

818-717-8881

info@zoologix.com.

Treatment: Acyclovir, valacyclovir.

Prevention and control: Avoid contact with humans with active herpes lesions. In owl monkeys, a modified live vaccine has been shown to be protective.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Lipid solvents, soap, UV-light, heat.

Notification: None at this time.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None at this time.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Keep susceptible species away from known positive NHP.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Many NHP antibody-positive, latent infections possible, so exposure and disease free status is difficult.

Experts who may be consulted:

Chih-Ling Zao, PhD

Chief Scientific Officer

VRL Laboratories

P.O. Box 40100

7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200

San Antonio, Texas 78229

Phone: 877-615-7275 Fax: 210-615-7771 chih-ling.zao@vrl.net

HERPESVIRUS HOMINIS TYPES 1 AND 2

- 1. http://www.primateportal.org/. Accessed 6 August 2013.
- 2. http://wildpro.twycrosszoo.org/List_Vols/GreatApesViral/HerpesSimplex/HerpesSimplexInf/Herpes SimplexInf.htm. Accessed 6 August 2013.
- 3. Bennett, T., C. Abee, and R. Henrickson (eds.). 1998. Nonhuman Primates in Biomedical Research: Diseases. Academic Press, San Diego, California. 512 pp.
- 4. Maria, J. L., J. H. Bos, J. Groen, and G. M. Dorrestein. 2005. Herpes simplex infection in a juvenile orangutan (*Pongo pygmaeus pygmaeus*). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 36 (1): 131-134.
- 5. Ott-Joslin, J.E. 1993. Zoonotic diseases of nonhuman primates. *In*: Fowler, M.E. (ed). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 3. W.B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 358-373.
- 6. Voevodin, A.F., and P.A. Marx (eds.). 2009. Simplexviruses. *In*: Simian Virology. Willey-Blackwell, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 267-293.

SIMIAN VARICELLA VIRUS (CEROPITHECINE HERPESVIRUS 6, 7, 9) AND VARICELLA-ZOSTER VIRUS (HUMAN HERPESVIRUS 3)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Varicella- zoster virus (VZV) affects man and Pongidea Simian Varicella Virus (SVV) affects African and Asian NHP	Direct contact, inhalation of aerosolized virus	VZV: chickenpox or zoster in NHP SVV: generalized vesicular eruptions, hyperthermia	Rarely a fatal disease. Severity depends on age and immunecompetency.	Acyclovir, Vidarabine mono- phosphate, Foscarnet, and other anti-virals.	Avoid contact between humans with chickenpox/Zoster and non-human primates. Isolate affected NHP	Not reported as a zoonotic disease

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sam Rivera; updated by Jan Ramer

Sheet completed on: 1 June 2011; updated 23 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sam Rivera; Kevin Brunner

Susceptible animal groups: VZV: Pongidae; SVV: Old world NHP, apes, and humans.

Causative organism: Simian varicella virus (Ceropithecine herpesvirus 6, 7 and 9), Varicella-zoster virus

(Human herpesvirus 3).

Zoonotic potential: Not reported

Distribution: Simian Varicella Viruses occur naturally in Africa and Asia and are found worldwide in captive populations. Human Varicella-Zoster Virus is found worldwide.

Incubation period: VZV: 7-14 days; SVV: 10-15 days

Clinical signs:

<u>VZV</u>: In Pongidae, pruritic, pustulovesicular rash, conjunctivitis, fever, anorexia, lethargy, lymphadenopathy, coughing, and sneezing can be observed. Zoster with severe axillary and thoracic cutaneous ulceration was reported in an elderly gorilla with concurrent immunosuppressive disease.

<u>SVV</u>: generalized vesicular rash, mild fever, anorexia, lethargy, vesiculoulcerative dermatitis is observed. Although rarely a fatal disease, high fatality (within 48 hours) has been reported in natural outbreaks.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: VZV in Pongidae occasionally chickenpox-like disease or oral/perioral vesicles. SVV: vesiculoulcerative lesions of the skin, and oral mucous membranes, hemorrhages and necrosis in internal organs.

Diagnosis: Serology, virus isolation, PCR, EM

Material required for laboratory analysis: Vesicular material, whole blood or serum.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Pathogen Detection Laboratory

California National Primate Research Center, Road 98 & Hutchison

University of California

Davis, California 95616

(530) 752-8242

Fax: (530) 752-4816

PDL@primate.ucdavis.edu

SIMIAN VARICELLA VIRUS (CEROPITHECINE HERPESVIRUS 6, 7, 9) AND VARICELLA-ZOSTER VIRUS (HUMAN HERPESVIRUS 3)

http://pdl.primate.ucdavis.edu

BioReliance Serology/PCR Laboratories 14920 Broschart Rd. Rockville, Maryland 20850 (301) 610-2227 (310) 610-2587

ahs@bioreliance.com

Virus Reference Laboratories, Inc.

7540 Louis Pasteur Road San Antonio, Texas 78229 (877) 615-7275

Fax: (210) 615-7771

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4 Chatsworth, California 91311-3800

818-717-8880 818-717-8881

info@zoologix.com

Treatment: Varicella-Zoster immunoglobulins, acyclovir, famcyclovir, valacyclovir, trifluridine, vidarabine monphosphate, foscarnet.

Prevention and control: Avoid contact between humans with chickenpox or zoster and NHP.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Organic solvents, detergents, proteases, UV-light, heat.

Notification: None at this time.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None at this time.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Self-limiting disease, animals can be introduced once skin lesions heal.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Self-limiting disease

Experts who may be consulted:

Chih-Ling Zao, PhD Chief Scientific Officer VRL Laboratories P.O. Box 40100

7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200 San Antonio, Texas 78229

Phone: 877-615-7275 Fax: 210-615-7771 chih-ling.zao@vrl.net

- 1. http://www.primateportal.org/ Accessed 6 August 2013.
- 2. http://wildpro.twycrosszoo.org/List_Vols/GreatApesViral/Varicella-

SIMIAN VARICELLA VIRUS (CEROPITHECINE HERPESVIRUS 6, 7, 9) AND VARICELLA-ZOSTER VIRUS (HUMAN HERPESVIRUS 3)

- Zoster/Chickenpox_Varicella/Chickenpox_Varicella.htm#Control Accessed 6 August 2013.
- 3. Bennett, T., C. Abee, and R. Henrickson (eds.). 1998. Nonhuman Primates in Biomedical Research: Diseases. Academic Press, San Diego, California. 512 pp.
- 4. Lerche, N. W. 2005. Common viral infections of laboratory primates. *In*: Wolfe-Coote, S. (ed). The Handbook of Experimental Animals; The Laboratory Primate. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, California. Pp. 75-89.
- 5. Masters, N., H. Niphuis, E. Verschoor, J. Breuer, M. Quinlivan, T. Wawrzynczyk, and M. Stidworthy. 2010. Debilitating clinical disease in a wild-born captive western lowland gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*) co-infected with varicella zoster Virus (VZV) and Simian T-lymphotropic virus (STLV). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 41:713-716.
- 6. Myers, M.G. and B.L. Connelly. 1992. Animal models of varicella. J. Infect. Dis. 166(Suppl 1):S48–S50.
- 7. Ott-Joslin, J.E. 1993. Zoonotic diseases of nonhuman primates. *In*: Fowler, M.E. (ed). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 3. W.B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 358-373.
- 8. Voevodin, A.F., and P.A. Marx (eds.). 2009. Varicelloviruses. *In*: Simian Virology. Willey-Blackwell, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 295-307.

INFECTIOUS BOVINE RHINOTRACHEITIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
cattle, goats, sheep, swine, red deer, American and Malaysian buffalo, and Brazilian tapirs.	aerosolization of viral particles from an infected animal. It also is transmitted sexually and via artificial insemination. Infection may become latent and can reoccur with stress, resulting in	production. Upper respiratory disease; conjunctivitis, corneal disease, and panophthalmitis; and reproductive, neurologic, and gastro-intestinal signs.	on secondary bacterial invasion.	itself but supportive care should be provided. Antibiotics in the feed and water are used to treat the secondary bacterial	Vaccination; isolation or removal of affected individuals and young until fully vaccinated; decrease stress. Use of a "marker" vaccine is helpful for screening. IBR is eradicated in 6 countries & is possible in others.	No.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Christie Hicks

Sheet completed on: updated 5 February 2018.

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: AJ Marlar

Susceptible animal groups: Ruminants especially cattle, goats, swine, red deer, Malaysian buffalo, and Brazilian tapirs. Young animals at weaning age and those in crowded conditions are especially susceptible. However, the disease does occur in adult animals, especially non-vaccinated pregnant ruminants.

Causative organism: Bovine Herpesvirus 1 (an alphaherpesvirus)

Zoonotic potential: None.

Distribution: Worldwide distribution is considered present. Eradication is being attempted in several western European countries.

Incubation period: 2 to 6 days. Outbreaks reach a maximum intensity by the 2nd to 3rd week with mostly all recovered by the 4th to 6th week. Uncomplicated BHV-1 (IBR) can resolve in 4 to 5 days if no secondary infections are present.

Clinical signs: An early sign is a decrease in milk production. Respiratory signs include coughing, serous to mucopurulent nasal discharge. Ophthalmic signs include conjunctivitis with an ocular discharge and corneal opacity, or panophthalmitis. "Red Nose" (muzzle hyperemia), respiratory distress due to discharges, salivation, anorexia, and pyrexia may also be seen. Secondary infections are possible and can lead to a bronchopneumonia. Neonates may present with generalized infection similar to a septicemia, enteritis, and/or encephalitis. IBR has been associated with a high mortality rate in calves (< 1 month of age) with no preceding signs. Mid- to late-term abortions can occur up to 100 days post exposure with infection of the dam and genital tract infections occurring. Infertility and birth defects have also been seen. Subclinical infections can occur.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Within the upper respiratory tract and trachea, petechial to ecchymotic hemorrhages are observed in the mucous membranes of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses. Focal areas of necrosis are present in the nose, pharynx, larynx, and trachea which may join together to form plaques. The sinuses can be filled with a serous to a serofibrinous exudate that may extend into the pharynx. The pharyngeal and pulmonary lymph nodes may become swollen and hemorrhagic. If the tracheitis extends into the bronchi and bronchioles, the epithelium can be sloughed into the airways. Nasal lesions consist of clusters of gray necrotic foci on the mucous membranes of the septal mucosa and/or with pseudo-diphtheritic

INFECTIOUS BOVINE RHINOTRACHEITIS

yellow plaques. Aborted fetuses have multifocal non-raised white lesions throughout the liver. Placentitis is occasionally seen.

Diagnosis: For acute cases, PCR may be performed. A serum neutralization test can be used with paired serum antibody titers at least 2 weeks apart, except in abortion cases as the titer is already at its highest level. ELISA for an antibody titer with a concurrent rise is available but also indirect hemagglutination and complement fixation serology as well. Virus isolation via nasal fluids at the early onset of disease is possible. Gross lesions at necropsy and histopathology. Immunoperoxidase, virus isolation, and fluorescent antibody staining on fetal tissues can also be performed in abortion cases. Patients with corneal lesions would require ruling out malignant catarrhal fever, infectious bovine keratoconjunctivitis (Moraxella bovis), and squamous cell carcinoma.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Nasal fluids, serum, plasma, milk, placenta, and/or tissues, for example the liver.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any state laboratory can perform the testing.

Treatment: While no treatment for the virus itself exists, one may treat for secondary bacterial infections with antibiotics and supportive care. Most cases recover in 4 to 5 days if secondary infections are not present.

Prevention and control: Vaccination with a modified live vaccine (MLV) given parenterally (SC or IM) or IN is possible. MLV given IM during pregnancy may cause abortions, especially in the third to eighth months of gestation, therefore a MLV is best administered twice before breeding with the second administration occurring 30 days prior to prebreeding and the next vaccination within 12 months afterwards. The MLV is also not safe for nursing calves unless the dam has been vaccinated within the last year and at prebreeding with the same vaccine. Vaccinating with an inactivated multivalent vaccine given SC or IM will protect against abortions if given prior to breeding. The use of the IN vaccine may be helpful for: a local rapid immune response; in those that are already pregnant; and may prevent new cases in an outbreak as long as the individuals vaccinated are not showing any clinical symptoms at the time of IN vaccination. For control: it is important to vaccinate at 6 to 8 months of age or 2 to 3 weeks before weaning, before introduction into the herd, prior to breeding, and annually thereafter. Quarantine all new individuals 4 weeks after arriving, with testing for IBR before arrival and before entrance into the herd.

Eradication can be attempted by screening all individuals that are at least 1 year of age and removing any

seropositive reactors, this process should continue annually. The best way to differentiate between the natural virus versus a vaccine titer is to use ELISA to test for Glycoprotein E (gE). gE is present in natural infections, however, newer "marker" vaccines have deleted gE from their make-up. Caution must be used as conventional vaccines still contain gE and can cause a false seropositive result. Currently, IBR is eradicated in Austria, Denmark, Finland, Sweden, Switzerland, and Norway.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: As an enveloped virus, it can be managed by lipid solvents, bleach, and hydrogen peroxides. This virus is also inactivated by UV light and heat.

Notification: No.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: A period of 2-3 weeks after the illness starts should be waited before introducing any new individuals into the herd. Viral particles can still be seen in nasal secretions throughout this time. All new arrivals into the herd must be vaccinated prior to entry and then revaccinated in 3 months and again at 6 months.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Serologic testing to detect any seropositive individuals. Removal of these individuals and/or creating 2 separate herds. The animals that fully recover from this disease will have long-term immunity to future outbreaks.

Experts who may be consulted: Any state veterinarian.

INFECTIOUS BOVINE RHINOTRACHEITIS

- 1. Andrews AH. Respiratory Diseases. In: Andrews AH, Blowey RW, Boyd H, Eddy RG (eds.). Bovine Medicine Diseases and Husbandry of Cattle 2nd Edition. Oxford (UK): Blackwell Science Ltd; 2004. p. 289–293.
- 2. Ardans A. Herpesviridae. In: Hirsh DC, MacLachlan NJ, Walker RL (eds.). Veterinary Microbiology 2nd Edition. Ames (IA): Blackwell Publishing Professional; 2004. p. 323–325.
- 3. Furtado MM, Jácomo AT, Kashivakura CK, Tôrres NM, Marvulo, MF, Ragozo AM, Pereira de Souza SL, Neto JS, Vasconcellos SA, Morais ZM, Cortez A, Richtzenhain LJ, Silva JC, Silveira L. Serologic survey for selected infectious diseases in free-ranging Brazilian tapirs (*Tapirus terrestris*) in the Cerrado of Central Brazil. J Zoo Wild. Med. 2010;41:133-136.
- 4. Kahn C, Line S (eds.). Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis. In: The Merck Veterinary Manual 9th Edition. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck & Co., Inc; 2005. p. 1193-1195.
- 5. Kahn C, Line S (eds.). Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis/Bovine Herpesvirus. In: The Merck Veterinary Manual 10th Edition. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck & Co., Inc; 2010. p. 1226, 1321-1322.
- 6. Pasquini C, Pasquini S (eds.). Guide to Bovine Clinics 3rd Edition. Pilot Point (TX): Sudz Publishing; 1996. p. 62 and 252.
- 7. The Cattle Site [Internet]. Cattle Disease Guide: Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis: c2000-2014 [cited 2018 February 5]. Available from http://www.thecattlesite.com/diseaseinfo/174/infectious-bovine-rhinotracheitis-ibr/
- 8. Troedsson MHT, Christensen BW. Diseases of the reproductive system. In: Smith BP (ed.). Large Animal Internal Medicine 4th Edition. St. Louis (MO): Mosby Elsevier; 2009. p. 1457–1459.
- 9. Wolfe BA. Bovidae (Except Sheep and Goats) and Antilocapridae. In: Miller RE, Fowler ME (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine, Volume 8, Current therapy. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2015. p. 638.
- 10. Zimmerman AD, Buterbaugh RE, Herbert JM, Hass JM, Frank NE, Luempert III LG, Chase C. Efficacy of bovine herpesvirus-1 inactivated vaccine against abortion and stillbirth in pregnant heifers. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2007; 231:1386-1389.
- 11. Zoetis [Internet]. Zoetis United States: c2019 [cited 2018 February 5]. Available from http://www.zoetisus.com

KOI HERPESVIRUS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Fishes, specifially members of the genus <i>Cyprinus</i> .	Horizontal and via fomites and possibly arthropod vectors.	Signs may be non-specific but can include, enophthalmia, branchitis, hemorrhagic gills, branchial necrosis, areas of skin depigmentation, and blisters.	Mortality may reach 100% and frequently is over 80%.	None.	Strict biosecurity and quarantine protocols should be followed based on information available through the OIE and USDA.	No.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Gregory A. Lewbart

Sheet completed on: 4 September 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Denise Petty; Thomas Waltzek; Ruth Francis-Floyd

Susceptible animal groups: Carp and koi (*Cyprinus carpio*) with evidence that goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) and other cyprinids can be non-clinical carriers of the virus.

Causative organism: Cyprinid herpesvirus-3 (Koi Herpesvirus or KHV).

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: Global, especially in temperate geographical areas, except for Australia. The disease was first identified in England, 1996.

Incubation period: Incubation period varies depending on water temperature; most cases are detected at 22°-25.5°C. Latent infections can likely persist for months or even years. Arthropods such as the fish louse (*Argulus* sp.) are likely vectors.

Clinical signs: Clinical signs include, but are not limited to, lethargy, enophthalmia, depigmented areas and blisters of the body surface, branchitis, branchial hemorrhage, branchial necrosis, and high mortality.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: At necropsy, affected fish may have generalized – possibly sanguineous – edema, organ hemorrhage, intestinal inflammation, branchial hemorrhage, branchial necrosis, mottled organs, and excessive abdominal adhesions.

Diagnosis: Diagnosis can be made directly with viral isolation from spleen or caudal kidney on a susceptible cell line such as Koi Fin (KF); this technique usually requires sacrificial euthanasia. Non-lethal direct methods utilizing polymerase chain reaction (PCR) that can be performed on blood, gill tissue biopsies, and feces. Non-lethal indirect methods include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and virus neutralization (VN) on blood, but currently test is not available. Positive indirect method samples only indicate that a fish has produced antibodies to the virus and may not, or ever have been, infected with KHV although this can vary by testing specificity.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Live, moribund fish are the best specimens for an accurate diagnosis. Virus isolation from appropriate tissues is superior to PCR and the indirect methods abovementioned.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Testing is available at various approved state and federal laboratories. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/downloads/ApprovedLabs_Aquaculture.pdf, including PCR at http://www.vetdna.com/archive/koiherpes.

Treatment None are effective or recommended.

KOI HERPESVIRUS

Prevention and control: Facilities holding and importing high risk cyprinid fishes should be diligent in following standard quarantine protocols and adhere to appropriate and periodic testing/screening as prescribed by the OIE and USDA. A modified live vaccine called (Cavoy[®], Novartis Inc.) was approved for use on koi by the FDA in 2012, but the company is no longer distributing the vaccine.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Disinfection protocol depends on the size, type and nature of the materials and sites to be disinfected. When an active outbreak of KHV is confirmed, the infected stocks should be depopulated and all areas that held the infected fish must be disinfected. The virus can survive in the environment for about 3 days but can be inactivated by sodium hypochlorite (200 ppm for 1 hour), quaternary ammonium (500 ppm for 1 hour), formalin, ozone, organic iodophors, gamma and ultraviolet radiation, pH extremes of < 4.0 or > 10.00, and heating at 60° C for 15 minutes. All equipment and tanks, raceways and ponds should be disinfected. USDA APHIS also recommends that incoming water to the farms be treated with sand filtration and UV.

Notification: All suspect cases should be necropsied and USDA contacted for proper routing of diagnostic samples. Confirmed cases must be reported to the USDA.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Once an infection is reported, a facility has to follow the recommendations described in the International Aquatic Animal Health Code and the Diagnostic Manual for Aquatic Animal Diseases by OIE to be declared free of KHV. In the US, USDA recommendations must be followed.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not applicable.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: See the OIE and USDA web sites for current information. Periodic testing with negative results may be required. A complete summary of the disease and diagnostic procedures may be found on the Office International des Epizooties (OIE) web site.

Experts who may be consulted:

- 1. Bergmann, S.M., H. Schutze, U. Fischer, D. Fichtner, M. Riechardt, K. Meyer, D. Schrudde, and J. Kempter. 2009. Detection of koi herpes virus (KHV) genome in apparently healthy fish. Bull. Euro. Assoc. Fish. Pathol. 29: 145-152.
- 2. Costes, B., V. Stalin Raj, B. Michel, G. Fournier, M. Thirion, L. Gillet, J. Mast, F. Lieffrig, M. Bremont, and A. Vanderplasschen. 2009. The major portal of entry of koi herpesvirus in *Cyprinus carpio* is the skin. J. Virol. 83: 2819-2830.
- 3. Eide, K., T. Miller-Morgan, J. Heidel, R. Bildfell, and L. Jin. 2011. Results of total DNA measurement in koi by tissue koi herpesvirus real-time PCR. J. Virol. Meth. 172: 81-84.
- 4. El-Matbouli, M., M. Saleh, and H. Soliman. 2007. Detection of cyprinid herpesvirus type 3 in goldfish cohabiting with CyHV3-infected koi carp (*Cyprinus carpio koi*). Vet. Rec. 161: 792-793.
- 5. Gilad, O., S. Yun, K.B. Andree, M.A. Adkison, A. Zlotkin, H. Bercovier, A. Eldar, and R.P. Hedrick. 2002. Initial characteristics of koi herpesvirus and development of a polymerase chain reaction assay to detect the virus in koi, *Cyprinus carpio* koi. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 48(2): 101-108.
- 6. Goodwin, A. 2012. Herpesviruses in fish. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center (SRAC) publication No. 4710, May 2012. 7 pp.
- 7. Gray, W.L., L. Mullis, S.E. LaPatra, J.M. Groff, and A. Goodwin. 2002. Detection of koi herpesvirus DNA in tissues of infected fish. J. Fish Dis. 25: 171-178.
- 8. Hartman, K.H., R.P.E. Yanong, D.B. Pouder, B.D. Petty, R. Francis-Floyd, A.C. Riggs, and T.B. Waltzek. 2013. Koi herpesvirus disease (KHVD). University of Florida Extension Fact Sheet #VM-149. http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/vm113 Accessed 9 September 2013.
- 9. Hedrick, R.P., O. Gilad, S. Yun, J.V. Spangenberg, G.D. Marty, R.W. Nordhausen, M.J. Kebus, H. Bercovier, and A. Eldar. 2000. A herpesvirus associated with mass mortality of juvenile and adult

KOI HERPESVIRUS

- koi, a strain of common carp. J. Aquat. Anim. Health 12: 44-57.
- 10. Hedrick, R.P., T.B. Waltzek, and T.S. McDowell. 2006. Susceptibility of koi carp, common carp, goldfish, and goldfish x common carp hybrids to Cyprinid herpesvirus 2 and Cyprinid herpesvirus 3. J. Aquat. Anim. Health 18: 26-34.
- 11. Noga, E.J. 2010. Fish disease: diagnosis and treatment. Wiley-Blackwell, Ames, Iowa. 536 pp.
- 12. Office International des Epizooties. 2013. Diseases listed by the OIE. Aquatic Animal Health Code, 15th Edition, OIE Aquatic Animal Health Standards Commission (Aquatic Animals Commission), Office International des Epizooties. Available: www.oie.int/eng/normes/fcode/en_sommaire.htm. Accessed 9 September 2013.
- 13. Perelberg, A., M. Smirnov, M. Hutoran, A. Diamant, Y. Bejerano, and M. Kotler. 2003. Epidemiological description of a new viral disease afflicting cultured *Cyprinus carpio* in Israel. Isr. J. Aquac. Bamidgeh 55: 5-12.
- 14. Perelberg, A., M. Ilouze, M. Kotler, and M. Steinitz. 2008. Antibody response and resistance of *Cyprinus carpio* immunized with cyprinid herpes virus 3 (CyHV3). Vaccine 26: 3750-3756.
- 15. Ronen, A., A. Perelberg, J. Abramowitz, M. Hutoran, S. Tinman, I. Bejerano, M.Steinitz, and M. Kotler. 2003. Efficient vaccine against the virus causing a lethal disease in cultured *Cyprinus carpio*. Vaccine 21(32): 4677-4684.
- 16. Shimizu, T., N. Yoshida, H. Kasai, and M. Yoshimizu. 2006. Survival of koi herpesvirus (KHV) in environmental water. Fish Path. 41: 153-157.
- 17. St-Hilaire, S., N. Beevers, K. Way, R.M. Le Deuff, P. Martin, and C. Joiner. 2005. Reactivation of koi herpesvirus infections in common carp *Cyprinus carpio*. Dis. Aquat. Org. 67: 15-23.
- 18. St-Hilaire, S., N. Beevers, C. Joiner, R.P. Hedrick, and K. Way. 2009. Antibody response of two populations of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L., exposed to koi herpesvirus. J. Fish Dis. 32: 311-320.
- 19. Waltzek, T.B., and R.P. Hedrick. 2003. Koi Herpesvirus update 2004. Calif. Vet. July/August. P. 14.
- 20. Waltzek, T.B., G. O. Kelley, D.M. Stone, K. Way, L. Hanson, H. Fukuda, I. Hirono, T. Aoki, A.J. Davison, and R.P. Hedrick. 2005. Koiherpesvirus represents a third cyprinid herpesvirus (CyHV-3) in the family *Herpesviridae*. J. Gen. Virol. 86: 1659-1667.
- 21. Waltzek, T.B., G.O. Kelley, M.E. Alfaro, T. Kurobe, A.J. Davison, and R.P. Hedrick. 2009. Phylogenetic relationships in the family *Alloherpesviridae*. Dis. Aquat. Org. 84: 179-194.

MACROPOD HERPESVIRUS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Macropods, potoroids; possibly other marsupials	Direct contact	MaHV-1: death, pyrexia, respiratory signs, conjunctivitis, anogenital vesicles. MaHV-2: death, conjunctivitis, oral and anogenital lesions. MaHV-3: possibly anogenital ulcerations.	Severe fatal clinical disease reported with MaHV-1 and -2. Mild lesions in immune-compromised individuals with MaHV-3.	None known. Supportive care. Efficacy of antiviral drugs unknown.	Isolate positive individuals from negative individuals.	Not reported; unlikely

Fact Sheet compiled by: Joseph A. Smith

Sheet completed on: 1 February 2011; updated 15 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: James Wellehan, Roman Pogranichniy

Susceptible animal groups: This disease generally affects macropods and potoroids. MaHV-1 was first described in parma wallabies (*Macropus parma*). MaHV-2 was first described in grey dorcopsis (*Dorcopsis muelleri luctuosa*) and quokkas (*Setonix brachyurus*). MaHV-3 was first described in eastern grey kangaroos (*M. giganteus*). Non-specified herpesvirus infections have also been reported in tammar wallabies (*M. eugenii*), western grey kangaroos (*M. fuliginosus*), brush-tailed rat kangaroos (*Bettongia penicillata*) and rufous rat kangaroos (*Aepyprymnus rufescens*). MaHV-1 has been experimentally induced in brush-tailed possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*).

Causative organism: Alphaherpesviruses Macropodid herpesvirus 1 (MaHV-1) and Macropodid herpesvirus 2 (MaHV-2); Gammaherpesvirus Macropodid herpesvirus 3 (MaHV-3)

Zoonotic potential: Not reported; unlikely.

Distribution: Clinical disease has only been reported in captive animals. One study reported seropositive rates of 23% of wild marsupials and 41% of captive marsupials in Australia, although specificity of the serologic testing is unknown. MaHV-1 and MaHV-2 have only been reported in animals in Australia. MaHV-3 has been reported in captive eastern grey kangaroos in the US as well as in wild eastern grey kangaroos.

Incubation period: Not known.

Clinical signs:

<u>MaHV-1:</u> Fatal systemic infections resulting in severe clinical signs including pyrexia, respiratory signs, conjunctivitis, and anogenital vesicles.

<u>MaHV-2:</u> Fatal systemic disease characterized by conjunctivitis and lesions on the oral and anogenital mucous membranes.

<u>MaHV-3:</u> Typically a subclinical systemic disease. Mild to moderate ulcerative cloacitis found in immunocompromised individuals may be associated with this virus.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

MACROPOD HERPESVIRUS

<u>MaHV-1</u>: <u>Gross-Vesicles</u> and ulcers of skin, lips, eyelids, and anogenital mucosa; rhinitis; mild to severe keratitis. <u>Histologic-necrotic epithelium</u> and inflammatory debris; numerous large basophilic or eosinophilic intranuclear inclusions.

<u>MaHV-2</u>: <u>Gross</u>-purulent conjunctivitis; red edematous lung lesions; pinpoint (1mm) yellow foci in the liver; erythematous mucosal lesions in the gastrointestinal tract; mesenteric lymphadenopathy; erythematous mucous membranes; yellow plaques on reproductive tract. <u>Histologic</u>-disseminated focal necrosis; intranuclear acidophilic or basophilic inclusions.

<u>MaHV-3</u>: <u>Gross</u>-Ulcerative cloacitis was found in MaHV-3 positive individuals. A definitive association with the virus has not been proven. <u>Histologic</u>-No inclusions were identified in PCR-positive tissues.

Diagnosis: Virus isolation, PCR, or serology. Serology is not available in North America.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Swab or tissue sample of affected tissue.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Herpesvirus consensus PCR: University of Florida Zoological Medicine Laboratory.

http://labs.vetmed.ufl.edu/sample-requirements/microbiology-parasitology-serology/zoo-med-infections/ Serologic tests are not commercially available in North America.

Treatment: No successful treatment is reported. Clinical signs should be treated with supportive care. The use of antiviral drugs with these viruses has not been reported.

Prevention and control: Specific guidelines have not been reported or investigated. Generally, positive individuals should be kept physically separated from negative individuals to prevent direct transmission. Care should also be taken to prevent indirect transmission through fomites. The role of vectors in the transmission of these viruses is unknown.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: No studies of disinfectant efficacy have been reported to date. However, as enveloped viruses, macropod herpesviruses are presumed to be unstable in the environment and should be susceptible to the most common disinfectant strategies.

Notification: Not a reportable disease.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Animals should be assumed to be infected for life with the possibility of transmitting virus to non-infected animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Due to life-long infections, removal of positive animals from the group is the only known method for obtaining a disease-free status.

Experts who may be consulted:

Joseph A. Smith, DVM Fort Wayne Children's Zoo 3411 Sherman Blvd Fort Wayne, IN 46808 260-427-6815

Email: vet@kidszoo.org

Jim Wellehan, DVM, PhD, DACZM, DACVM

Zoological Medicine Service

University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32160 Email: wellehanj@ufl.edu

References:

1. Acland, H.M., 1981. Parma wallaby herpesvirus infection. J. Wildl. Dis. 17: 471–477.

MACROPOD HERPESVIRUS

- 2. Callinan, R.B., and B. Kefford. 1981. Mortalities associated with herpesvirus infection in captive macropods. J. Wildl. Dis. 17: 311–317.
- 3. Dickson, J., W.I. Hopkinson, and W Coackley. 1980. Herpesvirus hepatitis in rat kangaroos. Aust. Vet. J. 56: 463–464.
- 4. Finnie, E.P., I.R. Littlejohns, and H.M. Acland. 1976. Mortalities in parma wallabies (*Macropus parma*) associated with probable herpesvirus. Aust. Vet. J. 52: 294.
- 5. Guliani, S., G.A. Smith, P.L. Young, J.S. Mattick, and T.J. Mahony. 1999. Reactivation of a macropodid herpesvirus from the eastern grey kangaroo (*Macropus giganteus*) following corticosteroid treatment. Vet. Microbiol. 68: 59–69.
- 6. Smith, J.A., J.F.X. Wellehan, R.M. Pogranichniy, A.L. Childress, J.A. Landolfi, and K.A. Terio. 2008. Identification and isolation of a novel herpesvirus in a captive mob of eastern grey kangaroos (*Macropus giganteus*). Vet. Microbiol. 129: 236-245.
- 7. Webber, C.E., and J.M. Whalley. 1978. Widespread occurrence in Australian marsupials of neutralizing antibodies to a herpesvirus from a parma wallaby. Aust. J. Exp. Biol. Med. Sci. 56: 351–357.
- 8. Wilcox, R., P. Vaz, N. Ficorilli, P. Whiteley, C. Wilks, and J. Devlin. 2011. Gamma-herpesvirus infection in a free-ranging eastern grey kangaroo (*Macropus giganteus*). Aust. Vet. J. 89: 55-57.
- 9. Wilks, C.R., B. Kefford, and R.B. Callinan. 1981. Herpesvirus as a cause of fatal disease in Australian wallabies. J. Comp. Path. 91: 461–465.
- 10. Zheng, T., A. M. Napier, J.S. O'Keefe, and B. M. Buddle. 2004. Experimental infection of possums with macropodid herpesvirus 1. New Zeal. Vet. J. 52: 20–25.

MALIGNANT CATARRHAL FEVER (MCF)

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected		Signs				
Many species of Artiodactyla, including bovids, cervids, giraffids, suids Blue and black wildebeest are common maintenance hosts.	Direct contact with infected individuals and bodily fluids (nasal and ocular secretions). Fomites Water Rangeland Feed Aerosol transmission also important for some of the viruses. Transmitted only between carriers and clinically susceptible animals. Affected animals do not transmit MCF to their conspecifics.	Mucous membrane ulceration and inflammation, high fever, oral and nasal exudates, corneal opacities, and lymphadenopathy. Additional signs may include: neurologic signs, diarrhea, arthritis, and skin lesions.	Typically fatal in susceptible species Up to 25% of cattle may develop chronic disease with a waxing and waning course. Up to 5% may clinically recover, but most eventually die.	None, usually ineffective	Separate clinically susceptible species from known carrier species such as sheep, goats, and wildebeest in known infected areas to prevent direct transmission Prevent fomite transmission Use precautions to prevent aerosol transmission	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Danelle M. Okeson and Enrique Yarto

Sheet completed on: updated December 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Gretchen Cole

Susceptible animal groups: Cervidae, Bovidae, Giraffidae, Suidae

Causative organism: Herpesviruses classified in the genus *Macavirus*. At least 10 viruses have been identified that are categorized within the MCF virus group. Some have been associated with MCF in clinically susceptible species.

Alcelaphine herpesvirus 1 (AlHV-1; classic African MCF/wildebeest-associated), carried by wildebeest; susceptible species = Cervidae and Bovidae

Ovine herpesvirus 2 (OvHV-2; sheep-associated), carried by domestic and wild bighorn sheep, considered endemic in domestic sheep; susceptible species = ruminant species and swine. Most MCF cases in domestic cattle and bison in the US are due to OvHV-2. European breeds of cattle (*Bos taurus*), are relatively resistant, but Bali cattle, bison, and some cervid species such as Pere David's deer are highly susceptible.

Caprine herpesvirus 2 (CpHV-2), carried by domestic and exotic goats, considered endemic in domestic goats; clinically susceptible species = white-tailed deer, Sika deer, moose and pudu.

A herpesvirus referred to as "malignant catarrhal fever virus-white tailed deer" (MCFV-WTD), carrier unknown; susceptible species = white-tailed deer.

Ibex-MCFV, carried by Nubian ibex (*Capra nubiana*); prior to a case in a captive bongo (*Tragelaphus euryceros*) the virus was not considered pathogenic.

Alcelaphine herpesvirus 2 (AlHV-2), identified in but non-pathogenic in Jackson's hartebeest; clinically

MALIGNANT CATARRHAL FEVER (MCF)

susceptible species = Barbary red deer (*Cervus elaphus barbarus*)

Other herpesviruses categorized in the same group as the pathogenic MCF viruses have been identified in aoudad, roan antelope, musk ox, gemsbok, but do not yet appear to cause disease under natural conditions.

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: Disease may occur worldwide in situations in which clinically susceptible species are in contact with carrier species.

Incubation period: It varies depending on several factors such as amount of virus transmitted and host. In field outbreaks, the incubation period for bison is about 40 to 70 days. Cattle have become ill in as few as 9 days, while other evidence suggests that some cattle may be subclinically infected for 20 months or more before developing the disease. The latter case could be due to a long period of subclinical infection followed by viral reactivation leading to clinical disease. Cattle have become infected 11-73 days after the administration of blood from OvHV-2 infected sick cattle.

Clinical signs: These vary with susceptibility of affected species. Highly susceptible species may have a peracute course with few to no clinical signs or sudden death after non-specific signs such as depression, weakness, and diarrhea. Acute disease may involve high fever and a loss of appetite. Clinical signs may include mucous membrane ulceration and inflammation, high fever, oral and nasal exudates, corneal opacities (common in domestic cattle), and lymphadenopathy. Additional signs may include: neurologic signs, diarrhea, arthritis, and skin lesions may also develop.

Domestic sheep: Systemic necrotizing vasculitis or "polyarteritis nodosa" has been found to be associated with OvHV-2.

Free-ranging bighorn sheep: muscle atrophy, marked weight loss, and bilaterally symmetric alopecia with hyperpigmentation and crusting over the face, medial surfaces of the pinnae, dorsal trunk, distal limbs, perineal area, and tail was found in a free-ranging bighorn sheep affected by OvHV-2.

Moose: lymphocytic vasculitis in the brain and panuveitis were seen in a captive moose which died of CPHV-2.

Carrier species (wildebeest) do not typically develop clinical signs.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: These may vary with disease severity and course, but often include "inflammation and epithelial necrosis in the gastrointestinal, respiratory, and urinary tracts, with lymphoproliferation, infiltration of nonlymphoid tissues (particularly the renal cortex and periportal areas of the liver) by lymphoid cells, and vasculitis".

Diagnosis: PCR (polymerase chain reaction) is the method of choice for viral detection.

Serological tests for antibodies include competitive inhibition ELISA (cELISA), immunoperoxidase test (IPT), neutralization test (NT) and others. Detection of antibodies indicates infection, not necessarily disease.

In susceptible species such as cattle, bison and deer, detection of MCF antibodies indicates infection, but is not diagnostic of disease; lack of antibodies when performing cELISA usually is an indicative of a lack of infection, with the exception of very early stages of infection <1 week before antibodies can be produced.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Antibody testing by cELISA - serum or plasma.

Antemortem detection of viral DNA by PCR - whole blood in EDTA.

Postmortem detection of viral DNA by PCR – preferred fixed tissue samples - lymph node or spleen; but lung, brain, kidney, and intestine among others are also acceptable.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory (WADDL), Pullman, Washington.

http://www.vetmed.wsu.edu/mcf/

National Veterinary Services Laboratories (NVSL) in Ames, Iowa.

NSW Government-Department of Primary Industries.

Treatment: No treatment is available or usually ineffective. Supportive care may be administered, but

MALIGNANT CATARRHAL FEVER (MCF)

disease is often acute and fatal in highly susceptible species. Some animals may die without clinical signs. Occasional reports of recovery in treated cattle exist, but some cattle may also recover without treatment.

Prevention and control: Separate clinically susceptible species from carrier species such as wildebeest, domestic and exotic sheep, and domestic and exotic goat species. Bovids, particularly bison and water buffalo, are highly susceptible to MCF. Exotic members of the bovidae family such as bongo antelope have died from MCF traced back to an exotic goat species. Wildebeest-associated MCF has occurred in domestic cattle in the U.S. when the two species were housed together.

Cervids should not be mixed with sheep, goats, or wildebeest.

Prevent direct contact and fomite transmission. Transmission of the wildebeest-associated form (AlHV-1) and the sheep-associated form (OvHV-2) is believed to occur primarily from either direct contact with infected body fluids or secretions, or via fomites such as water sources, feeders, caretakers, and birds. Calving is considered a high risk period for transmission.

Use precautions to prevent aerosol transmission. Transmission of the disease over relatively short distances has occurred, indicating that direct contact is not absolutely necessary. Aerosol transmission is a significant mode of transmission of OvHV-2 in domestic sheep.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities:

Herpesviruses causing MCF are typically "fragile and quickly inactivated in harsh environments", so common disinfectants are likely effective. However, if heavy organic debris is present the OIE recommends 3% sodium hypochlorite.

Notification: The wildebeest-associated and sheep-associated forms are reportable diseases under USDA-APHIS-VS National Animal Health Reporting System. MCF clinical signs may appear similar to foreign animal diseases such as rinderpest and foot and mouth disease.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Clinically susceptible species should not be introduced to carrier species. Clinically susceptible species should be physically separated from carrier species. In addition, separate keeper staff and equipment should be used to prevent fomite transmission.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: See prevention and control measures.

Experts who may be consulted:

Hong Li, DVM, PhD

Animal Disease Research Unit, USDA-ARS

Washington State University

Phone: (509) 335-6002 Fax: (509) 335-8328 3019 ADBV, WSU

Pullman, WA 99164-6630 hli@vetmed.wsu.edu or Hong.Li@ARS.USDA.GOV

- 1. Barnard BJH, Van de Pypekamp HE. Wildebeest-derived malignant catarrhal fever: unusual epidemiology in South Africa. Onderstepoort J Vet Res. 1988;55:69-71.
- 2. Bengis RG, Kock RA, Fischer J. Infectious animal diseases: the wildlife/livestock interface. Rev sci tech Off Int Epiz. 2002;21(2):53-65.
- 3. Center for Food Security & Public Health, Iowa State University [Internet]. Malignant Catarrhal Fever; c2012-2016 [cited 2019 March 5]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/malignant_catarrhal_fever.pdf
- 4. Crawford TB, Li H, Rosenburg SR, Nordhausen RW, Garner MM. Mural folliculitis and alopecia caused by infection with goat-associated malignant catarrhal fever virus in two sika deer. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2002;221:843-847.

MALIGNANT CATARRHAL FEVER (MCF)

- 5. Gasper D, Barr B, Li H, Taus N, Peterson R, Benjamin G, Hunt T, Pesavento PA. Ibex-associated malignant catarrhal fever-like disease in a group of bongo antelope (*Tragelaphus euryceros*). Vet Pathol. 2012;49(3):492-497.
- 6. Heuschele WP. Malignant catarrhal fever. In: Fowler ME (ed.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine Current Therapy 3rd Ed. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders Company; 1993. p. 504-506.
- 7. Heuschele WP, Reid HW. Malignant catarrhal fever. In: Williams ES, Barker IK (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, 3rd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 157-164.
- 8. Li, H, Cunha CW, Taus NS, Knowles DP. Malignant catarrhal fever: inching toward understanding. Annu Rev Anim Biosci. 2014;2:209-233.
- 9. Li H, Kunha CW, Taus NS. Malignant catarrhal fever: understanding molecular diagnostics in context of epidemiology. Int J Mol Sci. 2011;12:6881-6893.
- 10. Li H, Gailbreath K, Bender LC, West K, Keller J, Crawford TB. Evidence of three new members of malignant catarrhal fever virus group in muskox (*Ovibos moschatus*), Nubian ibex (*Capra nubiana*), and gemsbok (*Oryx gazella*). J Wildl Dis. 2003;39:875-880.
- 11. Li H, Keller J, Knowles DP, Crawford TB. Recognition of another member of the malignant catarrhal fever virus group: an endemic gammaherpesvirus in domestic goats. J Gen Virol. 2001;82:227-232.
- 12. Loken T, Aleksandersen M, Reid H, Pow L. Malignant catarrhal fever caused by ovine herpesvirus-2 in pigs in Norway. Vet Rec. 1998;143:464-467.
- 13. Modesto P, Grattarola C, Biolatti C, Varello K, Casalone C, Mandola ML, Caruso C, Dondo A, Goria M, Rocca F, Decaro N. First report of malignant catarrhal fever in a captive pudu (*Pudu pudua*). Res Vet Sci. 2015;99:212-214.
- 14. Okeson DM, Garner MM, Taus NS, Li H, Coke RL. Ibex-associated malignant catarrhal fever in a bongo antelope (*Tragelaphus euryceros*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2007;38:460-464.
- 15. O'Toole D, Li H, Sourk C, Montgomery DL, Crawford TB. Malignant catarrhal fever in a bison (*Bison bison*) feedlot, 1993-2000. J Vet Diagn. Invest. 2002;14:183-193.
- 16. Pesavento PA, Dange RB, Ferreras MC, Dasjerdi A, Pérez V, LaRoca A, Silváin JB, Diab S, Jackson K, Phillips IL, Li H, Cunha CW, Wessels M. 2018. Systemic Necrotizing Vasculitis in Sheep Is Associated With Ovine Herpesvirus 2. Vet Pathol. Sep 10
- 17. Pesavento PA, Cunha CW, Li H, Jackson K, O'Toole D. In Situ Hybridization for Localization of Ovine Herpesvirus 2, the Agent of Sheep-Associated Malignant Catarrhal Fever, in Formalin-Fixed Tissues. Vet Pathol. 2018;56(1):87-92.
- 18. Seeley KE, Junge RE, Jennings RN, Cunha CW, Li H. Moose (Alces alces) mortality associated with caprine herpesvirus 2 (cphv-2) in a zoological collection. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2018;49(3):774-778.
- 19. Slater OM, Peters Kennedy J, Lejeune M, Gummer D, Macbeth B, Warren A, Joseph T, Li H, Cinha CW, Duignan PJ. Sheep-associated Malignant Catarrhal Fever -like skin disease in a free-ranging bighorn sheep (Ovis canadensis), Alberta, Canada. J Wildl. Dis. 2017;53(1):153-158.
- 20. Wambua L, Wambua PN, Ramogo AM, Mijele D, Otiende MY. Wildebeest-associated malignant catarrhal fever: perspectives for integrated control of a lymphoproliferative disease of cattle in sub-Saharan Africa. Arch Virol. 2016;161(1):1-10.
- 21. Washington State University [Internet]. Veterinary Microbiology and Pathology Research, Malignant Catarrhal Fever (MCF); [cited 2017 December 18]. Available from: http://vmp.vetmed.wsu.edu/research/malignant-catarrhal-fever/overview
- 22. Washington State University Veterinary Medicine Extension [Internet]. Malignant Catarrhal Fever in cattle; [cited 2019 March 5]. Available from https://s3.wp.wsu.edu/uploads/sites/2050/2016/03/MCF-factsheet.pdf

PSITTACID HERPESVIRUS 1/PACHECO'S DISEASE

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Psittacines,	Ingestion of	Death with few	Dependent on	Acyclovir	Closed	No
rarely	contaminated	premonitory	viral genotype	is very	flocks,	
passerines	material from	signs.	and species of	effective at	isolating or	
	oral secretions		birds, death	stopping	culling	
	or feces.	Rarely	can range from	outbreaks	subclinical	
		nonspecific	single birds to	when the	carriers, and	
	Aerosol route	signs,	flock majority.	entire flock	testing new	
	is possible but	including		is treated.	arrivals at	
	not proven.	lethargy and	Virtually all		quarantine	
		the presence of	birds showing		may assist	
		bile pigments	signs of the		in disease	
		in urine.	acute form will		prevention.	
			die unless			
		Three of four	treated.			
		PcHV-1				
		genotypes have	Mucosal			
		been	papillomas			
		associated with	cause			
		oral and	considerable			
		cloacal	morbidity but			
		mucosal	are rarely fatal.			
		papillomas.	-			

Fact Sheet compiled by: Nadia Stegeman

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011; updated 20 March 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: David N. Phalen; Lauren V. Powers

Susceptible animal groups: Psittacines; and less commonly passerines although it has been reported in birds such as finches, canaries, and barbets (family Lybiidae).

Causative organism: Psittacid herpesvirus 1 (PsHV-1), formerly "Pacheco's disease (PD) virus", has 4 genotypes corresponding to 3 serotypes, and is an alphaherpesvirus. The pathogenicity of genotype varies significantly although all four genotypes have been shown to cause PD. Recently, in African grey parrots, psittacid herpesvirus 2 (PsHV-2) was identified from cloacal mucosa.

Zoonotic potential: None reported.

Distribution: Presumably worldwide due to bird trade, but it is most prevalent in densely populated captive psittacine collections. Case reports have documented confirmed disease in North America, Europe, Africa, Australia/New Zealand, the Middle East and Asia. A recent study suggests a 7% prevalence of PsHV-1 infection in the general US population of parrots. It is suspected that these viruses have evolved with Central and South American parrots.

Incubation period: Experimentally, 5-10 days to establish infection. Papillomas develop within a year of infection.

Clinical signs:

<u>Acute</u>: Death with no premonitory signs, aside from possible depression, anorexia, diarrhea, and yellow urates (biliverdinuria). In antemortem clinical chemistries, marked AST elevation can be found. Chronic: Oral/cloacal papillomas that produce tenesmus and can be associated with frank blood from the

PSITTACID HERPESVIRUS 1/PACHECO'S DISEASE

cloaca. In extreme cases, and when bile duct and pancreatic duct carcinomas develop, chronic wasting can occur.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

Due to rapid death, acutely affected birds may show no gross lesions. However, when abnormalities are present, they may be in the liver, spleen, kidneys and intestines. Histopathological findings include multiorgan (e.g., spleen, intestines, pancreas, trachea, air sacs) necrotizing lesions, hemorrhage and congestion of the liver, spleen, and kidneys and hepatomegaly or splenomegaly may be seen. Intranuclear inclusion bodies (Cowdry Type A) are most common in the liver, but have been demonstrated in the kidneys, spleen, pancreas and small intestines.

In the chronic form of the disease, mucosal papillomas may be seen, most commonly in the oral and cloacal mucosa or upper gastrointestinal tract. These lesions are found in the disease complex termed internal papillomatosis of parrots (IPP). A high prevalence of carcinomas in the bile duct and pancreatic duct has been observed in aviaries where IPP had been noted in birds infected with PcHV-1 genotype 3. These tumors can be, but not always, detected with coelomic ultrasound and are associated with a rise in serum GGT. Many ventricular and cloacal carcinomas appear to be caused by PsHVs. Cloacal carcinomas have a grave prognosis due to the reportedly high metastatic rate. One case report discusses chronic active pancreatitis (with diabetes mellitus, weight loss, PU/PD, glucosuria, and hyperglycemia) associated with PsHV-1 infection in a cockatiel (*Nymphicus hollandicus*).

Diagnosis:

<u>Inapparently infected birds</u>: Gross identification of mucosal papillomas. PCR or real-time PCR on cloacal, oral mucosal swabs and whole blood. It is important to note that the virus is shed intermittently, leading to the possibility of false negative results by PCR. However, the majority of birds remain PCR positive at all time; the sensitivity of mucosal swabs is higher than that of whole blood. Serology has practical merit. Birds that are serologically positive are likely latently infected.

<u>Post-mortem specimens</u>: Characteristic histologic findings, electron microscopy, cell culture, immunofluorescent antibody staining and PCR.

Material required for laboratory analysis: It is important to note that the virus is shed intermittently, leading to the possibility of false negatives. However, the majority of birds remain PCR positive at all times. The sensitivity of mucosal swabs is higher than that of whole blood.

<u>Pacheco's Disease:</u> Whole blood, tissues (frozen liver/spleen or swabs), frozen liver/spleen for culture, choanal/cloacal swabs, histopathology of liver, spleen, pancreas, intestine, crop Subclinically infected birds: Choanal/cloaca swabs, serum, whole blood

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Veterinary Molecular Diagnostics 5989 Meijer Dr. Suite 5 Milford, Ohio 45150 513-576-1808

(PCR based DNA probe; can detect all PsHV-1 variants)

Treatment: Acyclovir (80-100mg/kg three times a day for 10 days; Zovivax, GlaxoSmithKline) has been shown to reduce the sickness and death of PsHV-1 affected birds and generally, after a few days of treatment, all deaths cease. Acylovir has been associated with kidney damage in some species but this problem is uncommon or rare.

Mucosal papillomas typically wax and wane and only require surgical intervention in extreme cases. Surgical resection of papillomas is a palliative treatment.

Prevention and control: Screening and isolation of infected individuals is critical. PCR positive birds

PSITTACID HERPESVIRUS 1/PACHECO'S DISEASE

should be housed separately from other parrots. Macaws, conures and Amazon parrots and should be carefully examined prior to acquisition. That being said, not all PcHV-1 genotypes are serologically cross reactive, meaning that infection with one variant of the virus does not protect from infection from another. Control methods in the midst of an outbreak are debated. While some support catching and moving individual birds, others advocate minimal disturbances until the outbreak is over. Immediate treatment of exposed birds with acyclovir at 1mg/ml drinking water and 400mg/kg of soft mash) is indicated. Gavage feeding at 70-100mg/kg BID has also been suggested.

Commercial monovalent vaccine (killed virus) for PsHV-1 is derived from a single, unreported serotype. It is not known how much protection this vaccination provides against variants other than genotype 1. Complications from vaccine include injection granulomas and acute death. Cockatoos appear to be overrepresented in populations experiencing complications. Additionally the product, Psittimmune PDV (Biomune in Lenexa, Kansas) no longer appears available. One case report suggests autogenous, formalininactivated vaccine with aluminum hydroxide gel adjuvant may stop virus spread, decreasing morbidity and mortality.

Individuals with this disease can continue to be used as breeders. However, all eggs must be artificially incubated and hand-raised until vertical transmission impacts are better established.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: As PsHV-1 is an enveloped virus, it is readily inactivated by commonly used disinfectants. EPA approved disinfectant (virucidal, fungicidal, bacteriocidal) or sodium hypochlorite (bleach) solution (800ppm) is effective for most herpesviruses. It can also be inactivated by heating to 56°C for 10 minutes or by exposing it to pH <5.

Notification: None required, although notification to institutions that received birds previously exposed to chronic shedders is recommended.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Isolating infected and exposed individuals, testing exposed individuals after clinical signs in the aviary subside.

Experts who may be consulted:

David N. Phalen, DVM, PhD, DABVP (Avian)

Director Wildlife Health and Conservation Center, University of Sydney

Sydney, NSW

david.phalen@sydney.edu.au

- 1. Herpesviridae. 1995. *In:* Ritchie, B.W. Avian Viruses: Function and Control. Wingers Publishing, Lake Worth, Florida. Pp. 171-222.
- 2. Katoh, H., H. Ogawa, K. Ohya, and H. Fukushi. 2010. A review of DNA viral infections in psittacine birds. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 72(9): 1099-1106.
- 3. Phalen, D., M. Falcon, and E. Tomaszewski. 2007. Endocrine pancreatic insufficiency secondary to chronic herpesvirus pancreatitis in a cockatiel (*Nymphicus hollandicus*). J. Av. Med. Surg. 21(2): 140-145.
- 4. Phalen D., E. Tomaszewski, and D. Styles. 2009. Epizootiology, diversity and pathogenicity of Psittacid Herpesviruses. Proc. Annu. Conf. Assoc. Av. Vet. Milwaukee, Wisconsin: 47-51.
- 5. Phalen, D., and R. Woods. 2009. Psittacid Herpesviruses and mucosal papillomas of psittacine birds in Australia: Fact Sheet. Australian Wildlife Health Network. http://www.wildlifehealth.org.au/Portals/0/Documents/FactSheets/Herpesviruses%20(Psittacine)%2 0Aug%202009%20(2.2).pdf. Accessed 13 August 2013.
- 6. Phalen, D.N. 2006. Psittacid herpesviruses. In: Harrison, G.J. and T. Lightfoot (eds.). Clinical

PSITTACID HERPESVIRUS 1/PACHECO'S DISEASE

- Avian Medicine. Vol. II. Spix Publishing, Inc., Palm Beach, Florida. Pp. 732-734.
- 7. Styles, D. K., E.K. Tomaszewski, and D.N. Phalen. 2005. A novel psittacid herpesvirus found in African grey parrots (*Psittacus erithacus erithacus*). Avian Pathol. 34: 150–154.
- 8. Tomaszewski, E.K., W. Wigle, and D.N. Phalen. 2006. Tissue distribution of psittacid herpesviruses in latently infected parrots, repeated sampling of latently infected parrots and prevalence of latency in parrots submitted for necropsy. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 18: 536–544.
- 9. Tomaszewski, E.K., M. Gravendyck, E.F. Kaleta, and D.N. Phalen. 2004. Genetic characterization of a herpesvirus isolate from a superb starling (*Lamprotornis superbus*) as a psittacid herpesvirus genotype 1. Avian Dis. 48(1): 212-214.
- 10. Tomaszewski, E.K., E.F. Kaleta, and D.N. Phalen. 2003. Molecular phylogeny of the psittacid herpesvirus causing Pacheco's disease: correlation of genotype with phenotypic expression. J.Virol. 77: 11260–11267.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **PSEUDORABIES (AUJESZKY'S DISEASE)**

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Swine are the	Primarily	Pyrexia,	High and low	No treatment	Vaccination is	No.
only natural	through	depression,	virulence	is available.	available with	
hosts of the	venereal	anorexia,	strains are		regulatory	
virus. However,	route or	tremors,	known.	Surviving	permission	
it affects a wide	horizontal	incoordination,		animals are	and is	
range of	transmission	vomiting,	Disease is	infected for	effective at	
mammalian	via oral,	ptyalism	highly	life.	reducing	
hosts, excluding	nasal,	(foaming),	virulent and		clinical signs.	
humans and	digestive or	blindness,	often 100%			
some non-	reproductive	convusions,	fatal in		Infected	
human primates.	mucosa.	coma, and	susceptible		operations are	
		death.	non-suids.		quarantined	
	Other				and infected	
	potential				animals	
	methods of				removed.	
	transmission					
	include				Feral swine	
	mechanical				may be	
	(via fomites				monitored to	
	or vehicles)				identify high	
	and viral				risk areas.	
	aerosol-					
	ization.					

Fact Sheet compiled by: Kerri Pedersen and Yvonne Nadler

Sheet completed on: 9 September 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Tom Deliberto; Troy Bigelow; Evan Sorley; Lowell Anderson; Mark Schoenbaum **Susceptible animal groups:** Domestic and feral swine are the primary hosts but disease can be transmitted to other mammalian species. The virus is known to infect deer, foxes, raccoons, skunks, bears, rats, coyotes, mink and panthers. Cattle, goats, dogs, and cats also are susceptible to the disease. Experimental infection has been seen in rhesus monkeys, marmosets and several bird species.

Causative organism: Pseudorabies also referred to as Aujeszky's disease is caused by Suid herpesvirus 1 *Varicellovirus* in family *Herpesviridae*.

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: Pseudorabies occurs worldwide, but has virtually disappeared from domestic pigs in several parts of Europe, Great Britain, and New Zealand. US implemented an eradication program and as of 2004, all commercial swine were considered pseudorabies-free. However, pseudorabies is considered endemic in US feral swine.

Incubation period: Typically 2-6 days and suckling pigs have shorter incubation period of 48 hours.

Clinical signs: Clinical signs are variable and morbidity and mortality decreases with increasing age in swine. Pregnant sows may abort or have stillborn young, whereas newborn piglets may present with neurologic disease or high mortality rates especially in piglets from herds with no prior exposure. Weaned pigs present respiratory illness with fever, anorexia, and weight loss. Sneezing, rubbing of the nose and coughing may occur with or without trembling and incoordination. Adult swine can exhibit mild respiratory distress, fever during acute

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **PSEUDORABIES (AUJESZKY'S DISEASE)**

infection. Surviving individuals become lifelong carriers of the virus while exhibiting minimal to no further clinical signs. In swine, the virus may become latent in cranial nerve ganglia, may recrudesce and shed live virus months later.

Pseudorabies is virulent in susceptible animal species, which often experience intense pruritus or "mad itch" which causes them to scratch and bite themselves. Other clinical signs include respiratory problems, general neurologic signs, weakness, convulsions, and fever.

Clinical pathological, gross, and histopathological findings: Gross lesions are minimal or absent, and none are pathognomonic. Serous or fibrinonecrotic rhinitis may be found. Tonsilar inflammation may be observed as fibrinous exudate or an erosive fibrinonecrotic lesion. Small (<1 mm), pale foci in liver and/or spleen appear as slightly irregular or with vague edges, and not a crisp, well-demarcated appearance; in young piglets, liver lesions are more common than in adults but occur only occasionally. Reddened foci may be scattered on the pleura of the lungs and with or without pulmonary edema, congestion, or consolidation. Non-suppurative meningoencephalitis is noted upon examination of white and gray matter; mononuclear perivascular cuffing; neuronal necrosis; thickened meninges.

In non-suid species, edema, congestion and hemorrhage in the spinal cord have been noted. These lesions are usually found in the portion of the spinal cord that innervates the area of pruritus. Microscopically, cellular infiltration and neuronal degeneration is seen. CNS lesions are similar to those found in pigs, but milder in severity.

Diagnosis: Serologic tests for virus or antibody detection are available and include serum neutralization (SN), latex agglutination (LA), and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). A fluorescent antibody test on tissue sections, immunohistochemistry on formalin-fixed tissues, or virus isolation may be used to identify virus in the brain, tonsils, and spleen. A polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test has been described but is not in common use.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum or tissue with brain, spleen and lung are preferred tissues for diagnosis in suids. Diagnostic samples should be kept cold for virus isolation submission. Nasal swabs, or samples of oropharyngeal fluid or tonsil tissue from suspected porcine can be used for virus isolation. The virus may also be found in the lung, spleen, liver, kidney, or lymph nodes. In other species, the virus may be isolated from the pruritic area of the skin, and from the spinal cord area innervating the pruritic

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Most diagnostic laboratories can test for pseudorabies.

Treatment: No treatment is available.

Prevention and control: Vaccination can be effective at preventing and controlling outbreaks in domestic swine; permission must be obtained from state animal health official for vaccine usage. State and Federal regulations prevent movement of infected pigs and monitoring to identify newly infected animals. Since feral swine are a known reservoir, measures are taken to prevent contact between feral and domestic swine. Infected domestic herds are placed under quarantine. Infected animals movements are controlled and regulated. In severe cases depopulation is a method of control.

The risk to zoological animals exists from biosecurity breaches allowing infected feral swine (reservoirs) or other wildlife from coming into contact with zoological animals. Sound biosecurity measures are highly effective in preventing introduction into zoological facilities.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: PRV is susceptible to inactivation by sodium hydroxide, bleach, iodine-based products, phenolic disinfectants, quaternary ammonium compounds, formaldehyde, and chlorhexadine. These disinfectants are not effective unless contaminated objects have been thoroughly cleaned before the disinfectants are applied. PRV is also susceptible to thermal inactivation.

Notification: Pseudorabies is a notifiable disease only when found in commercial production swine.

PSEUDORABIES (AUJESZKY'S DISEASE)

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: http://www.aphis.usda.gov/vs/nahss/swine/prv/prv_surveillance_plan_final_draft_04_16_08.pdf for current National Surveillance Plan. Proposed changes Veterinary Services National Surveillance Plan under review in 2013 http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_dis_spec/swine/downloads/manage_swine_bruc_n_pseu_virus_10-086-1_concept.pdf.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Do not introduce non-infected animals to infected animals; animals should be tested prior to moving them and prior to introducing to known disease-free animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: In commercial swine herds, quarantine, animal testing and removal from herd will be under the direction of an Accredited veterinarian. Premises should be disinfected and left vacated for at least 30 days following removal of infected animal.

Experts who may be consulted: Federal and state veterinary authority (AVIC and state veterinarian, respectively).

References:

- 1. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/publications/animal_health/content/printable_version/pseudo_rabies_report. pdf. Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 2. Aujeszky's disease-Pseudorabies virus (AD and PRV); http://www.thepigsite.com/pighealth/article/135/aujeszkys-disease-pseudorabies-virus-ad-or-prv Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 3. Davidson, W.R. 2006. Wild Swine. *In:* Field Manual of Wildlife Diseases in the Southeastern United States. Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife Disease Study. 3rd edition. University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia. Pp. 105-134.
- 4. Kluge, J. P., G. W. Beran, H. T. Hill, and K. B. Platt. 1999. Pseudorabies (Aujeszky's disease). *In*: Straw, B.E., S. D'Allaire, W.L. Mengeling and D.J. Taylor (eds.). Diseases of Swine, 8th ed., Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 233-246.
- 5. Merck Manual: Overview of Pseudorabies. http://www.merckmanuals.com/vet/nervous_system/pseudorabies_aujeszkys_disease_mad_itch/overview_of_pseudorabies.html?qt=&sc=&alt= Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 6. Muller, T., E. C. Hahn, F. Tottewitz, M. Kramer, B. G. Klupp, T. C. Mettenleiter, and C. Freuling. 2011. Pseudorabies virus in wild swine: a global perspective. Arch. Virol. 156: 1691-1705.
- 7. Pedersen, K., S. N. Bevins, J. A. Baroch, J. C. Cumbee, Jr., S. C. Chandler, B. S. Woodruff, T. T. Bigelow, and T. J. DeLiberto. 2013. Pseudorabies in feral swine in the United States, 2009-2012. J. Wildl. Dis. 49(3):709-13.
- 8. Pork Industry Handbook. Psuedorabies (Aujeszky's Disease). Purdue University Cooperative Extension Service. http://www.uwex.edu/ces/animalscience/swine/documents/PIH-38pseudo.pdf. Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 9. Spickler, A.R. Aujeszky's Disease. http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/factsheets.php. Accessed 26 August 2013
- 10. USDA, APHIS, Technical Bulletin No. 1923. 2008. Pseudorabies (Aujeszky's Disease) and its eradication: a review of the U.S. experience. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/publications/animal_health/content/printable_version/pseudo_rabies_report. pdf. Accessed 9 September 2013.
- 11. Veterinary Services Frequently Asked Questions: Pseudorabies. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal health/animal dis spec/swine/f a q.shtml Accessed 26 August 2013.

Saimariine Herpesvirus 1 (SaHV1)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
group(s)		Signs			Control	
affected						
Natural host	Fecal-oral	Natural hosts:	Natural hosts:	None;	Do not mix squirrel	Possible
species:	route,	oral lesions	asymptomatic	May try	monkeys, spider	(but only
squirrel	aerosols,	(rare) or	to oral lesions;	herpes	monkeys, and	one report
monkeys,	fomites,	asymptomatic;	owl monkeys,	antiviral	capuchins with owl	of non-
spider	sexual	owl monkeys,	titi monkeys,	therapy	monkeys, titi	fatal
monkeys,	transmission	titi monkeys,	marmosets,	(famcyclovir,	monkeys,	encephalit
capuchins		marmosets and	and tamarins	gamcyclovir)	marmosets, or	is in a
and possibly		tamarins:	have a 76-	although no	tamarins. Do not	human
woolly		anorexia, oral	100%	data is	share cleaning	secondary
monkeys;		lesions, pruritis,	mortality rate	available on	utensils,	to a
Susceptible		sneezing, nasal		efficacy.	enrichment or	squirrel
species:		discharge,			perching materials,	monkey
owl		diarrhea,			etc. due to risk of	bite).
monkeys, titi		swollen eyelids,			fomite	
monkeys,		76-100%			transmission.	
spider		mortality (2-3d			Serological	
monkeys, all		after onset of			screening of natural	
marmosets		clinical signs)			hosts.	
and tamarins						

Fact Sheet compiled by: Elizabeth E. Hammond

Sheet completed on: 14 September 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Genny Dumonceaux

Susceptible animal groups Natural hosts: squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*), spider monkeys (*Ateles* sp), capuchins (*Cebus* sp), possibly woolly monkeys (*Lagothrix* sp). Aberrant hosts: Owl monkeys (*Aotus* sp), marmosets (*Callithrix* sp), tamarins (*Saguinus* sp), titi monkeys (*Callicebus* sp)

Causative organism: Saimiriine herpesvirus (Alphaherpesvirus); aka, Herpesevirus tamarinus, Herpesvirus T, Herpesvirus platyrrhinae

Zoonotic potential: slight – one case report of a lab worker with non-fatal encephalitis secondary to a squirrel monkey bite

Distribution: South and Central America, worldwide in captivity for natural hosts

Incubation period: 7-10 days

Clinical symptoms: Squirrel monkeys, spider monkeys, capuchins: oral lesions (rare) or asymptomatic; owl monkeys, titi monkeys, marmosets, tamarins: anorexia, oral lesions, pruritus, sneezing, nasal discharge, diarrhea, swollen eyelids, 76-100% mortality (2-3d after onset of clinical signs); once infected, always a carrier (latent infections with intermittent shedding); resembles herpes simplex infection

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross: ulcerative dermatitis, mucosal ulceration Histopathology: hepatic necrosis with multinucleated syncytial cells and intranuclear inclusion bodies. Also necrosis in spleen, kidney, lung, intestines, and adrenal gland; necrosis of the epidermis with multinucleated

Saimariine Herpesvirus 1 (SaHV1)

giant cells with intranuclear viral inclusions. Inflammatory response may be minimal in acute disease. Lesions may be minimal if encephalitis is present.

Diagnosis: virus isolation, molecular techniques, serology, histopathology, clinical signs and history of contact with natural host

Material required for laboratory analysis: serum, whole blood, skin, oral mucosa, liver

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: VRL Laboratories, PO Box 40100, 7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA, 1-877-615-7275, www.vrl.net; Zoologix, 9811 Owensmouth Ave, Ste 4, Chatsworth, CA 91311-3800, USA, 818-717-8880, www.zoologix.com

Treatment: none; supportive care

Prevention and control: Prevent contact between squirrel monkeys, spider monkeys, and capuchins, with owl monkeys, titi monkeys, marmosets, or tamarins. Prevent cross contamination by excluding the use of shared equipment and enrichment devices.

A live vaccine has been effective in owl monkeys; however, vaccine-induced disease has been observed.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: most common disinfectants will kill the herpesvirus, including chlorine bleach and quaternary ammonium

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Do not introduce natural host species to susceptible species; once infected, animals will remain carriers and sporadically shed virus.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Disinfect environment, cleaning tools, furniture, etc. Avoid mixing natural hosts with susceptible species.

Experts who may be consulted:

References

- 1. Calle PP, Joslin JO. New World and Old World Monkeys. In: Miller RE and Fowler ME (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine. Current therapy, 8th ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders; 2015. p. 301-335.
- 2. Joslin JO. Other primates excluding great apes. In: Miller RE and Fowler ME (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine. Current therapy, 5^{th} ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders; 2003. p. 346-381.
- 3. King NW. Herpesvirus Infections. In: Williams ES and Barker IK (eds.). Infectious disease of wild mammals. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p.147-178.
- 4. Lewis AD [Internet]. Gross morbid anatomy of nonhuman primates; [cited 2018 August 3]. Available from www.cldavis.org/cgi-bin/download.cgi?pid=87
- 5. Lowenstine LJ. Health problems in mixed species exhibits. In: Miller RE and Fowler ME (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine. Current therapy, 4th ed. Philadelphia (PA): WB Saunders; 1999. p. 26-29.
- 6. Ott-Joslin JE. Viral diseases in nonhuman primates. In: Miller RE and Fowler ME (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine. Current therapy, 2nd ed. Philadelphia (PA): WB Saunders; 1986. p. 674-697.

SA-8 (CERCOPITHECINE HERPESVIRUS 2)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Cercopithecidae (predominantly African green monkey and baboon)	Direct contact	Mostly asymptomatic, transient vesicular stomatitis in young animals. Small vesicles and pustules can be found on genital and oral mucous membranes.	Mild. Oral and genital lesions. Experimental infection has resulted in pneumonia.	No treatment needed due to nature of infection. Symptomatic treatment for severe lesions would be indicated.	Disease rare despite high virus prevalence.	Not reported.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sam Rivera; updated by Jan Ramer

Sheet completed on: 1 June 2011; updated 23 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sam Rivera; Kevin Brunner

Susceptible animal groups: Cercopithecidae

Causative organism: SA-8 (Cercopithecine herpesvirus 2).

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: African green monkey natural host, but is found in captivity worldwide. Common asymptomatic infection of baboons.

Incubation period: Unknown

Clinical signs: Most infections are clinically silent, transient vesicular stomatitis in young animals. Oral and genital vesicles and pustules are possible. Occasional severe genital lesions have been noted with inguinal lymphadenopathy.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Vesicular stomatitis.

Diagnosis: Serology, virus isolation.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Material from the lesions, whole blood or serum.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Pathogen Detection Laboratory

California National Primate Research Center

Road 98 & Hutchison University of California

Davis, California 95616

(530) 752-8242

Fax: (530) 752-4816

PDL@primate.ucdavis.edu http://pdl.primate.ucdavis.edu

BioReliance, Serology/PCR Laboratories

14920 Broschart Rd.

SA-8 (CERCOPITHECINE HERPESVIRUS 2)

Rockville, Maryland 20850

Phone: (301) 610-2227 Fax: (310) 610-2587 ahs@bioreliance.com

Virus Reference Laboratories, Inc.

7540 Louis Pasteur Road San Antonio, Texas 78229

(877) 615-7275 Fax: (210) 615-7771

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4 Chatsworth, California 91311-3800

818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881 info@zoologix.com

Treatment: Symptomatic treatment for severe lesions would be indicated.

Prevention and control: Disease rare despite high virus prevalence.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Lipid solvents, soap, UV-light, heat.

Notification: None at this time.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None at this time.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: None at this time.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Latent infection, possible life-long infection.

Experts who may be consulted:

Chih-Ling Zao, PhD

Chief Scientific Officer

VRL Laboratories

P.O. Box 40100

7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200

San Antonio, Texas 78229

Phone: 877-615-7275 Fax: 210-615-7771 chih-ling.zao@vrl.net

References:

- 1. http://www.primateportal.org/ Accessed 6 August 2013.
- 2. Bennett, T., C. Abee, and R. Henrickson (eds.). 1998. Nonhuman Primates in Biomedical Research: Diseases. Academic Press, San Diego, California. 512 pp.
- 3. Lerche, N. W. 2005. Common viral infections of laboratory primates. *In*: Wolfe-Coote, S. (ed). The Handbook of Experimental Animals; The Laboratory Primate. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, California. Pp. 75-89.
- 4. Voevodin, A.F., and P.A. Marx (eds.). 2009. Simplexviruses. *In*: Simian Virology. Willey-Blackwell, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 267-293.

TESTUDINID HERPESVIRUSES

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
All tortoises are considered susceptible.	Experimental work has shown that intranasal and intramuscular inoculation is followed by development of the disease. Close contact is considered one of the most relevant events for natural transmission to occur. It is not clear if aerosolization plays a significant role.	Classic, but not necessarily always occurring, clinical signs include diphtheronecrot ic stomatitis and glossitis, nasal, ocular and oral discharge, conjunctivitis, lethargy, anorexia, respiratory and neurological signs.	Depends of the species affected and on the viral genotype involved. TeHV1, -2, and -3 have been associated with clinical disease. More recently also TeHV4 was detected in a clinically ill tortoise coinfected with <i>Mycoplasma</i> sp. Close to 100% morbidity and mortality have been reported for naïve Hermann's tortoises while Greek tortoises are considered more resistant.	Antivirals (e.g., acyclovir and gan-cyclovir) have been shown to be effective in vitro.	Separation of the diseased individuals from those clinically healthy animals; serologic and molecular testing of the exposed individuals; six months quarantine and serological testing; avoiding species mixing and crowding; disinfection of the enclosures with virucidal agents.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Francesco C. Origgi

Sheet updated on: 30 August 2013; updated 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Douglas R. Mader

Susceptible animal groups: All tortoises are considered susceptible.

Causative organism: Testudinid herpesviruses (TeHVs) (previously known as tortoise herpesviruses) have four currently known genotypes: TeHV1, -2, -3 and -4. In diseased individuals, TeHV1, -2 and -3 have been detected or isolated. The TeHV3 genotype includes at least two genogroups (A, B) that might be characterized by distinct virulence but both lethal. Genogroup A is the most common, genogroup B is putatively the most virulent. More recently, TeHV4 has been detected in a clinically ill leopard tortoise (*Stigmochelys pardalis*).

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: TeHV-1 and -3 have been detected worldwide. TeHV-2 has been detected only in the US until now. TeHV-4 has been detected in the US in a clinically healthy, imported Bowsprit tortoise and in in a captive-bred leopard tortoise coinfected with *Mycoplasma* sp. in Europe. Both Bowsprit and leopard tortoises are African tortoises. TeHV-1 and -3 have been detected more commonly in the genus *Testudo* but also in several other tortoise species not autochthonous of the Eurasian area. TeHV-2 has been detected only in desert tortoises (*Gopherus agassizii*) up to date. It is likely that at least for some of the genotypes, the current area of distribution might only partially overlaps with their original ones because of the extensive movements that the species have undergone especially because of the pet trade. According to multiple studies conducted on wild tortoises, the following geographical classification of the genotypes has been proposed: TeHV-1 and -3, Eurasian; TeHV-2, American; TeHV-4, African.

Incubation period: Following experimental infection in Greek tortoises, the first clinical signs were recorded 7 to 12 days post inoculation. The overall course of the disease lasted 2.5 weeks.

TESTUDINID HERPESVIRUSES

Clinical signs: The disease originally was called "stomatitis and rhinitis disease" or "running nose" and it was named after its most common clinical signs that include a diphtheronecrotic stomatitis and a glossitis with nasal, oral and eye discharge. The discharges are generally clear, intermittent and recurrent. Monolateral or bilateral recurrent conjunctivitis is also common. Cervical edema and epistaxis in severe cases has been reported. The oral plaques have been shown to develop and eventually fully regress in the survival animals within a 2.5 weeks period of time during an experimental transmission study in Greek tortoises. Following the loss of the crusts covering the healing plaques, no scar can be detected after the resolution of the oral lesions. A band of chalky white material can be observed along the rim of the external aspect of the mandible after the oral discharge has resolved. Respiratory and neurological signs might follow along more general signs such as lethargy and anorexia. Not all the clinical signs described above can be detected in the same diseased individuals and some infected tortoise might not develop obvious clinical signs at all. Similar clinical signs were observed also in a recent transmission study carried out with TeHV3 in Herman's tortoises.

It is important to consider that none of the clinical signs described above are specific for TeHVs, since similar oral plaques have also been described in tortoises infected with less common iridovirus and virus X (topivirus-tortoise picornavirus). Additionally, nasal discharge and conjunctivitis, in absence of stomatitis, are commonly described in tortoises infected with *Mycoplasma agassizii* (an etiologic agent of the upper respiratory tract disease-URTD).

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Classic gross lesions include diphtheronecrotic plaques over the mucosa of the oral cavity and the tongue, occasionally extending over the mucosa of the esophagus and of the trachea up to the lung. Hepatomegaly and enteritis have also been described. The histologic hallmark of the disease is the presence of eosinophilic to amphophilic intranuclear inclusions in most of the epithelial tissues. Inclusions can also be observed within the central nervous system either associated or not with inflammation. Inclusions are likely to be detectable for a limited time during the initial phase of the disease.

Diagnosis: The clinical diagnosis relies on the detection of the clinical signs described above. The clinical diagnosis requires the confirmation by laboratory testing. Multiple molecular and serological tests are available for the diagnosis of Testudinid herpesvirus infection.

Serology: The available serological tests comprise an ELISA and a serum neutralization test (SNT), while the molecular diagnostic tests comprise multiple PCR protocols for the partial amplification of different herpesvirus genes. The ELISA test has been developed to detect TeHV3 exposure and it has been validated for Greek and Hermann's tortoises. The test detects the large majority of the antibody developed by the host against the virus after seroconversion. Although the test can detect the exposure to TeHV3 relatively early following the infection, for a reliable diagnosis it is recommended to test a suspected individual two times no less than 8 weeks a part. A modified version of the same test has been shown to be able to detect TeHV2 exposure in desert tortoises, although in this format the test has not been fully validated. SNT similarly to the ELISA, allows the detection of the exposure of an individual to a Testudinid herpesvirus due to the presence of circulating anti-TeHVs antibody (serum neutralizing in this case) following seroconversion. This test can be applied to any species of tortoise and it requires live virus to be carried out. SNT can detect seroconversion to TeHVs 2-5 weeks after the ELISA test. For this reason, it is suggested to test the suspected animals two times 10-12 weeks apart for a reliable detection of the occurred seroconversion when using the SNT. Both ELISA and SNT show comparable specificity and sensitivity.

Molecular diagnosis: Several PCR protocols have been developed for the diagnosis of TeHVs infection. A PCR test targeting the partial sequence of the viral DNA polymerase gene is available for the specific detection of TeHV1. Another protocol has been developed for the detection of the partial sequence of the helicase gene of TeHV3. The same protocol allows also the detection of the homologous gene of the TeHV1 genotype following a specific modification of the test conditions. A PCR test directed to the amplification of the partial sequence of the ribonucleotide reductase (RR) (large subunit) of TeHV3 has also been developed. This test can also amplify the homologous gene portion of TeHV2, although a specific PCR protocol is also

TESTUDINID HERPESVIRUSES

available for the partial amplification of TeHV2 RR. Finally a PCR protocol, not specifically developed for TeHVs but more in general for the detection of the members of the family Herpesviridae is also available and allows the detection of all the 4 genotypes of TeHVs known up to date (partial amplification of the DNA polymerase gene; the target is a different region from that used for TeHV-1 amplification). Recently, a PCR protocol has been developed to differentiate viral strains belonging to distinct genogroups (A, B) within the TeHV3 genotype, which might be associated with distinct virulence.

<u>Histopathology</u>: routine histopathologic diagnosis of TeHVs infection is more often considered a postmortem diagnostic method. It is based on the detection of the classic intranuclear inclusions. An immunohistochemistry and an *in situ* hybridization method are also available for the detection of TeHVs antigen and DNA in tissue, respectively and can be used to enhance the sensitivity of the histopathological diagnosis. Electron microscopy is also commonly used to detect the presence of the virus in tissues. Viral isolation: Viral isolation of TeHV1 and -3 can be performed on reptilian cell cultures (TH-1 cells, subline B1, ATCC CCL-50). Classic cytopathic effects include cell rounding with cell detachment and lysis (plaque formation).

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Serology: 0.2-0.5 ml serum in plastic tube. Store at 4°C and ship refrigerated.

<u>Virology</u>: Pharyngeal swabs are collected for live animals. For dead animals, it is helpful to send the entire carcass if available or 1 g portions of each organ, ideally. If this approach is not possible, the head (including the tongue) of the suspected individual can be submitted. Place samples in viral transport media with antibiotic (1-2 ml for swabs and 3 ml per each tissue sample). Store the samples at 4°C for very short-term storage and -80°C for long-term storage. Ship samples refrigerated or on dry ice accordingly. Preserve the entire carcass and the head at 4°C and ship refrigerated immediately.

<u>Molecular diagnostic</u>: Same samples described for virology but in this case viral transport media is not required. Samples can be stored and shipped also as described for the virology samples, with the exception that for molecular diagnostic the samples can be stored also at -20°C if -80°C freezers are not available. If only formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues are available, whole tissue block(s) can be used. If not possible, please send 3 sections 20μ -thick each (per tissue block) in a plastic tube (DNAse- and RNAse-free). The samples do not need to be refrigerated but should protected from light.

<u>Histopathology</u>: The entire carcass is preferred if the carcass can be stored at 4°C and shipped immediately refrigerated. If the necropsy is performed *in situ* please collect routine samples of all the organs including the brain. Samples need to be placed into a container with 10% buffered formalin.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: From US, permits may be required for shipment to international labs:

Wildlife Diagnostic Laboratory at the Centre for Fish and Wildlife Health (FIWI) University of Bern, Vetsuisse Faculty

Länggassstrasse 122

3012, Bern, Switzerland +41 31 631 2443 Fax +41 31 631 2635 Francesco.origgi@vetsuisse.unibe.ch

Institut Für Umwelt- und Tierhygiene Universität Hohenheim Garbenstrasse 30 70599 Stuttgart, Germany +49 711 459 22468 Fax +49 711 459 22431 Rachel.marschang@googlemail.com

TESTUDINID HERPESVIRUSES

Staatliches Veterinäruntersuchungamt Westernfeldtrasse 1 32758 Detmold, Germany +49 05231 911640

Contact person: Silvia Blahak

Veterinary Laboratory Agency Weybridge, Woodham Lane, New Haw Addlestone. Surrey. KT15 3NB United Kingdom Contact person: Sally Drury

College of Veterinary Medicine University of Florida 2015 SW 16Ave. 32610 Gainesville, Fl, USA 352 294 4420 childressa@ufl.edu

Treatment: Acyclovir and gancyclovir have been shown to be effective against TeHV-3 in vitro. Acyclovir also has been used to treat infected animals at 80mg/kg PO SID or TID. An *in vivo* study in marginated tortoises (*Testudo marginata*) showed that a single administration of this dose acyclovir results in a serum concentration of the drug which is lower than that reported to be effective against the virus *in vitro*. Broadspectrum antibiotics and supportive rehydration therapy have also been described as part as the therapeutic protocol proposed for TeHVs infected tortoises. The duration of the treatment may vary, but it should be no less than two to three weeks unless otherwise suggested by the clinical evaluation and laboratory testing.

Prevention and control: Tortoises showing clinical signs consistent with TeHVs infection should be isolated from clinically healthy individuals and tested for the presence of TeHVs (PCR, virus isolation) and for the exposure to the virus by ELISA or SNT. All clinical animals should also be treated with antiviral drugs and supportive therapy as appropriate. Serological tests needs to be repeated after 8 (ELISA) or 10-12 weeks (SNT) for all the tortoises showing clinical signs that tested negative at the first sampling.

Clinically healthy exposed animals should be closely monitored and tested for viral exposure similarly to what described above.

Serologically and/or PCR positive animals that have recovered from the disease and clinically healthy animals that have seroconverted (and/or have tested positive by PCR) following viral exposure should be considered carrier for life. Currently, no evidence exists that supports vertical transmission of the virus. Preventive measures include:

1) All the tortoises entering into established collections should be tested for the presence and exposure to the virus ideally both by molecular and serological tests. Viral isolation is also recommended. Animals should be tested during the quarantine period, which ideally should last no less than 6 months. Molecular diagnostic tests and viral isolation are relevant in the acute stage of the disease and during viral recrudescence when the virus is actively replicating. Serological tests are relevant especially after the acute stage of the disease, during the latency of the virus, when seroconversion has occurred and no active viral replication can be detected. Testing an animal during the acute stage of the disease with serological tests only is likely to provide a false negative result, since seroconversion has not occurred yet. However, this is still recommended since some diseased animals might not be showing a primary infection, but differently a recrudescence of the infection and so they might have already seroconverted. Additionally, for naïve negative animals this would be considered the "pre-immune" serum. Clinically healthy infected animals that have survived the acute stage of the disease are likely to shed the virus only sporadically and this can determine a high rate of false

TESTUDINID HERPESVIRUSES

negative animals if tested solely by PCR and/or virus isolation at that time. It is recommended to repeat two serological tests no less than 8 or 10-12 weeks apart when running the ELISA test or the SNT, respectively. Occasional "non reactors" do exist.

- 2) It is very important to avoid mixing of different tortoise species due to evidence of different species-specific resistances/sensitivity to TeHVs. Mixing of different species might be fatal for the less resistant species.
- 3) It is important to avoid overcrowding, since close contact between tortoises is considered to enhance the transmission of TeHVs.
- 4) Contaminated soil might contain viable virus for 2-4 up to 9-24 weeks according to the season of the year. Exposure to full sunlight of the contaminated soil might reduce the viability of the virus present.
- 5) Disposable gloves and shoe cover should be changed when moving from pen to pen and when touching different tortoises. An appropriate hands disinfectant is also recommended.
- 6) A veterinary health check should be performed ideally every 6 months. For tortoises from temperate climates a health check performed before and after brumation is critical.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Any proven virucidal compound may be used to disinfect contaminated instrument and/or pen furniture

Notification: TeHVs associated diseases are not reportable at the moment.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: N/A

Measures required for introducing animals to an infected animal(s): If a seronegative animal is introduced to a seropositive animal it should be expected that the seronegative animal will seroconvert eventually.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: N/A

Experts who may be consulted:

Francesco C Origgi, DVM, PhD, DACVM, DACVP, DECZM (Herpethology)

Centre for Fish and Wildlife Health (FIWI)

Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern

Länggassstrasse 122, Bern-CH

+ 41 31 631 2443

Fax. + 41 31 631 2635

Francesco.origg@vetsuisse.unibe.ch

References:

- 1. Bicknese EJ, Childress AL, Wellehan JF. A novel herpesvirus of the proposed genus Chelonivirus from an asymptomatic bowsprit tortoise (*Chersina angulata*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2010;41:353–358.
- 2. Gandar F, Wilkie GS, Gatherer D, Kerr K, Marlier D, Diez M, Marschang RE, Mast J, Dewals BG, Davison AJ, Vanderplasschen AF. The genome of a tortoise herpesvirus (Testudinid Herpesvirus 3) has a novel structure and contains a large region that is not required for replication in vitro or virulence in vivo. J Virol. 2015;89(22):11438-11456.
- 3. Jacobson ER, Berry KH, Wellehan JFX, Origgi FC, Childress AL, Braun J, Schrenzel M, Yee J, Rideout B. Serologic and molecular evidence for testudinid herpesvirus 2 infection in wild Agassiz's desert tortoises, *Gopherus agassizii*. J Wildl Dis. 2012;48:747–757.
- 4. Marschang RE, Gravendyck M, Kaleta EF. 1997. Herpesviruses in tortoises: investigations into virus isolation and the treatment of viral stomatitis in *Testudo hermanni* and *T. graeca*. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B. 1997;44:385–394.
- 5. Marschang RE, Schneider RM. Antibodies to viruses in wild-caught spur-thighed tortoises (*Testudo graeca*) in Turkey. Vet Rec. 2007;161:102–103.

TESTUDINID HERPESVIRUSES

- 6. Marschang RE, Frost JW, Gravendyck M, Kaleta EF. Comparison of 16 chelonid herpesviruses by virus neutralization tests and restriction endonuclease digestion of viral DNA. J Vet Med B, Infect Dis Vet Pub Health: 2001;48:393–399.
- 7. Marschang RE, Gleiser CB, Papp T, Pfitzner AJ, Böhm R, Roth BN. Comparison of 11 herpesvirus isolates from tortoises using partial sequences from three conserved genes. Vet Microbiol. 2006;117:258–266.
- 8. Origgi FC. Tortoise Herpesvirus. In: Mader DR. (ed): Reptile Medicine and Surgery. St. Louis (MO): WB Saunders Co; 2006. p. 814–821.
- 9. Origgi FC. Testudinid herpesviruses: a review. J Herpetologic Med Surg. 2012;22:42-54.
- 10. Origgi FC, Klein PA, Mathes K, Blahak S, Marschang RE, Tucker SJ, Jacobson ER. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detecting herpesvirus exposure in Mediterranean tortoises (spur-thighed tortoise [*Testudo graeca*] and Hermann's tortoise [*Testudo hermanni*]). J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39:3156–3163.
- 11. Origgi FC, Romero CH, Bloom DC, Klein PA, Gaskin JM, Tucker SJ, Jacobson ER. Experimental transmission of a herpesvirus in Greek tortoises (*Testudo graeca*). Vet Pathol. 2004;41:50–61.
- 12. VanDevanter DR, Warrener P, Bennett L, Schultz ER, Coulter S, Garber RL, Rose TM. Detection and analysis of diverse herpesviral species by consensus primer PCR. J Clin Microbiol. 1996;34:1666-1671.

HISTOPLASMOSIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals	Inhalation (+/- ingestion) of micro- conidia from environment	Non-specific, reflecting organ involvement	Asymptomatic infection is most common but can progress to rapidly fatal illness	Itraconazole or fluconazole, amphotericin B	Avoid contaminated soil (especially areas where bird feces accumulate in endemic areas)	Not directly but humans are infected from environment

Fact Sheet compiled by: Maria Spriggs

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011; updated 17 Feb 2018

Fact Sheet reviewed by: Tiffany Wolf

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals, including humans; birds, because of higher body temperature, are not typically susceptible to natural infection although a single case report exists in an Eclectus parrot.

Published zoo/wildlife cases include: dorcas gazelle, snow leopard, Patagonian cavy, skunk, spiny rat, two-toed sloth, nine-banded armadillo, common opossum, paca, African pygmy hedgehog, Bengal tiger, European hedgehog, sea mammals.

Causative organism: Histoplasma capsulatum

Zoonotic potential: No, although common-source infection of people and animals is possible

Distribution: Worldwide, except Antarctica. In the US, most common in region of Ohio, Missouri, and Mississippi Rivers. The organism is found commonly in soil that contains bird and bat manure as nitrogen-rich soil supports fungal growth. Bats may play role in spreading disease as they can develop chronic intestinal dissemination and shed yeast in feces. The organism may be inhaled or ingested and may remain within the lungs or disseminate systemically.

Incubation period: 12-16 days

Clinical signs: Subclinical infection is most common. When signs are present, they may be chronic and nonspecific.

Pulmonary form: pneumonia, wheezes, fever, weight loss, cough, depression

Mediastinal lymphadenitis form: hilar lymphadenopathy, cough, respiratory distress

Progressive disseminated form: Any tissue can be involved.

In domestic cats: fever, weight loss, anemia, interstitial lung disease, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, and, rarely, oral and lingual ulcerations

In domestic dogs: fever, large bowel diarrhea, intestinal blood loss, anemia, depression

Any species: bone lesions, ocular lesions, CNS, skin nodules

Equine abortion: mare appears healthy but placenta involved.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

Pulmonary form: miliary or larger gray granulomas, may be calcified

Disseminated form: visceral organs are generally thickened, gastrointestinal mucosa hemorrhagic, enlarged liver with variegated pale pattern, lymphadenopathy

Histoplasma organisms are usually numerous in granulomas and infected tissue.

Diagnosis:

Cytology/histopathology (gold standard): Diagnosis can be made by FNA/cytology, especially rectal scrapings, blood film, or abnormal fluids and tissues. With tissue biopsy, organisms are difficult to detect with routine H&E stain, but stain well with PAS, Gomori's methenamine silver, and Gridley's fungal stains. Yeast forms in macrophages and giant cells are round to ovoid structures with thin cell wall and a thin, clear zone between the cell wall and cellular cytoplasm.

Clinical pathology: Non-regenerative anemia, thrombocytopenia, might visualize organism in cells on buffy coat smear.

HISTOPLASMOSIS

Radiography: diffuse interstitial, miliary or nodular infiltrates, hilar lymphadenopathy (dogs>cats), rarely osseous lesions are present and when present, they are more typical in distal limbs.

Culture: lung, skin lesions, or bone marrow give highest yield in disseminated cases

Antigen detection: greatest sensitivity when test both urine and serum, and CSF in CNS cases. Urine *Histoplasma* EIA is highly specific and sensitive in dogs.

Serology: variably reliable, but may be useful in mild cases with negative antigen results Molecular: PCR not well established, high rate of false negatives in published studies

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, urine, tissue or fluid sample for cytology/histopath/culture

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

MiraVista Diagnostics for antigen testing www.miravistalabs.com (also does azole levels)

Many state and university labs run serology including Cornell, Kansas State

Treatment: Infection can be self-limiting and resolve without treatment, but treatment is recommended. Itraconazole or amphotericin B traditionally is drug of choice. However, fluconazole may be better for ocular or CNS involvement. Wilson et al. (2018) found fluconazole to be an effective treatment in dogs with no difference in survival, remission or disease relapse rates as compared to itraconazole. Posaconazole and voriconazole are newer and effective drugs, but are expensive, and have little information in vet medicine literature. Treatment interval is 4-6 months and at least 1 month after resolution of clinical signs and after antigen concentrations are negative or below 2 ng/mL.

Prognosis is fair to excellent for pulmonary histoplasmosis and guarded to good for disseminated disease.

Prevention and control: Avoid contaminated soil.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: The only proven disinfectant is 3% formalin.

If an accumulation of bird or bat manure is discovered in a building, removing the material by hand/broom/shovel is NOT always the best. Leaving the material alone with signs to warn of health risk may be best course of action. Truck-mounted or trailer-mounted vacuum systems are recommended for buildings with large accumulations to reduce risk of dust exposure. It is recommended to use a vendor experienced in removal of infectious materials. See: http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2005-109/

Notification: None required

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Direct transmission from infected animal to human or other animal is unlikely because yeast phase is not as infectious as mycelial phase.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: N/A

Experts who may be consulted:

Joe Wheat, MD MiraVista Labs jwheat@miravistalabs.com 866-647-2847

Lynn Guptill, DVM, PhD, Dipl. ACVIM Purdue University College of Veterinary Medicine 625 Harrison Street West Lafayette, IN 47907 765-494-1107 guptillc@purdue.edu

References:

1. Aulakh HK, Aulakh KS, Troy GC. Feline histoplasmosis: a retrospective study of 22 cases (1986-2009). J Amer Anim Hosp Assoc. 2012;48:182-187.

HISTOPLASMOSIS

- 2. Cook AK, Cunningham LY, Cowell AK, Wheat LJ. Clinical evaluation of urine *Histoplasma capsulatum* antigen measurement in cats with suspected disseminated histoplasmosis. J Feline Med Surg. 2012;14: 512-515.
- 3. Lappin MR. Polysystemic mycotic infections: histoplasmosis. In: Nelson RW, Couto C (eds.). Small Animal Internal Medicine, 4th ed. St. Louis (MO): Mosby Elsevier; 2008. p. 1356.
- 4. Espinosa-Aviles D, Taylor ML, del Rocio Reyes-Montes M, Perez-Torres A. Molecular findings of disseminated histoplasmosis in two captive snow leopards (*Uncia uncia*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2008;39:450-454.
- 5. Taboada J, Grooters AM. Histoplasmosis, blastomycosis, sporotrichosis, candidiasis, pythiosis, and lagenidiosis. In: Ettinger SJ, Feldman EC (eds.). Textbook of Veterinary Internal Medicine, 7th ed. Philadelphia (PA): Saunders Elsevier; 2010. p. 971-983.
- 6. Farinas F, Flores L, Rodriguez P, Sabalete T, Quevedo MA. Disseminated histoplasmosis in a dorcas gazelle (*Gazella dorcas neglecta*) kept in captivity conditions in Spain. Rev Iberoam Micol. 2009;26: 152-154.
- 7. Duncan M. Fungal diseases in all taxa. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and Wildlife Medicine, 5th Ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2003. p. 727-732.
- 8. Greene CE. Histoplasmosis. In: Greene CE (ed.). Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat, 3rd ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2006. p. 577-584.
- 9. Jacobsen B, Baumgartner W, Bialek R. Disseminated histoplasmosis in a European hedgehog (*Erinaceus europaeus*) in Northern Germany. Mycoses. 2011;54:538-541.
- 10. Cahn CM, Line S. Histoplasmosis. In: The Merck Veterinary Manual, 10th Ed. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck & Co., Inc.; 2010. p. 584-585.
- 11. Keller DL, Steinberg H, Sladky KK. Disseminated histoplasmosis in a Bengal tiger (*Panthera tigris*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2011;42:727-731.
- 12. Lainson R, Shaw JJ. *Pneumocystis* and *Histoplasma* infections in wild animals from the Amazon region of Brazil. Trans Royal Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1975;69: 505-8.
- 13. Histoplasmosis fact sheet [Internet]. Louisiana Office of Public Health; 2017 [cited 2018 November 15]. Available from http://www.dhh.louisiana.gov/offices/miscdocs/docs-249/Manual/HistoplasmosisManual.pdf.
- 14. Naiff RD, Mok WY, Naiff MF. Distribution of *Histoplasma capsulatum* in Amazonian wildlife. Mycopathologia. 1985;89:165-168.
- 15. Quist EM, Belcher C, Levine G, Johnson M, Heatley JJ, Kiupel M, Giri D. Disseminated histoplasmosis with concurrent oral candidiasis in an Eclectus parrot (*Eclectus roratus*). Avian Path. 2011;40:207-211.
- 16. Rosas-Rosas A, Juan-Salles C, Garner MM. Pathological findings in a captive colony of maras (*Dolichotis patagonum*). Vet Rec. 2006;158:727-31.
- 17. Wheat J. 2010. Histoplasmosis in Veterinary Medicine, MiraVista Diagnostics. www.miravistalabs.com
- 18. Wilson AG, KuKanich KS, Hanzlicek AS, Payton, ME. Clinical signs, treatment, and prognostic factors for dogs with histoplasmosis. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2017;252:201-214.
- 19. Woolf A, Gremillion-Smith C. Pathologic findings in rabies-suspect, random-source, and accidentally killed skunks. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1986;189:1089-1091.

HUMAN METAPNEUMOVIRUS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Mammals: all	Aerosols,	None to severe:	Mild (prior	Supportive care	Proper and strict	Yes
primates	fomites, direct	lethargy, lack of	exposure)	and treatment for	enforcement of	
should be	contact with	appetite, upper	to fatal	secondary	biosecurity	
considered	nasal and	and lower (less	(naïve	bacterial	measures; early	
susceptible,	respiratory	common)	animals).	infections -	detection;	
particularly	secretions.	respiratory		Streptococcus	isolation of	
great apes. In	Transmission	signs e.g., nasal		pneumoniae	infected animals if	
vivo virus	via feces also	discharge, sore		documented in	feasible	
replication in	possible.	throat, fever,		chimpanzees		
small rodents.		cough.				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Owen M Slater

Sheet completed on: 24 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: James G. Johnson III

Susceptible animal groups: Disease documented in chimpanzees, mountain gorilla, Sulawesi crested macaques and brown-headed spider monkeys. However, all primates should be considered susceptible. Fatalities reported in wild and captive great apes.

Causative organism: Human metapneumovirus (Paramyxoviridae; Pneumovirus)

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Worldwide, with highest occurrence between winter – early summer in North America.

Incubation period: Approximately 5-7 days

Clinical signs:

Animals: None to severe including lethargy, decreased appetite, cough (dry or productive), nasal discharge, and sneezing. Clinical signs indistinguishable from respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) or other respiratory viral infections.

Humans: Signs usually consistent with upper respiratory tract infection, and sometimes lower respiratory tract infection (e.g., bronchitis, bronchiolitis, pneumonia), such as fever, wheezing, cough, nasal congestion, and less so, dyspnea, diarrhea, and vomiting, particularly in children. Immunocompetent adults typically have mild clinical signs. Almost all children have been exposed to the virus by 5 years of age and ~100% by 10 years. Reinfection common.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross findings: Nasal discharge, dehydration Histologic findings: Among those cases with evidence of pneumonia: marked subacute to acute necrotizing bronchointerstitial pneumonia characterized by bronchial epithelial hyperplasia and necrosis, diffuse alveolar damage with hyaline membranes and type II pneumocyte hyperplasia. Secondary, purulent bronchopneumonia not uncommon.

Diagnosis: Ante mortem: PCR on respiratory specimens and feces; immunofluorescence or enzyme immunoassay on respiratory secretions. Serology on acute and convalescent sera. Post mortem: PCR, VI

Material required for laboratory analysis: Nasopharyngeal swab, oropharyngeal swab, nasal wash, tracheal aspirate or BAL, feces, serum. Pathologic specimens (e.g. lung tissue) also acceptable

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Labs capable of performing PCR testing on human respiratory viruses.

Treatment: Supportive care especially for treatment of dehydration and secondary bacterial infections. Several fatal cases of hMPV in chimpanzees often have underlying *Streptococcus pneumoniae* infections.

Prevention and control: It is recommended that all employees working in close contact with non-human primates wear gloves and face masks always. Personnel with symptoms consistent with a respiratory infection should stay home and/or not visit free-ranging primate troops. Isolate infected and cohort-infected animals if practical and review and/or enhance biosecurity protocols.

HUMAN METAPNEUMOVIRUS

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Routine disinfection protocols. 0.1% sodium hypochlorite.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: No required measures. However, it is recommended that no introductions occur during active disease. Serology can be performed prior to any new introductions to determine if any animals are naïve and therefore, more likely to develop severe disease.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Virus is cleared by infected animals. Disease free status restored after each outbreak.

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Fabian Leendertz Dr. Eileen Schneider

Robert Koch Institute Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Nordufer 20 1600 Clifton Rd. Berlin, 13353 Atlanta, GA 30333

Germany USA

References:

- 1. Hamelin ME, Abed Y, Boivin G. Human metapneumovirus: a new player among respiratory viruses. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;38: 983–990.
- 2. Kaur T, Singh J, Tong S, Humphrey C, Clevenger D, Tan W, Szekely B, Wang Y, Li Y, Alex Muse E, Kiyono M. Descriptive epidemiology of fatal respiratory outbreaks and detection of a human-related metapneumovirus in wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) at Mahale Mountains National Park, Western Tanzania. Am J Primatol. 2008;70:755-65.
- 3. Köndgen S, Calvignac-Spencer S, Grützmacher K, Keil V, Mätz-Rensing K, Nowak K, Metzger S, Kiyang J, Becker AL, Deschner T, Wittig RM. Evidence for Human Streptococcus pneumoniae in wild and captive chimpanzees: A potential threat to wild populations. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1), 14581.
- 4. Köndgen S, Kühl H, N'Goran PK, Walsh PD, Schenk S, Ernst N, Biek R, Formenty P, Mätz-Rensing K, Schweiger B, Junglen S. Pandemic human viruses cause decline of endangered great apes. Curr Biol. 2008;18:260-264.
- 5. Palacios G, Lowenstine LJ, Cranfield MR, Gilardi KV, Spelman L, Lukasik-Braum M, Kinani JF, Mudakikwa A, Nyirakaragire E, Bussetti AV, Savji N. Human metapneumovirus infection in wild mountain gorillas, Rwanda. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17:711-713.
- 6. Panda S, Mohakud NK, Pena L, Kumar S. Human metapneumovirus: review of an important respiratory pathogen. Int J Infect Dis. 2014;25:45-52.
- 7. Slater OM, Terio KA, Zhang Y, Erdman DD, Schneider E, Kuypers JM, Wolinsky SM, Kunstman KJ, Kunstman J, Kinsel MJ, Gamble KC. Human Metapneumovirus Infection in Chimpanzees, United States. Emerg Infect Dis. 2014;20(12):2115–2118.

INCLUSION BODY DISEASE

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Snakes, especially members of Boidae and Pythonidae; usually in human care.	Undetermined, likely through direct contact; vertical transmission; Ophionyssus natricis mites may be vector.	Variable: asymptomatic to severe disease. Non-CNS: anorexia, regurgitation, stomatitis, pneumonia, and lymphoproliferati ve disorders. CNS: disorientation, ataxia, head tremors, and opisthotonos.	Usually fatal.	None. Supportive therapy may be elected in individual cases.	Maintenance of a closed group or strict quarantine of new arrivals and testing with the intent to cull infected snakes; mite control.	No

Fact Sheet Compiled by: Erica Wilson Lipanovich and Rich Sim

Completed on:; updated 11 January 2019

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Rachel Marschang, Tara Harrison

Susceptible Animal Groups: Almost exclusively snakes of the Boidae and Pythonidae families. Similar inclusions have also been reported in palm vipers (*Bothriechis marchi*), Eastern kingsnakes (*Lampropeltis getulus*), and corn snakes (*Elaphe guttata*).

Causative Organism: Family *Arenaviridae*, genus *Reptarenavirus*; this etiological agent has only recently been identified.

- It seems that pathogenic and non-pathogenic reptarenaviruses exist, and pathogenic ones can affect species differently. Arenaviral coinfections may be common in snakes clinical for IBD.
- Older literature suspected a retrovirus was causative.

Zoonotic Potential: No

Distribution: Worldwide.

Incubation Period: weeks to years.

Clinical Signs: Highly variable – infected animals can be asymptomatic or develop severe disease, which can include anorexia, regurgitation, neurological signs (disorientation, ataxia, head tremors, opisthotonus, inability to right itself, and flaccid paralysis), or secondary bacterial infections (stomatitis and pneumonia).

- Pythons are reported to have a more acute, severe, and CNS-involved disease progression without regurgitation or other gastrointestinal signs
- Boas, especially boa constrictors, commonly have regurgitation, anorexia and CNS signs. Boas can
 maintain high levels of viremia and accumulated widespread intracytoplasmic inclusions without
 clinical signs, and may have more chronic disease course.
- Lymphoproliferative disorders have recently been associated with IBD in boa constrictors.

Post mortem, Gross or Histological Findings:

- Eosinophilic to amphophilic intracytoplasmic (IC) inclusions in H&E-stained tissue sections; composed of ~68 kDa reptarenaviral nucleoprotein (NP).
- Cells with inclusions can frequently be observed in the absence of associated inflammation.
- Tissue tropism can be diverse. Within the CNS, inclusions may be observed in neurons and glial cells. With acute neurologic disease (e.g. pythons), inclusions are often limited to the CNS. With

INCLUSION BODY DISEASE

chronic disease (e.g. boa constrictors), inclusions can be seen in epithelial cells (enteric, respiratory, and renal), hepatocytes, pancreatic acinar cells, and mononuclear cells.

Diagnosis: As detailed above, finding IC inclusions or virus by PCR in a live python can be challenging; less so for a boa.

- Ante-mortem, diagnostic options include:
 - o Light microscopy exam of a peripheral blood smear for IC inclusions in WBCs with H&E or Wright Giemsa stain,
 - o Arenavirus RT-PCR at University of Florida of an esophageal swab or whole blood sample,
 - o Tissue biopsies (esophageal tonsils, liver, kidney) obtained via endoscopy with IC inclusions seen on H&E stain +/- Arenavirus RT-PCR at University of Florida.
- For post-mortem, histopathology and/or PCR on brain, kidney, liver, pancreas are recommended. Of note, immunohistochemical testing of blood and tissues for NP used to be available at University of Florida, but has been discontinued.

Material Required for Laboratory Analysis: Blood, esophageal swabs, serum or biopsies from the liver, tonsils or gastric mucosa. Contact laboratory for handling and shipping instructions.

Relevant Diagnostic Laboratories:

University of Florida Veterinary Diagnostic Lab

April Childress

University of Florida; 2015 SW 16th Ave.; Building 1017 Room V2-186; Gainesville, FL 32608

Phone: 352-294-4420

Please contact April Childress (childressa@ufl.edu) prior to sample submission.

Sample Submission Form for PCR and sequencing

Treatment: There is no effective treatment. Supportive measures include antimicrobial and fluid therapy. Appropriate environmental conditions, including temperature and humidity, are essential. Diazepam may be useful for treatment of seizures.

Prevention and Control: Quarantine of all incoming snakes for a minimum of 90 days. Good hygiene, prevention of exposure to infected animals, pest control and removal of infected animals. See below for disinfection recommendations. Snakes suspected of IBD should be isolated. Diagnostic samples mentioned above should be collected and submitted for evaluation. Infected snakes should be euthanized and necropsied.

Suggested Disinfectant for Housing Facilities: Arenaviruses are an enveloped RNA virus; they can be inactivated by most detergents and disinfectants including 1% sodium hypochlorite, phenolic compounds, 3% acetic acid, lipid solvents and detergents (e.g. sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS]), formaldehyde, and glutaraldehyde (2%). Arenaviruses, in general, are inactivated with heating to 56–60°C (122–140°F), exposure to gamma or UV irradiation, exposure to pH less than 5.5 or greater than 8.52, autoclaving, incineration, and boiling.

Notification: No.

Measures Required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measure Required for Introducing Animals to Infected Animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for Restoring Disease-Free Status after an Outbreak: Sick snakes should never be introduced into an established collection. It is unknown what percentage of snakes with reptarenavirus infection will develop clinical signs and how many will remain clinically healthy.

Experts Who May Be Consulted:

Jim Wellehan, DVM, PhD, DACZM, DACVM

Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences; University of Florida

Phone: (352) 392-2235 wellehanj@ufl.edu

INCLUSION BODY DISEASE

References:

- 1. Banajee KH, Chang L, Jacobson ER, Rich GA, Royal AB. What is your diagnosis? Blood film from a boa constrictor. Vet Clin Pathol. 2012;41,15-159.
- 2. Bodewes R, Kik MJ, Raj VS, Schapendonk CM, Haagmans BL, Smits SL, Osterhaus AD. Detection of novel divergent arenaviruses in boid snakes with inclusion body disease in The Netherlands. J Gen Virol. 2013;94(6):1206-10.
- 3. Chang L, Fu D, Stenglein MD, Hernandez JA, DeRisi JL, Jacobson ER. 2016. Detection and prevalence of boid inclusion body disease in collections of boas and pythons using immunological assays. Vet J. 2016;218:13-8.
- 4. Hetzel U, Sironen T, Laurinmäki P, Liljeroos L, Patjas A, Henttonen H, Vaheri A, Artelt A, Kipar A, Butcher SJ, Vapalahti O. Isolation, identification and characterization of novel Arenaviruses, the etiological agent of Boid Inclusion Body Disease. J Virol. 2013;87(20):10918-35.
- 5. Jacobson ER. Viruses and viral diseases of reptiles. In: Jacobson, E.R. (ed.) Infectious diseases and pathology of reptiles. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2007. p. 409-474.
- 6. Keller S, Hetzel U, Sironen T, Korzyukov Y, Vapalahti O, Kipar A, Hepojoki J. Co-infecting reptarenaviruses can be vertically transmitted in boa constrictor. PLOS Pathog. 2017;13(1): e1006179.
- 7. Marschang RE. Clinical virology. In: Divers SJ, Mader DR. (Eds.). Current Therapy in Reptile Medicine and Surgery. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier Saunders; 2014. p. 32-52.
- 8. Ossiboff RJ. Serpentes. In: Terio KA, McAloose D, St. Leger J. (eds.). Pathology of Wildlife and Zoo Animals. Cambridge (MA): Academic Press; 2018. p. 897-919.
- 9. Schilliger L, Selleri P, Frye FL. Lymphoblastic lymphoma and leukemic blood profile in a red-tail boa (*Boa constrictor constrictor*) with concurrent inclusion body disease. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2011;23:159-162.
- 10. Schilliger L, Rossfelder A, Bonwitt J, Di Girolamo N, Rival F, Gandar F, Selleri P, Nicolier A. Antemortem diagnosis of multicentric lymphoblastic lymphoma, lymphoid leukemia, and inclusion body disease in a boa constrictor (*Boa constrictor imperator*). J Herpetol Med Surg. 2014;24:11–19.
- 11. Stenglein MD, Sanders C, Kistler A, Ruby JG, Franco JY, Reavill DR, Dunker F, DeRis JL. Identification, characterization, and in vitro culture of highly divergent arenaviruses from boa constrictors and annulated tree boas: candidate etiological agents for snake inclusion body disease. MBio. 2012;3(4):e00180-12.
- 12. Stenglein MD, Guzman DS, Garcia VE, Layton ML, Hoon-Hanks LL, Boback SM, Kell MK, Drazenovich T, Hawkins MG, DeRisi JL. Differential disease susceptibility in experimentally reptarenavirus infected boa constrictors and ball pythons. J Virol. 2017;91(15):e00451-17.
- 13. Strik NI, Alleman AR, Harr KE. Circulating inflammatory cells. In: Jacobson ER (ed.) Infectious diseases and pathology of reptiles. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2007. p. 167-218.
- 14. Summa NM, Guzman DS, Hawkins M, Grosset C, Chen V, Goldsmith D, Keel K, Woolard K, Young A, Bucy D, Steffey M. Tracheal and colonic resection and anastomosis in a boa constrictor (*Boa constrictor*) with T-cell lymphoma. J Herpetol Med Surg. 2015;25:87-99.
- 15. Hepojoki J, Salmenperä P, Sironen T, Hetzel U, Korzyukov Y, Kipar A, Vapalahti O. Arenavirus Coinfections Are Common in Snakes with Boid Inclusion Body Disease. J Virol. 2015;89(16):8657-60.
- 16. Stenglein MD, Jacobson ER, Chang LW, Sanders C, Hawkins MG, Guzman DS, Drazenovich T, Dunker F, Kamaka EK, Fisher D, Reavill DR, Meola LF, Levens G, DeRisi JL. Widespread recombination, reassortment, and transmission of unbalanced compound viral genotypes in natural arenavirus infections. PLoS Pathog. 2015;1(5):e1004900.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual INFECTIOUS HEMATOPOETIC NECROSIS VIRUS (IHNV)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Salmonids	Horizontal -	Lethargy with	Varies by	Increase	OIE reportable	No.
	usually by	sporadic	strain and	temperature to	disease.	
	direct contact	hyperactivity,	temperature.	>15°C if		
	with mucus,	ascites, white	Highest	possible;	Excellent	
	urine, feces,	fecal casts,	mortality in	consider	biosecurity	
	eggs/milt.	dorsal	younger fish	euthanasia of	(isolation and	
	Vertical	darkening,	at 8-15°C.	affected	disinfection).	
	transmission	petechiation,	Older	animals.		
	is suspected.	coelomic	animals		Egg	
	Gills may be	distension,	present lower		disinfection.	
	important	hemorrhage,	mortality			
	portal of	exophthalmia,	rates and		Culling and	
	entry as virus	and pale gills.	fewer clinical		disinfection in	
	survives >1	Acute mortal-	signs.		the face of an	
	mo in water	ities occur and			outbreak.	
	and	scoliosis is				
	sediment. It	observed in			Increase	
	also is	survivors.			temperature to	
	transmitted				>15°C.	
	via insect,					
	annelid, and					
	crustacean					
	vectors.					

Fact Sheet compiled by: Catherine Hadfield

Sheet completed on: 28 November 2010; updated: 5 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Brent Whitaker, E. Scott Weber III

Susceptible animal groups: Salmonids – both freshwater and saltwater, and especially rainbow trout (*Oncorhyncus mykiss*), Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*), sockeye salmon (*O. nerka*), and chum salmon (*O. keta*). Generally considered resistant are lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*), arctic char, (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and coho salmon (*O. kisutch*).

Causative organism: Family Rhabdoviridae, genus *Novirhabdovirus*, IHNV. Several clades of virus exist with certain clades or strains being isolated within certain geographic regions.

Zoonotic potential: None.

Distribution: Endemic to Pacific coast of North America (Alaska to California). It is now endemic to Japan and continental Europe. Outbreaks in other parts of the US and Asia have occurred.

Incubation period: Temperature dependent, ~5-45 days.

Clinical signs: The clinical presentation is more common in fry and fingerlings. Lethargy with sporadic hyperactivity is seen. Coelomic distension presents due to ascites. Pale fecal casts are observed trailing from vent. Darkening, petechiation, erythema, exophthalmia, and pale gills due to anemia are observed. Rapidly escalating mortalities occur which may reach >90%. Scoliosis and lordosis are common in 5-60% of fry and fingerling survivors. On hematology, leukopenia, neutropenia, and anemia with increased numbers of bilobed erythrocytes may be observed.

INFECTIOUS HEMATOPOETIC NECROSIS VIRUS (IHNV)

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Petechiation, erythema, and pallor may be observed grossly. Necrosis of renal hematopoietic tissue and spleen; possible focal necrosis in liver and gastrointestinal tract can be seen. Degeneration and necrosis of granular cells in the lamina propria, stratum compactum, and stratum granulosum of the gastrointestinal tract is sometimes considered pathognomonic for IHN. Pleiomorphic intracytoplasmic and intranuclear inclusions in the pancreas can be observed. Older fish show fewer histologic lesions.

Diagnosis: Presumptive diagnosis is based on species, clinical signs, age, temperature, and geographic location. Definitive diagnosis for OIE requires viral isolation followed by molecular or immunologic identification. Other tests are available, e.g., virus neutralization, indirect fluorescent antibody testing, RT-PCR, and staphylococcal coagglutination, but are not approved for surveillance. Of these tests, the staphylococcal coagglutination is the most rapid.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Live fish – mucus or eggs. Dead fish – the same as live and also kidney and spleen by sterile collection or whole fish. Pool tissues from up to 10 fish (>0.5 g) with viral transport media and antibiotics (e.g., 4ml 10% fetal calf serum and 200 IU penicillin, 200 μg streptomycin, and 200 μg kanamycin per ml). Transport at 4°C ASAP.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: State Fish Health Laboratories; university laboratories specializing in fish virology, e.g., UC Davis Fish Health Laboratory.

Treatment: Increase temperature to >15°C if possible.

Prevention and control: Excellent biosecurity is important prevention measure. For stocking, only acquire disinfected eggs (commonly iodophor disinfection) or from IHNV-free stock. Use virus-free water, or disinfect with ozone or UV. Sterilize feed (e.g., by heat). Consider non-susceptible species in endemic areas; surveillance of the young-of-the-year and female broodstock; and selective breeding to maintain virus-free stock. Commercial vaccine (Novartis) available in US and several products are under trial. In the face of an outbreak, cull and disinfect affected animals and increase temperature for remaining animals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Virus is inactivated by formalin, sodium hypochlorite, iodophors, gamma and UV irradiation, pH <4 or >10, or temperatures >60°C for 15 minutes. Resistant to ethanol.

Notification: Reportable disease, must notify the OIE.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Do not introduce susceptible fish to affected animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: When the disease is first detected, an infected zone is established and a buffer zone is established peripheral to the problem. All infected animals are either culled or removed from the infected zone to reduce the risk of disease transmission and the area is disinfected. Biosecurity measures are reviewed and modified as needed within the infected zone. Surveillance is established until no virus is detected for at least 2 years.

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. James R. Winton

Chief of the Fish Health Section

Western Fisheries Research Center, United States Geological Survey, Seattle, WA

(206) 526- 6587

jwinton@usgs.gov

References:

1. AFS Suggested Procedures for the Detection and Identification of Certain Finfish and Shellfish

INFECTIOUS HEMATOPOETIC NECROSIS VIRUS (IHNV)

- Pathogens. 2010. Blue Book. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD. CD-ROM.
- 2. Crane, M., and A. Hyatt. 2011. Viruses of fish: an overview of significant pathogens. Viruses 3: 2025-2046.
- 3. Bootland, L.M., and J.C. Leong. 2010. Infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus. *In*: Woo, P.T.K (ed). Fish Diseases and Disorders. Volume 3: Viral, Bacterial and Fungal Infections. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK. Pp. 66-109.
- 4. Kim, H.J., N. Oseko, T. Nishizawa, and M. Yoshimizu. 2009. Protection of rainbow trout from infectious hematopoietic necrosis (IHN) by injection of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) or Poly (I:C). Dis. Aquat. Org. 83: 105-113.
- 5. McClure, C.M., S. Saksida, G. Karreman, J. Constantine, J. Robinson, G. Traxler, and L. Hammell. 2008. Evaluation of a reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction test and virus isolation on field samples collected for the diagnosis of Infectious Hematopoietic Necrosis Virus in cultured Atlantic Salmon in British Columbia. J. Aquat. Anim. Health 29: 12-18.
- 6. Mulcahy, D., D. Klaybor, and W.N. Batts. 1990. Isolation of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus from a leech (*Piscicola salmositica*) and a copepod (*Salmincola* sp.), ectoparasites of sockeye salmon *Oncorhynchus nerka*. Dis. Aquat. Org. 8: 29-34.
- 7. OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests for Aquatic Animals. 2013. Office International des Epizooties, Paris, France. 554 pp.
- 8. Plumb, J.A. 1999. Trout and salmon viruses. *In*: Plumb, J.A., (ed). Health Maintenance and Principal Microbial Diseases of Cultured Fishes. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 103-146.
- 9. Purcell, M.K., G. Kurath, K. Garver, R.P. Herwig, and J.R. Winton. 2004. Quantitative expression profiling of immune response genes in rainbow trout during IHNV infection or following vaccination with a DNA vaccine against IHNV. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 17: 447-462.
- 10. Purcell, M.K., S.A. Hart, G. Kurath, and J.R. Winton. 2006. Strand-specific, real-time RT-PCR assays for quantification of genomic and positive-sense RNAs of the fish rhabdovirus, infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus. J. Virol. Methods 132: 18-24.
- 11. Purcell, M.K., K.M. Nichols, J.R. Winton, G.K. Kurath, G.H. Thorgaard, P. Wheeler, J.D. Hansen, R.P. Herwig, and L.K. Park. 2006. Comprehensive gene expression profiling following DNA vaccination against infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus. Molec. Immunol. 43: 2089-2106.
- 12. Smail, D.A., and A.L.S Munro. 2001. The virology of teleosts. *In*: Roberts, R.J., (ed), 3rd ed. Fish Pathology. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 169-253.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
All vertebrates	Environmental sources; nosocomial infections; fecal-oral; respiratory; cockroach or house fly vector; normal intestinal or oropharyngeal flora	Wide range of clinical presentation; septicemia, abscessation, multiple organ inflammation. Hypermucoviscous phenotype of <i>K. pneumoniae</i> is an emerging pathogen of humans, primates, and free-living seals	Variable; hypervirulent strains emerging pathogen of humans, domestic animals, primates, otariid and phocid seals	Antibiotics with Gram-negative activity pending appropriate sensitivity testing. Multidrug resistant strains of emerging importance in humans and animals.	Good sanitation, disinfection protocols for commissary and medical equipment, pest control with emphasis on roach and fly control.	Potentially zoonotic; close genetic relationships exist between humans, livestock, birds, and free-living seals.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Kelly Helmick

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011; updated 17 December 2012, updated 21 February 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: June Olds, James Steeil

Susceptible animal groups: All vertebrates are susceptible. Epizootics in captive and free-ranging primates, captive rabbits, captive and free-ranging rodents, free-ranging otariid seals, domestic carnivores, domestic ungulates, and humans. Isolated reports in captive civet, gecko lizard, American alligator, brown tree frog. Isolated from wild and rehabilitated seabirds, captive healthy garter snake. *K. pneumoniae* hypermucoviscosity phenotype is an emerging disease of humans, nonhuman primates, and otariid seals associated with increased invasiveness and pathogenicity. Multidrug resistant strains of *K. pneumoniae* emerging in human and animal isolates. Multidrug resistant pathogenic strains of *K. pneumoniae* isolated from a variety of confiscated psittacine and passerine species.

Causative organism: *Klebsiella* sp. (gram-negative bacteria, Enterobacteraciae); *K. oxytoca* and *K. pneumoniae*. Hypervirulent *K. pneumoniae* strains with a gene-regulated hypermucoviscosity (HMV) capsular phenotype that is a significant contributing factor to pathogen virulence. The HMV phenotype strain is emerging as an important pathogen of humans, nonhuman primates, and otariid seals. Multi-antibiotic *K. pneumonia* resistant strains are emerging pathogens of veterinary and human importance.

Transmission: Normal inhabitant of soil and water, benign inhabitant of the gastrointestinal tract and oropharynx, can colonize medical equipment, contamination of foodstuffs. Hospital and nosocomial infections in humans and domestic animals. Houseflies and cockroaches carry multidrug resistant strains of *K. pneumoniae*. Fecal contamination is a common transmission method for cattle with mastitis. Human microbial marine pollution is a suspected source for isolates obtained from free-living seals. Dissemination through the respiratory tract occurs in humans, African green monkeys (*Chlorocebus aethiops sabaeus*), and is suspected in California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*). A hypervirulent *K. pneumoniae* was isolated on oropharyngeal swab of a captive black-and-white ruffed lemur (*Varecia variegate*) that survived infection, but no isolates obtained from fecal and oropharyngeal swabs of unaffected conspecifics. *K. oxytoca* and hypervirulent *K. pneumoniae* detected on rectal swab from free-living African green monkeys (*Chlorocebus aethiops sabaeus*). Hypervirulent *K. pneumoniae* detected in the oropharyngeal tissues, intestine, and renal tubules of California sea lions; findings may represent normal flora and/or other potential routes of transmission for this species. Bacterial virulence factors and host factors that promote *Klebsiella* infection and disease not fully understood.

Zoonotic potential: Zoonotic potential of *K. pneumoniae* HMV phenotype is unknown, but is an emerging disease of humans and has been isolated from domestic animals, nonhuman primates, phocid seals, and otariid seals with clinical illness. Close genetic relationships between *K. pneumoniae* isolates from humans, livestock, birds, and free-living seals.

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: Undetermined

Clinical signs: Causes a wide range of clinical presentation in affected vertebrates: anorexia, lethargy, pneumonia, septicemia, hypopyon, endophthalmitis mastitis, metritis, meningitis, peritonitis, urinary tract infections, abscessation. Common clinical presentations of domestic animals include mastitis (cattle); bacteremia (calves); metritis (horses); septicemia and pneumonia (foals); pneumonia, urinary tract infection, and enteritis (dogs); polyarthritis (goats); associated with stomatitis and dermatitis in reptiles; suppurative otitis in lemmings (*Dicrostonyx* spp.).

Marine mammals: Mortality from natural infection with hypervirulent multidrug resistant *K. pneumoniae* caused acute to subacute respiratory infection in stranded juvenile, subadult, and adult California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*); meningoencephalitis in New Zealand sea lion (*Phocarctos hookeri*) pups; cervical abscessation, pyothorax, omphalitis, and peritonitis in stranded common seals (*Phoca vitulina*).

Primates: Mortality from natural infection with hypervirulent multidrug resistant *K. pneumoniae* caused septicemic infection and suppurative meningoencephalitis in a captive cynomolgus monkey (*Macaca fascicularis*); suppurative peritonitis in a captive gold-handed tamarin (*Saguinus midas midas*); bronchopneumonia and bacteremia in a free-ranging golden-headed lion tamarin (*Leontopithecus chrysomelas*); peracute mortality and meningitis in captive lemurs (*Varecia variegate*); hepatic and abdominal abscessation in captive African green monkeys (*Chlorocebus aethiops sabaeus*). Mortality with multisystemic abscessation African green monkeys (*Chlorocebus aethiops sabaeus*) noted following experimental infection with a hypervirulent *K. pneumoniae*.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Necropsy findings include abscessation of liver, lung, abdomen, or other organs, septicemia, thoracic or abdominal effusions, or other suppurative changes. Gram-negative bacilli with a prominent capsule.

Diagnosis: Bacterial culture, PCR, IHC. Culture should include sensitivity testing due to variable antibiotic susceptibility patterns. Hyperviscous *K. pneumoniae* produces abundant capsular material on blood agar, such that a mucoid string > 5mm is lifted off the agar plate (string test). Phenotyping and molecular characterization for hypervirulent and multidrug resistant strains recommended.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Blood, exudate, tissue, feces, sputum, urine, CSF.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Routine microbiology laboratories for culture. Genotyping recommended in epizootics.

Treatment: Empirical antibiotic treatment with drugs exhibiting a Gram-negative spectrum of activity, modified based on susceptibility testing. Resistant strains are emerging; resistance to cephalosporins and monobactams through extended-spectrum β -lactamases and resistance to almost all β -lactams (including carbapenems) through carbapenemases. Hypervirulent strains may also complicate treatment and success rates.

Prevention and control: Good sanitation and biosecurity. Fly and cockroach control. Appropriate sterilization or disinfection of medical equipment. Appropriate food handling and commissary disinfection protocols.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: No special requirements other than good hygiene practices, biosecurity, and disinfection protocols.

Notification: No special requirements

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: No special requirements; animals should be free from clinical illness and re-evaluation with culture diagnostics as appropriate

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: No special requirements

Experts who may be consulted: None.

References:

1. Bonney CH, Hartfield DA, Schmidt RE. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection with secondary hypopyon in Tokay gecko lizards. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1978;173:1115-1116.

- 2. Bueno MG, Iovine RO, Torres LN, Catão-Dias JL, Pissinatti A, Kierulff MC, Carvalho VM. Pneumonia and bacteremia in a golden-headed lion tamarin (*Leontopithecus chrysomelas*) caused by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* subsp. *pneumoniae* during a translocation program of free-ranging animals in Brazil. J Vet Diag Invest. 2015; 27(3): 387-391.
- 3. Burke RL, West MW, Erwin-Cohen R, Selby EB, Fisher DE, Twenhafel NA. Alterations in cytokines and effects of dexamethasone immunosuppression during subclinical infections of invasive *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with hypermucoviscosity phenotype in rhesus (*Macaca mulatta*) and cynomolgus (*Macaca fascicularis*) macaques. Comp Med. 2010;60:62-70.
- 4. Burke RL, Whitehouse CA, Taylor JK, Selby EB. Epidemiology of invasive *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with hypermucoviscosity phenotype in a research colony of nonhuman primates. Comp Med. 2009;59:589-597.
- 5. Castinel A, Duignan PJ, Pomroy WE, Lopez-Villalobos N, Gibbs NJ, Chilvers, BL, Wilkinson IS. Neonatal mortality in New Zealand sea lions (*Phocarctos hookeri*) at Sandy Bay, Enderby island, Auckland islands from 1998 to 2005. J Wildl Dis. 2007;43:461-474.
- 6. Castinel A, Kittelberger R, Pomroy WE, Duignan PJ, Chilvers BL, Wilkinson I. Humoral immune response to *Klebsiella* spp. in New Zealand sea lions (*Phocarctos hookeri*) and the passive transfer of immunity to pups. J Wildl Dis. 2008;4(4):8–15.
- 7. Cutlip RC, Dennis ED. Retrospective study of diseases in a captive lemming colony. J Wildl Dis. 1993;29:620-622.
- 8. Davies YM, Cunha MP, Oliveira MG, Oliveira MC, Philadelpho N, Romero DC, Milanelo L, Guimarães MB, Ferreira AJ, Moreno AM, Sá LR, Knöbl T. Virulence and antimicrobial resistance of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from passerine and psittacine birds. Avian Pathol. 2016;45(2):194-201.
- 9. Davis GS, Price LB. Recent research examining links among *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from food, food animals, and human extraintestinal infections. Curr Environ Health Rep. 2016; 3(2):128-135.
- 10. Duff JP, Bidewell CA, Williamson SM, Teale CJ, Stidworthy MF, Bexton S, AbuOun M, Randall L, Rogers JP. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* of suspected human origin from free-living common seals on the east coast of England. Vet Rec. 2016; 79(25):652.
- 11. Enurah LU, Adeniyi KO, Ocholi RA, Spencer TH, Badung JD. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* as a cause of pneumonia and septicemia in a civet kitten (*Civettictis civetta*) in the Jos Zoo, Nigeria. J Wildl Dis. 1988; 24:585-586.
- 12. Fotedar R, Banerjee U, Samantray JC, Shirniwas. Vector potential of hospital houseflies with special reference to *Klebsiella* species. Epidemiol Infect. 1992; 109: 143-147.
- 13. Goldstein EJC, Agyare E, Vagvolgyi AE, Halpern, M. Aerobic bacterial oral flora of garter snakes: development of normal flora and pathogenic potential for snakes and humans. J Clin Microbiol. 1981;13:954-956.
- 14. Guerra MF, Teixeira RH, Ribeiro VL, Cunha MP, Oliveira MG, Davies YM, Silva KC, Silva AP, Lincopan N, Moreno AM, Knöbl T. Suppurative peritonitis by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in captive goldhanded tamarin (*Saguinus midas midas*). J Med Primatol. 2016; 45(1):42-46.
- 15. Hartman LJ, Selby EB, Whitehouse CA, Coyne SR, Jaissle JG, Twenhafel NA. Rapid real-time PCR assays for detection of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with the rmpA or magA genes associated with the hypermucoviscosity phenotype screening of nonhuman primates. J Mol Diagn. 2009;11:464-471.
- 16. Kasuya K, Takayama K, Bito M, Shimokubo N, Kawashima R, Shibahara T. Septicemic invasive *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection in a cynomolgus monkey (*Macaca fascicularis*) with severe diffused suppurative meningoencephalitis. J Vet Med Sci. 2017; 79(7):1167-1171.
- 17. Novak SS, Seigel RA. Gram-negative septicemia in American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*). J Wildl Dis. 1986; 22:484-487.
- 18. Paczosa MK, Mecsas J. *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: going on the offense with a strong defense. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2016; 80(3):629-661.

- 19. Ranjbar R, Izadi M, Hafshejani TT. Molecular detection and antimicrobial resistance of *Klebsiella pne*umoniae from house flies (*Musca domestica*) in kitchens, farms, hospitals, and slaughterhouses. J Infect Public Health. 2016; 9(4):499-505.
- 20. Roe WD, Rogers L, Pinpimai K, Dittmer K, Marshall J, Chilvers BL. Septicaemia and meningitis caused by infection of New Zealand sea lion pups with a hypermucoviscous strain of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Vet Microbiol. 2015;176(3-4):301-308.
- 21. Sasaki E, Tokiwa T, Tsugo K, Higashi Y, Hori H, Une Y. Peracute bacterial neningitis due to infection with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in captive-bred ruffed lemurs (*Varecia variegate*). J Comp Pathol. 2017;156(2-3):281-285.
- 22. Schadich E, Cole ALJ. Pathogenicity of *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Proteus mirabilis* to brown tree frogs (*Litoria ewingii*). Comp Med. 2010; 60: 114-117.
- 23. Seguel M, Goggdenker NL, Colegrove K, Johnson S, Struve C, Howerth EW. Hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumonia*e in California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*): pathologic findings in natural infections. Vet Pathol. 2017; 54(5):846-250.
- 24. Soto E, Dennis MM, Beierschmitt A, Francis S, Sithole F, Halliday-Simmons I, Palmour R. Biofilm formation of hypermucoviscous and non-hypermucoviscous *Klebsiella pneumoniae* recovered from clinically affected African green monkey (*Chlorocebus aethiops sabeus*). Microb Pathol. 2017; 107:198-201.
- 25. Soto E, LaMon V, Griffin M, Keirstead N, Beierschmitt A, Palmour R. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates recovered from nonhuman primates. J Wildl Dis. 2012;48:603-611.
- 26. Steele CM, Brown RN, Botzler RG. Prevalence of zoonotic bacteria among seabirds in rehabilitation centers along the Pacific coast of California and Washington, USA. J Wildl Dis. 2005; 41: 735–744.
- 27. Tatfeng YM, Usuanlele MU, Orukpe A, Digban AK, Okodua M, Oviasogie F, Turay AA. Mechanical transmission of pathogenic organisms: the role of cockroaches. J Vect Borne Dis. 2005; 42: 129–134.
- 28. Twenhafel NA, Whitehouse CA, Stevens EL, Hottel HE, Foster CD, Gamble S, Abbott S, Janda JM, Kreiselmeier N, Steele KE. Multisystemic abscesses in African green monkeys (*Chlorocebus aethiops*) with invasive *Klebsiella pneumoniae* identification of the hypermucoviscosity phenotype. Vet Pathol. 2008;45:226–231.
- 29. Whitehouse CA, Keirstead N, Taylor J, Reinhardt JL, Beierschmitt A. Prevalence of hypermucoid *Klebsiella pneumoniae* among wild-caught and captive vervet monkeys (*Chlorocebus aethiops sabaeus*) on the island of St. Kitts. J Wildl Dis. 2010;46:971-976.

KYASANUR FOREST DISEASE

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s) Affected		Signs			and	
					Control	
Mammals: primarily, rats, squirrels, porcupine and bats, shrews (Suncus murinus) are reservoir hosts. Monkeys are amplication hosts- gray langurs (Semnopithecus sp.) and red-faced bonnet macaques (Macaca radiata) Domestic cattle, sheep, and goats can be affected.	Vector: Hard ticks, primarily nymphal stages of Haemaphysalis spinigera. Other Haemaphysalis sp. and Ixodes sp. soft ticks of Ornithodoros sp. and Argas sp. Direct contact with an infected animal (rodent, monkey)	Biphasic: fever, tussis, dehydration, encephalitis, epistaxis, diarrhea, shock, death	Mild to fatal	No specific treatment. Supportive care especially for treatment of dehydration and hemorrhage	Vector control, including insect repellents and protective clothing	Yes, with mortality for humans living in enzootic areas.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Owen Slater

Sheet completed on: 17 August 2013; updated 12 November 2012 and 24 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Douglas P. Whiteside

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals: Gray langur, red-faced bonnet macaque, domestic cattle, sheep and goats, and humans. Hosts include white-tailed rat, white-bellied rat, shrew, and bats.

Causative organism: Kyasanur forest disease virus (KFDV) virus (Flaviviridae)

Zoonotic potential: Yes and can be fatal in humans

Distribution: Enzootic in Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra and Goa States, India, but also human seropositivity in Andaman and Nicobar islands. Debate exists as to whether viruses identified in Saudi Arabia and the People's Republic of China are KFDV or closely related viruses.

Incubation period: In humans, this period is approximately 3-8 days.

Clinical signs:

<u>Animals</u>: Natural infections of monkeys are commonly associated with substantial mortality and evidence of anal hemorrhage. Other clinical signs noted include epistaxis, diarrhea, encephalitis, shock and death. <u>Humans</u>: Fever, headache, severe muscle pain, prostration, inflammation of conjunctiva, vesicular eruptions on the soft palate, tussis, vomition, diarrhea, dehydration and bleeding. Decreased platelets, red blood cell and white blood cell counts are noted. Patients sometimes recover after 1-2 weeks but usually a biphasic illness with a second wave at three weeks with above clinical signs and, for some, encephalitis. Fatality rate of 3-10%.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross: Anal hemorrhage, epistaxis, and diarrhea. Histologic: Focal liver necrosis with cytoplasmic inclusion bodies, sloughing of tubular epithelium in kidney (humans), small and large intestinal necrosis, pallor of the adrenal cortex, multi-organ hemorrhage (lung, kidney, brain, adrenal), non-purulent encephalitis with focal microgliosis and perivascular cuffing.

Diagnosis: Serology (Convalescent phase), RT-PCR, qRT-PCR, IgM capture ELISA

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, whole blood, tissue

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Rd. Atlanta, GA 30329

Treatment: No specific treatment for the disease. Supportive care for dehydration and hemorrhage.

KYASANUR FOREST DISEASE

Prevention and control: No vaccine currently available in North America but high success with formalin inactivated virus vaccine was been reported for humans in India. Vector (tick and rodent) control in endemic areas is important. Level 3-4 biosecurity protocols in North America.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 1% sodium hypochlorite, 70% ethanol, 2% glutaraldehyde. Virus does not survive freezing

Notification: This is not a notifiable foreign animal disease

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: If importing monkeys, rodents or bats from enzootic areas follow normal quarantine measures and strongly consider serologic testing for Kyasanur forest disease virus.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: None

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Stuart T. Nichol, Ph.D.,

Chief, Molecular Biology Laboratory, Special Pathogens Branch, Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600, Clifton Road, N.E.

Atlanta, GA, 30329

References:

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Kyasanur Forest Disease (KFD): 2014 [cited 2018 January 24]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/vhf/kyasanur/
- 2. Kasabi GS, Murhekar MV, Yadav PD, Raghunandan R, Kiran SK, Sandhya VK, Channabasappa GH, Mishra AC, Mourya DT, Mehendale SM. Kyasanur Forest Disease, India, 2011-2012. Emerg Infect Dis. 2013;19: 278-281
- 3. Mehla R, Kumar SR, Yadav P, Barde PV, Yergolkar PN, Erickson BR, Carroll SA, Mishra AC, Nichol ST, Mourya DT. Recent ancestory of Kyasanur Forest disease virus. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15:1431-7.
- 4. Mourya DT, Yadav PD. Recent scenario of emergence of Kyasansur Forest Disease in Indian and public health importance. Curr Trop Med Rep. 2016; 3(1):7-13.
- 5. Mourya DT, Yadav PD, Mehla R, Barde PV, Yergolkar PN, Kumar SR, Thakare JP, Mishra AC. Diagnosis of Kyasanur forest disease by nested RT-PCR, real-time RT-PCR and IgM capture ELISA. J Virol Meth. 2012;186: 49–54.
- 6. Pathogen Safety Data Sheet: Public Health Agency of Canada
- 1. Government of Canada [Internet]. Pathogen Safety Data Sheets: Infectious Substances Kyasanur forest disease virus: 2011 [cited 2018 January 24]. Available from https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/laboratory-biosafety-biosecurity/pathogen-safety-data-sheets-risk-assessment/kyasanur-forest-disease-virus.html
- 2. Pattnaik, P. Kyasanur forest disease: an epidemiological view in India. Rev Med Virol. 2006;16:151-65.
- 3. Pavri, K. Clinical, clinicopathologic, and hematologic features of Kyasanur forest disease. Rev Infect Dis. 1989;11:854-859.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Humans, 9-banded armadillos	Indirect or direct contact Respiratory droplets Consumption of or contact with 9-banded armadillos	Primarily affects the peripheral nerves, skin, upper respiratory tract, eyes, and limbs. Sensory loss in skin, muscle weakness. Long term lack of sensation leads to traumatic injury and potential loss of use in hands and feet.	Severity of clinical signs based on immunity of host. Left untreated, it may result in permanent damage to skin, nerves, eyes, limbs.	Multi-drug antibiotic therapy.	Humans treated early in course of disease are no longer infective. Avoid exposure to 9 - banded armadillos. Cleaning and eating their carcasses may pose increased risk.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Lara M. Cusack

Sheet completed on: 25 May 2011; updated 4 September 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Richard W. Truman, David M. Scollard

Susceptible animal groups: Humans, 9-banded armadillos (*Dasypus novemcinctus*). Other armadillo species such as 6-banded armadillos (*Euphractus*) common as exotic pets, and 3-banded armadillos (*Tolypeutes*) are not known to be susceptible to *M. leprae*.

Causative organism: Mycobacterium leprae

Zoonotic potential: Infectious between people and from 9-banded armadillos

Distribution: Organism is found worldwide. Persons in close contact with patients with untreated, active, predominantly multibacillary disease, and persons living in countries with highly endemic disease have higher risk of disease. Most (75%) of cases originate from Angola, Brazil, Central African Republic, Democratic Republic of Congo, India, Madagascar, Mozambique, Nepal and the United Republic of Tanzania. In the US, cases are documented primarily in Louisiana, Texas, California, New York, Massachusetts, and Hawaii. Infections among wild 9-banded armadillos reported in Alabama, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas, as well as in Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, and Mexico.

Incubation period: While typical incubation period is approximately 5 years, it can be up to 20 years for clinical signs to appear.

Clinical signs:

<u>Humans</u>: Majority of healthy individuals will not develop disease. Susceptibility to infection appears to be genetic. The form of the disease developed depends on host immunity.

Indeterminate form - Earliest clinically detectable form of leprosy found in 10% to 20% of infected people. Hypopigmented macules, without developed tuberculoid or lepromatous characteristics, are present.

Tuberculoid leprosy (pauci-bacillary leprosy) - Single or few well demarcated hypopigmented skin lesions, frequently with active, spreading edges and a clearing center, are noted. Peripheral nerve swelling or thickening also may occur. Acid fast bacilli rare or not visible.

Lepromatous leprosy (multi-bacillary leprosy) - Very numerous symmetrically distributed erythematous skin lesions, nodules, plaques, thickened dermis, and involvement of the nasal mucosa (congestion, nose bleeds). Acid fast bacilli are always present and may be found in dermal nerves. High titer of antibodies to *M. leprae* but little cellular immune response to the bacillus. Changes in immunity and/or treatment can lead to worsening of clinical signs.

Borderline - Few or several, asymmetrical, hypopigmented, erythematous or coppery skin lesions that are usually positive for acid fast bacilli. These cases may be further sub-divided according to the number and cellularity of the lesions. Borderline Tuberculoid (BT) are usually well demarcated, somewhat dry, and few in number. Borderline Lepromatous (BL) have many roughly symmetrical, shiny macules, nodules, or plaques with sloping or poorly defined edges.

All forms will involve some degree of peripheral neurological damage, leading to sensory loss in skin and muscle weakness. In long term cases, lack of sensation leads to repeated traumatic injury and potential loss of use in hands and feet. Left untreated, may result in permanent damage to skin, nerves, eyes, and limbs.

9-Banded Armadillos: Cutaneous lesions are discerned only in the late stages. One may observe repeated foot ulcers or scrapes around the nose, eyes or legs that do not respond well to normal therapies. Armadillos generally manifest a diffuse lepromatous form of the disease with systemic involvement of reticuloendothelial tissues. Impression smears or swabs of skin lesions can reveal acid fast bacilli or may PCR as *M. leprae*. Leprous armadillos have been reported to show an increase in basal metabolic rate. With one of the lowest metabolic rates of any placental mammal, the cost of infection may represent an important impact but studies to date are undecided as to ecological consequences in wild population. It does not appear to infect young animals which may be due to incubation period.

Post mortem, gross, or histology findings: *Mycobacterium leprae* is an obligate intracellular, acid-fast, Gram-positive bacillus with an affinity for macrophages and Schwann cells. Interaction with Schwann cells induces demyelination and stimulates a chronic inflammatory reaction. Swelling occurs in the perineurium, leading to ischemia, fibrosis, and axonal loss. Sensory fibers are affected prior to motor nerve involvement and the induced insensitivity can contribute to secondary trauma.

Infection in the armadillo is characterized by an insidious microcytic hypochromic anemia, with elevated LDH, ALT, and AST. On gross exam, the liver, spleen, and lymph nodes may be enlarged extensively, they may have a granular texture, and can contain massive numbers of acid fast bacilli. In late stages of disease, no organ system is spared and large numbers of bacilli can be found in all tissues.

Diagnosis: Clinical signs - Localized skin lesions have demonstrated sensory loss, thickened and enlarged peripheral nerves. Acid-fast bacilli in skin or dermal nerve, obtained from the full-thickness skin biopsy of a lepromatous lesion, can be demonstrated. In many cases, rod-shaped, red-stained leprosy bacilli, which are diagnostic of the disease, may be seen in the smears taken from affected skin when examined under a microscope after appropriate staining (weakly acid-fast; Fite stain better than Ziehl-Neelsen). Serology and PCR - not widely performed, fail to reliably detect early/mild forms of the disease.

<u>9-Banded Armadillos</u>: Ear notches- preserve in 100% ethanol for genetic screening and in 70% ethanol for (PCR) analyses to detect *M. leprae* DNA. Serum or eluted whole blood- ELISA test or immunoglobulin M (IgM) antibodies to *M. leprae*. Confirmation made with PCR.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Skin, blood, affected tissues (spleen, liver, lymph node), dermal swabs, and impression smears.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any capable of performing acid fast stain or PCR for *M. leprae*.

Treatment: Hansen's disease is a mild disease when treated early and prior to sensory impairment. Multidrug therapy (MDT) with dapsone, rifampicin, and clofazimine, is daily treatment and prolonged - multi-bacillary cases treated for 2 years, pauci-bacillary cases treated for 1 year.

Drugs provided free of charge by the National Hansen's Disease Programs (NHDP) 1-800-642-2477,

LEPROSY

http://www.hrsa.gov/hansensdisease/clinicalcenter.html.

Prevention and control: Early treatment for atypical skin rashes refractory to treatment should be sought, especially if sensory involvement. Patients are no longer infective after two weeks of MDT (WHO/NHDP). Avoid exposure to/contact with blood or flesh of 9-banded armadillos.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Organism loses infectivity after 30 min exposure to most disinfectants and UV light. Disinfectants effective against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* are likely also effective against *M. leprae*.

Notification: Nationally, it is a Notifiable Disease (CDC).

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Maintain infected animal in a quarantine situation until treatment initiated.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Disinfection of infected environment. Minimize contact with infected persons until treatment is initiated.

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Richard W Truman, PhD National Hansen's Disease Program LSU School of Veterinary Medicine Tel 225-578-9848, Fax 225-578-9856 rtruman@hrsa.gov

Dr. David Scollard, MD, PhD Chief, Clinical Branch National Hansen's Disease Programs Tel 225-756-3713, Fax 225-756-3819 dscollard@hrsa.gov

References:

- 1. Deps, P.D., B.L. Alves, C.G. Gripp, R.L. Aragão, B.V.S. Guedes, J.B. Filho, M.K. Andreatta, R.S. Marcari, I.C.A. Prates, and L.C. Rodrigues. 2008. Contact with armadillos increases the risk of leprosy in Brazil: a case control study. Ind. J. Dermatol. Venereol. Leprol. 74:338-42.
- 2. http://www.niaid.nih.gov/topics/leprosy/Pages/Default.aspx. Accessed 8 July 2013.
- 3. http://www.hrsa.gov/hansensdisease/. Accessed 8 July 2013.
- 4. http://www.cdc.gov/nczved/divisions/dfbmd/diseases/hansens_disease/technical.html. Accessed 8 July 2013.
- 5. http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1104977-overview. Accessed 8 July 2013.
- 6. Morgan, R., and W. Loughry. 2009. Consequences of exposure to leprosy in a population of wild nine-banded armadillos. J. Mammal. 90(6): 1363-1369.
- 7. Scollard, D.M., L.B. Adams, T.P. Gillis, J.L. Krahenbuhl, R.W. Truman, and D.L. Williams. 2006. The continuing challenges of leprosy. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 19(2): 338-81.
- 8. Truman, R., P. Singh, R. Sharma, P. Busso, J. Rougemont, A. Paniz-Mondolfi, and S. Cole. 2011. Probable zoonotic leprosy in the southern United States. New Engl. J. Med.: 364(17): 1626-1633.
- 9. Truman, R. 2005. Leprosy in wild armadillos. Leprosy Rev. 76(3): 198-208.
- 10. Walsh, G., W. Meyers, C. Binford, B. Gormus, G. Baskin, R. Wolf, and P. Gerone. 1988. Leprosy as a zoonosis: an update. Acta Leprologica 6(1): 51-60.

LEPTOSPIROSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Fatal	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs	Disease		Control	
Affected						
Mammals	Contact with urine	None or modest in	Fatal	Antibiotics	Personal	Yes
	of shedding host-	host-adapted/	disease	– usually	hygiene,	
	adapted/ carrier	carrier animals;	can occur	doxycycline.	especially	
	animal or urine-	inapparent to	in non-		handwashing,	
	contaminated water;	severe in acute	host		and prevention	
	organism can	infections in non-	adapted		of contact with	
	penetrate macerated	host adapted	species.		host-adapted/	
	or wounded skin and	animals. Renal			carrier animal	
	intact mucous	signs most typical			urine; control of	
	membranes;	and include acute			free-ranging	
	potential, but	renal failure; up to			wildlife and	
	limited, transmission	20% of cases			pests which are	
	transplacental,	present concurrent			often these host-	
	transmammary	hepatitis.			adapted carriers.	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Kathryn C. Gamble

Original date: 12 March 2011; updated 14 July 2013; updated 11 February 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kenneth Harkin; June Olds

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals; recent literature assessment published that 10-20% prevalence had been reported in most mammalian families, although *Muridae*, *Canidae*, and *Bovidae* were overrepresented; *Felidae* appear more resilient, but recent assessments are that domestic felids are detected more often with sub-clinical disease than recognized previously, and personal author experience with clinical disease in two large exotic felids; reservoir situations, increasing contact with humans through urbanization and conversion to a omnivorous diet is associated with increased prevalence for some taxa, such as *Phalangeridae* (brush-tail possum). Additional reservoirs, including birds and reptiles, have been identified.

Causative organism: *Leptospira* spp. (250± serovars) are spirochaete bacteria which share a common lipopolysaccharide antigen but differ by surface agglutinating antibodies that allows classification. Currently, some of the most common pathogenic leptospiral serovars for U.S. mammals are identified as (*L. kirshneri*) Grippotyphosa, and (*L. interrogans*) Pomona, Bratislava, Hardjo, Icterohemorrhagicae, and Autumnalis.

Zoonotic potential: Infectious to people from animals; though generally comes from a common point source (i.e., rodents, contaminated water) when both animal and human are involved.

Distribution: Worldwide distribution with moist environments most conducive, especially prevalent in tropical countries; occupational and leisure activity risk factors; autumn seasonality observed.

Incubation period: 7-14 days, up to 21 days

Clinical signs: Common reservoir species can have high prevalence of infection – up to 50%. Generally, these individuals do not develop disease or clinical signs, except perhaps mild signs at initial infection. Fatality would not be expected. These animals may shed the organism for a few weeks or intermittently for several years due to chronic infection of the renal tissue. Each serovar tends to have certain host associations as potential natural reservoirs; wildlife and rodents are often implicated in this role during outbreaks.

Acute infections can occur in susceptible species and include most captive zoological species and humans; following infection with the organism, they become ill, moderately to severely. Fatality can occur, especially in untreated individuals. These animals generally do not become carriers. Once the infection has been resolved, especially if these animals are treated, prolonged shedding likely does not occur, although chronic renal damage may be incurred in survivors. Essentially any serovar could infect these individuals and produce disease.

LEPTOSPIROSIS

Endothelial damage is primary source of clinical signs. These signs are non-specific, and many infected animals do not become clinically, or severely, ill. The first signs in humans appear as mild to moderate flu-like with fever, anorexia, malaise and fatigue. Rash may be present but is inconsistent. Other clinical signs are much more severe and related to systemic infection with signs of acute renal disease, including the non-specific, but consistent, clinical signs of infection in the kidneys. Concurrent clinical pathology changes of elevated BUN and creatine, and hyperphosphatemia are present and may be accompanied by hemoglobinuria due to vasculitis. Some infected animals (10-20%) progress to concurrent hepatic disease (Weil's disease) with icterus and increasing hepatocellular enzymes. Pregnant animals may abort. The initial signs may wane with the more serious signs appearing in a biphasic time frame.

As specific taxon focus, equids tend to present with recurrent uveitis rather than renal or hepatic disease; however, reports of acute pulmonary distress as a result of leptospirosis has been reported in foals. Recent studies have also detected leptospiral DNA in vaginal swabs of mares, suggesting potential venereal transmission. Although original association of this organism with black rhinoceros (*Diceros bicornis*) and hemolytic anemia was considered, it has not been proven. Free-ranging California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*) have a marked predisposition to infection with serovar Pomona with severe renal disease; limited other serovars have been identified in other pinnipeds, but not in cetaceans.

Post mortem findings: These findings are specific to the body system infected and presenting clinical signs at time of illness. Usually, it is evidence of acute renal failure. Acute hepatitis is observed in those animals which had icterus. Scarring ("white spots") in affected organs in chronic cases observed macroscopically in the kidneys of pigs and dogs.

Diagnosis: Diagnosis is challenging and treatment must begin before diagnosis is conclusive. In the literature since the last review, increasing effort to find faster or more point-of-care options was noted. Although direct observation with (silver or fluorescent antibodies (FA)) or without (darkfield microscopy) stain enhancement has been reported as useful, leptospires must be present in sufficient numbers in the sample evaluated, usually urine. The defined gold standard of testing is serologic evaluation by microscopic agglutination testing (MAT) but this testing modality is specific and requires maintenance of the organism with its markedly fastidious culture needs, and it cannot differentiate between vaccine and natural antibody production. However, MAT testing is readily available. A positive status is assigned to a test result >1:100 in an unvaccinated animal, but this low seroconversion requires a four-fold rise in titer over 2-4 weeks for diagnostic support. In a clinically ill animal, a single serologic status of 1:800 is strongly suggestive of leptospirosis. Cross-reactivity is quite common so a panel of likely serovars are assessed, assigning the serovar with the highest titer as the most likely causative agent. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of urine is now available which detects specific gene unique to pathogenic serovars. New canine specific tests include indirect ELISA and a commercial lateral flow assay.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum is submitted for most testing, but urine can be submitted for PCR. Whole blood and serum can be submitted for PCR or whole blood for culture. Postmortem tissues – ideally kidney - can be submitted for histology using special silver stains, culture, PCR, or FA. Due to the fastidious nature of leptospira, cultures are often unrewarding, and additional diagnostic methodologies are recommended for confirmatory diagnosis.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Leptospire MAT is offered by many commercial and state diagnostic laboratories; Michigan State University Diagnostic Laboratory has an excellent serology panel and consultation services available. PCR testing now is offered routinely by many laboratories, the LipL32 based and 23s rRNA-based PCR have been shown to have false positives from free-catch urine samples.

Treatment: These organisms are generally quite sensitive to most antibiotics, except notably chloramphenicol. First generation cephalosporins (specifically cephalothin) historically were considered less successful for treatment but recently these (specifically cefazolin and cephalexin) have been suggested as effective. Best success occurs when the treatment is initiated promptly and as early in the disease course as possible. Doxycycline for 14 days is most commonly used successfully to treat clinical signs. Supportive care for systemic signs may be needed in more severe cases.

LEPTOSPIROSIS

Prevention and control in zoos: Although vaccines as killed whole cell bacterins are available for pigs, cattle, and dogs, it would be necessary to specifically target the serovar of concern in the particular area. It may therefore be preferred to leave this option to consideration in outbreak control or in areas with higher risk or increased urban wildlife or domestic stray interactions. Serologic testing can be monitored in these situations and during transfers between facilities. More importantly, pest control and exclusion of other carriers from contact with collection animals would be important.

Once an animal is confirmed infected, prompt treatment will minimize or may eliminate shedding. In the treatment interval, appropriate staff protection and personal hygiene is to be utilized to prevent spread within the facility or to staff. Consideration of drainage of the area should be made in this control measure. If the situation were to occur in a contact program area, it is recommended to exclude guests until the situation is treated, and leptospiruria is confirmed resolved.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Any standard disinfectant technique would be appropriate for cleaning of this organism.

Notification: In the US, Hawaii is the only state currently maintaining this disease as reportable in animals. Centers for Disease Control and local health authorities should be alerted for human cases, especially clusters. USDA apprises WHO of leptospirosis issues in certain production species.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Infected animals should be maintained as isolated as possible from other mammals until treatment interval is completed. PCR testing on urine would be helpful to confirm that the infected animal was no longer shedding. Serologic monitoring of animals in adjacent areas would be considered prudent.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Serologic monitoring of adjacent areas would be considered prudent following return of infected animal to collection to assess for exposure.

Experts who may be consulted:

Michigan State University Diagnostic Center for Population and Animal Health 4125 Beaumont Road; Lansing, MI 48910 517-353-1683

Kenneth Harkin DVM, Dipl ACVIM

Kansas State University, Department of Clinical Sciences

harkin@vet.k-state.edu

- 1. Adler B, de la Peña Moctezuma A. *Leptospira* and leptospirosis. Vet Microbiol. 2010;140:287-296.2.
- 2. Anderson-Ranberg EU, Pipper C, Jensen PM. Global patterns of *Leptospira* prevalence in vertebrate reservoir hosts. J Wildl Dis. 2016;52:468-477.
- 3. Bolin CA. Leptospirosis. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.), Zoo and wild animal medicine, Volume 5. Philadelphia (PA): Elsevier; 2005. p.699-701.
- 4. Brett-Major DM, Coldren R. Antibiotics for leptospirosis [Internet]. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2012, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD008264. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008264.pub2. [accessed 11 February 2018]
- 5. Chikeka I, Dumier JS. Neglected bacterial zoonoses. Clin Microbiol Inf. 2015;21:404-408.
- 6. Guerra MA. Leptospirosis. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2009;234(4):472-479.
- 7. Gulland FMD. Leptospirosis in marine mammals. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.), Zoo and wild animal medicine, Volume 4. Philadelphia (PA): Elsevier;1998. p.469-471.
- 8. Gyimesi ZS, Burns RB, Erol E, Bolin SR. Acute clinical leptospirosis (*Grippotyphosa* serovar) in an adult dromedary camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). J Zoo Wildl Med 2015;46:605-608.
- 9. Harkin KR, Roshto YM, Sullivan JT. Clinical application of a polymerase chain reaction assay for diagnosis of leptospirosis in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2003;222:1224-1229.
- 10. Harkin KR, Roshto YM, Sullivan JT, Purvis TJ, Chengappa MM. Comparison of polymerase chain

LEPTOSPIROSIS

reaction assay, bacteriologic culture, and serologic testing in assessment of prevalence of urinary shedding of leptospires in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2003;222:230-1233.

- 11. Hartmann K, Egberink H, Pennisi MG, Lloret A, Addie D, Belak S, Boucraut-Baralon C, Frymus T, Gruffydd-Jones T, Hosie MJ, Luzt H, Marsilio F, Möstl K, Radford AD, Thiry E, Truyen U, Horzinek MC. *Leptospira* species infection in cats. ABCD guidelines on prevention and management. J. Fel. Med. Surg. 2013;15:576-581.
- 12. Jobbins SE, Alexander KA. Evidence of Leptospira sp. infection among a diversity of African wildlife species: beyond the usual suspects. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2015;109:349-351.
- 12. Lizer J, Grahlmann M, Hapke H, Velineni S, Lin D, Kohn B. Evaluation of a rapid IgM detection test for diagnosis of acute leptospirosis in dogs. Vet Rec. 2017;180:517.
- 13. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control: 2017 [cited 2018 February 11]. Available from

https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/64/wr/mm6453a1.htm?s_cid=mm6453a1_w

- 14. Olds JE, Sun Y, Baum DH, Gauger P. Serologic survey and results of urinary PCR testing for leptospirosis in captive black-tailed prairie dogs (*Cynomys ludovicianus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2015;46:843-849.
- 15. Sathiyamoorthy A, Selvaraju G, Palanivel KM, Srinivasan P. Development of indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for diagnosis of canine leptospirosis. Vet World. 2017;10:530-535.

LISTERIOSIS (Listeria monocytogenes)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
All	Fecal-oral ingestion, inhalation, direct contact with affected tissues, or indirectly through contaminated milk, cheese, meat, eggs, fruits or vegetables in people. Common route in animals is hay contamination or unstable silage.	Three primary forms: encephalitic, abortion / perinatal mortality, septicemia but can see ophthalmic form. Primarily winterspring disease in US	Without aggressive treatment, encephalitis and septicemic disease are often fatal or animals recover with permanent brain damage (encephalitic form).	Aggressive and early treatment with antibiotics, supportive care. Recovery rate often ~30%.	Remove spoiled feed or silage. Separate affected animals. Good hygiene practices.	YES. At risk groups are pregnant women, neonates, elderly, immune- compromised and those handling infected tissues.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Diana Boon, DVM

Sheet completed on: 1 December 2010, updated 21 August 2013, updated 24 Sept 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Clayton Hilton, MS, DVM

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals and birds. *Listeria* has been isolated from fish, crustaceans and insects, but these species are likely carriers. Can be cultured from healthy, asymptomatic animals & humans.

Causative organism: Listeria monocytogenes (gram-positive bacilli)

Zoonotic potential: Zoonotic potential exists when handling aborted tissues or removing infected brain during necropsy. Food-borne illness most common and at-risk groups are pregnant, elderly, and immune-compromised people.

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: Approximately 10 days - 3 weeks, but clinical signs have been within 5 hours of exposure in poultry.

Clinical signs: Several forms are possible:

<u>Encephalitic form</u> (adult ruminants): a.k.a. "Circling Disease", early signs of depression, anorexia, disorientation, decreased milk production, fever which can progress to seizures, unilateral trigeminal and facial nerve paralysis, circling, cerebellar signs [ear droop, deviated muzzle, flaccid lip, lowered eyelid on affected side(s)], salivation, deviated muzzle, flaccid lip, and death.

<u>Abortion</u> (adult ruminants) fever, hypo- to anorexia, late-term abortions & stillbirths. Retained placentas with secondary metritis.

Septicemic form (typically neonates and monogastrics): diarrhea, focal hepatic necrosis, death.

<u>Septicemic form</u> (poultry-rare): lethargy, depressed mentation, diarrhea, myocardial or hepatic necrosis, death. <u>Ophthalmic form</u>: secondary to nerve damage [decreased tear production secondary to changes in special visual efferent (SVE) system in medulla, eyelid paralysis with secondary exposure keratitis] or direct contact (keratoconjunctivitis, retinal changes).

Post-mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Encephalitic form</u>: few gross lesions (some congestion of meninges), histologic lesions consistent with encephalitis or meningoencephalitis with micro-abscessation and organisms present (predilection for pons, medulla, brain stem and cranial spinal cord).

Septicemic form: evidence of sepsis, +/- focal hepatic necrosis and hemorrhagic gastroenteritis Abortion form: third trimester abortion common, gross lesions are placentitis (most severe lesion), metritis, and subtle fetal infection. Histologic lesions are suppurative and necrotizing placentitis and small necrotic foci in any fetal organ, especially liver, with fetal necrotizing colitis not common but very supportive of listeriosis. Gram-stain and culture of abomasal contents may be positive for bacteria. Maceration of the fetus can be occur

LISTERIOSIS (Listeria monocytogenes)

with retained abortions. The herd and dam generally do not present with the encephalitic or septicemic forms concurrent with the abortion form.

<u>Fetal lesions</u>: slight to marked autolysis, fluid in serous cavities, small necrotic hepatic foci (often in right half), erosion in abomasal mucosa. Complete maceration of fetus is common.

Diagnosis:

Pre-mortem - Clinical signs, CSF tap for cytology and culture. CSF will have increased protein concentration (0.6-2.0g/L) with mild pleocytosis composed of large mononuclear cells.

Post-mortem – culture of affected tissues* (very suggestive if grows at 4°C), IFA affected tissues* gram-stain (gram-positive pleomorphic bacteria, not always diphtheroid coccobacillus), immunohistochemistry of brain tissues.

*Affected tissues include brain (pons & medulla), nasal discharge, placenta & associated fluids, abortus, urine, feces, milk, meat, silage, and other sources.

Serology not routinely due to low specificity.

DDx: Trichomoniasis, pregnancy toxemia (ewes), ketosis (cattle), BSE, histophilosis, polioencephalomalacia, sporadic bovine encephalitis, lead poisoning, rabies, brain abscess (cestode).

Material required for laboratory analysis: Aborted fetuses and placentas; brain can be submitted and request cold enrichment method for culture. Wear gloves and protective clothing when handling tissues.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: As the diagnosis is mostly post-mortem, all diagnostic labs should be able to perform testing. Remember to request *Listeria* culture (cold enrichment method*) if initial culture results do not correspond to clinical presentation. *may take 3 months to get results

Treatment: Dependent on prompt diagnosis at early stage of disease as death can occur within 24-48 hours of onset of clinical signs. High doses of penicillin (first choice), oxytetracycline, ceftiofur, erythromycin (not in dairy cattle), trimethoprim/sulfonamide. Supportive care for clinical signs is needed.

Prevention and control: Reduce fecal contamination of feed and monitor sewage contamination. Discard spoiled feed and hay. Improve sanitation of pens, water supply, pasture, food refrigerators, and housing facilities. Limit access of wild birds (as possible vector for bacteria) if possible. Isolate aborting females. Pasteurization of milk for human consumption or bottle feeding, but may not be 100% effective. Listeria vaccine developed for oncology patients.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: No specific disinfectants suggested but good hygiene standards should be maintained, including rodent control.

Notification: Reportable to local health authorities within a few days of disease confirmation - see specifics for each state.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None defined. Most measures are currently for prevention of *Listeria* introduction into the human food supply.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Organism can be shed intermittently in milk (without signs of mastitis), feces, and vaginal secretions for >1 month but no recommended measures for reintroduction.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Segregate affected animals, remove affected silage or feed. Disease may continue to be sporadic as is found in the soils. Organism tends to display a seasonal pattern (February – April) of infection.

Experts who may be consulted:

Benjamin J. Silk, PhD, MPH

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention-NCEZD

Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases

1600 Clifton Rd.

Atlanta, GA 30333

404-639-0536

bsilk@cdc.gov

LISTERIOSIS (Listeria monocytogenes)

- 1. Abdul-Aziz T. Overview of Listeriosis in Poultry [Internet]. Merck Veterinary Manual; 2018 [cited 2018 November 15]. Available from https://www.merckvetmanual.com/poultry/listeriosis/overview-of-listeriosis-in-poultry
- 2. Bush L, Schmidt C, Perez M. Listeriosis [Internet]. Merck Manual, Professional Version; 2017 [cited 2017 September 24]. Available from http://www.merckmanuals.com/professional/infectious-diseases/gram-positive-bacilli/listeriosis.
- 3. Cartwright EJ, Jackson KA, Johnson SD, Graves LM, Silk BJ, Mahon BE. Listeriosis outbreaks and associated food vehicles, United States, 1998–2008. Emerg Infect Dis. 201319(1):1-9. DOI PubMed
- 4. deNoordhout CM, Devleesschauwer B, Anqulo FJ, Verbeke G, Haagsma J, Kirk M, Havelaar A, Speybroeck N. The global burden of listeriosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014 Nov 14(11): 1073-1082. PMCID: PMC4369580 Accessed 24 Sept 2017.
- 5. Leite-Browing, M. Listeriosis (Circling Disease). Alabama Cooperative Extension System (ACES), Alabama A&M and Auburn Universities. http://www.aces.edu/pubs/docs/U/UNP-0064/. Accessed 24 September 2017
- 6. Listeriosis [Internet]. Center for Disease Control. National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases; 2018. [cited 2017 September 24]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/listeria/index.html.
- 7. National Enteric Disease Surveillance: The Listeria Initiative [Internet]. Center for Disease Control; 2016 [cited 2017 September 24]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/listeria/pdf/listeriainitiativeoverview_508.pdf
- 8. Scott, P. Overview of Listeriosis [Internet]. Merck Veterinary Manual; 2018 [cited 2017 September 24]. Available from http://www.merckmanuals.com/vet/generalized_conditions/listeriosis_listerellosis_circling_disease/overview_of_listeriosis.html.
- 9. Ryser ET, Marth EH. *Listeria*, Listeriosis, and Food Safety, 3rd edition. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group; 2007. 896 p.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual LUMPY SKIN DISEASE

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected		~18.115				
	Mainly via biting insects, but less frequently direct contact with infected animal. Virus may be present in semen of infected bulls for extended periods of time.	None to severe: fever, skin and internal nodules, enlarged lymph nodes, anorexia, rhinitis, conjunctivitis, brisket and leg edema	Typically, it is mild but may be severe. Most animals slowly recover but may take months. Morbidity can be 1 - 95% within a herd. Mortality rate usually low, but may be up to 25%.	Subject to regulatory approval as infected animals outside endemic area may be destroyed. Supportive care as virus runs its course. Appropriate antibiotics for secondary	Import restrictions (mainly shipments from Africa); proper quarantine and testing of animals imported from endemic areas. Live and attenuated vaccines	No
				bacterial infections	exist in endemic	
					areas	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Jackie Gai

Sheet completed on: 25 January 2011; updated 1 April 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Carlos Romero, Anna Rovid Spickler

Susceptible animal groups: Cattle (*Bos taurus*). European breeds (Jersey, Guernsey, Ayrshire, Holstein, etc) of thin skin are more susceptible than zebu cattle (*Bos indicus*). A few cases have been reported in Asian water buffalo. Suspected clinical disease has been reported in Arabian oryx (*Oryx leucocoryx*) in Saudi Arabia, springbok (*Antidorcas marsupialis*) in Namibia, and oryx (*Oryx gazelle*) in South Africa. Wildlife probably not important in the epidemiology of the disease. Antibodies have been found in 6 out of 44 wildlife species tested in Africa: African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*), greater kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*), waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnus*), reedbuck (*Redunca arundinum*), impala, springbok, and giraffe, although these may have been due to cross-reaction to similar Capripoxviral exposure. Experimental infection has been induced in sheep and goats.

Causative organism: Lumpy skin disease virus (LSDV) of cattle is classified within the genus *Capripoxvirus*, subfamily *Chordopoxvirinae*, family *Poxviridae*. The virus is morphologically identical to the other two known capripoxviruses; sheeppox and goatpox viruses, to which it is highly antigenically related.

Zoonotic potential: None. No evidence exists that capripoxviruses are transmitted to humans.

Distribution: Originally described in 1929 in sub-Saharan Africa and Madagascar, over the last 70 years it has spread north and south of this region. Recent outbreaks have occurred in Egypt (1988, 2006), Mauritius (2008), Vietnam, and Lebanon and Israel (2012).

Incubation period: Thought to be two to five weeks under natural conditions, but this is an estimate. Experimentally infected animals developed fever within 6-9 days and skin lesions at the inoculation site in

LUMPY SKIN DISEASE

4-20 days. However, not all experimentally infected cattle develop clinical signs or skin lesions, indicating that there are other unknown factors involved in the pathogenesis of the disease.

Clinical signs: Signs may range from inapparent to severe clinical disease. Pyrexia followed by the development of multiple, painful nodules 2-5 cm in diameter over entire body, especially on head, neck, udder, perineum, and legs. Nodules involve the full thickness of the skin and may initially exude serum, developing into necrotic plugs. Rhinitis, conjunctivitis, and hypersalivation may be seen. Agalactia or marked reduction in milk yield may occur. Generalized lymphadenopathy and limb edema that makes animals reluctant to move. Pox lesions may develop on mucous membranes of mouth. Pregnant cattle may abort, and aborted fetuses may have skin nodules. Anorexia and emaciation.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Greyish pink skin nodules may turn into conical, necrotic plugs which penetrate the full thickness of the hide ("sit-fasts"). Flat or ulcerative lesions may be found in mucous membranes of the oral and nasal cavities, epiglottis, and trachea. Nodules may also be found in the gastrointestinal tract (especially abomasum), udder, urinary bladder, lungs, kidneys, and reproductive organs. Pleuritis and enlargement of mediastinal lymph nodes in severe cases. Enlargement of lymph nodes that drain affected areas, with lymphoid proliferation, edema, congestion, and hemorrhage. Synovitis or tendosynovitis with fibrin in synovial fluid. Temporary or permanent sterility may occur in bulls and cows.

Diagnosis: Confirmation of LSD in a new area requires virus isolation and identification. Biopsy or scrapes of skin lesions and nasal and oral swabs are the most useful samples for virus isolation and rapid identification by PCR and sequencing or by staining of infected cell cultures with specific labeled antiserum. Intracytoplasmic inclusion bodies can be seen on electron microscopy. The gold standard for detecting specific antibodies to capripoxviruses is the virus neutralization test.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Scrapings and biopsies of skin lesions and nasal, pharyngeal and conjunctival swabs. Lymph node biopsies may be useful when there is generalized adenopathy.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Within the US:

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory USDA-APHIS 40550 Route 25 Orient, NY 11957 631-323-3256

International shipments:

USDA

Attn: FADDL Lab Director c/o Port Veterinarian, APHIS VS 230-59 Rockaway Blvd #101 Jamaica, NY 11413 718-553-1727

Outside the US:

Institute for Animal Health Pirbright Laboratory Ash Road Woking, Surrey GU24 ONF

Great Britain

LUMPY SKIN DISEASE

Treatment: Subject to regulatory approval as infected animals outside endemic area may be destroyed. No specific treatment, provide **s**upportive care. Antibiotics such as sulfonamides to prevent or control secondary infection

Prevention and control: Stringent import restrictions on livestock, carcasses, hides, and semen are in place. When importing animals from endemic countries, adhere to regulatory pre-shipment and quarantine requirements. Report all suspected cases to the appropriate regulatory agency in your area immediately. The disease is mainly transmitted mechanically by biting arthropods, contrary to sheep pox and goat pox that are mainly transmitted by direct contact with infected animals. The infection may also be transmitted by contaminated semen. Animals that recover from the natural disease are immune for life. Live-attenuated sheep/goat pox vaccine (Kenya SGPV strain), as well as South African LSD live vaccine (Neethling strain) are used in cattle in endemic countries.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Sodium hypochlorite (2-3%), iodine compounds (1:33 dilution), Virkon® (2%), quaternary ammonium compounds.

Notification:

Lumpy Skin Disease is a reportable disease which must be reported immediately to the appropriate regulatory body, i.e. Department of Food and Agriculture, USDA-APHIS or State Veterinarian.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected areas: None – this is a reportable disease and animals with confirmed infection outside of endemic area will be destroyed.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: To be determined by governmental authority. Life-long immunity occurs in recovering individuals. Buffalo may serve as viral reservoirs.

Experts who may be consulted:

Douglas Gregg, DVM, PhD Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory NVSL, APHIS, USDA Greenport, NY 11944 USA

Dr. Eeva Tuppurainen Institute for Animal Health, Pirbright Laboratory Ash Road, Pirbright, Woking, Surrey GU24 ONF UNITED KINGDOM (44.1483) 23.24.41

Fax: (44.1483) 23.24.48

eeva.tuppurainen@bbsrc.ac.uk

Dr. Baratang Alison Lubisi

Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute, Agricultural Research Council

Private Bag X5, Onderstepoort 0110

SOUTH AFRICA

Tel: (27.12) 529.92.33 Fax: (27.12) 529.94.18

Lubisia@arc.agric.za

- 1. http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/disease.php?name=lumpy-skin-disease. (Center for Food Security & Public Health at Iowa State University). Accessed 8 August 2013.
- 2. http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Animal_Health_in_the_World/docs/pdf/LUMPY_SKIN_DISEASE_FINAL.pdf. (World Organization for Animal Health) Accessed 8 August 2013.

LUMPY SKIN DISEASE

- 3. Babiuk, S., T.R. Bowden, D.B. Boyle, D.B. Wallace, and R.P. Kitching. 2008. Capripoxviruses: an emerging worldwide threat to sheep, goats and cattle. Transbound. Emerg. Dis. 55: 263-272.
- 4. Greth, A., J.M. Gourreau, Nguyen-Ba-Vy, M. Wyers, and P.C. Lefevre. 1992. Capripoxvirus disease in an Arabian oryx (*Oryx leucoryx*) from Saudi Arabia. J. Wildl. Dis. 28(2): 295-300.
- 5. Kitching, P. 2008. Capripoxvirus. *In:* Committee on Foreign and Emerging Diseases of the United States Animal Health Association (ed.). Foreign Animal Diseases 7th edition. Revised 2008. Boca Publishing Group, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida. Pp. 189 196. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/emergency_response/downloads/nahems/fad.pdf. Accessed 10 September 2013.
- 6. Tuppurainen, E.S., and C.A. Oura. 2012. Review: Lumpy Skin Disease: an emerging threat to Europe, the Middle East and Asia. Transbound. Emerg. Dis. 59(1): 40 48.
- 7. Tuppurainen, E.S., W.H. Stoltsz, M. Troskie, D.B. Wallace, C.A. Oura, P.S. Mellor, J.A. Coetzer, and E.H. Venter. 2011. A potential role for Ixodid (hard) tick vectors in the transmission of Lumpy Skin Disease virus in cattle. Transbound. Emerg. Dis. 58(2): 93–104.
- 8. Kahn, C., and S. Line. (eds). 2005. The Merck Veterinary Manual 9th Edition. Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, New Jersey. Pp. 699-700.
- 9. MacLachlan, N., and J. Stott. 2004. Poxviridae. *In*: Hirsh, D.C., N.J. MacLachlan, and R.L. Walker. (eds.) Veterinary Microbiology 2nd Edition. Blackwell Publishing Professional, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 336 337.
- 10. McNamara, T., and D. Gregg. 1994. A novel pox infection in pudus (*Pudu puda*), Proceedings from the Association of Reptilian And Amphibian Veterinarians. Pp. 225 231.

LUNG MITES

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Affected					Control	
Marine Mammals Birds Snakes Nonhuman primates Canids	Direct	In many cases, animals are asymptomatic; however, in severe infections, they may show signs of upper or lower respiratory disease depending on the host and species of parasite involved.	Dependent on the intensity of infection. Mortality is low, but can be higher if bacterial or fungal co- infection develops.	Ivermectin	Reduce population density, hand rear young.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sara Childs-Sanford

Sheet completed on: updated 2017
Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Rich Sim

Susceptible animal groups:

Marine Mammals: Pinnipeds (phocid seals, otariids, walrus), sea otters.

<u>Birds</u>: Numerous species, including companion passerines (especially exotic finches), wild passerines, and galliformes.

<u>Snakes</u>: reported in *Elaphe schrencki* (Russia), *Crotalus* and *Pituophis* spp. (southern United States), *Natrix trigrinal* (Korea).

Nonhuman Primates: Old World monkeys (esp. Macaca mulatta), apes.

Canids: reported in a fox (Norway) – only documentation in a species other than the domestic dog.

Causative organism:

Pinnipeds:

- Phocid seals: *Halarachne* spp., including *H. halichoeri*.
- Otariids, walrus: Orthohalarachne spp., including O. attenuata and O. diminuata.

Sea otters: (Halarachne miroungae).

Birds:

- *Sternostoma tracheacolum*: Captive birds, primarily finches and canaries. Also reported in numerous wild passerine species as well as wild Gouldian finches in Australia following introduction via domestic canaries. Numerous other species of *Sternostoma* have been reported in wild passerines.
- *Cytodites nudus*: pheasants, chickens, turkeys, ruffed grouse, canaries, finches, cockatiels, budgerigars, pigeons.
- Numerous *Ptilonyssus* spp. have been reported in wild passerines in North and South America, and in captive canaries.

Snakes: Entonyssus spp., including E. squamatus, E. halli, E. koreansis, E. vitzthumi.

Primates: Pneumonyssus spp., including P. simicola, P. duttoni, P. africanus.

Canids: Pneumonyssoides caninum.

Zoonotic potential: One report describes a case of human ophthalmic acariasis, after getting sneezed on by a walrus, which resulted in ophthalmalgia and corneal abrasion.

Distribution: Worldwide.

Incubation period: Unknown.

Clinical signs:

Marine mammals:

LUNG MITES

- Pinnipeds: nasal discharge, sneezing, facial pruritus, head shaking, and, if lung involvement, dyspnea.
- Sea otters: may be predisposed to sinus or turbinate infections.

<u>Birds</u>: wheezing, gasping, open-mouth breathing, head shaking, loss of or change in voice, cessation of singing, dyspnea. Nonspecific signs such as weight loss, weakness, and sudden death may occur. <u>Snakes</u>: usually asymptomatic.

<u>Primates</u>: usually asymptomatic, but may be predisposed to other pulmonary diseases due to bronchiolar epithelial changes, and sneezing and coughing. In advanced cases (especially in aged or immunocompromised animals) death may occur.

<u>Canids</u>: in domestic dogs, sneezing is common but may also have facial pruritus, excessive lacrimation, and nasal discharge.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Marine mammals</u>: histologically, erosion and inflammation of the nasal turbinates and nasopharynx may be seen associated with mites. Sinusitis, rhinitis, bronchopneumonia. *O. attenuata* adults primarily occupy the nasopharynx, while *O. diminuata* are found in the lungs.

Birds:

- *Sternostoma*: Black mites can be found in trachea, air sacs, and lungs. Histologically: tracheitis, air sacculitis, multifocal pneumonia.
- *Cytodites*: Mites can be visualized macroscopically as small white spots within bronchi, lungs, and air sacs. Severe infections may result in granulomatous pneumonia.
- *Ptilonyssus*: Mites within the trachea, with mucosal sloughing, epithelial deciliation and necrosis, and tracheal cartilage degradation.

<u>Primates</u>: Small (1-5mm) pale yellow foci containing mites throughout the lungs. In advanced cases, cavitation of the lungs may be present. Gross lesions may resemble those of tuberculosis. May be a cause of pulmonary bullae. Histologically: presence of macrophages containing brown to black pigment and multifocal eosinophilic granulomatous bronchiolitis, with intralesional arthropods.

Diagnosis: Antemortem diagnosis is difficult.

<u>Marine mammals</u>: identification of larval mites in sputum or nasal exudate, or at necropsy. Rhinoscopy may be useful.

<u>Birds</u>: following wetting of the cervical feathers with alcohol, tracheal illumination may reveal the mites as small black spots within the lumen. Failure to visualize mites with this method does not rule out infection. On necropsy, mites can be identified macroscopically in the tracheal lumen, lungs, or air sacs. <u>Snakes</u>: lung wash, necropsy.

<u>Primates</u>: tracheobronchial lavage, necropsy. Radiographic lesions may include an interstitial pattern with increased bronchial thickness, pleural thickneing, pleural adhesions, and cavitating pulmonary lesions.

Pneumothorax is a common complication of pulmonary acariasis and is frequently unilateral.

Canids: nasal swabbing, rhinoscopy, necropsy, use of an antibody ELISA has been reported.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Depending on the species and location of infection: sputum, nasal discharge, lung wash, lung tissue.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any veterinary diagnostic laboratory with a parasitologist on staff.

Treatment: Ivermectin.

Marine mammals: 200µg/kg twice, 2 weeks apart.

Birds: ivermectin or doramectin. Can be given as an injection, or in small birds, can be applied topically on the bare skin at the base of the neck (dilute 1:10 with propylene glycol and apply 1 drop per bird up to 50g, repeat in 7-10 days).

Primates, Canids: 200µg/kg subcutaneously.

Prevention and control: Antemortem diagnosis and prevention are difficult, since infected animals are often asymptomatic and identification of those with a low mite burden is unlikely. Can consider

LUNG MITES

prophylactic treatment of newly acquired captive animals during quarantine. High population density facilitates transmission. Animals can be raised free of infection if they are separated from the mother soon after birth and hand-reared.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Appropriate acaricides (e.g. pyrethroids).

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Infected animals should be treated prior to introduction to disease-free animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Successful treatment of all potentially exposed susceptible animals.

Experts who may be consulted: While no specific researchers are currently reporting expertise in this parasite, parasitology staffs at veterinary colleges would be a good option.

- 1. Alonso-Farre JM, Diaz D'Silva, JI, Gestal C. Naso-pharyngeal mites *Halarachne halichoeri* (Allman, 1847) in grey seals stranded on the NW Spanish Atlantic coast. Vet Parasit. 2012;183(3-4):317-322.
- 2. Altman RB, Clubb SL, Dorrestein GM, Quesenberry K (eds.). Avian Medicine and Surgery. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders Co; 1997. p. 464, 575, 1026, 1195.
- 3. Andrade MCR, Marchevsky RS. Histopathologic findings of pulmonary acariasis in a rhesus monkeys breeding unit. Brazil. J Vet Parasitol. 2007;16(4):229-234.
- 4. Arabkhazaeli F, Madani SA, Ghavami S. 2016. Outbreak of an unusual tracheal mite, *Ptilonyssus morofskyi* (Acarina: Rhinonyssidae), in canaries (*Serinus canaria*) with concurrent infection with *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Macrorhabdus ornithogaster*. J Av Med and Surg. 30(3):269-273.
- 5. Bowman DD. Georgi's Parasitology for Veterinarians. Bowman DD. St. Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2009. p. 63.
- 6. Bredal WP, Gjerde BK, Kippenes H. *Pneumonyssoides caninum*, the canine nasal mite, reported for the first time in a fox (*Vulpes vulpes*). Vet Parasit. 1997;73(3-4): 291-297.
- 7. Dierauf LA, Gulland MD. CRC Handbook of Marine Mammal Medicine, 2nd ed. New York (NY): CRC Press; 2001. p. 372.
- 8. Hrapkiewicz K, Medina L, Holmes D (eds.). 1998. Clinical Medicine of Small Mammals and Primates: An Introduction. 2nd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 1998. 304 p.
- 9. Johnson AL, Simonek GD, Keesler RI. Fatal pulmonary acariasis in an aged indoor rhesus macaque (*Macaca mulatta*). J Med Primatol. 2017;46: 90-92.
- 10. Kim JM, Han S, Shin JS, Min BH, Jeong WY, Lee GE, Kim MS, Kim JE, Chung H, Park CG. Computed tomography or necropsy diagnosis of multiple bullae and the treatment of pneumothorax in rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*). J Med Primatol. 2017;46: 260-262.
- 11. Kim KC, Haas VL, Keyes MC. Populations, microhabitat preference and effects of infestation of two species of Orthohalarachne (Halarachnidae: Acarina) in the northern fur seal. J Wildl Dis. 1980;16(1):45-51.
- 12. Mascarenhas CS, Coimbra MA, Muller G, Brum JG. Nasal mites (Gamasida: Rhinonyssidae) of *Paroaria coronata* (Miller) (Passeriformes: Emberezidae). Neotrop Entomol 2011;40(4):507-508.
- 13. Rohde K. (ed.). 2005. Marine Parasitology. Collingwood (Australia): CSIRO Publishing; 2005.
- 14. Seguel M, Gutiérrez J, Hernández C, Montalva F, Verdugo C. Respiratory mites (*Orthohalarachne diminuata*) and β-hemolytic Streptococci-associated bronchopneumonia outbreak in South American Fur seal pups (*Arctocephalus australis*). J Wildl Dis. 2018;54(2):380-5.
- 15. Webb JP, Furman DP, Wang S. A unique case of human ophthalmic acariasis caused by *Orthohalarachne attenuata* (Banks, 1910) (Acari: Halarachnidae). J Parasitol. 1985;71(3): 388-389.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual ${\bf LUNG\ MITES}$

16. Wolfe-Coote S. (ed.). The Laboratory Primate. San Diego (CA): Elsevier Academic Press; 2005. 650 p.

LYMPHOCYTIC CHORIOMENINGITIS VIRUS/ CALLITRICHID HEPATITIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
New World	Horizontal due	Lethargy,	High fatality	None	Rodent	Yes
nonhuman	to ingestion of	jaundice,	rate (morbidity		control;	
primates	infected mice	anorexia,	and mortality)		avoid	
(NHP)-	with LCMV	weakness,			feeding	
Platyrrhini - of	(including wild	dyspnea			primates on	
the families	rodents)				mice	
Callitrichidae	Vertical					
and	transmission of					
Callimiconidae;	LCMV to an					
humans and	aborted					
rodents	nonhuman					
	primate fetus.					

Fact Sheet compiled by: Enrique Yarto-Jaramillo

Sheet completed on: 4 August 2011; updated 4 February 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Salomé Cabrera; Lilian Silva Catenacci; Rosalia Pastor; Pierre Rollin

Susceptible animal groups: The common house mouse (*Mus musculus*) is the natural host and principal reservoir of LCMV. Several genera of families Callitrichidae, especially *Callithrix* sp., *Saguinus* sp. and Callimiconidae, especially *Callimico goeldii*, are susceptible to the infection with LCMV. In captive golden lion tamarins (*Leontopithecus rosalia*) and pygmy marmoset (*Cebuella pygmaea*), the virus accounted for 43% and 71% of deaths of animals, respectively. Humans and wild, laboratory and pet rodents (especially mice, hamsters, gerbils, rats and guinea pigs) are susceptible. Infections to humans from pet rodents have been reported. Although rodents can potentially become infected, they often do not show any signs of illness. Hamsters are not the natural reservoir so in young hamsters it causes a chronic fatal wasting disease. Infected mice and hamsters have proven to shed the virus in large quantities through their lives in saliva, feces, urine and nasal secretions. Humans may also acquire this virus from nesting materials from infected pet rodents.

Causative organism: Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) which is a lipid enveloped single-stranded RNA virus (family Arenaviridae, genera Mammarenavirus) of the Old World's Arenavirus group, is considered the prototypic arenavirus. This group of viruses utilize rodents as their principal reservoirs. LCMV is a virus with high mutation rates and important strain variations. Rodent reservoirs pass the virus to their offspring and shed the virus in urine and oral secretions, which are additional routes of transmission to zoo animals. The other route of transmission to zoo animals has been the domestic mice used to feed non-human primates. Animals not eating mice neither became ill nor seroconvert to LCMV even after close contact with sick primates. Thus, direct primate-primate transmission of LCMV was not observed yet, although such a mode of transmission remains a possibility. Vertical transmission of LCMV to an aborted tamarin fetus, however, was demonstrated in a US zoo.

Zoonotic potential: LCMV is a prevalent human pathogen infecting large numbers of humans according to serological studies which indicate that approximately 5% of adult humans in the USA show antibodies to this virus. Seroconversion with no evidence of clinical disease has been reported in handlers of infected animals, although the infection has been reported to cause substantial neurological disease, especially in immunocompromised humans. In humans, the LCMV causes influenza-like clinical signs, occasionally with neurologic complications alike manifestations of aseptic meningitis. Since this virus has a strong

LYMPHOCYTIC CHORIOMENINGITIS VIRUS/ CALLITRICHID HEPATITIS

neurotropism, LCMV is recognized as an important cause of neurologic disease in humans. Infection may be asymptomatic in up to one third of patients, although serious complications often occur in intrauterine infection. Less severe cases of adult human infection are likely underreported and often misdiagnosed. It is also a potential emerging neutoteratogen causing congenital defects in children. Several reports of LCMV acquired during pregnancy have demonstrated severe disruption of brain development. In 2009 the Center for Disease Control and Prevention confirmed a case of LCMV-associated congenital hydrocephalus and chrorioretinitis in a child from New York. The mother's history referred exposure to mice during pregnancy. LCMV is recognized as a zoonotic disease associated with exposure to infected hamsters and gerbils. Child neurologists should be more familiar with this virus due to its potential to cause severe neurologic birth defects and so to promote its inclusion within the TORCHS acronym.

In April 2012, the CDC was notified about a patient diagnosed with aseptic meningitis who was an employee at a rodent breeding facility in Indiana and whose testing revealed LCMV. Further testing showed evidence of prevailing or past LCMV infection in 13 out of 52 employees at the same facility.

Distribution: LCMV is found worldwide, probably because of its association with its natural Old World's host, the house mouse, *Mus musculus*. Although antibodies have also been detected in other rodent species, arenaviruses are known to be serologically cross-reactive. Outbreaks have been reported in zoo colonies of callitrichid primates in US and Europe (UK and Germany).

Incubation period: In non-human primates, it is from one to three weeks, but deaths which can reach 100% in an outbreak may occur over a period of weeks to months.

Clinical signs: In infected callitrichid primates (marmosets, tamarins, and Goeldi's monkeys), clinical findings are acute onset of lethargy, anorexia, anemia, weakness, fever, dyspnea and mucus-covered feces along with jaundice, and sometimes hemorrhage. It was also reported abortion and dystocia in captive tamarins and marmosets. Animals having a longer course of the disease may present jaundice and inguinal petechiae. Some authors have reported grand mal seizures or sudden death without prior clinical signs. Clinical laboratory findings: elevated levels of aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase and bilirubin, but none of them are specific. Serologic evidence of LCMV in marmosets without clinical signs has been documented. In experimentally-infected rhesus macaques, LCVM-WE strain has led to fatal liver disease which was formerly described as Lassa fever (LF) hepatitis.

Post-mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross necropsy findings in NHP may include: hepatitis, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, pleural and pericardial effusions, lymphadenopathy, jaundice, subcutaneous and intramuscular hemorrhages. Histologic findings include multifocal hepatocyte necrosis with infiltration by lymphocytes and neutrophils and portal vein vasculitis, necrosis of spleen, lymph nodes, adrenal cortex and intestinal tract. Acidophilic bodies (Councilman bodies), that represent apoptotic hepatocytes have been observed in affected liver tissues. Brain tissues may show encephalitis, minimal meningitis and vasculitis.

Diagnosis: In NHP clinical signs, clinical findings and husbandry history (exposure to rodent species or history of being fed suckling mice) are consistent with diagnosis. In humans, confirmatory diagnosis is usually by virus isolation in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF); by PCR on tissues or CSF; anti-LCMV IgM and IgG by ELISA in blood, serum, or CSF. In human genetic analysis LCVM strains have demonstrated these viruses are genetically and biologically highly diverse.

Histopathology, virus isolation, electron microscopy, nucleic acid hybridization analysis, immunofluorescence and immunoblot in liver biopsy and other tissues (spleen, lung, adrenal glands, lymph nodes, intestine, kidneys, urinary bladder, heart and brain) are the reported diagnostic methods for LCMV in NHP. In rodents, few isolates of LCMV have been obtained from wild rodents so little is known about its genetic diversity. Confirmatory diagnosis is by viral isolation or PCR, and antibody detection in the blood/serum by ELISA. In recent experimental studies using different types of macrophages and

LYMPHOCYTIC CHORIOMENINGITIS VIRUS/ CALLITRICHID HEPATITIS

hepatocytes, it has been validated that AML-12 hepatocytes are useful in studying the mechanisms of arenavirus-induced hepatitis.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum for serology, tissue samples (especially liver and brain) frozen at -70°C for PCR or virus isolation. Formalin-fixed tissues for pathology and immunohistochemistry.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Virus Reference Laboratories Inc.

7540 Louis Pasteur Road San Antonio, Texas 78229 Phone: (210) 614 – 7350 Fax: (210) 614 – 7355

Treatment: No effective treatment known, although supportive therapy with fluids to correct hypovolemia and electrolyte imbalances might be of benefit. The antiviral agent ribavirin has been used in infected primates (150mg/kg, intramuscularly, once daily for 6 days), but all of them were in an advanced stage of the disease and a clinical response was not observed.

Prevention and control: Avoid feeding callitrichid primates on mice (pinkies), and stringent rodent control programs in zoos and primate centers, particularly in areas housing callitrichids. People using frozen or live rodents to feed other animals should follow safety precautions, including wearing gloves when handling animal products. Washing hands with soap and water after handling animal products is warranted. Once an outbreak has been detected the animal enclosure should be cleaned and disinfected. Proper snap traps of rats and mice as well as spraying dead rodents with disinfectants, double bagging the carcasses and waste disposal should reduce the risk for people.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: A 1:10 bleach solution is effective in killing LCMV. Hypochlorite solution: 1 and ½ household bleach: 1 gallon of water is indicated as a disinfectant for contaminated areas.

Notification: Due to some reports on human patients contracting the virus from transplanted organs as well as LCMV-associated congenital defects, LCMV is a reportable disease in three U.S states (Wisconsin, Massachusetts and Arizona) and one city (New York, New York). Increased physician awareness should improve disease recognition and reporting in human patients.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: It appears that most NHP that become clinically infected succumb to the disease. Horizontal transmission has not been reported in people; however vertical transmission can occur.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Strict pest control and removal and control of all rodents and their droppings, urine and bedding. Disinfection of all premises with 1:10 bleach solution.

Experts who may be consulted:

CDC - Viral Special Pathogens Branch

404-639-1115 or 404-639-1510

Dvd1spath@cdc.gov

http://www.cdc.gov/ncezid/dhcpp/vspb/index.html

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis (LCM); 2014 [cited 2019 September 24]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/vhf/lcm/
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) at a rodent breeding facility in Indiana, May-June 2012; c2012 [cited 2019 September 24]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6132a5.htm?s_cid=mm6132a5_w

LYMPHOCYTIC CHORIOMENINGITIS VIRUS/ CALLITRICHID HEPATITIS

- 3. Asper M, Hofmann P, Osmann C, Funk J, Metzger C, Bruns M, Kaup FJ, Schmitz H, Günther S. First outbreak of callitrichid hepatitis in Germany: genetic characterization of the causative lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus strain. Virol. 2001;284:203–213.
- 4. Beier JI, Jokinen JD, Holz GE, Whang PS, Martin AM, Warner NL, Arteel GE, Lukashevich IS. Novel mechanism of Arenavirus-induced liver pathology. PLOS One [Internet]. 2015 cited 2019 September 24];10(3):e0122839.
- 5. Bielitzki JT. Emerging viral diseases of nonhuman primates. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.) Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 4. Philadelphia (PA): Saunders; 1999. p. 377-382.
- 6. Blasdell KR, Becker SD, Hurst J, Begon M, Bennett M. Host range and genetic diversity of arenoviruses in rodents, United Kingdom. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14(9):1455-1458.
- 7. Bonthius DJ. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus: An under-recognized cause of neurologic disease in the fetus, child and adult. Semin Pediatr Neurol. 2012;19(3):89-95.
- 8. Catão-Dias JL. Infectious diseases in Order Primates. In: Fowler ME, Cubas ZC (eds.). Biology, Medicine, and Surgery of South American Wild Animals. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 269-272.
- 9. Fischer SA, Graham MB, Kuehnert MJ, Kotton CN, Srinivasan A, Marty FM, Comer JA, Guarner J, Paddock CD, DeMeo DL, Shieh WJ, Erickson BR, Bandy U, DeMaria Jr. A, Davis JP, Delmonico FL, Pavlin B, Likos A, Vincent MJ, Sealy TK, Goldsmith CS, Jernigan DB, Rollin PE, Packard MM, Patel M, Rowland C, Helfand RF, Nichol ST, Fishman JA, Ksiazek T, Zaki SR. Transmission of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus by organ transplantation. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(21):2235.
- 10. Gog J, Woodroffe R, Swinton J. Disease in endangered metapopulations: the importance of alternative hosts. In: Proc R Soc Lond B; 2002. p. 671–676.
- 11. Holz Gretchen E. Pro-inflammatory cytokines promote viral replication and cell cycle mediators in arenavirus-induced hepatitis. University of Louisville Electronic Theses and Dissertations [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2019 September 24]. Available from https://ir.library.louisville.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://scholar.google.com/&httpsredir=1 &article=3652&context=etd
- 12. Knust B, Macneil A, Wong SJ, Backenson PB, Gibbons A, Rollin PE, Nichol ST. Exposure to lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, New York, USA. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17(7):1324-1325.
- 13. Lavergne A, de Thoisy B, Tirera S, Donato D, Bouchier C, Catzeflis F, Lacoste V. Identification of lymphocytic choriomeningitis mammarenavirus in house mouse (*Mus musculus*, Rodentia) in French Guiana. Infec Genet and Evol. 2016;37;225-230.
- 14. Ludlage E, Mansfield K. Clinical care and diseases of the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*). Comp Med. 2005;53(4):369-382.
- 15. Mello MA, Toscano C, Muniz JAPC, Torres MAN, de Oliva OP, da Costa Vasconcelos PF, del Rio do Valle R, da Silva VLC, de Barros VLRS, Svoboda WK, Pereira WLA, Costa ZGA. Manual de Vigilância de Epizootias em Primatas não-humanos. Ministério da Saúde [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2019 September 24]. Available from http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/manual_vig_epizootias.pdf
- 16. Montali RJ, Bush M. Diseases of callitrichidae. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 4. Philadelphia (PA): Saunders; 1999. p. 369-376.
- 17. Montali RJ, Connolly BM, Armstrong DL., Scanga CA, Holmes KV. Pathology and immunohistochemistry of callitrichid hepatitis, an emerging disease of captive New World primates caused by lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. Am J Pathol. 1995;147:1441–1449.
- 18. Montali RJ, Scanga CA, Pernikoff D, Wessner R, Ward R, Holmes KV. A common-source outbreak of callitrichid hepatitis in captive tamarins and marmosets. J Infect Dis. 1993;167:946–950.

LYMPHOCYTIC CHORIOMENINGITIS VIRUS/ CALLITRICHID HEPATITIS

- 19. Rensing S, Oerke AK. Husbandry and management of New World species: marmosets and tamarins. In: Wolfe-Coote S (ed.). The Handbook of Experimental Animals, The Laboratory Primate. San Diego (CA): Elsevier; 2005. p. 145-162.
- 20. Setzer AP. Hepatites virais. In: Cubas ZC, Silva JCR, Catão-Dias JL (eds.). Tratado de Animais Selvagens- medicina veterinária. Rio de Janeiro (Brazil): Editora Roca LTDA; 2007. p. 815-825.
- 21. Scanga CA, Holmes KV, Montali RJ. Serologic evidence of infection with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, the agent of callitrichid hepatitis, in primates in zoos, primate research centers, and a natural reserve. J Zoo Wildl Med. 1993;24:469-474.
- 22. Stephensen CB, Jacob JR, Montali RJ, Holmes KV, Muchmore E, Compans RW, Arms ED, Buchmeier MJ, Lanford RE. Isolation of an arenavirus from a marmoset with callitrichid hepatitis and its serologic association with disease. J Virol. 1991;65:3995–4000.
- 23. Udofin LU. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis. In: Rabinowitz PM, Conti LA (eds.). Human-Animal Medicine, Clinical Approaches to Zoonoses, Toxicants and Other Shared Health Risks. Maryland Heights (MO): Saunders; 2010. p. 204-209.
- 24. Wissman MA. Husbandry and medical care of callitrichids. J Exot Pet Med 2014;(23):347-362.

MARBURG HEMORRHAGIC FEVER

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Affected		218113			Control	
Primates, including humans	Direct contact with body fluids, sexual activity, droplets and aerosolized virus	Fever, rash, malaise, vomiting, diarrhea, shock, multiple organ dysfunction syndrome, hemorrhage	High morbidity and mortality	No specific treatment	Personal protective equipment, strict quarantine	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Aubrey M. Tauer

Sheet completed on: 1 April 2011; 15 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Christine Fiorello; Pierre E. Rollin

Susceptible animal groups: Primates, including humans. African fruit bat (Rousettus aegyptiacus) is a

natural reservoir for Marburg.

Causative organism: Lake Victoria Marburgvirus (Filovirus)

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Natural virus circulation and human cases (isolated or during outbreak) are restricted to Africa (geographical range of the reservoir), although imported monkeys caused an human outbreak in Europe in 1967 and several infected travelers have imported the disease outside the endemic zone.

Incubation period: Generally, it is 8-10 days (range 5-21 days).

Clinical signs: Early signs are similar to influenza and malaria, and the onset of disease is sudden. Humans: Fever, headache, chills, and myalgia, sometimes followed around the fifth day by possible maculopapular rash on the chest, abdomen, and back. As the illness progresses, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea, chest pain, sore throat, jaundice, weight loss, and pancreatitis are observed. Many patients develop some form of bleeding and often from multiple sites. Shock, renal failure, liver failure, and multiple organ dysfunctions occur in the most severe cases and usually preceded death. Case fatality rate ranges from 23% to more than 80% in recent outbreaks. In recovered humans, complications such as orchitis, recurrent hepatitis, uveitis, transverse myelitis, have been reported.

<u>Animals</u>: Lymphopenia and elevation of liver enzymes are characteristic. Thrombocytopenia is frequent. Although duikers are susceptible to Ebola virus, a related filovirus, little is known about the host range of Marburg virus. Complications in the few recovering animals are not reported.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: In laboratory non-human primates, maculopapular rash; pulmonary congestion and edema; enlarged friable fatty liver; enlarged, congested and/or hemorrhagic lymphoid tissue; pericardial effusion; pyloric and duodenal congestion and/or hemorrhage; fibrinous interstitial pneumonia; and lymphocytolysis and lymphoid depletion in lymph nodes and spleen have been observed.

Diagnosis: Antigen-capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and virus isolation on acute-phase blood or tissue specimens from deceased individuals. Recovering animals or human develop IgM (capture ELISA) and IgG (ELISA). Immunohistochemistry can be used on tissue specimens from deceased individuals. PCR method has been used on bone marrow samples of primate carcasses in poor condition in the field for the related Ebola virus. The virus has also been visualized in organ tissues by Electron Microscopy.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Like for Ebola hemorrhagic fever, although the virus could be

MARBURG HEMORRHAGIC FEVER

detected in a large variety of biological samples (saliva, throat swabs, urine, semen, excrement, vomit, and potentially skin biopsies and bone samples), blood and tissues (spleen, liver) are the most important specimens to collect for acute case diagnosis. Protective safety equipment and safe collection methods are mandatory.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Marburg diagnosis can only be undertaken at BSL-4 laboratories such as Viral Special Pathogens Branch in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta).

Treatment: No specific treatment for this disease exists. Supportive care, such as maintaining fluid and electrolyte balance, blood pressure, and oxygenation is the currently recommended practice for human patients. Whole blood and fresh-frozen plasma transfusions can be beneficial for the subset of patients that develop hemorrhage. Culling may be the practice of choice in outbreak situations with animals.

Prevention and control: Strict quarantine procedures for mammals imported from Central Africa should be observed. Follow CDC guidelines if importing species suspected to be reservoirs such as the Egyptian fruit bat. Caution should be observed when handling or shipping blood or tissue samples from known affected species and follow CDC and WHO guidelines. The CDC has detailed instructions regarding disinfection, quarantine, and personal protective equipment.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Viruses in the *Filoviridae* family are readily inactivated by several virucidal products. 0.5% sodium hypochlorite (10% solution household bleach), glutaraldehyde (2%) and phenolic disinfectants (0.5-3%) are recommended by the CDC. Soaps and detergents can also be used liberally and also inactivate the virus. Care should also be taken to prevent aerosolization of the virus.

Notification: All suspected cases must immediately be reported to the CDC Special Pathogens Branch, (404) 639-1115 as well as local and state health departments. Prior to collecting and sending any laboratory samples for Marburg virus testing, consult with the Special Pathogens Branch as well as the local state health department.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Inadvisable

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Under advisement of the CDC and state health department

Expert who may be consulted:

Pierre E. Rollin, MD

Viral Special Pathogens Branch

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Road

Atlanta, Georgia 30333

404-639-1124 (office)

prollin@cdc.gov

- 1. http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvrd/spb/mnpages/dispages/marburg.htm Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 2. Alves, D.A., A.R. Glynn, K.E. Steele, M.G. Lackemeyer, N.L. Garza, J.G. Buck, C. Mech, and D.S. Reed. 2010. Aerosol exposure to the Angola strain of Marburg virus causes lethal viral hemorrhagic fever in *Cynomolgus* Macaques. Vet. Pathol. 47(5): 831-851.
- 3. Amman, B.R., S.A. Carroll, Z.D. Reed, T.K. Sealy, S. Balinandi, R. Swanepoel, A. Kemp, B.R. Erickson, J.A.C. Comer, S. Campbell, D.L. Cannon, M.L. Khristova, P. Atimnedi, C.D. Paddock, R.J. Kent Crockett, T.D. Flietstra, K.L. Warfield, R. Unfer, E. Katongole-Mbidde, R. Downing, J.W. Tappero, S.R. Zaki, P.E. Rollin, T.G. Ksiazek, S.T. Nichol, and J.S. Towner. 2012. Seasonal pulses of Marburg virus circulation in juvenile *Rousettus aegyptiacus* bats coincide with periods of increased risk of human infection. PLoS Pathogens 8(10):e1002877.

MARBURG HEMORRHAGIC FEVER

- 4. Centers for Disease Control. 1988. Viral hemorrhagic fever: initial management of suspected and confirmed cases. M.M.W.R. 32 (2S): 27S-39S.
- 5. Formenty, P. 2008. Ebola-Marburg viral diseases. *In*: Heyman, DL (ed.). Control of Communicable Diseases Manual. American Public Health Association. Washington DC. Pp. 204-207.
- 6. Geisbert, T.W., K.M. Daddario-DiCaprio, J.B. Geisbert, H.A. Young, P. Formenty, E.A. Fritz, T. Larsen, and L.E. Hensley. 2007. Marburg virus Angola infection of rhesus macaques: pathogenesis and treatment with recombinant nematode anticoagulant protein c2. J. Infect. Dis. 196(Suppl. 2):S372-S381.
- 7. Interim guidance for managing patients with suspected viral hemorrhagic fever in U.S. hospitals. 2005. Center for Disease Control. http://www.cdc.gov/HAI/pdfs/bbp/VHFinterimGuidance05_19_05.pdf Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 8. Rouquet, P., J.M Froment, M. Bermejo, A. Kilbourn, W. Karesh, P. Reed, B. Kumulungui, P. Yaba, A. Délicat, P.E. Rollin, and E.M. Leroy. 2005. Wild animal mortality monitoring and human Ebola outbreaks, Gabon and Republic of Congo, 2001-2003. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 11(2): 283-290.

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs				
Affected						
Primates, including humans; especially susceptible are colobus monkeys, macaques, and	Aerosol	Fever, conjunctivitis, cough, and characteristic rash. Other signs of encephalitis and gastroenteritis/	Highly contagious with variable species morbidity and mortality.	None aside from symptomatic care.	Proper quarantine of animals; wearing proper protective equipment, especially when known exposure to disease. Vaccination can be considered for non-human primates.	Yes
callitrichids		colitis.				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Natalie D. Mylniczenko

Sheet completed on: 29 January 2011; updated 10 September 2013, 19 April 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Erika Travis-Crook

Susceptible animal groups: All primates – human and non-human are affected, although humans are the only known reservoir; in humans, usually young children or immunocompromised adults infected. Non-human primates are susceptible with variable morbidity and mortality that is species specific and affected by individual animal health status. With some non-human primate species, only seroconversion occurs.

Causative organism: Measles: paramyxoviridae-morbillivirus (also known as rubeola). It is an enveloped, single stranded RNA virus.

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Worldwide, but now it is considered a foreign disease in the US as it was eliminated in 2000. Despite this status, a number of outbreaks occur each year, usually secondary to travel abroad and then spread due to lack of vaccination in groups of children.

Incubation period: Infectious 5-21 d post exposure.

Clinical signs: Disease is often asymptomatic. When clinical signs are present, they resemble influenza such as nasal and ocular discharge, and conjunctivitis. Diarrhea may be present, especially in New World monkeys. Occasionally, dermatitis is present, and rarely Koplick spots or stomatitis. Facial edema, blepharitis and erythema have been documented. Measles is immunosuppressive, therefore other diseases may confound diagnosis. Encephalitis, although rare, occurs acutely and has a rapid clinical course. Rarely further in macaques, abortion can be observed.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Exanthematous rash is noted grossly. In callitrichids, gastritis and enterocolitis is observed. Evidence of encephalitis is observed with acute measles. Syncytial cell formation and giant cell pneumonia is observed histologically. In macaques that abort, endometritis can be rarely observed.

Diagnosis: Serology IgM and IgG (paired titers with 4 fold increase in IgG titer or if IgM is found), immunofluorescence (urine), viral isolation.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum is preferred (frozen or fresh), although plasma is accepted at some labs. Tissue samples-see specific labs for their requirements - are usually oropharyngeal swabs, nasal lavage, or urine.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: PCR and ELISA testing on varying sample types (contact each group for their requirements) can be done at the following facilities.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Measles Virus Laboratory Unit #81 1600 Clifton Road

Atlanta, Georgia 30333

404-639-1156 or 404-639-3512

Fax: 404-639-4187 jrota@cdc.gov

http://www.cdc.gov/measles/lab-tools/index.html

Primate Diagnostic Services Laboratory (PDSL) Washington National Primate Research Center University of Washington Seattle Washington 98195-7330 richard.grant@wanprc.org
https://www.wanprc.org/primate-resources/pdsl/

Primate Assay Laboratory (PAL) Formerly PDL California National Primate Research Center

University of California, Davis

Phone: 530-752-8242

E-mail: cnprc-pdl@ucdavis.edu

http://www.cnprc.ucdavis.edu/primate-assay-laboratory-core/

Virus Reference Laboratories, Inc.

VRL-San Antonio, USA

P.O. Box 40100

7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200

San Antonio, Texas 78229

Office: 877-615-7275 Fax: 210-615-7771 http://www.vrlsat.com/

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4 Chatsworth, California 91311-3800

818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881 info@zoologix.com http://www.zoologix.com/

Treatment: Supportive or symptomatic care, as no specific treatments are available.

Prevention and control: Vaccination has minimum age for humans of 1 year and booster is recommended to booster at least 4 weeks later although can be administered up to 4-6 years after the initial vaccinations (See http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/recs/schedules/child-schedule.htm#hcp).

Vaccination in gorillas has shown positive serologic responses. Colobus have been vaccinated without adverse effects per SSP veterinary advisor reports. Vaccination against canine distemper virus in macaques has shown effective protection against measles. Human handlers should be properly vaccinated against disease. According to human guidelines set by the CDC, pregnant women should not be vaccinated with MMR*; however, this concern is based on miscarriage or premature birth occurring in women with actual disease (http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/preg-guide.htm).

MEASLES

Infant macaques are vaccinated at 3 mo of age or older with a modified live vaccine. A second dose is given no sooner than 6 weeks produces protective antibody levels. Adult macaques in quarantine are vaccinated with a single dose.

*Note: monovalent measles vaccine is no longer available in the US so can only be obtained in polyvalent combinations, particularly MMR (Measles, Mumps, & Rubella).

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Short lived virus, so routine disinfection is usually sufficient.

Notification: While this disease is not notifiable in animals, it is a human reportable disease.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: While this disease is not notifiable in animals, it is a human reportable disease.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Once exposed, the animal has a natural immunity and will not become re-infected. Typically, primates contract disease from human handlers.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: The disease has a rapid spread and short course with no animal reservoirs.

Experts who may be consulted:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1600 Clifton Road Atlanta, GA 30329-4027 USA 800-CDC-INFO (800-232-4636), TTY: 888-232-6348

- 1. Albrecht P, Lorenz D, Klutch MJ, Vickers JH, Ennis FA. Fatal measles infection in marmosets: pathogenesis and prophylaxis. Infect Immun. 1980;27(3):969-978.
- 2. Bailey C, Mansfield K. Emerging and reemerging infectious diseases of nonhuman primates in the laboratory setting. Vet Pathol. 2010;47(3):462-481.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Measles (Rubeola); 2018 [cited 2018 April 10]. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/measles/about/index.html
- 4. Choi YK, Simon MA, Kim DY, Yoon BI, Kwon SW, Lee KW, Seo IB, Kim DY. Fatal measles virus infection in Japanese macaques (*Macaca fuscata*). Vet Pathol. 1999;36(6):594-600.
- 5. Christe KL, McChesney MB, Spinner A, Rosenthal AN, Allen PC, Valverde CR, Roberts JA, Lerche NW. Comparative efficacy of a canine distemper-measles and a standard measles vaccine for immunization of rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*). Comp Med. 2002;52(5):467-72.
- 6. de Swart RL. Measles: What we have learned from non-human primate models. Drug Discov Today: Dis Models, 2017;23: 31-34.
- 7. Hall WC, Kovatch RM, Herman PH, Fox JG. Pathology of measles in rhesus monkeys. Vet Pathol. 1971;8(4):307-319.
- 8. Hime JM, Keymer IF, Baxter CJ. Measles in recently imported colobus monkeys (*Colobus guereza*). Vet Rec. 1975;97(20):392.
- 9. Kobune F, Takahashi H, Terao K, Ohkawa T, Ami Y, Suzaki Y, Nagata N, Sakata H, Yamanouchi K, Kai C. Nonhuman primate models of measles. Lab Anim Sci. 1996;46(3):315-320.
- 10. Levy BM, Mirkovic RR. An epizootic of measles in a marmoset colony. Lab Anim Sci. 1971;21(1):33-39.
- 11. Lorenz D, Albrecht P. Susceptibility of tamarins (*Saguinus*) to measles virus. Lab. Anim. Sci. 1980;30(4 Pt 1):661-665.
- 12. MacArthur JA, Mann PG, Oreffo V, Scott GB. The effect of measles vaccination in rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). Lab. Anim. 1982;16(3):267-270.
- 13. Mansfield K, King N. Viral Diseases. Viral Diseases. In: Bennett, BT, Abee CR, Henrickson R (eds.). Nonhuman Primates in Biomedical Research. San Diego (CA): Academic Press; 1998 p 1-57.

- 14. Montrey RD, Huxsoll DL, Hildebrandt PK, Booth BW, Arimbalam S. An epizootic of measles in captive silvered leaf-monkeys (*Presbytis cristatus*) in Malaysia. Lab Anim Sci. 1980;30(4 Pt 1):694-697.
- 15. Munson L. Measles. In: Williams ES, Barker IK (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals. Ames (IA) Iowa University Press; 2001. p. 63-64.
- 16. Remfry J. A measles epizootic with 5 deaths in newly-imported rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). Lab Anim. 1976;10(1):49-57.
- 17. Renne RA, McLaughlin R, Jenson AB. Measles virus-associated endometritis, cervicitis, and abortion in a rhesus monkey. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1973;163(6): 639-641.
- 18. Scott GB, Keymer IF. The pathology of measles in Abyssinian colobus monkeys (*Colobus guereza*): a description of an outbreak. J Pathol. 1975;117(4):229-233.
- 19. Willy ME, Woodward RA, Thornton VB, Wolff AV, Flynn BM, Heath JL, Villamarzo YS, Smith S, Bellini WJ, Rota PA. Management of a measles outbreak among Old World nonhuman primates. Lab. Anim. Sci. 1999;49(1):42-48.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals Humans	Most common routes of infection are: contamination of wounds, ingestion of contaminated soil, water or carcasses and inhalation.	Skin lesions, pneumonia, internal organ miliary abscesses. Mimics many other diseases.	Can vary widely depending on the site of infection.	Antibiotic therapy; multiple drugs for septicemic cases; pulmonary resection may be considered for chronic cases.	Chlorinate or filter water. Minimize exposure to diseased animals.	Yes - rare

Fact Sheet compiled by: Angkana Sommanustweechai, Tanit Kasantikul, Karn Lekagul

Sheet completed on: 3 February 2011; updated 1 April 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Ronald Mitchell Bush, Rasana Wongratanachewin

Susceptible animal groups: Infection with *B. pseudomallei* is seen most often in many species of domestic animals especially goats and sheep. While cattle, pig, dog and cat have higher resistance to melioidosis. Although incidences of melioidosis in wildlife are rarely reported, cases have been documented in marine mammals, camels, alpacas, mules, zebra, deer, kangaroos, bear and various non-human primates. Reptiles such as crocodiles, snakes, soft-shelled turtles; birds, including parrots, penguin, and tropical fish can also become infected with the bacteria. Hamsters and guinea pigs can be infected in the laboratory.

Causative organism: Gram negative, flagellated, bipolar-shaped saprophytic bacteria called *Burkholderia pseudomallei*.

Zoonotic potential: Humans can be infected by ingesting contaminated food, inhalation, or direct contact of the contaminant with open wound. Intrauterine and mammary transmissions have also been observed. Arthropod borne transmission has also been described. Horizontal transmission between human to human or animal to human by aerosol is unclear.

Distribution: The organism is ubiquitous throughout southeast Asia, northern Australia, and the South Pacific. Its distribution is predominantly tropical and subtropical with "hyperendemicity" in the top end of the Northern Territory of Australia and northeast Thailand. The true boundaries of its endemicity are ambiguous due to movement of the organism and its ability to travel to and exist in temperate regions (southwest Australia and France), where it may cause sporadic disease and outbreaks. Reports of possible autochthonous melioidosis have also come from India, Pacific islands, Central and South America, the Caribbean, Africa, and the Middle East.

Incubation period: In natural infections, the incubation period in humans can vary from days to months or years. The medical onset time of the disease is usually in the range of 1-21 days (means 9 days). Abscesses may be carried without clinical signs which can be found in some resistant animal species such as pigs and cattle. The incubation period in animal particularly in wildlife is uncertain due to lack of clinical history.

Clinical signs: Called "The Great Mimicker", melioidosis has a wide range of clinical presentation, including fulminating septicemia, and chronic and local suppurative infections. Moreover, relapsing melioidosis can also

cause the fulminating sepsis in patient who underwent insufficient eradication phase of treatment. The most common site of infection is acute respiratory form and sepsis through hematogenous dissemination. The chronic septicemia can present as intermittent febricula with chronic respiratory infection. Local infection can be seen as lameness, osteomyelitis, mastitis, orchitis, aortic aneurysms which may possibly induce fulminating septicemia or chronic infection. Subclinical infections are common in animals. The animals mostly undergo chronic illness. Abscesses may be found in asymptomatic animals at slaughter or died shortly after show the clinical signs. The clinical presentation also varies by species. In goat and sheep, a severe febrile reaction accompanied by anorexia, lameness and yellow thick exudate from the nose and eyes. Mastitis is sometimes seen in goats and the superficial lymph nodes and udder may contain palpable abscesses. In horses, neurologic disease, respiratory symptoms, or colic and diarrhea have been described. Neurological signs include walking in circles, nystagmus, blindness, hyperaesthesia and mild tetanic convulsions have been reported in cows, goats, camels and horses. Septicemia or extensive involvement of the vital organs can be fatal. Camels are highly susceptible and can present symptoms of pyrexia, severe depression, septic arthritis, anorexia, mucopurulent nasal discharge with nervous signs. Non-human primates mostly show generalized lethargy, progressive cachexia and respiratory distress with nasal purulent discharge. Most cases in captive marine mammals have been characterized by acute septicemia with anorexia and lethargy followed by death. Pyrexia was often recorded in the last few days preceding death, but respiratory distress was noticed only in a few animals immediately before death. Although birds may be relatively resistant to melioidosis, fatal cases with lethargy, anorexia and diarrhea have been reported in various avian species in Australia.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: At necropsy, the major findings are multiple abscesses containing thick, caseous greenish-yellow or off-white material. These abscesses are generally not calcified. The regional lymph nodes, lungs, spleen, liver and subcutaneous tissues are most often involved, but abscesses can occur in most organs. In animals with respiratory disease, fibrinous pleuritis and exudative bronchopneumonia, consolidation and/or abscesses may be found in the lungs. Suppurative lesions including nodules and ulcers may also be found on the nasal mucosa and septum, as well as on the turbinate bones. These nodules may coalesce to form irregular plaques. Meningoencephalitis, severe enteritis, suppurative polyarthritis and other syndromes have also been reported. Aortic aneurysms and mastitis are common in goats. Splenic abscesses are often found in asymptomatic pigs at slaughter.

Diagnosis: The gold standard method is isolation and identification of the organism from lesions and discharges. The organism is readily cultured on routine diagnostic media such as MacConkey's agar and blood agar. The selective media, Ashdown's agar, can help increase the sensitivity and specificity of this technique. The unique characteristic of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* colony is earth odor. Effective serologic screening tests include complement fixation and indirect hemagglutination. In some species, agglutination tests, indirect hemagglutination, immunofluorescence, and enzyme immunoassays can be used for diagnosis. However, serological end points are not available for each wildlife species. Cross-reactions may occur in serologic tests with avirulent strain, *Burkholderia thailandensis*, which causes a false positive outcome in exposed animals. Although antibody titers cannot be detected in chronically infected animals, new tests using DNA probes and PCR have recently been developed. The specific primers that are designed for conserved regions to 16s rRNA, 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer, flagellin and lipopolysaccharide can differentiate between *B. pseudomallei*, *B. mallei* and *B. thailandensis*.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Culture swab from lesions or exudates, infected tissue or organs, serum for serologic testing.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any lab is capable of culturing the organism. Currently, there is no reference lab in the world for Melioidosis listed with the Office International des Epizootics (OIE). This list can be checked at:

http://www.test.oie.int/our-scientific-expertise/reference-laboratories/list-of-laboratories/

MELIOIDOSIS

Treatment: The medical treatment which will take at least 4 months, can be divided into 3 phases including post exposure prophylaxis, induction and eradication phases. Treatment of septicemic melioidosis in wildlife is difficult and challenging due to the need for extended, continuous intravenous antibiotics and extra-label use of medicine. Moreover, pharmaceutical treatment can lose their effectivenesss after prolonged treatment, often resulting in an unsuccessful cure, with risks of recrudescence once treatment is discontinued in animals.

Prevention and control: In endemic or contaminated areas, contact between the animal and soil should be minimized. Providing safe drinking water is important in endemic areas. Chlorine (1ppm) in the water for 30 minutes is effective in inhibiting bacterial activity in the water supply. Carnivores and omnivores should not be allowed to eat contaminated carcasses. Although there is no effective vaccine, promising vaccine candidates are currently being researched and developed. A routine environment collection for bacteriology will help in the disease surveillance and control

Suggested disinfectant for housing: *B. pseudomallei* can survive for months to years in soil and water, but can be readily destroyed by heat. Moist heat of 121°C for at least 15 min or dry heat of 160-170°C for at least 1 hour is recommended for disinfection. The organism is also susceptible to numerous disinfectants, including 1% sodium hypochlorite, 70% ethanol, glutaraldehyde and formaldehyde.

SPILLS: Allow aerosols to settle; wear protective clothing; gently cover spill with paper towels and apply 1% sodium hypochlorite, starting at perimeter and working towards the centre; allow sufficient contact time (30 min) and clean the area. 40% W/W calcium oxide is proved to be effective in preventing bacterial activity in the environment for 1 year.

Notification: Public health officials and state veterinarians will need to be notified if zoonotic transmission occurs.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Melioidosis is not listed under this plan.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: An infected animal should be maintained in a quarantine situation until the wound has healed. Do not introduce infected animal to an animal with a compromised immune system.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Follow the suggestions above for disinfection of facilities and maintaining uncontaminated water sources. Decontaminate waste before disposal; steam sterilization, incineration, chemical disinfection. Quarantine any affected individuals until lesions resolved

Experts who may be consulted: There are no listed OIE experts for *Burkholderia pseudomallei*.

That said, the following people deal with Melioidosis routinely and would be willing to respond to questions from professionals dealing with confirmed or suspect cases:

Bart Currie

Professor and Head Tropical and Emerging Infectious Diseases Division

Menzies School of Health Research

Northern Territory Clinical School and Infectious Diseases Department

Royal Darwin Hospital

PO Box 41096 Casuarina, Northern Territory 0811 Australia

Phone 61-8-89228056

Fax 61-8-89275187

bart@menzies.edu.au (copied to Mark Mayo, program manager, Mark.Mayo@menzies.edu.au)

Mindy Glass

Bacterial Special Pathogens Branch

Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology

CDC, Zoonoses and Select Agent Laboratory

1600 Clifton Rd MS G34

Atlanta, GA 30333 Phone: 404.639.4055 Fax: 404.639.3023 Email: mglass@cdc.gov

- 1. Ashdown, L.R. 1979. An improved screening technique for isolation of *Pseudomonas pseudomallei* from Clinical Specimens. Pathol. 11: 293-297
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) http://www.cdc.gov/melioidosis/. Accessed 3 July 2013.
- 3. Choy J.L., M. Mayo, A. Janmaat, and B.J. Currie. Animal melioidosis in Australia. Acta Tropica. 2000; 74: 153-158.
- 4. Haase, A., M. Brennan, S. Barrett, Y. Wood, S. Huffam, D.O. Brien, and B. Currie. 1998. Evaluation of PCR for diagnosis of melioidosis. J.Clin. Microbiol. 36: 1039-1041.
- 5. Howard K., and Inglis T.J.J. The effect of free chlorine on *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in potable water. Water Res. 2003; 37: 4425-4432.
- 6. Inglis, T.J.J. Review: the Treatment of melioidosis. Pharmaceuticals. 3: 1296-1303.
- 7. Lee, M-A., D. Wang, and E.H. Yap. 2005. Detection and differentiation of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, *Burkholderia mallei* and *Burkholderia thailandensis* by Multiplex PCR. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 43: 413-417.
- 8. Limmathurotsakul, D., and S.J. Peacock. 2011. Melioidosis: a clinical overview. British Med. Bull. 99:125-139.
- 9. Peacock, S.J., H.P. Schweizer, D.A.B. Dance, T.L. Smith, J.E. Gee, V. Wuthiekanun, D. DeShazer, I.Steinmetz, P.Tan, and P J. Currie. 2008. Management of accidental laboratory exposure to *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *B. mallei*. Emerg Infect Dis. 14(7): e2. doi: 10.3201/eid1407.071501.
- 10. Puthucheary, S.D., A.S.S. Anuar, and T.S. Tee. 2010. <u>Burkholderia thailandensis</u> whole cell antigen cross-reacts with <u>B. pseudomallei</u> antibodies from patients with melioidosis in an immunofluorescent Assay. Southeas. Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health. 41(2): 395-400.
- 11. Sirisinha, S., N. Anuntagool, T. Dharakul, P. Ekpo, S. Wongratanacheewin, P. Naigowit, B. Petchclai, V. Thamlikitkul, Y. Suputtamonkol. 2000. Recent development in laboratory diagnosis of melioidosis. Acta Tropica. 74:235-245.
- 12. Sprague LD, Neubauer H. Melioidosis in animals: A review on epidemiology, diagnosis and clinical presentation. J. Vet. Med. 2004; B51: 305-320.

MRSA (METHICILLIN-RESISTANT Staphyloccus aureus)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Mammals	Contact with	Minor to	Typically mild, but	Wound care;	Personal/	Yes
including	contaminated	severe: skin	may be fatal in the	susceptible	environmental	
Humans	surfaces	redness,	immune compromised.	antibiotics	hygiene. Wear	
		pustule red	No mortality rates are	as	gloves when	
		lesions, boils,	reported in animals, but	determined	handling known	
		rash fever,	disease increasingly	by testing,	infected animal	
		headache,	common in ICU foals.	when	and equipment	
		malaise		needed		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Tara M. Harrison

Sheet completed on: 29 November 2009; updated 7 September 2012, updated 2018

Fact Sheet reviewed by: Dalen Agnew, Christine Fiorello, Donald Janssen

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals, avian (+/-)

Causative organism: Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, also Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudointeritidis

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Crowded living conditions, group work and gyms, closely shared work and locker spaces, longterm care or rehabilitation facilities, hospitals. A captive chimpanzee colony was found to have 69% prevalence of MRSA. There was also a wide variety of asymptomatic mammals that cultured positive at a Copenhagen zoo. MRSA was also isolated from clinical and non-clinical animals at a Belgium zoo. An elephant skin infection was also caused by MRSA in a California Zoo.

Incubation period: Generally, is requires 1-10 days. People (7%) in hospitals and in the community (2%) can have MRSA colonization with no clinical signs. It is thought that <10% to up to 90% of dogs and cats can be non-clinical carriers as well.

Clinical signs: Healthy people and animals typically do not develop disease under normal circumstances.

Humans: Skin redness, "pimple-like" red lesions, boils, rash, fever, headache, malaise

Animals: Primarily skin infections or skin wounds although necrotizing pneumonia or other general infection may occur.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: This bacterium can produce a wide spectrum of clinical disease, particularly of the skin. In humans, these diseases including impetigo, folliculitis, furunculosis, cellulitis, abscesses and wound infections. Other diseases include necrotizing pneumonia, endocarditis, septic arthritis, osteomyelitis, meningitis, and septicemia.

In animals, abscesses, dermatitis, fistulas have been reported; as well as pneumonia, rhinitis, bacteremia, septic arthritis, osteomyelitis, omphalophlebitis, metritis, and mastitis. Post-mortem lesions are similar to any other purulent bacterial infection and vary with the organ or tissue involved in the infection.

Diagnosis: Bacterial culture and antibiotic susceptibility testing

Material required for laboratory analysis: Culture swab or tissue sample of the affected area

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any laboratory capable of bacteriologic culturing is capable of diagnosing MRSA.

Treatment: Typically, it is resistant to all \(\beta\)-lactam agents, including cephalosporins and carbapenems. Hospital-associated MRSA isolates often are resistant to multiple commonly used antimicrobial agents, including erythromycin, clindamycin, and tetracycline, while community-associated MRSA isolates are often resistant only to β-lactam agents and erythromycin.

Treatment specifically depends on the specific MRSA isolate, and its antibiotic sensitivity profile. This will require sensitivity testing on ALL isolates and possibly repeated testing on isolates from a single case. In humans: Vancomycin (if not resistant), linezolid, and daptomycin, quinupristin/dalfopristin, rifampin,

MRSA (METHICILLIN-RESISTANT Staphyloccus aureus)

tetracycline, and tigecycline are used for severe MRSA infections or MRSA infections resistant to vancomycin.

Prevention and control: Minimization of indiscriminate antibiotic use would help prevent the development of additional antibiotic-resistant strains.

Follow all wound care procedures recommended by veterinarian or physician. Practice good hygiene; wash hands often. Keep cuts and scrapes clean and cover with bandages, avoid direct contact with cuts and scrapes, use gloves to treat wounds, replace and disinfect items in holding or exhibit frequently. Porous surfaces such as blankets need to be washed in hot water using bleach and a hot air dryer to help kill bacteria. Alcohol-based hand cleaners are effective when hands aren't dirty.

Isolate the patient if possible, to minimize staff contact and exposure. Animal enclosures should be clearly marked with the diagnosis and preventative measures required. Maintain infected animal in isolation or away from other animals until wound(s) are healed or cultures are negative. If treatment of the animal is not possible, humane euthanasia of infected animal may be warranted to minimize risk of infection to staff and other animals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: After cleaning gross contamination, 1 tablespoon of bleach to one quart of water, fresh daily, leave solution on to dry, or wipe dry after 10 minutes. Other disinfectants effective against *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Staph* are also most likely also effective against MRSA. Check the disinfectant product's label on the back of the container to verify it is effective against it.

Notification: Public health officials may need to be notified if zoonotic transmission occurs, depending on the state. Notification to the state may be required if the person is admitted to an acute care ICU or person dies from MRSA or it is *not* associated with the following: been hospitalized, had surgery, had dialysis, been in long term care within the last year, has an indwelling catheter, or has a percutaneous medical device at the time of culture.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Maintain infected animal in a quarantine situation until the wound is healed. Do not introduce infected animal to an animal with a compromised immune system.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Clean infected environment with diluted bleach to the extent possible. Minimize contact of infected staff with animal.

Experts who may be consulted:

J. Scott Weese DVM, DVSc, DipACVIM
Dept of Pathobiology
Ontario Veterinary College
University of Guelph
Guelph, Ontario
N1G2W1
519-824-4120 ext. 54064
jsweese@uoguelph.ca
http://www.wormsandgermsblog.com

- 1. Bortolami A, Verin R, Chantrey J, Corrò M, Ashpole I, Lopez J, Timofte D. Characterization of Livestock-Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus CC398 and mecC-positive CC130 from Zoo Animals in the United Kingdom. Microb Drug Resist. 2017;23(7):908-914
- 2. Centers for Disease Control. Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus skin infections from an elephant calf- San Diego, California, 2008. MMWR Morbid Mortal Weekly Report. 2009;58(8):194-8.
- 3. Diana A, Guglielmini C, Pivetta M, Sanacore A, DiTommaso M, Lord PF, Cipone M. Infection with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudointermedius* masquerading as cefoxitin

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual MRSA (METHICILLIN-RESISTANT Staphyloccus aureus)

- susceptible in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2009;235: 1064-1066.
- 4. Dvorak G, Rovid-Spickler A, Roth J (eds.). Handbook for Zoonotic Diseases of Companion Animals. The Center for Food Security and Public Health. Ames (IA): Iowa State University College of Veterinary Medicine 2008. p: 177-184.
- 5. Espinosa-Gongora C, Chrobak D, Moodley A, Bertelsen MF, Guardabassi L. Occurrence and distribution of Staphylococcus aureus lineages among zoo animals. Vet Microbiol. 2012;158(1-2):228-231.
- 6. Finks J, Wells E, Dyke TL, Husain N, Plizga L, Heddurshetti R, Wilkins M, Rudrik J, Hageman J, Patel J, Miller C. Vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus auerus*, Michigan, USA, 2007. Emerg Inf Dis. 2009:15:6.
- 7. Hanley PW, Barnhart KF, Abee CR, Lambeth SP, Weese JZ. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus prevalence among captive chimpanzees, Texas, USA. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(12):2158-2160.
- 8. Janssen DL, Lamberski N, Donovan T, Sugerman DE, Dunne G. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection in an African elephant (*Loxodonta africana*) calf and caretakers. In: 2009 Proceedings AAZV AAWV Conference 2009:200-201.
- 9. Liu C, Bayer A, Cosgrove SE, Daum RS, Fridkin SK, Gorwitz RJ, Kaplan SL, Karchmer AW, Levine DP, Murray BE, Rybak MJ, Talan DA, Chambers HF. Clinical practice guidelines by the infectious diseases society of America for the treatment of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in adults and children. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;1-38.
- 10. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) Infections [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control; c2016-2018 [cited 2013 July 2]. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/mrsa/index.html.
- 11. Methicillin-resistant. *Staphyococcus aureus* skin infections from an elephant calf -. San Diego, California, MMWR. 2008;58:194-198.
- 12. MRSA: What you should know. Michigan Department of Community Health. MRSA control and prevention at home for people with pets. County of San Diego. Community Epidemiology Branch.
- 13. National Association of Public Health Veterinarians. Compendium of veterinary standard precautions for zoonotic disease prevention in veterinary personnel. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2008;233:415-430.
- 14. Vercammen F, Bauwens L, De Deken R, Brandt J. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in mammals of the Royal Zoological Society of Antwerp, Belgium. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2012;43(1):159-161.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Humans; nonhuman primates; rodents (especially prairie dogs); CDC recommends that all mammals be considered susceptible	Contact with an infected animal, human, or contaminated materials through broken skin, respiratory tract, or mucous membranes	Papulovesicular dermatitis; upper respiratory disease; blepharophlebitis (prairie dogs); fever; lethargy; decreased food/water; lymphadenopathy; asymptomatic	High case fatality rate in prairie dogs; variable mortality in other species; African rodents are suspected reservoir	No specific treatment	Guidelines available from the CDC	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Kelly Helmick

Sheet completed on: 21 February 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Jennifer Kilburn, Chris Hanley

Susceptible animal groups: The reservoir and full host range is unknown, but African rodents are suspected in transmission. Old and New World primates and rodents have shown susceptibility to experimental and natural infection. Virus recovery from naturally infected animals outside of the U.S. has been limited to a clinically ill rope squirrel (*Funiscuirus* sp., 1985, Democratic Republic of Congo) and a dead infant mangabey (*Cercocebus atys*, 2012, Tai National Park, Cote d'Ivoire). Viral testing during the 2003 U.S. outbreak identified infection in imported rope squirrels (*Funiscuirus* sp.), dormice (*Graphiurus* sp.), and African giant pouched rats (*Cricetomys* sp.), and in exposed prairie dogs (*Cynomys* sp.). Prairie dogs appear very susceptible to infection. Chinchillas (*Chinchilla lanigera*) and coatimundis (*Nasua nasua*) developed antibodies after exposure. Serological evidence of monkeypox virus infection has been detected in non-human primates, rodents, and squirrels in Africa. Experimentally infected rope squirrels and Gambian pouched rats shed large quantities of virus. Experimentally infected marmosets (*Callitrhix jaccus*) and ground squirrels (*Marmota bobak*) developed typical clinical signs. Currently the CDC recommends that veterinarians consider all mammals susceptible to monkeypox virus.

Causative organism: Monkeypox virus (*Orthopoxvirus*, family Poxviridae). Two clades: Central African and West African.

Transmission: Contact with an infected animal, human, or contaminated materials through broken skin, respiratory tract, or mucous membranes. <u>Animal-to-human</u>: bite, scratch, bush meat preparation, needle sticks; direct contact with infected fluids or lesion material; indirect contact (contaminated bedding). Cutaneous transmission implicated in the 2003 U.S. outbreak. <u>Human-to-human</u>: respiratory droplets shared via prolonged face-to-face contact; direct contact with infected fluids or lesion material; indirect contact (contaminated clothing, bedding).

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Rare zoonotic viral disease endemic to central and west Africa. The West African clade is associated with limited human-to-human transmission, milder symptoms, and lower mortality compared to the Central African clade. Human-to-human transmission of the Central African clade is well-documented. The most recent human cases occurred in Sierra Leone (2014) and Sudan (2005). Monkeypox is endemic in the Democratic Republic of Congo.

<u>2003 U.S. outbreak:</u> A human outbreak involving the West African clade of monkeypox occurred in the U.S. in 2003. Introduction of monkeypox virus occurred through a shipment of small mammals originating in Ghana. CDC testing isolated virus from rope squirrels (*Funiscuirus* sp.), dormice (*Graphiurus* sp.), and African giant pouched rats (*Cricetomys* sp.). Prairie dogs (*Cynomys* sp.) housed in proximity to imported

animals were also infected and sold as pets prior to developing signs of infection. All human cases were associated with contact with infected prairie dogs.

Distribution: Monkeypox virus is endemic to central and west Africa. A 2003 outbreak occurred in the U.S. involving prairie dog-to-human transmission traced to contact with newly imported infected African rodents

Incubation period: The incubation period in humans is typically 7-14 days but can range from 5-21 days. **Clinical signs:**

<u>Humans</u>: Fever, headache, muscle aches, lethargy, chills, and swollen lymph nodes appear first. A rash develops approximately 1-3 days later, usually on the face and then spreading to other areas of the body. Lesion progression is macules, papules, vesicles, pustules, then scab formation. Symptoms last approximately 2-4 weeks. In Africa, human mortality occurs in approximately 1 of 10 cases. Symptoms mimic smallpox; lymphadenopathy occurs in monkeypox but not smallpox.

<u>Rodents</u>: In naturally infected prairie dogs and experimentally infected rope squirrels: fever; respiratory symptoms of coughing, nasal discharge, ocular discharge; rash beginning as papules progressing to pustules then crusts affecting head, extremities, trunk; oral ulcers; blepharophlebitis (naturally infected prairie dogs); lymphadenopathy may or may not be present (experimentally infected rodents); lethargy; reduced food/water intake; elevated serum liver enzymes. Some animals exhibited minimal clinical symptoms while others died.

<u>Nonhuman primates</u>: Fever; rash beginning as papules progressing to pustules then crusts typically on the face, limbs, hands, feet, tail; respiratory symptoms of coughing, nasal discharge, dyspnea; anorexia, facial edema; lymphadenopathy. Similar symptoms to rodents observed in experimentally infected non-human primates (*Cynomolgus* sp.).

Postmortem, gross, or histologic findings: Lymphadenitis, skin rash, and evidence of upper and lower respiratory disease on gross postmortem exam. Lower respiratory epithelium is the target cell for virus replication with lymphoid tissue a secondary site for replication and lymphatogenous spread. Trachea, nasal mucosa, skin, hepatocytes, and macrophages can demonstrate high levels of monkeypox virus presence (marmosets, ground squirrels). Infected epithelial cells show prominent ballooning degeneration and dense, eosinophilic, intracytoplasmic granules (prairie dogs). Eosinophilic cytoplasmic granules (Guarnieri-like inclusions) require IHC or EM to confirm orthopoxviral inclusions. Necrotizing bronchopneumonia, conjunctivitis, and tongue ulceration (prairie dogs). Bronchopneumonia, papulovesciular dermatitis, ulcerative stomatitis, colitis, gastritis, secondary bacterial septicemia (experimentally infected *Cynomolgus* monkeys). Use appropriate PPE when examining or collecting diagnostic samples from animals known or suspected to have monkeypox virus.

Diagnosis: Clinical symptomology in rodents, animals originating from endemic regions, or animals housed in proximity to African rodents originating from endemic regions. In humans, monkeypox differs from smallpox by the presence of lymphadenopathy. RT-PCR, immunohistochemistry, virus isolation, and electron microscopy. There is no commercial assay to detect monkeypox virus.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Tonsillar swab; nasopharyngeal swab; aspirate of vesicles; biopsy of lesions; scab or crust collection; serum or whole blood (EDTA) collection. Wear appropriate PPE and practice appropriate biosecurity. Formalin-fixed samples can be held and shipped at room temperature. All other samples are held and shipped at 4°C. Do not use viral transport media. Pack and ship according to IATA rules and regulations for diagnostic specimens. Use appropriate PPE when examining or collecting diagnostic samples from animals known or suspected to have monkeypox virus.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Veterinarians should contact their local or state health departments regarding monkeypox virus testing before contacting the CDC. There is no commercial assay to detect monkeypox virus. Culture based testing for monkeypox virus should be limited to the CDC.

MONKEYPOX

Treatment: There is no specific treatment for monkeypox virus in humans or animals. Provide supportive treatment with appropriate biosecurity and PPE guidelines.

Prevention and control: Foster good hygiene practices (hand washing), utilize PPE (gloves, masks), and follow biosecurity protocols. Limit contact by humans or mammals with known or suspected infected animals and bedding material, especially animals arising from regions where monkeypox virus is endemic. Utilize practices to limit or eliminate animal bites, scratches, needle sticks, or other injuries. Isolate suspected animals. The CDC bans importation of all African rodents into the U.S.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Contact state or local health authorities for guidelines when monkeypox virus infection is known or suspected. Consult with state or local public health officials for proper waste disposal; do not dispose of contaminated waste in a dump, landfill, or by routine hospital waste disposal methods. Conduct environmental cleaning using any EPA-registered hospital disinfectant used for health care facilities or environmental sanitation. Laundry can be cleaned using hot water, detergent, and bleach using a standard washing machine.

Notification: Report suspected or confirmed cases to the appropriate local or regional animal and public health authorities.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: None – not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Euthanasia, quarantine, and/or disinfection and incineration protocols as recommended by local, state, and/or federal health and regulatory agencies.

Experts who may be consulted:

Center for Disease Control: https://www.cdc.gov/poxvirus/monkeypox/index.html
State animal health officials: http://www.usaha.org/federal-and-state-animal-health

Local public health agencies

- 1. Croft DR, Sotir MJ, Williams CJ, Kazmierczak JJ, Wegner MV, Rausch D, Graham MB, Foldy SL, Wolters M, Damon IK, Karem KL Davis JP. Occupational risks during a monkeypox outbreak, Wisconsin, 2003. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13:1150-1157.
- 2. Doty, JB, Malekani JM, Kalemba LN, Stanley WT, Monroe BP, Nakazawa YU, Mauldin MR, Bakambana TL, Diyandja Dja Liyandia T, Braden ZH, Wallace RM, Malekani DV, McCollum AM, Gallardo-Romero N, Kondas A, Peterson AT, Osorio JE, Rocke TE, Karem KL, Emerson GL, Carroll DS. Assessing monkeypox virus prevalence in small mammals at the human-animal interface in the Democratic Republic of Congo. Viruses (Internet). 2017 (cited 2018 February 02); 9(10): 283. Available from: doi:10.3390/v9100283
- 3. Falendysz, EA, Lopera JG, Doty JB, Nakazawa Y, Crill C, Lorenzsonn F, Kalemba, Ronderos MD, Mejia A, Malekani JM, Karem K, Carroll DS, Osorio JE, Rocke TE. Characterization of monkeypox virus infection in African rope squirrels (*Funisciurus* sp.). PLoS Negl Trop Dis (Internet). 2007 (cited 2018 February 02);11(8): e0005809. Available from: doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005809
- 4. Falendysz, EA, Lopera JG, Lorenzsonn F, Salzer JS, Hutson CL, Doty J, Gallardo-Romero N, Carroll DS, Osorio JE, Rocke TE. Further assessment of monkeypox virus infection in Gambian pouched rats (*Cricetomys gambianus*) using in vivo bioluminescent imaging. PLoS Negl Trop Dis (Internet). 2015 (cited 2018 February 02); 9(10): e0004130. Available from: doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004130
- 5. Guarner, J, Johnson BJ, Paddock CD, Shieh W, Goldsmith CS, Reynolds MG, Damon IK, Regnery RL, Zaki SR, and the Veterinary Monkeypox Virus Working Group. Monkeypox transmission and pathogenesis in prairie dogs. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004;10: 426-431.
- 6. Hutson CL, Nakazawa YJ, Self J, Olson VA, Regnery RL, Braden Z, Weiss S, Malekani J, Jackson E, Tate M, Karem KL, Rocke TE, Osorio JE, Damon IK, Carroll DS. Laboratory investigations of

- African pouched rats (*Cricetomys gambianus*) as a potential reservoir host species for monkeypox virus. PLoS Negl Trop Dis (Internet). 2015 (cited 2018 February 02); 9(10):e0004013. Available from: doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004013
- 7. Langohr, IM, Stevenson GW, Thacker HL, Regnery RL. Extensive lesions of monkeypox in a prairie dog (*Cynomys* sp.) Vet Pathol. 2004;41: 702-707.
- 8. Monkeypox [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control; 2018 [cited 2018 February 2]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/poxvirus/monkeypox/index.html
- 9. Mucker EM, Chapman J, Huzella LM, Huggins JW, Shamblin J, Robinson CG, Hensley LE. Susceptibility of marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*) to monkeypox virus: a low dose prospective model for monkeypox and smallpox disease. PLoS One 2015;10(7):e0131742. Available from: doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0131742
- 10. Nagata N, Saijo M, Kataoka M, Ami Y, Suzaki Y, Sato Y, Iwata-Yoshikawa N, Ogata M, Kurane I, Morikawa S, Sata T, Hasegawa H. Pathogenesis of fulminant monkeypox with bacterial sepsis after experimental infection with West African monkeypox virus in a cynomolgus monkey. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2014;7(7):4359-4370.
- 11. Radonić A, Metzger S, Dabrowski PW, Couacy-Hymann E, Schuenadel L, Kurth A, Mätz-Rensing K, Boesch C, Leendertz FH, Nitsche A. Fatal monkeypox in wild-living sooty mangabey, Côte d'Ivoire, Emerg Infect Dis. 2014;20(6):1009-1011. Available from: doi: 10.3201/eid2006.13-1329
- 12. Sergeev AA, Kabanov AS, Bulychev LE, Sergeev AA, Pyankov OV, Bodnev SA, Galahova DO, Zamedyanskaya AS, Titova KA, Glotova TI, Taranov OS, Omigov VV, Shishkina LN, Agafonov AP, Sergeev AN. Using the ground squirrel (*Marmota bobak*) as an animal model to assess monkeypox drug efficacy. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2017;64(1):226-236.
- 13. Tree JA, Hall G, Pearson G, Rayner E, Graham VA, Steeds K, Bewley KR, Hatch GJ, Dennis M, Taylor I, Roberts AD, Funnell SG, Vipond J. Sequence of pathogenic events in cynomolgus macaques infected with aerosolized monkeypox virus. J Virol. 2015;89(8):4335-4344.
- 14. Zaucha GM, Jahrling PB, Geisbert TW, Swearengen JR, Hensley L. The pathology of experimental aerosolized monkeypox virus infection in cynomolgus monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*). Lab Invest. 2001;81:1581-1600.

ATYPICAL MYCOBACTERIOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Fish	Ingestion; inhalation;	Variable to none;	Asympto-	May not be	Good sanitation,	Yes
Amphibians	waterborne;	Cutaneous	matic to	advised.	good wound	
Reptiles	environmental	lesions, ascites,	chronic		care, prevent	
Birds	exposure via defects	pneumonia,	disease or	Antibiotics:	contact with	
Mammals	in respiratory,	mastitis,	acute death	amino-	contaminated	
	integumentary, or	lymphadenopathy		glycoside;	water, soil, or	
	urogenital systems;	, lameness,		quinolone;	feed	
	direct extension via	emaciation,		macrolide		
	bite wounds	lethargy				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Elizabeth Manning

Sheet completed on: 15 April 2011; updated 21 July 2013, updated 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kurt Volle; Shannon Cerveny

Susceptible animal groups: Fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds, mammals

Causative organisms: This group includes all *Mycobacteria* except *M. tuberculosis* complex and *M. leprae*. Non-tuberculous mycobacteria – *Mycobacterium avium*, *M. intracellulare*, *M. marinum*, *M. fortuitum*, *M. chelonae*, *M. porcinum*, *M. farcinogenes*, *M. smegmatis*, *M. scrofulaceum*, *M. xenopi*, *M. kansasii*, *M. simiae*, *M. genavense*, and others - are slender, nonmotile, acid-fast bacilli that are classified as slow growing or rapidly growing.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Many of these bacteria species may infect people who have a genetic predisposition or diminished immune function. Typically, they are not transmitted between humans or between animals and humans. Most infections are acquired from environmental sources, but infection may result secondary to abrasions, cuts, or similar disruption to surfaces.

Distribution: Ubiquitous worldwide

Incubation period: Typically, two weeks to greater than 2 months, however the Runyon Group IV (M. chelonae, fortuitum, smegmatis) are rapid growing and need less than 7 days for incubation.

Clinical signs: Variable clinical signs are observed which depend on species infected and site of infection. Asymptomatic to acute death presentations are possible. Other signs include: lethargy, emaciation, and other non-specific signs of illness; cutaneous ulcers, abscesses, and granulomas; enlarged abdomen and ascites; cough, dyspnea, pneumonia; mastitis; lymphadenopathy; and lameness due to bone infections.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Gross</u>: Granulomas in multiple organs, cutaneous ulcers and/or abscesses, ascites, pneumonia, mastitis, lymphadenitis, osteomyelitis, tenosynovitis, arthritis

Histologic: Granulomatous inflammation

Diagnosis: From cytology or histopathology samples, acid-fast bacilli can be demonstrated and tissue culture can be followed by biochemical identification of the bacteria. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is available.

Material required for laboratory analysis: For culture, fresh tissue samples are required. For histopathology, formalin-fixed tissue samples are submitted which can then can be used for PCR. Direct lesion sampling by swabs can also be used with PCR.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: National Veterinary Services Laboratories

1920 Dayton Avenue, Ames, Iowa, 50010, USA 515-337-7266 NVSL_Concerns@aphis.usda.gov

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/

Treatment: Due to possibility for development of antibiotic resistance and safety concerns for personnel in close contact with affected animals, treatment may not be recommended. Treatment when attempted should be based on antimicrobial susceptibility testing but empirical treatment options include: aminoglycosides,

ATYPICAL MYCOBACTERIOSIS

quinolones, and macrolides. Radical surgical excision of cutaneous lesions in conjunction with long-term antibiotic therapy has been described.

Prevention and control: Once diagnosed, excellent sanitation measures and permanent quarantine of known positive animals should be introduced. Appropriate wound care and prevention of wound contact with potentially contaminated water, soil, and feed will minimize these infections.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Tuberculocidal products as listed by the US EPA http://www.epa.gov/oppad001/list_b_tuberculocide.pdf

Notification: Not required

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None required

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: None required

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Due to ubiquitous nature of the etiologic agents, chronic profile, and inability to diagnose carrier state, disease-free status is not possible.

Experts who may be consulted:

Nicole M. Parrish, PhD

Associate Director of Mycobacteriology, Division of Medical Mycobacteriology

The Johns Hopkins Hospital

Meyer B1-193, 600 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, Maryland, 21287, USA

410-550-3525

nparrish@jhmi.edu

Non-tuberculous Mycobacterial Infections Program

National Jewish Health

1400 Jackson Street, Denver, Colorado, 80206, USA

800-423-8891 x1279

mycoconsults@njhealth.org

- 1. Bercovier H, Vincent V. Mycobacterial infections in domestic and wild animals due to *Mycobacterium marinum*, *M. fortuitum*, *M. chelonae*, *M. porcinum*, *M. farcinogenes*, *M. smegmatis*, *M. scrofulaceum*, *M. xenopi*, *M. kansasii*, *M. simiae*, and *M. genavense*. Rev Sci Tech Off Int Epiz. 2001;20: 265-290.
- 2. Cook, J. L. Nontuberculous mycobacteria: opportunistic environmental pathogens for predisposed hosts. Br Med Bull. 2010;96: 45-59.
- 3. Hoop RK. Public health implications of exotic pet mycobacteriosis. Semin Avian Exot Pet. 1997;6:3-8.
- 4. Jernigan JA, Farr BM. Incubation period and sources of exposure for cutaneous *Mycobacterium marinum* infection: case report and review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;31:439-443.
- 5. Kramer MH. Granulomatous osteomyelitis associated with atypical mycobacteriosis in a bearded dragon (*Pogona vitticeps*). Vet Clin Exot Anim. 2006;9: 563-568.
- 6. Lee EY, Ip JW, Fung BKK, Ted EU. *Mycobacterium chelonae* hand infection: a review. Hand Surg. 2009;14: 7-13.
- 7. Markey B, Leonard F, Archambault M, Cullinane A, Maguire D. Clinical Veterinary Microbiology. Mosby; 2013. p 163.
- 8. Passantino A, Macri D, Coluccio P, Foti F, Marino F. Importation of mycobacteriosis with ornamental fish: medico-legal implications. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2008;6: 240-244.
- 9. US Environmental Protection Agency Office of Pesticide Programs [Internet]. List B: EPA's registered tuberculocide products effective against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: 2009. [cited 2013 July 23]. Available at http://www.epa.gov/oppad001/list_b_tuberculocide.pdf.

AVIAN MYCOBACTEROSIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
All birds, some mammals	Fecal-oral, environment, inhalation	Emaciation, weakness, lethargy, hepatosplenomegaly Rarely skin lesions and respiratory disease	Variable. Severe in the individual with end stage disease	Not recommended as this organism is resistant to most, if not all human antimycobacterial drugs. Euthanasia may need to be considered	Cleaning of the environment. Decreasing load in the environment. Maintaining good immune systems and good husbandry	Yes, but humans have a high resistance to <i>M. avium</i> unless immune compromised. Treatment may be difficult.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Nancy Carpenter

Sheet completed on: 1 February 2011; updated 1 March 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Erika Travis-Crook, M. Scott Echols

Susceptible animal groups: Birds, some mammals, such as pigs, mink and rabbits.

Causative organism: *Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC) consisting of *M. avium* and *M. intercellularae*. *M. genavense* can also cause disease in birds.

Zoonotic potential: There is potential, however humans appear to be highly resistant unless immune compromised.

Distribution: Worldwide. However in North America the distribution favors the North Temperate Zone.

Incubation period: There is not a definitive incubation period because the resultant disease is dependant upon immune response to exposure. Exposure does not guarantee disease. Typically an animal suffering from disease caused by Mycobacteria may have had the disease for many years before signs are recognized or, more likely, it is an incidental finding on necropsy.

Clinical signs: Emaciation, weakness, lethargy, hepatosplenomegaly.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Emaciated carcasses, hepatosplenomegaly, nodular disease in affected organs. Nodules are typically white to yellow and solid to soft or crumbly in consistency. Liver, spleen, lung and intestines are most commonly affected but joints, skin, and respiratory tract may also show lesions.

Diagnosis: Elevated white blood cell counts >60,000 can be an indicator of mycobacteriosis. Antemortem screening can be performed via coelomoscopic examination focusing on the liver, spleen and intestines. Biopsy any plaque like lesions or the liver for histopathological screening. Diagnosis is attained through the identification or culture of acid fast organisms or histopathology as the most common route. However, acid fast staining of prepared feces can also be done but is not a definitive test since other organisms can be acid fast positive confounding results. Tuberculin testing is not recommended.

PCR assays detect the actual disease causing organism and are considered to be the fastest, most sensitive method for detecting *M. avium*. ELISA assays detect specific antibodies for *M. avium* and help determine exposure. These assays can be performed on whole blood, feces, serum, vent and throat swabs depending upon the laboratory and the test to be run. (Feces for Zoologix; whole blood, serum, vent and throat swabs for Avian Biotech International)

AVIAN MYCOBACTEROSIS

Material required for laboratory analysis: Acid Fast staining of slides from a suspect nodule, feces or touch prep of affected tissues; formalinized tissue for histopath examination; culture swab for acid fast testing and culture (Lowenstein Jensen media required for culture). Feces for PCR by Zoologix or whole blood, serum, vent and oral swabs for Avian Biotech International for PCR or ELISA. Post mortem sampling includes liver, spleen and lungs and/or suspect areas.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Avian Biotech International (www.avianbiotech.com)

Zoologix (www.zoologix.com). See the Avian and Livestock Assay Data Sheet

Treatment: Typically, control is more desired as treatment can be unrewarding and possibly cause further spread of the disease. Some antibiotic resistance can be expected. The ethics of treatment must be considered as treatment may be life long and may not prevent shedding.

Prevention and control: Try to maintain a clean environment and be diligent in screening via necropsy and testing for acid fast bacteria. Maintain a thorough quarantine protocol.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Cidex appears to be the product that is the standard efficacy comparison in most studies. Equivalent disinfectants include Sactimed sinald (a quaternary ammonium compound) Steris 20 (a peracetic acid compound) and Pentapon DC1 (a beta-ene compound) are equally effective. Persafe (a tertiary amine that is classified as an HLD High Level Disinfectant) is also reported to be as effective as Cidex. Virkon was NOT effective. Roccal D does not list *M. avium* as being susceptible to that product. Some of these may not be applicable for premise application. Sukusept Plus (Ecolab) is a glucoprtoamin based disinfectant and has effectiveness against all mycobacteria at 2500 ppm for 15 minutes. It is also effective against a glutaraldehyde resistant *M. chelonae* but at a concentration of 5000 ppm for 15 minutes, or at 2500 ppm for 60 minutes. Note that this product may not be available in the US. 1 Stroke Environ B (Vestal Labs), Virostat TBQ, Steris TBQ, Husky QT 814 are other premise disinfectants with efficacy against mycobacteria. During premise disinfection it is recommended that a protective face covering i.e. respirator is worn due to the route of infection for these organisms is through aerosolization.

Notification: Check your individual state for reporting requirements

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: This is not one of the listed diseases as of 2013.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: If an animal is known to be infected, euthanasia may need to be considered. It is not recommended to mix a known infected animal with a healthy animal unless the risk for infection is considered acceptable. Studies show that there is an increased incidence of disease when an animal is housed with a known positive.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: As this bacterium is ubiquitous, this condition is unachievable. Efforts should be concentrated on decreasing the environmental load of this bacterium and enhancing the immune response for those living in the contaminated environment through good nutrition and proper husbandry. Screening of all deaths for mycobacteria, having sentinel animals in the enclosure, and periodic liver biopsies have all been done.

AVIAN MYCOBACTEROSIS

Experts who may be consulted:

Scott Larsen, DVM, MS, Dipl ACZM

Denver Zoo

2300 Steele St

Denver, Colorado 80205

Phone: (303) 376-4996 Fax:

(303) 376-4991

slarsen@denverzoo.org

Jim Wellahan, DVM, MS, PhD, DACZM, DACVM

Zoological Medicine Service

College of Veterinary Medicine

Gainesville, Florida 32610 Phone:

352-392-2226

wellahanj@ufl.edu

Shannon Ferrell, DVM

Dept. of Companion Animals

Atlantic Veterinary College

UPEI, 550 University Avenue

Charlottetown, PE C1A 4P3, Canada.

Email: sferrell@upei.ca

Bruce Rideout, DVM, PhD

San Diego Zoo Global, Institute for Conservation Research

Director, Wildlife Disease Laboratories

PO Box 120551

San Diego, California 92112

(619) 231-1515

brideout@sandiegozoo.org

AVIAN MYCOBACTEROSIS

- 1. Avian Services Center. Avian Tuberculosis (Mycobacterium avium). http://www.avianbiotech.com/diseases/AvianTuberculosis.htm. Accessed 3 July 2013.
- 2. Gerlach, H. 1994. Mycobacterium. *In:* Ritchie B.W., G.J. Harrison, and L.R. Harrison (eds.). Avian Medicine. Wingers Publishing, Lake Worth, FL. Pp. 971-975.
- 3. Beehler, B.A. 1990. Management of *Mycobacterium Avium* in a Mixed Species Aviary. *In*: Proceedings of the AAZV. Pp. 138-142.
- 4. Friend, M. 1999. Tuberculosis. *In*: Friend, M. and J.C. Franson (eds.). Field Manual of Wildlife Diseases. U.S. Dept. of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Washington D.C. Pp 93-98.
- 5. Hernandez, A., E. Martro, L. Matas L, and V. Ausina. 2003. In-vitro evaluation of Perasafe compared with 2% alkaline glutaraldehyde against Mycobacterium spp. J of Hosp. Inf. 54(1): 52-56.
- 6. Kearns, K. 2003. Avian Mycobacteriosis. *In*: Kearns, K.S. and B. Loudis (eds.). Recent Advances in Avian Infectious Diseases. International Veterinary Information Service, Ithaca, New York. Pp XXX.
- 7. Meyer, B. and C. Kluin. 1999. Efficacy of glucoprotamin containing disinfectants against different species of mycobacteria. J of Hosp. Inf. 42(2):151-154.
- 8. Napier J.E, S.H. Hinrich, F. Lampen, P.C. Iwen, R.S. Wickert, J.L. Garrett, T.A. Aden, E. Restis, T.G. Curro, L.G. Simmons, and D.L. Armstrong. 2009. An outbreak of avian mycobacterosis caused by *Mycobacterium intracellulare* in little blue penguins (*Eudyptula minor*). J Zoo Wildl. Med. 40(4):680-6.
- 9. Pollock, C.J., W. Carpenter, and N. Antinoff. 2004. Protocols used in treating mycobacteriosis in birds. *In:* Carpenter, J.W. (ed.). Exotic Animal Formulary, 3rd ed. Saunders Elsevier, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp 303-304.
- 10. Tell, L.A., S.T.F. Ferrell, and P.M.Gibbons. 2004. Avian mycobacteriosis in free-living raptors in California: 6 cases (1997-2001). J of Avian Med. and Surg. 18(1):30-40.
- 11. Zoologix. Mycobacterium avium and other Mycobacteria species. http://zoologix.com/avian/Datasheets/Mycobacteria.htm. Accessed 3 July 2013.

TUBERCULOSIS IN ELEPHANTS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals, including elephants	Aerosol of infectious droplets	Chronic weight loss, excessive mucus discharge from the trunk and respiratory system.	Variable; Most infected elephants have no clinical signs after many years of chronic infection.	At least three drugs should be used when initiating treatment. Toxicity related to drug treatment has been reported, including hepatopathy, icterus, bone marrow suppression, and anorexia.	Quarantine testing: while culture is the only definitive test, ancillary tests include elephant STAT-Pak and other in vitro assays	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Gary West and Charles O. Thoen

Sheet completed on: 31 January 2011; updated 31 January 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Linda Peddie; Dennis Schmitt; Paul P. Calle; Michele Miller

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals, including elephants

Causative organism: Predominantly *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and rarely *M. bovis* have been associated with these infections. *M. avium* complex and certain other mycobacteria (*M. szulgai* and *M. elephantis*).have been isolated from elephants

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Worldwide distribution in captive animals and in free-ranging animals in close contact with humans (i.e., working elephants in Asia). Chronically infected and shedding elephants and their caretakers have been noted. Animals traditionally have been relocated without rigorous quarantine. Trunk washes should be collected from animals for mycobacteriologic examinations (ie. PCR and culture) before integration into new herds.

Incubation period: Weeks to years.

Clinical signs: The most commonly observed sign is chronic weight loss. Elephants may also have mucoid sputum discharge from trunk and partial anorexia. However, often no premonitory signs of illness are present until the disease is in the very advanced stages.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Primarily lung and associated thoracic lymph nodes are observed with chronic granulomas with caseocalcaerous and cavitated lesions. Lesions often are paucibacillary on acid fast staining.

Diagnosis: Laboratory examinations on trunk wash by acid fast staining and culture of the fluid. Ancillary tests include Elephant TBstatPAK® and MAPIATM for serology. In the US, elephant TBstatPAK testing should coincide with the trunk wash collection per current USDA guidelines. Although not permitted for the official USDA testing, sputum samples or mucus from the trunk can also be cultured and may be useful. Additionally, mycobacterial organisms rarely have been isolated from other body fluids such as vaginal secretions. Post-mortem cultures should be performed.

NOTE: Some elephants with chronic inflammatory conditions have tested positive on the Elephant

TUBERCULOSIS IN ELEPHANTS

TBstatPAK and have not been positive on culture for *Mycobacteria* species. Trunk wash should be collected from these animals if they originate in a herd with a history of tuberculosis or of unknown source as the animals could be infected and not shedding the tubercle bacillus. Intradermal tuberculin testing is not recommended for elephants due to the non-specific reactions observed in this species.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Saline wash of the trunk for mycobacteriologic examination. Culture, PCR on lesions collected at necropsy or on biopsy. Blood may be collected for Elephant TBstatPAK and MAPIA and other *in vitro* supplemental tests. Consult the current Guidelines to Control Tuberculosis in Elephants for additional information.

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_welfare/downloads/elephant/elephant_tb.pdf

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: NVSL (National Veterinary Services Laboratory, Ames, IA) in the US, or similar reference laboratories in other countries.

Treatment: Empirical treatment with at least three drugs (isoniazied, rifampin, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide) while susceptibility tests are pending. Drug resistance has been a concern in a few cases; therefore fluoroquinolones can also be used in combination with other medications. Pyrazinamide is ineffective against *M. bovis*.

Toxicity related to drug treatment has been observed and may include signs of gastrointestinal discomfort, hepatopathy, bone marrow suppression, malaise, and joint stiffness. Prior to beginning treatment, it is recommended that clinicians consult with others with experience in elephant mycobacterial treatment to ensure that the latest information is incorporated into the treatment plan.

Prevention and control: Elephants with chronic unexplained weight loss and identified shedders should be isolated from other animals. Quarantine and test new arrivals to the institution. Anti-tuberculocidal disinfectants should be used for cleaning. Consideration for staff should be given to wearing HEPA-filter masks that are certified to protect against tuberculosis when collecting trunk wash samples or when in close contact with infected elephants.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: EPA approved tuberculocidal agent.

Notification: USDA in the US, appropriate regulatory officials in other countries.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Specific guidelines are in place in the US http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_welfare/downloads/elephant/elephant_tb.pdf

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Follow USDA/APHIS or similar guidelines as above under surveillance. Animals that are not actively shedding *Mycobacteria* and are undergoing treatment may be considered for reintroduction to the herd which may decrease the stress of the animal.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: see USDA/APHIS Guidelines to Control Tuberculosis in Elephants http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_welfare/downloads/elephant/elephant_tb.pdf

Experts who may be consulted:

James Peddie, DVM 4201 Faria Road Ventura, California 93001 (805) 652-1429

Dennis Schmitt, DVM, PhD Karis Hall 20 Missouri State University Springfield, Missouri, USA (417) 836-5091

TUBERCULOSIS IN ELEPHANTS

dennisschmitt@missouristate.edu

Charles O. Thoen, DVM, PhD 2152 Vet Med Veterinary Microbiology and Preventive Medicine Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, USA (515) 294-7608 cthoen@iastate.edu

Gary West, DVM Phoenix Zoo 455 N. Galvin Parkway Phoenix, Arizona 85008 602-273-1341 gwest@thephxzoo.com

- 1. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_welfare/downloads/elephant/elephant_tb.pdf. 2010. Guidelines to Control Tuberculosis in Elephants. Accessed 23 July 2013.
- 2. Ball, R.L., G. Dumonceaux, J.H. Olsen, M.S. Burton, and K. Lyashchenko. 2006. Comparison of trunk wash results matched to multiantigen print immunoassay (MAPIA) in a group of captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*). 2006 Proceedings of the American Association of Zoo Veterinarians, Tampa, FL. Pp. 303-304.
- 3. Bhandara, M. and C.O. Thoen. 2014. Zoonotic tuberculosis in humans, elephants and other animals in Nepal. *In:* Thoen, C.O., J. H. Steele and J. B. Kaneene (eds.). Zoonotic Tuberculosis: *Mycobacterium bovis* and other pathogenic mycobacteria. Wiley-Blackwell Publishing. In press.
- 4. Fowler, M.E. 2006. Infectious diseases. *In*: Fowler, M.E. and S.K. Mikota (eds.). Biology, Medicine, and Surgery of Elephants. Blackwell Publishing, Ames, IA. Pp. 131-158.
- 5. Greenwald, R., O. Lyashchenko, J. Esfandiari, M. Miller, S. Mikota, J.H. Olsen, R. Ball, G. Dumonceaux, D. Schmitt, T. Moller, J.B. Payeur, B. Harris, D. Sofranko, W.R. Waters, and K.P. Lyashchenko. 2009. Highly accurate antibody assays for early and rapid detection of tuberculosis in African and Asian elephants. Clin. Vaccine Immunol. 16: 605-612.
- 6. Lyashchenko, K., R. Greenwald, J. Esfandiari, J. Olsen, R. Ball, G. Dumonceaux, F. Dunker, C. Buckley, M. Richard, S. Murray, J.B. Payeur, P. Anderson, J.M. Pollock, S.K. Mikota, M. Miller, D. Sofranko, and W.R. Waters. 2006. Tuberculosis in elephants: antibody responses to defined antigens of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, potential for early diagnosis, and monitoring of treatment. Clin. Vacc. Immunol. 13:722-732.
- 7. Michalak, K., C. Austin, S. Diesel, M.J. Bacon, P. Zimmerman and J.N. Maslow. 1998. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection as a zoonotic disease: transmission between humans and elephants. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 4: 283-287.
- 8. Mikota, S.K., L. Peddie, J. Peddie, R. Isaza, F. Dunker, G. West, W. Lindsay, R.S. Larsen, M.D. Salman, D. Chatterjee, J. Payeur, D. Whipple, C. Thoen, S. Davis, C. Sedgwick, R.J. Montali, M. Ziccardi, and J. Maslow, J. 2001. Epidemiology and diagnosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 32: 1-16.
- 9. Murphree, R., J. Warkentin, J.R. Dunn, W. Schaffner, and T.F. Jones. 2010. Outbreak of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection among employees of an elephant refuge. Presentation at the

TUBERCULOSIS IN ELEPHANTS

National Tuberculosis Conference, 22-24 June 2010.

- 10. Murphree, R., J.V. Warkentin, J.R. Dunn, W. Schaffner, and T.F. Jones. 2011. Elephant-to-human transmission of tuberculosis. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 17(3): 366-371.
- 11. West, G. 2006. Tuberculosis in captive exotic animals. *In*: Thoen, C.O., J.H. Steele, and M.J. Gilsdorf. (eds.) *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in Animals and Humans. Blackwell Publishing LTD, Oxford, UK. Pp. 248-257.

12.

MYCOBACTERIUM AVIUM SUBSP. PARATUBERCULOSIS (JOHNE'S DISEASE)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Ruminants	- Fecal-oral - Raw colostrum - In utero	-Weakness -Weight loss -Diarrhea in some species	Eventually fatal	None	-Quarantine or cull infected animals -Regular herd testing -Good sanitation	Incon- clusive

Fact Sheet compiled by: Elizabeth Manning

Sheet completed on: 19 April 2011; updated 21 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Genevieve Dumonceaux; Patrick Pithua

Susceptible animal groups: Ruminants are affected and though other mammals and birds may become infected, they rarely develop clinical disease

Causative organism: *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* (MAP) which is a Gram-positive, acid-fast positive small rod-shaped bacterium that grows in clumps of 10-100 cells and a member of the *Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC).

Zoonotic potential: As an opportunistic pathogen in immunocompromised individuals, zoonotic status is possible but it is very unlikely in the zoo setting as organism must be swallowed by immunosusceptible human. Much controversy exists regarding the relationship between MAP and Crohn's disease in humans.

Distribution: Global

Incubation period: Months to years

Clinical signs: These signs are observed primarily in adults although animals are usually infected in the first months of life or *in utero* if dam is infected. Early course of infection is asymptomatic. Severe and abrupt weight loss occurs as disease progresses with weakness secondary to emaciation. Some species present with chronic diarrhea.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Gross</u>: These findings range from none to many including: corrugated, reddened, thickened gastrointestinal tract; enlarged mesenteric lymph nodes and enlarged lymphatic vessels; and emaciation with lack of fat stores. <u>Histologic</u>: These findings range from minimal to extensive granulomatous inflammation. Variable numbers of acid-fast positive rods in giant cells of the ileum and mesenteric lymph nodes can be found and, in some species, aortic mineralization is noted.

Diagnosis:

-<u>Organism-based:</u> culture (feces, tissue samples, environmental samples), PCR (feces, paraffin blocks of tissue samples, culture isolate identification), histopathology (acid-fast positive rods within macrophages infiltrating the caudal gastrointestinal tract and mesenteric lymph nodes)

-Serology: ELISA for cattle, sheep, goats, bison, deer

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Ante-mortem: Feces, serum, milk, colostrum, and environmental samples (soil, water, grass)

Post-mortem: Fresh mesenteric lymph node, ileum samples for culture; in formalin for histopathology

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

-Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)-Approved Laboratories are listed at:

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/approved_labs.shtml

-Lab familiarity with zoo collection, diagnostics, and husbandry is helpful

MYCOBACTERIUM AVIUM SUBSP. PARATUBERCULOSIS (JOHNE'S DISEASE)

Treatment: None

Prevention and control: Adherence to principles driving guidelines in the Voluntary Johne's Disease Herd Status Program (http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_diseases/johnes/downloads/ johnes-umr.pdf) and including:

- -Screening incoming adult ruminants during quarantine period (if < 1yr. old, test dam).
- Feed only pasteurized colostrum or approved commercial colostrum replacers to neonatal ruminants.
- -Bottle feed neonatal ruminants with pasteurized milk or commercial milk replacer.
- -Prevent exposure of ruminants less than 6 months of age to adult manure including through water drainage or feed contamination.
- -Use sod from pastures grazed by Johne's disease-free ruminants.
- -Establish ruminant enclosure status (all adults in enclosure/barn).
- Establish and use a dedicated maternity area separate from lactating cows to reduce the risk of transmission
- -Examine all adult ruminants dying on site for Johne's disease even if other cause of death known including culture of mesenteric lymph node.
- -Learn MAP status of source herds for petting zoo/farm animals as high prevalence in domestic ruminants
- -Institute excellent sanitation measures.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities:

Tuberculocidal products as listed by the US EPA http://www.epa.gov/oppad001/list_b_tuberculocide.pdf

Notification: Reportable to the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), USDA APHIS, and many state veterinarians.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None required although voluntary program participation exists.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: It is not recommended

Conditions for restoring infection-free status after an outbreak: Following quarantine or cull infected animals and environmental decontamination it would be useful to demonstrate negative test results in adult ruminant(s) over multiple years.

Experts who may be consulted

Michael T. Collins, DVM, PhD

University of Wisconsin School of Veterinary Medicine

2015 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin, 53706, USA

608-262-8457

mcollin5@wisc.edu

Pam Dennis, MSL, DVM, PhD, DACZM Cleveland Metroparks Zoo 3900 Wildlife Way, Cleveland, Ohio, 44109, USA 216-635-2520

pmd@clevelandmetroparks.com

- 1. Behr, M. A., and D. M. Collins (eds.). 2010. Paratuberculosis: Organism, Disease, Control, 1st ed. CABI, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Pp. 1-375.
- 2. Burgess, T., C. Witte, and B. Rideout. 2012. Understanding the spread of Johne's disease in zoo animals: who should we worry about? Proceedings of the AAZV. Oakland, CA. P. 53.
- 3. Collins, M., and E. Manning. 2001. Johne's Information Center. http://johnes.org. Accessed 23 July

MYCOBACTERIUM AVIUM SUBSP. PARATUBERCULOSIS (JOHNE'S DISEASE)

2013.

- 4. Manning, E.J.B. and J.M. Sleeman. 2012. *In*: Fowler, E. and R. E. Miller. (eds.). Fowler's Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine: Current Therapy, 7th ed. Elsevier Saunders, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 628-635.
- 5. National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods. 2010. Assessment of food as a source of exposure to *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* (MAP). J. Food Prot. 73: 1357-1397.
- 6. Pithua, P., L.A. Espejo, S.M. Godden, and S.J. Wells. 2013. Is an individual calving pen better than a group calving pen for preventing transmission of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* in calves? results from a field trial. Res. Vet. Sci. 95 (2): 398-404.
- 7. Pithua, P., S.M. Godden, S.J. Wells, and M.J. Oakes. 2009. Efficacy of feeding plasma-derived commercial colostrum replacer for the prevention of transmission of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* in Holstein calves. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 234 (9): 1167-1176.
- 8. Proceedings of the Workshop on Diagnosis, Prevention, and Control of Johne's Disease in Non-Domestic Hoofstock. Yulee, FL. 26-28 June 1998.
- 9. Singh, S. V., A. V. Singh, P. K. Singh, A. Kumar, and B. Singh. 2011. Molecular identification and characterization of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* in free living non-human primate (Rhesus macaques) from North India. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 34(3):267-71.
- 10. US Environmental Protection Agency Office of Pesticide Programs. 2009. List B: EPA's registered tuberculocide products effective against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. http://www.epa.gov/oppad001/list_b_tuberculocide.pdf. Accessed 23 July 2013.
- 11. USDA APHIS. 2010. Uniform program standards for the voluntary bovine Johne's disease control program. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_diseases/johnes/downloads/johnes-ups.pdf Accessed 19 September 2013.

TUBERCULOSIS IN NON-HUMAN PRIMATES

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Non- human primates	Inhalation and ingestion predominates ;fomite potential documented	Varies: rough hair coat, weight loss, cough, lymph-adenopathy	Highly variable: asymptomatic to severely debilitating disease.	Limited efficacy even with multi- modal treatment; but may be considered for extremely valuable animals. However, culling of positive animals highly recommended	Skin test is routine and gold standard; but nonspecific responses occur.	Yes – and anthroponotic

Fact Sheet compiled by: Patrice Frost, Heather Cole, Charles O. Thoen

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011; updated 31 January 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Paul P. Calle; Hilton Klein; Ana Cristina Leandro

Susceptible animal groups: All primates, including humans.

Causative organisms: Mycobacterium tuberculosis; M. bovis, M. avium ss avium.

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Old World non-human primates and great apes – usually with typical mycobacterial infection; New World non-human primates – usually with other mycobacterial infection(s).

Incubation period: Variable from weeks to months; animals can develop latent infections with reactivation in weeks, months or even years later. Development of disease is dependent on organism, route of infection, dose and immunologic status of animal. Susceptibility, morbidity and mortality are variable for different species.

Clinical signs: The clinical signs are often nondescript and ill-defined. Tuberculosis can imitate a multitude of diseases such as pneumonia, neoplasia or fungal infections. The clinical spectrum of signs range from asymptomatic to multi-symptomatic; the profile is highly dependent on the route of exposure, the system involved and the infecting agent. General signs can include a roughened hair coat, anorexia, depression, lethargy, fever (low grade; intermittent or persistent), weight loss, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, and local or general lymphadenopathy which may or may not have draining tracts. A chronic or paroxysmal cough and dyspnea indicate pulmonary involvement, which mirrors acute bronchitis, or pneumonia. Neurological presentation with signs including anisocoria or ataxia may implicate meningitis or central nervous system involvement, and paresis to paralysis can indicate a peripheral neurological component that may be a result of spondylitis.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: At necropsy, tuberculosis indications vary with the duration and degree of disease. Organs of predilection are the lung and adjacent hilar lymph nodes. Dissemination occurs to the spleen kidney, liver and associated lymph nodes. Additional sites less frequently seen include omentum, ovary, cerebrum, spinal column, peripheral lymph nodes skin and mammary gland. The extent of the lesions can range from no detectable lesions to wide dissemination of caseous granulomas varying in size from pinpoint to large coalescing lesions. Appearance of lesions within the lung can be focal, coalescing or cavitary.

TUBERCULOSIS IN NON-HUMAN PRIMATES

Lesions in parietal pleural with adjacent adhesion maybe caused by collapse of large granulomas expelling contents into the adjacent airway in this process referred to as cavitation.

Diagnosis:

Intradermal tuberculin skin test (TST): Using Mammalian Old Tuberculin (mOT) produced by Symbiotics, Inc. is currently the only USDA approved tuberculin for non-human primates. Intradermal injection of 0.1 ml of MOT using a 26 gauge needle in the palpebrae. In small primates, reduced dose (0.05ml) can be used. Injection sites are observed at 24, 48 and 72 hours post injection for hyperemia, edema, and induration. (Grading systems can be found in the Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Tuberculosis in Nonhuman Primates). The test is interpreted as positive when palpebral swelling is present in conjunction with droop. A minimum of two weeks should occur between skin tests.

Detection of positive animals is difficult in early infections and in advanced stages of disease animals may be nonresponsive. An immunologically competent animal is required for the test to be effective. False positives may occur due to trauma during administration of antigen or nonspecific response caused by cross reactivity with nonpathogenic *Mycobacteria* or previous exposure to Freund's Complete adjuvant. A comparative TST - using biologically balanced purified protein derivatives (PPD) of *M. bovis* and of *M. avium* – placed into separate palpebrae or at separate sites on the abdomen is useful in differentiating nonspecific sensitization. Limitations to testing can be challenged by the quality and purity and volume of the tuberculin injected, skill in administration, thorough recording of bruise or palpebral trauma, visual access in group settings, accurate interpretation at all time periods, inadequate interval between tests or lack of documentation. All of these can jeopardize a surveillance program. Thoracic radiographs facilitate diagnosis in conjunction with additional diagnostics.

Laboratory testing: This methodology can augment TST.

PRIMAGAM (Prionics USA, Inc.) Cell mediated Immunity IFN-γ assay–fully licensed by USDA in 2007 for *in vitro* testing of cynomologus and Rhesus macaques and tests for *M. tuberculosis*, *M. bovis* and *M. avium*. No antigen is administered to the animals so re-testing can be conducted immediately. Questionable ability to detect latenc.

<u>T-SPOT.TB</u> (Oxford Immunotec, Oxford, UK) Cell Mediated Immunity IFN-γ assay for use in macaques. Response is to *M. tuberculosis*-specific antigens and shows some promise for the diagnosis of latent and active infections.

<u>PrimaTB STAT-PAK Assay</u> (Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Inc., Medford, NY) which detects IgM and IgG antibodies, rapid (20 minute) lateral flow immunoassay. Licensed by USDA in 2007 for use in nonhuman primates. Advantage test uses serum, plasma or whole blood and requires small quantity (30µl) although interpretation is difficult due color of blood. Test is used to detect *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis*. A combination of diagnostic techniques may provide for an improved diagnosis.

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Antemortem: Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) may be conducted on lesion or granuloma, feces, bronchoalveolar and gastric lavage. Culture and speciation: To optimize isolation of organisms from specimens it is recommended that the samples be centrifuged at 3,500 rpm for 30 minutes in sterile polypropylene conical tubes. Success of isolation is dependent on quality of specimen, appropriate processing and culture techniques in the laboratory. The process requires 4- 8 weeks for isolation and longer to speciate. Microbiological staining: Specimens include lesions or granulomas in lymph nodes (i.e., broncho-alveolar) and gastric lavage. Fine needle aspirates, impression smears or tissue suspensions that are air dried in a thin layer on slides that is heat fixed and stained for the appearance of acid fast bacilli.

<u>Post-mortem</u>: All primates euthanized or found dead should receive complete necropsies to include gross examination and histological examination of lesions including acid fast stains.

PCR, culture and staining for organism: blood, lesion or granuloma, feces, lymph nodes and bronchial or

TUBERCULOSIS IN NON-HUMAN PRIMATES

gastric lavage.

Products Available:

AFB Kinyoun Kit (Polysciences, Inc.): stain of slides for acid-fast bacilli.

PRIMAGAM (Prionics USA, Inc.): heparinized whole blood

T-SPOT.TB (Oxford Immunotec, Oxford, UK): heparin PBMCs

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

National Veterinary Services Laboratories (NVSL)

1920 Dayton Ave.

Ames, Iowa 50010

Provides IFN-γ, Histopathology, Isolation and PCR. NVSL is the reference center for U.S. animal health and contribute to public health by ensuring that timely and accurate laboratory support is provided by their nationwide animal-health diagnostic system.

PCR-Zoologix Primate Diagnostics

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Ave., Suite 4

Chatsworth CA 91311-3800

info@zoologix.com

Treatment: Isoniazid, ethambutol and rifampin is usual starting point. However, even this combination has limited efficacy and is not recommended for tuberculous animals.

Prevention and control: Non-human primate colonies should be maintained closed and have minimal direct contact with public. Establish a routine surveillance program using the skin testing to identify infected animals; additional diagnostics may augment TST. Segregate or cull positive animals during confirmation. Identify designated quarantine area for all new nonhuman primates; hold animals for a minimum of 30 days and retest using TST. Animals of unknown source or high risk animals should be quarantined for longer duration for retest.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: All primate primary housing, clinics and caging should incorporate tuberculocidal products. The Environment Protection Agency Antimicrobials Division Test oversees the testing of these products for efficacy. Consideration for product selection will depend on surfaces, caging and equipment needing tuberculocidal products.

Notification: USDA

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: It is not recommended to introduce new animals to collections holding tuberculous animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Cull all positive animals or treat all extremely valuable animals in isolation. Continue to conduct routine surveillance testing to include TST and other diagnostic testing. Maintain proper PPE and Occupational Health Program for all people in contact with nonhuman primates.

Experts who may be consulted:

Charles O. Thoen DVM, PhD., Diplomate AVES

2152 Vet Med

Veterinary Microbiology and Preventive Medicine

Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, USA

(515) 294-7608

cthoen@iastate.edu

Hilton J. Klein, VMD, MS, Dipl. ACLAM, Dipl. ECLAM

TUBERCULOSIS IN NON-HUMAN PRIMATES

Global VP, Science and NPI, Harlan Laboratories (Retired), Indianapolis, Indiana, USA Hkleinvmd@aol.com

 $Southwest\ National\ Primate\ Research\ Center/Southwest\ Foundation\ for\ Biomedical\ Research\ -\ Tuberculosis\ Laboratory$

cleandro@sfbrgenetics.org

- 1. NIH Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Tuberculosis in Nonhuman primateshttp://oacu.od.nih.gov/ARAC/documents/NHP_TB_Prevention.pdf. Accessed 23 July 2013.
- 2. PRIMAGAM (Prionics USA, Inc.) http://www.prionics.com/diseases-solutions/tuberculosis/PRIMAGAM/ Accessed 23 July 2013.
- 3. Zoologix. http://zoologix.com/primate/Datasheets/Mycobacteria.htm Accessed 23 July 2013.
- 4. Frost, P.A., P. Calle, H. Klein, and C.O. Thoen. 2014. Zoonotic tuberculosis in nonhuman primates. *In*: Thoen, C.O., J. H. Steele, and J. B. Kaneene (eds.). Zoonotic Tuberculosis: *Mycobacterium bovis* and other pathogenic mycobacteria. Wiley-Blackwell Publishing. In press.
- 5. Lerche, N.W., J.L. Yee, S.V. Capuano, and J.L. Flynn. 2008. New approaches to tuberculosis surveillance in nonhuman primates. ILAR 49 (2): 170-178.
- 6. Simsek, H., S. Alpar, N. Ucar, F. Aksu, I. Ceyhan, A. Gozalan, S. Cesur, and M. Ertek. 2010. Comparison of tuberculin skin testing and T-Spot.tb for diagnosis of latent and active tuberculosis. Japan. J. Infect. Dis. 63(2): 99-102.
- 7. Thoen, C. O. 2010. Tuberculosis and other mycobacterial infections. *In*: Kahn, C.M., and S. Line (eds). The Merck Veterinary Manual. 10th ed. Merck and Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ. http://www.merckmanuals.com/vet/generalized_conditions/tuberculosis_and_other_mycobacterial_infections/overview_of_tuberculosis_and_other_mycobacterial_infections.html Accessed 23 July 2013.

MYCOBACTERIOSIS (piscine)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
	Ingestion is probably the major route of infection in fishes; other species, direct contact with infected individuals or contaminated objects. Bacteria may be found in aquatic biofilm.	Fishes: hyperemia, pale to dark coloration, morbidity, mortality; granuloma or ulcers of the skin and subcutaneous tissues.	Mild to severe in fishes, causing a wide range of gross and microscopic lesions Mild to moderate in humans (usually restricted to extremities)	Fishes: generally not attempted due to systemic nature of disease at diagnosis, poor response to treatment and zoonotic potential; long-term antimicrobial therapy with appropriate compounds can be tried. Humans: appropriate antimicrobial therapy	Proper hygiene, disinfection, biosecurity, quarantine, protective apparel. Manage environment to reduce stressors on fish.	Yes
				accompanied by surgical debridement in some cases		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Gregory A. Lewbart and Melanie L. Church

Sheet completed on: 16 March 2011; updated 20 August 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Stephen A. Smith, Leigh A. Clayton

Susceptible animal groups: Fishes, mammals (including humans), reptiles, amphibians

Causative organism: *Mycobacterium* spp. with approximately more than 120 species recognized in the genus *Mycobacterium*. Common isolates include: *M. marinum*, *M. chelonae*, *M. neoaurum*, *M. fortuitum* and *M. haemophilum*. The organisms are Gram-positive and acid-fast staining.

Zoonotic potential: Yes; moderate

Distribution: Global and most commonly associated with aquatic environments. The optimal temperature range is 24-28° C (76-82° F). The bacteria can survive for up to 2 years in the environment.

Incubation period: Varied, weeks to months in fishes; 2 days to 6 weeks in humans.

Clinical signs:

Fishes: Chronic progressive infection is most typically reported and may include skin hemorrhage, ulcerations and granulomas and/or white nodules on viscera; hyperemia of fins; exophthalmos, corneal ulcer, granulomatous endophthalmitis; lethargy, anorexia, weight loss, abdominal edema, cutaneous edema, reduced pigmentation, loss of scales. Acute mortalities may occur with more virulent strains and animals

MYCOBACTERIOSIS (piscine)

may lack substantial gross changes such as granulomas. Animals may be infected without evidence of disease.

Humans: Usually causes a chronic infection that is limited to the extremities, such as fingers and hands. A localized skin nodule or granuloma may ulcerate and start to exude a serosanguinous or purulent discharge. Depending on immunological status of infected individual, nodular cutaneous lesions can progress to tenosynovitis, arthritis, and osteomyelitis.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross changes presented in clinical signs. Microscopically, acid-fast organisms are frequently detected in tissues and within granulomas but not all acid-fast organisms are *Mycobacteria* species. Acid-fast bacilli may be detected in both granulomatous and non-granulomatous tissues. Staining intensity can vary.

Diagnosis: History; signalment; clinical signs; gross lesions; acid-fast staining of tissue touch impressions; histopathology with granulomatous inflammation and acid-fast staining; microbial culture; PCR and DNA sequencing.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Tissue samples for touch impressions, culture, histopathology, and in some cases PCR.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Many. National Veterinary Services Diagnostic Laboratory (Ames, Iowa) for culture and sensitivity.

Treatment: Treatment often considered unrewarding for eliminating infection in individual fish or fish populations. Long-term antibiotic including rifampin, erythromycin, streptomycin, as examples, may be considered. Surgical excision and long term antibiotics are usually recommended in humans.

Prevention and control: The disease can be difficult to eradicate. Wear gloves when cleaning aquariums or handling fish. Hands should be washed thoroughly afterwards with 70% isopropyl alcohol and a bactericidal soap. In exhibit settings, may manage certain populations as positive, particularly if animals presenting infrequently with chronic disease in older individuals (consistent with opportunistic infection). Reducing environmental stressors may help reduce clinical disease.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Ethanol or methanol (70%).

Notification: None required by law.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Introductions to infected animals should be avoided.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Depopulate, disinfect the environment, and then monitor and test sentinel animals.

Experts who may be consulted:

Andrew Kane, PhD

Emerging Pathogens Institute

Aquatic Pathobiology Laboratory

University of Florida

PO Box 100188

Gainesville, Florida 32610-0188

Phone: (352) 273-9090 Email: Kane@ufl.edu

Stephen A. Smith, MS, DVM, PhD

Aquatic Medicine/Fish Health

Department of Biomedical Sciences & Pathobiology

MYCOBACTERIOSIS (piscine)

Virginia-Maryland College of Veterinary Medicine

VMRCVM, Duck Pond Drive, Virginia Tech (0442)

Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

(540) 231-7666

E-mail: stsmith7@vt.edu

Dr. Roy Yanong

University of Florida/IFAS Tropical Aquaculture Laboratory

1408 24th Street SE

Ruskin, FL 33570

Phone: (813) 671-5230 x104 E-mail: rpy@ifas.ufl.edu

- 1. Anonymous. 2006. Mycobacteriosis. Institute for International Cooperation in Animal Biologics and the Center for Food Security & Public Health. Iowa State University and the OIE. www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/mycobacterium_marinum.pdf, 4 pp.
- 2. Francis-Floyd, R. and R. Yanong. Mycobacteriosis in fish. 2002. University of Florida Extension Fact Sheet #VM-96. http://edis.ufl.edu
- 3. Gauthier, D.T. and M.W. Rhodes. 2009. Mycobacteriosis in fishes: a review. Vet. J. 180:33-47.
- 4. Mainous, M.E. and S.A. Smith. 2005. Efficacy of common disinfectants against *Mycobacterium marinum*. J. Aquatic Anim. Health 17:284-288.
- 5. Passantino, A., D. Macri, P. Coluccio, F. Foti, and F. Morino. 2008. Importation of mycobacteriosis with ornamental fish: medico-legal implications. Travel Med. Infect. Dis. 6(4):240-244.
- 6. Ramsay, J.M., V. Watral, C.B Schreck, and M.L. Kent. 2009. Husbandry stress exacerbates mycobacterial infections in adult zebrafish, *Danio rerio* (Hamilton). J. Fish Dis. 32(11): 931-941.
- 7. Reavill, D., and R. Schmidt R. 2012. Mycobacterial lesions in fish, amphibians, reptiles, rodents, lagomorphs, and ferrets with reference to animal models. Vet. Clin. N. Amer. Exot. Anim. Pract. 15(1):25-40.
- 8. Shivaprasad, H., and C. Palmieri. 2012. Pathology of mycobacteriosis in birds. Vet. Clin. N. Amer. Exot. Anim. Pract. 15 (1): 41-55.
- 9. Slany, M., P. Jezek, V. Fiserova, M. Bodnarova, J. Stork, M. Havelkova, F. Kalat, and I. Pavlik. 2012. *Mycobacterium marinum* infections in humans and tracing of its possible environmental sources. Can. J. Microbiol. 58(1):39-44.
- 10. Whipps, C.M., C. Lieggi, and R. Wagner. 2012. Mycobacteriosis in zebrafish colonies. ILAR J. 53(2): 95-102.
- 11. Yanong, R.P., D.B. Pouder, and J.O. Falkinham III. 2012. Association of *Mycobacteria* in recirculating aquaculture systems and mycobacterial disease in fish. J. Aquatic Anim. Health. 22(4):219-223.
- 12. Zanoni, R.G., D. Florio, M.L. Fioravanti, M. Rossi, and M. Prearo. 2008. Occurrence of *Mycobacterium* spp. in ornamental fish in Italy. J. Fish Dis. 31:433-441.
- 13. Zerihun, M., D. Cloquhoun, and T. Poppe. 2012. Experimental mycobacteriosis in Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua* L. J. Fish Dis. 35(5):365-377.

TUBERCULOSIS IN UNGULATES

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Ungulates	Primarily aerosol and ingestion; fomites are a potential	Labored breathing, coughing, lymph-adenopathy, wasting	Highly variable, generally slowly progressive to severely debilitating and fatal	Not recommended except in extraordinary cases with endangered species; wide range of antimicrobial combinations utilized based on sensitivity	Isolation and quarantine, test and cull	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Douglas Armstrong and Charles O. Thoen

Sheet completed on: 13 February 2011; updated 31 January 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Claude Turcotte; John Kaneene; Paul P. Calle

Susceptible animal groups: All ungulates - ruminants and camelids - are susceptible. However, very rare in North American zoo populations due to ongoing monitoring via necropsy programs and tuberculin skin testing during interzoo animal transfer. It is more common in some farmed exotics such as cervids. Pockets of endemic infection in the US in wildlife including white tail deer, elk and bison, specifically in Michigan.

Causative organism: *Mycobacterium bovis*

Zoonotic potential: Significant zoonotic potential

Distribution: Global

Incubation period: Variable, generally slowly progressive

Clinical symptoms: Dyspnea, coughing, lymphadenopathy, lethargy, weight loss.

Post mortem, gross, or histopathologic findings:

<u>Gross</u>: Lesions typically will be yellowish, caseous necrotic areas within nodules of firm white, fibrous tissue. Tuberculous lesions may be accompanied by cavitation and calcification. Miliary patterns of granulomas may be present in some species. Primarily affected organs are lungs and lymphoid system, especially retropharyngeal lymph nodes. However, virtually any organ may be affected.

<u>Histology</u>: Tubercles are granulomatous lesions with a caseous necrotic center bordered by epithelioid cells, some of which may form multinucleated giant cells. Histologic lesions may vary substantially with species. Culture of affected tissue and polymerase chain reaction detection are useful tools in diagnosis.

Diagnosis: All zoo ungulate deaths should be necropsied with the intent to detect this disease if present. See above post mortem description.

Ante mortem: Primary assessment is by delayed hypersensitivity to *M. bovis* PPD tuberculin, 0.1 ml injected intradermally using a 26 gauge needle 3/8 inch in length. In the US, procedures and sites are defined and regulated by United States Department of Agriculture, Veterinary Services, as well as other regulations in the US and other countries. Defined testing procedures and sites for accredited veterinarians for preshipment testing or screening programs include single site caudal tail fold in true cattle species and bison; single site mid cervical region in cervids and antelope species; dorsal lateral edge of base of ear in suidae species; and the axillary region for camelidae.

In the US, comparative tuberculin skin tests can be conducted by USDA approved veterinarians using biologically balanced PPD's prepared from *M. bovis* and from *M. avium* injected in the cervical region. *In vitro* antibody tests have been described; however, the validity of these tests for detecting TB in early stages of

TUBERCULOSIS IN UNGULATES

infection has not been confirmed. Interferon gamma assay is validated and approved only for use in domestic cattle.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Mycobacteriologic examination of material from post mortem lesions lesions or enlarged lymph nodes harvested at necropsy are needed.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: National Veterinary Service Laboratory, USDA,

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health, and some state veterinary diagnostic laboratories in the US, or similar reference laboratories in other countries.

Treatment: This route is not recommended as it is both ineffective and expensive. Particularly, it is difficult in ruminants since most recommended medications are administered by the oral route. If undertaken for animals of substantial conservation or genetic value, initial protocol of some combination of antimicrobials should be modified subsequently based on culture and sensitivity of organism from tracheal wash possible. Normally use multi-drug regimen includes combinations of isoniazid, ethambutol and rifampin.

Prevention and control: Isolation of suspected infected animals is recommended. For captive populations, and aggressive test and cull program in recommended with depopulation if disease is widespread.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Cresylics, phenolics and gluteraldehyde based disinfectants labeled to kill pathogenic *Mycobacteria*

Notification: Reportable disease to state and federal authorities

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Determined by state and federal authorities, may vary by region. Consult state and regional federal authorities in the US, or similar regulatory authorities in other countries.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected population: Not recommended

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Repeated tuberculin skin testing to identify reactors and depopulate. Consult state and area federal (APHIS) livestock disease veterinary authorities in the US, or similar regulatory authorities in other countries.

Experts who may be consulted: John B. Kaneene, DVM, MPH, PhD

Room A-109 Veterinary Medical Center Center for Comparative Epidemiology College of Veterinary Medicine Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 48824 517-355-2269

kaneene@cvm.msu.edu

Michael Gilsdorf, DVM 1910 Sunderland Place NW Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 223-4878

Fax: (202)-223-4877 Mgilsdorf@nafv.org

Charles O. Thoen, DVM, PhD, Dipl AVES

Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Preventive Medicine

College of Veterinary Medicine

Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011

TUBERCULOSIS IN UNGULATES

cthoen@iastate.edu

- 1. Gilsdorf, M.J. and J.B. Kaneene. 2014. The importance of *M. bovis* infection in cervids on the eradication of bovine tuberculosis in the USA. *In:* Thoen, C.O., J. H. Steele and J. B. Kaneene (eds.). Zoonotic Tuberculosis: *Mycobacterium bovis* and other pathogenic mycobacteria. Wiley-Blackwell Publishing. In press.
- 2. Himsworth, C. G., B.T. Elkin, J.S. Nishi, T. Epp, K.P. Lyashchenko, O. Surujball, C. Turcotte, J. Esfandiari, R. Greenwald, and F.A. Leighton. 2010. Comparison of test performance and evaluation of novel immunoasays for tuberculosis of wood bison naturally infected with *Mycobacterium bovis*. J. Wildlife Dis. 46(1): 78-86.
- 3. O'Brien, D. J., S.M. Schmitt, K.P. Lyashchenko, W.R. Waters, D.E. Berry, M.V. Palmer, J., McNair, R. Greenwald, J. Esfandiari, and M.K. Cosgrove. 2009. Evaluation of blood assays for detection of *Mycobacterium bovis* in white tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) in Michigan. J. Wildlife Dis. 45(1): 153-164.
- 4. Stevens, J. B., C.O. Thoen, E.B. Rohanczy, S. Tessaro, H.A. Kelly, and J.R. Duncan. 1998. Experimental tuberculosis in ;lamas (*Llama glama*). Canad. Vet. J. 62: 102-109.
- 5. Thoen, C. O., K.J. Thorlson, L.D. Miller, E.M. Himes, and R.L. Morgan. 1988. Pathogenesis of *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in American bison. Am. J. Vet. Res. 49: 1861-1865.
- 6. Thoen, C.O., P.A. LoBue, D.A. Enarson, J.B. Kaneene, and I.N. de Kantor. 2009. Tuberculosis: a reemerging disease in animals and humans. Vet. Ital. 45:135-181.
- 7. Thoen, C. O., W.J. Quinn, L.D. Miller, L.L. Stackhouse, B.F. Newcomb, and J.M. Ferrel. 1992. *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in North American elk (*Cervus elephas*). J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 4: 423-427.

MYCOPLASMOSIS (Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae, M. gallisepticum, M. agassizi, and others)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Sheep, goats, birds, and tortoises most important, others possible	Direct contact between animals most important, fomites possible	Respiratory (pneumonia, coughing), conjunctivitis, polyarthritis	Tends to be chronic; can be severe and result in death.	Macrolides and fluoroquinolones may be effective early in the disease, but ineffective for polymicrobial secondary pneumonia.	Vaccination generally not effective. Health screening by culture and PCR. Prevent close contact	Maybe

Fact Sheet compiled by: Anne Justice-Allen

Sheet completed on: 25 February 2011; updated 1 April 2013; updated 2 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Tom Besser, Bruce Rideout

Susceptible animal groups *M. ovipneumoniae* – bighorn sheep, mountain goats, musk oxen, *M. gallisepticum* – birds especially passerines (house finches) and galliformes, *M. agassizii*, *M. testudineum* – tortoises. Many other *Mycoplasma* spp. exist and new ones are being identified in connection with disease syndromes in mammals, birds, and reptiles. *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster – sheep, goats, cattle, others. *M. bovis* – bison and bovids. Other *Mycoplasma* spp. may be minimally- or non-pathogenic.

Causative organism: *Mycoplasma* spp. are bacteria with no cell wall and complex growth requirements making traditional culture mediated isolation difficult. Many of the organisms associated with disease have not been fully characterized because identification has been by molecular methods. *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster organisms cause contagious bovine pleuropneumonia, contagious agalactia of sheep and goats and contagious caprine pleuropneumonia and are considered foreign animal diseases in the United States. *M. agalactiae* is the major cause of contagious agalacia of sheep and goats, but has only been reported sporadically in the United States. Within a species, some strains may vary in pathogenicity as well as in the clinical syndrome that develops. For example, some strains *M. bovis* are linked to calf pneumonia while others will generally cause mastitis. A subcategory of mycoplasmas is the hemoplasmas, obligate red blood cell pathogens such as *Mycoplasma ovis* in sheep, *M. suis* in swine, and novel species in raccoons. Disease caused by hemoplasmas is not considered to be mycoplasmosis.

Zoonotic potential: Marine mammal workers have acquired skin infections suspected to be *Mycoplasma* spp. Humans have their own complement of *Mycoplasma* pathogens and many of those may infect non-human primates.

Distribution: World-wide, often host species specific

Incubation period: 2 to 4 weeks, possibly longer

Clinical signs: Generally, mycoplasmas cause one or more of three clinical syndromes: lymphocytic pneumonia where secondary infection with additional bacteria is common (Pasteurellas for example); polyarthritis; mastitis. Additionally, otitis media and conjunctivitis may occur with some species. In bighorn sheep, and mountain goats signs typically consist of coughing, respiratory distress, otitis, sinusitis, loss of body condition; death is possible in all age classes on first exposure, death in neonates and weanlings in subsequent years, population declines, and poor recruitment. In birds the predominant sign is mild to severe conjunctivitis; death in some cases. In tortoises the predominant signs are nasal discharge (clear to mucopurulent), conjunctivitis, edema of the eyelids, infection often becomes chronic, and may end in death. A fatal multisystemic disease attributed to *M. alligatoris* has been identified in American alligators and related caimans. Additional species (black vultures, skunks, crocodiles) signs consist of polyarthritis

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Epithelial hyperplasia is observed in the affected tissues, lymphoid aggregates and infiltrates which can progress to fibrosis. Lesions can become suppurative and necrotizing with secondary bacterial invasion.

MYCOPLASMOSIS (Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae, M. gallisepticum, M. agassizi, and others)

Diagnosis: PCR is most reliable with several protocols available. Culture with specialized media (PPLO, SP4, Friis', modified Hayflick) may be utilized. Serology is unreliable for individual animal diagnosis but can be used for screening groups of animals. When comparing disease risk between populations, strain-typing is recommended as pathogenicity has been shown to vary between strains and cross-protection appears to be incomplete.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Tissues, especially lung, trachea, and retropharyngeal lymph nodes; deep nasal or oropharyngeal swabs or washes, middle ear swabs, and sinus swabs; joint fluid or tissue. Swabs (dacron or polyester with a plastic shaft) should be transported in PPLO, TSB with 10% glycerin or specialized mycoplasmal/viral transport media (consult the laboratory) and should be sent to the lab promptly (should arrive within 72 hours) on gel ice. For PCR testing, swabs may be shipped without media in cryovials.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Colorado Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory – Colorado State University http://csu-cvmbs.colostate.edu/vdl/Pages/default.aspx 970-297-1281

National Veterinary Services Laboratory http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/about_nvsl.shtml (515) 337-7266

Mycoplasma Research Lab - Dr. Mary Brown University of Florida (352) 294-4029 Lab Telephone: (352) 294-4094 or 294-4071

Texas Veterinary Medical Diag. Lab. – TAMU http://tvmdl.tamu.edu/ (979) 845-3414

Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory – Washington State Univ. http://www.vetmed.wsu.edu/depts_WADDL/ (509)335-9696

Treatment: Azithromycin, erythromycin, tulathromycin; enrofloxacin; beta lactam antibiotics are not effective due to an absent cell wall.

Prevention and control: Population testing with blocking or competitive ELISA is most appropriate for non-domestic species where other serology methods such as AGID or SN have not been validated. Prolonged quarantine as stress increases shedding and repeated attempts at isolation during this interval are recommended. For positive populations, strain-typing should be conducted as isolates can vary in pathogenicity.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Mycoplasmas are susceptible to most commonly used disinfectants, including Virkon S, quaternary ammonium compounds, or household bleach (1:20 in water). Bleach and to a lesser extent, quaternary ammonium compounds are inactivated in the presence of organic matter so are preferably used on clean surfaces. *Mycoplasma* doesn't survive well in dry conditions or with exposure to sunlight. Some species of *Mycoplasma* will have increased survival in conditions where biofilms develop.

Notification: *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster organisms cause contagious bovine pleuropneumonia, contagious agalactia of sheep and goats, and contagious caprine pleuropneumonia, all of which are foreign animal diseases in the United States. Immediate notification of USDA and state agencies is required for any suspected cases.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

MYCOPLASMOSIS (Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae,

M. gallisepticum, M. agassizi, and others)

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Multiple negative cultures/PCR tests from infected animal. Chronic and subclinical carriers highly likely.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Difficult to impossible, long-term treatment with appropriate systemic antibiotic; see above. Test-and-cull showing promise experimentally for *M. ovipneumoniae* in bighorn sheep and has been used in other species. Multiple tests should be conducted on individual animals as shedding of organisms is inconsistent.

Experts who may be consulted:

Thomas E Besser, DVM PhD

Professor and Rocky Crate D. V. M. and Wild Sheep Foundation Chair in Wild Sheep Disease Research Washington State University College of Veterinary Medicine

Phone: 509-335-6075 tbesser@vetmed.wsu.edu

- 1. Besser, TE, Cassirer EF, Potter KA, VanderSchalie J, Fischer A, Knowles DP, Herndon DR, F. R. Rurangirwa FR, Weiser GC, Srikumaran S. Association of *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* infection with population-limiting respiratory disease in free-ranging Rocky Mountain bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis canadensis*). J Clin Microbiol. 2008;46:423-30.
- 2. Brown MB, McLaughlin GS, Klein PA, Crenshaw BC, Schumacher IM, Brown DR, Jacobson ER. Upper respiratory tract disease in the gopher tortoise is caused by *Mycoplasma agassizii*. J Clin Microbiol. 1999.;37:2262-9.
- 3. Cassirer FE, Manlove KR, Almberg ES, Kamath PL, Cox M, Wolff P, Roug A, Shannon J, Robinson R, Harris RB, Gonzoles BJ, Plowright RK, Hudson PJ, Cross PC, Dobson A, Besser TE. Pneumonia in bighorn sheep: Risk and resilience. J Wildl Management. 2018;82:32-45.
- 4. Cassirer FE, Manlove KR, Plowright RK, Besser TE. Evidence for strain-specific immunity to pneumonia in bighorn sheep. J Wildl Management. 2017;81:133-143.
- 5. Fischer JR, Stallknecht DE, Luttrell P, Dhondt AA, Converse KA. Mycoplasmal conjunctivitis in wild songbirds: the spread of a new contagious disease in a mobile host population. Emerg Infect Dis. 1997;3: 69-72.
- 6. Ossiboff R J, Raphael BL, Ammazzalorso AD, Seimon TA, Niederriter H, Zarate B, Newton AL, McAloose D. A Mycoplasma species of Emydidae turtles in northeastern USA. J Wildl Dis. 2015;51:466-70.
- 7. Ostrowski S, Thiaucourt F, Amirbekov M, Mahmadshoev A, Manso-Silván AL, Dupuy V, Vahobov D, Ziyoev O, Michel S. Fatal outbreak of *Mycoplasma capricolum* pneumonia in endangered markhors. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17:2338-41.
- 8. Rettenmund C L, Boyer DM, Orrico WJ, Parker SG, Wilkes RP, Seimon TA, Paré JA. Long-term oral clarithromycin administration in chelonians with subclinical Mycoplasma spp. infection. J Herpet Med and Surg. 2017.;27:58-61.
- 9. Sumithra TG, Chaturvedi VK, Susan C, Siju SJ, Rai AK, Harish C, Sunita SC. Mycoplasmosis in wildlife: a review. Eur J Wildl Res. 2013;59:769-781.
- 10. Tardy F, Baranowski E, Nouvel LX, Mick V, Manso-Silvàn L, Thiaucourt F, Thébault P, Breton M, Sirand-Pugnet P, Blanchard A, Garnier A, Gibert P, Game Y, Poumarat F, Citti C. Emergence of atypical *Mycoplasma agalactiae* strains harboring a new prophage and associated with an alpine wild ungulate mortality episode. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78:4659-68.
- 11. Volokhov DV, Hwang J, Chizhikov VE, Danaceau H, Gottdenker NL. Prevalence, Genotype Richness, and Coinfection Patterns of Hemotropic Mycoplasmas in Raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) on Environmentally Protected and Urbanized Barrier Islands. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2017.83:e00211-17

OESOPHAGOSTOMIASIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Old World	Fecal-oral:	Diarrhea,	Variable,	Ivermectin,	Quarantine of new	Yes, only
monkeys,	ingestion of	anorexia,	but severe	pyrantel	individuals;	for some
great apes;	third-stage	weight loss,	infection	pamoate, or a	isolation of	parasite
ruminants,	larvae (L3);	lethargy, and	can result	benzimidazole.	affected animals;	species
camelids, and	direct life	abdominal	in death.	Surgical	parasite	that infect
suids.	cycle.	pain.		removal of mass	monitoring	non-
				effect.	programs.	human
						primates.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Ginger L. Takle; updated by Karen Terio

Sheet completed on: 21 June 2011; updated 13 September 2013, updated 24 May 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Stephanie McCain

Susceptible animal groups: Great apes, Old World monkeys, suids, camelids, ruminants

Causative organism:

<u>Primates</u>: Oesophagostomum bifurcum, O. (Conoweberia) apiostomum, O. (Conoweberia)

stephanostomum, O. aculeatum

Ruminants: O. columbianum, O. venulosum, O. radiatum and other species may be found in wild

ruminants

New and Old World camelids: O. venulosum, Oesophagostomum sp.

Suids: O.dentatum, O. brevicaudum, O. quadrispinulatum, and other species may be found in wild suids.

Zoonotic potential: Yes (*Oesophagostomum bifurcum* and *O. stephanostomum*).

Distribution: Worldwide, but most commonly occurs in the tropics and subtropics.

Incubation period: Ova passed in feces hatch and develop into infective L3 in approximately 2-7 days, depending on environmental conditions. After ingestion, the L3 burrow into the intestinal wall forming cystic nodules to granulomas within the submucosa, muscularis and mesentery in which the nematodes molt into fourth-stage larvae (L4). The L4 can then remain in the nodules or return to the intestinal lumen where they develop to the adult stage. Generally, pre-patent period is considered 32-42 days.

Clinical signs:

<u>Primates</u>: Clinical signs can range from intermittent diarrhea to inappetence, severe mucoid bloody diarrhea, pale mucous membranes, weakness, lethargy, weight loss, vomiting, abdominal pain and death. <u>Ruminants</u> and Suids: fetid diarrhea, anorexia, weakness, emaciation, and death. If chronic infection is present, clinical signs may be seen that are consistent with decreased intestinal motility, stenosis, or intussusception.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Oesophagostomins are also known as nodular worms due to their gross appearance. The L3 penetrate deep into and encyst in the lamina propria, submucuosa, muscularis of the small and large intestine and in some cases the adjacent mesentery. Granulomas (nodules) form around the larvae and can be 5-50mm in diameter. These granulomas may contain reddish brown fluid and a central nematode. In some sections, inflammation is associated with migration tracts and abdominal adhesions or peritonitis may be present. Mesenteric lymph nodes are often enlarged.

Diagnosis: Identification of ova on fecal examination but these are confused easily with 'hookworm' eggs; identification of larvae or adults during intestinal biopsy; morphological identification of adult specimens collected at necropsy, PCR, PCR-RFLP, semi-nested PCR

Material required for laboratory analysis: Fecal sample, larvae or adult worms, nodular intestinal tissues.

OESOPHAGOSTOMIASIS

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any diagnostic laboratory with routine parasitologic capabilities should be able to diagnose this infection. These diagnostics are readily available, as in-house fecal flotation or any laboratory performing fecal exams.

Treatment: Ivermectin, pyrantel pamoate, or benzimidazole can be administered. Where possible, surgical excision of the nodules may be performed.

Prevention and control: Quarantine of new animals, parasite monitoring program, isolation and treatment of affected animals, proper sanitation and waste removal can assist with prevention. Free-living larval stages (L1- infective L3) survive in the environment (moisture and temperature dependent).

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Commonly used disinfectants can be used after removal of feces from the area.

Notification: None.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Treat infected or potentially infected animals prior to introduction to non-infected animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Negative fecal examinations can be used to identify persistent infections that should be resolved before introductions.

Experts who may be consulted: Parasitologists are well versed in this disease and should be consulted.

- 1. Oesophagostomiasis [Internet]. Center for Disease Control; c 2017 [cited 24 May 2018]. Available from http://www.dpd.cdc.gov/dpdx/html/oesophagostomiasis.htm
- 2. Boomker J. Parasites of South African wildlife-V. A description of the males of *Oesophagostomum mocambiquei* Ortlepp, 1964 from warthogs, *Phacochoerus aethiopicus* (Pallas, 1766). Onderstepoort J Vet Res. 1990;57:169-173.
- 3. Helminths, In, Bowman, DD (ed.). Georgis' Parasitology for Veterinarians, 8th ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders; 2003. p. 115-118.
- 4. Gasser R, De Gruijter J, Polderman A. Insights into the epidemiology and genetic make-up of *Oesophagostomum bifurcum* from human and non-human primates using molecular tools. Parasitol. 2006;132:453-460.
- 5. Krief S, Jamart A, Mahé S, Leendertz F, Mätz-Rensing K, Crespeau F, Bain O, Guillot J. Clinical and pathologic manifestation of oesophagostomosis in African great apes: does self-medication in wild apes influence disease progression. J Med Primatol. 2008;37:188-195.
- 6. Krief S, Vermeulen B, Lafosse S, Kasenene J, Nieguitsila A, Berthelemy M, L'Hostis M, Bain O, Guillot J. Nodular worm infection in wild chimpanzees in western Uganda: a risk for human health? PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010;4:e630.
- 7. Terio KA, Lonsdorf EV, Kinsel MJ, Raphael J, Lipende I, Collins A, Li Y, Hahn BH, Travis DA, Gillespie TR. Oesophagostomiasis in non-human primates of Gombe National Park, Tanzania. Am J Primatol. 2018;80(1). Available from doi: 10.1002/ajp.22572.

OPHIDIAN PARAMYXOVIRUS (OPMV)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
All	Primarily	Respiratory	Severe;	Supportive care,	Strict quarantine	No
snakes,	airborne but	compromise	animals can	including broad	with separate	
especially	fomite,	; neurologic	survive	spectrum	airspace and	
Viperidae	waterborne, fecal-	signs;	infection	antibiotics, fluid	utensils, PCR	
	oral transmission	anorexia,	with	and nutritional	testing on	
	can occur.	regurgitatio	supportive	support, and	tracheal lavage,	
	Vertical	n, and	care but	ensure proper	and proper	
	transmission may	chronic	death is	husbandry,	husbandry	
	occur, but	"poor doer";	common	especially		
	uncertain at this	and sudden		correct thermal		
	time.	death		gradient		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Christopher S. Hanley

Sheet completed on: 19 November 2011; updated 1 April 2013; updated 8 Feb 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Randy Junge

Susceptible animal groups: All snakes, especially Viperidae

Causative organism: Ophidian paramyxovirus

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: Outbreaks have been documented in multiple private and zoological collections worldwide; seroconversion has been documented in wild specimens and importance of which remains uncertain. Outbreaks are more common from January through May.

Incubation period: Seroconversion takes at least 8 weeks but the incubation period has been documented to be at least 10 months in some specimens.

Clinical signs: Acute death, respiratory compromise, blood in the oral cavity or nares, neurologic signs, including head tremors, star gazing, flaccid paralysis, convulsions, and loss of righting reflex. In more chronic cases, anorexia, regurgitation, cachexia, lethargy, and abnormal feces are common. As with other paramyxoviruses, OPMV causes immunosuppression, so secondary infections are common.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: No pathognomonic lesions occur with this disease. Gross findings range from no lesions to respiratory lesions including pulmonary congestion, hemorrhage, respiratory exudates, and pneumonia, pancreatic hyperplasia, and hepatic necrosis and granulomas may all be macroscopically evident. Histologic lesions include hyperplasia of the respiratory epithelium, thickening of the pulmonary septa, inflammatory cell infiltration, evidence of exudates and edema, and rarely eosinophilic intracytoplasmic inclusions. If the CNS is involved, there can be encephalitis with multifocal gliosis, moderate ballooning of axon fibers in the brain stem and spinal cord. Hepatic necrosis or multifocal pyogranulomatous inflammation is often observed. Hyperplasia of pancreatic ducts and acinar cells with cystic dilatation has been observed. The salivary glands can be affected by ductular dilatation, flattening of the ductular epithelium, and accumulation of cellular debris and secretory material in the lumen.

Diagnosis: Definitive diagnosis requires viral isolation from tissues, PCR for viral nucleic acid, immunohistochemical staining for viral antigen, and/or electron microscopy. Tracheal lavages submitted for PCR analysis may provide an antemortem diagnosis.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Tracheal lavage fluid can be submitted for PCR analysis as a screening tool and tissue samples collected at necropsy (especially lung, liver, and pancreas) – both formalin fixed and frozen, depending on test.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

University of Florida –2015 SW 16th Avenue, Bldg 1017, Room V2-238, Gainesville, FL 32608 – (352) 392-4700 ext. 5775

OPHIDIAN PARAMYXOVIRUS (OPMV)

Treatment: Supportive care, including broad spectrum antibiotics, fluid and nutritional support, and ensure proper husbandry, especially correct thermal gradient.

Prevention and control: Maintain proper husbandry, especially correct thermal gradients. Quarantine all new animals for a minimum of 60-90 days, using separate utensils and supplies, disinfection or destruction of all materials at the end of quarantine, and usage of a footbath. Obtain OPMV PCR via tracheal wash during quarantine period. Monitor animals closely for abnormal behaviors. Necropsy all animals that die. While OPMV serology is available from multiple laboratories, question has been raised as to the value of this method of testing, especially when comparing results between different laboratories. If used, as with any other antibody titer, serial sampling is required to confirm infection versus just exposure.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Bleach is recommended for disinfection at 1/2 cup/gallon of water [120ml/L].

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Due to the fact that PCR positive animals would be actively shedding the virus, it is not recommended to introduce new animals to those that are infected. A minimum of 60 days (and ideally longer) after the last OPMV death should pass before the introduction of new specimens.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: PCR positive animals should be isolated and those that are PCR negative should appear healthy and have negative tracheal washes at least 90 days after diagnosis before new animals are introduced into the collection.

Experts who may be consulted:

Jim Wellehan, DVM, MS, PhD, DACZM, DACVM (Virology, Bacteriology/Mycology)

Zoological Medicine Service

University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine

Gainesville, Florida 32610-0126

wellehanj@ufl.edu

- 1. Allender MC, Mitchell MA, Dreslik MJ, Phillips CA, Beasley VR. Measuring agreement and discord among hemagglutination inhibition assays against different ophidian paramyxovirus strains in the Eastern massasauga (*Sistrurus catenatus*). J Zoo Wild Med. 2008; 39:358-361.
- 2. Bronson E, Cranfield MR. Paramyxovirus. In: Mader DR (ed.). Reptile medicine and surgery, 2nd ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2006. p. 851-861.
- 3. Ophidian Paramyxovirus (OPMV) [Internet]. Microbiology, Parasitology & Serology UF Diagnostic Laboratories, College of Veterinary Medicine. c2018 [cited 2018 February 08]. Available from http://labs.vetmed.ufl.edu/available-tests/zoo-med-infections/opm/
- 4. Pees M, Schmidt V, Marschang RE, Heckers KO, Krautwald-Junghanns ME. Prevalence of viral infections in captive collections of boid snakes in Germany. Vet Rec. 2010;166:422-425.

OXYURIASIS ("pinworm")

(Alaeuris, Aspiculuris, Dentostomella, Enterobius, Oxyuris, Probstmayria, Passalurus, Skrjabinema, Syphacia and Trypanoxuria spp.)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Mammals;	Fecal-oral by	Asymptomatic to	Typically	Anthelminthics,	Personal/	Enterobius is
including	ingestion of	substantial irritability	mild, but	e.g. ivermectin,	environmental	probably
humans;	eggs;	as anusitis and	may	fenbendazole.	hygiene;	transmissible
reptiles	retroinfection	pruritus. Equids with	become	Hygiene to	quarantine of	between apes
	by anal entry	severe infection often	highly	prevent re-	new arrivals	and humans.
	possible with	present with broken	aggravatin	infection	and treatment	Zoonotic
	some species	tail hairs or peri-anal	g to horses		as necessary.	potential of
	and short pre-	excoriation or	and			others are not
	patent period	trauma.	humans.			known.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Christopher J. Bonar

Sheet completed on: 14 February 2011; updated 16 April 2013, updated 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kate Pritchett, Christie Hicks

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals, including humans; reptiles, (+/-) avian.

Causative organism: Enterobius spp. infects humans and chimpanzees. Trypanoxuria and Enterobius can also cause disease in New World primates. Probstmayria vivipara, Skrjabinema ovis, and S. caprae in sheep and goats. Oxyuris equi infects equids, and Oxyuris karamoja infects African rhinoceroses and elephants. Passalurus ambiguous is common in the colon and cecum of lagomorphs. Dentostomella spp., Syphacia spp.and Aspiculuris tetraptera infect laboratory rodents, although no oxyurid is described in guinea pigs. Alaeuris brachylophi has been described in reptiles. Oxyuronema atelophorum has been reported in monkeys of the genus Ateles.

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Parasite is found occasionally in wild and captive chimpanzees, elephants, rhinos, equids, reptiles, domestic and laboratory rodents, and humans.

Incubation Period: Aspiculuris tetraptera: prepatent period 23 days. Enterobius vermicularis: prepatent period 30 days. Passalarus ambiguous: prepatent period 56-64 days. Syphacia muris prepatent period 8 days. Syphacia obvelata: prepatent period 11-15 days.

Clinical signs:

Humans: Perineal and anal pruritus, often worse in the evenings, when oxyurids emerge to lay eggs on the perineum.

Animals: Irritability, anal pruritus, occasionally gastrointestinal impaction in reptiles.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Parasites are most commonly found incidentally on routine fecal ova and parasite examination, but rarely do they occur in large enough numbers to cause noticeable gross pathology. Occasional reports of infections in lizards and turtles severe enough to cause gastro-intestinal impaction. Hemorrhagic enteritis has been reported in *Ateles* spp. infected with *Oxyuronema atelophorum*.

Diagnosis: "Scotch tape preparation" from anus/perineum, routine fecal O&P examination (floatation). Examination of cecal and colonic contents at necropsy. PCR amplified DNA has recently been demonstrated to be more sensitive than fecal O&P examination.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Egg masses from perineum or from fecal examination or worms and eggs recovered from cecal or colonic contents at necropsy.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Any laboratory equipped with light microscopy and basic supplies for fecal O&P examination can detect oxyurids. PCR capabilities are a useful adjunct.

Treatment: Pyrantel, avermectins, and benzimidazoles are all effective against oxyurids. Fenbendazole

OXYURIASIS ("pinworm")

(Alaeuris, Aspiculuris, Dentostomella, Enterobius, Oxyuris, Probstmayria, Passalurus, Skrjabinema, Syphacia and Trypanoxuria spp.)

medicated feed is commonly used for laboratory rodents.

Prevention and control: Detection on routine fecal ova and parasite examinations, and on scotch-tape preparations on symptomatic individuals, and follow-up treatment on infected individuals should allow for control of the organisms. Good hygiene of enclosures should help to prevent re-infection. Eggs have been shown to be present on laboratory workers hands as well as in the dust found around cages, on air vents, and in animal room ventilation systems. Filter-top cages or individually ventilated cages, therefore, may be useful to prevent airborne transmission in laboratory rodent facilities. Strict sanitation and hygienic measures should be adequate to prevent zoonotic transmission of *Enterobius* spp. between apes and man.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Eggs may be resistant to routine disinfectants. Heat disinfection of cages and cleaning implements (100°C) is effective in killing eggs. Chlorhexidine (0.5%), formaldehyde vapors, and 75 mg/L chlorine dioxide have also been recommended. Mechanical removal by washing and scrubbing of enclosures, and heat disinfection, where possible, is recommended.

Notification: This disease is not ordinarily reportable.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Routine anthelminthic treatments.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Repeated treatment of individual animals and conspecifics, as well as sanitation measures to prevent re-infection via the fecal-oral route should eventually be effective.

Experts who may be consulted:

K.R. Pritchett, DVM, DACLAM, MRCVS

Charles River Laboratories

Wilmington, MA

kate.pritchett@crl.com

- 1. Adeoye GO, Ogunbanwo OO. Helminth parasites of the African lizard, *Agama agama* (Squamata: Agamidae), in Lagos, Nigeria. Rev. Biol. Trop. (Int. J. Trop. Biol). 2007;55(2):417-425.
- 2. Dole VS, Zaias J, Kricopoulos-Cleasby DM, Banu LA, Waterman LL, Sanders K, Henderson KS. Comparison of traditional and PCR methods during screening for and confirmation of *Aspiculuris tetraptera* in a mouse facility. J Am Assoc Lab An Sci. 2011;50:904-909.
- 3. Jacobson ER. Parasitic diseases of reptiles. In: Fowler ME (ed.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 2nd edition, Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders; 1986. p. 162-181.
- 4. Georgi JR. Parasitology for Veterinarians, 4th. Edition. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders; 1985. p. 121-122.
- 5. Pritchett, K.R., and N.A. Johnston. A review of treatments for eradication of pinworm infections in laboratory rodent colonies. J Am Assoc Lab An Sci. 2002;41(2):36-46.
- 6. Lytvynets A, Langrova I, Lachout J, Vadlejch J. Detection of pinworm eggs in the dust of laboratory animals breeding facility, in the cages, and on the hands of the technicians. Lab Anim. 2013;47:71-73.
- 7. Wallach JD, Boever WR. 1983. Diseases of Exotic Animals: Medical and Surgical Management. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders; 1983. p. 435,795, 1020.
- 8. Wolff PL. Parasites of New World Primates. In: Fowler, M. E. and R.E. Miller. Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine: Current Therapy, 3rd Edition. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders; 1993. p. 378-389.

MENINGEAL WORM (Parelaphostrongylus tenuis)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Ungulates, notably cervids	Oral - Ingestion of infected intermediate host which includes numerous terrestrial mollusk species (i.e., snails and slugs)	Neurologic	Ranges from mild lameness to recumbency and death. Severity is typically worse in young animals and may vary between species.	High doses of anthelmintics combined with antiinflammatories; supportive therapy	Prophylactic anthelmintic administered every 4-6 wks; exclusion of the natural host (white-tailed deer); elimination or control of mollusk population	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Rae Gandolf and Julie Ter Beest

Sheet completed on: 1 January 2011; updated 9 October 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Priya Bapodra

Susceptible animal groups:

<u>Natural host:</u> The white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) serves as the natural host and is rarely clinically affected; they can shed numerous dorsal-spined larvae in their feces. Approximately 80% of white-tailed deer are infected in endemic regions.

<u>Aberrant or dead-end hosts:</u> Other cervid species (moose, caribou, mule deer, elk, Sika deer); camelids (camels, llamas, alpacas); pronghorn; some bovids (many antelope species, bighorn sheep, Angora goats, bison, rarely domestic cattle); and rarely equids (reported in domestic horses) may show severe clinical signs. Overall, these species rarely shed larvae in their feces.

Disease significance: Mortalities in captive species; failed reintroduction of cervid species such as caribou; suppression of elk and moose populations; suspected cause of moose population declines in central and eastern North America.

Causative organism: Parelaphostrongylus tenuis, an extrapulmonary lungworm nematode

Life cycle: The natural host (white-tailed deer) acquires the infection through accidental ingestion of mollusks infected with 3rd stage larvae. The larvae migrate from the gastrointestinal tract along spinal nerves and into the spinal cord where they develop to the last larval state. Adult worms then locate on the meninges and in the cranial venous sinuses where they lay eggs. The eggs pass into the venous circulation, develop into 1st stage larvae in lung capillaries, and then migrate into the lung tissue. These larvae are expectorated, swallowed, and passed in the feces. Mollusks acquire larval infection when crawling over feces and the parasite develops into the infective 3rd stage larvae within this intermediate host.

In the aberrant host, infection is acquired by the same route. However, migration of the larvae in the spinal cord tends to be non-directional and larvae often die before reaching the brain. The aimless migration and larval death result in more local tissue damage as compared to the natural host. Larvae infrequently develop into reproductive adults in the aberrant host.

Zoonotic potential: None reported

Distribution: Predominantly associated with deciduous and deciduous-coniferous forests of eastern and central North America, concurrent with white-tail deer populations. It is uncertain why deer of the southeast coastal plains region and of western North America are not infected.

MENINGEAL WORM (Parelaphostrongylus tenuis)

Incubation period:

Natural host: pre-patent period 82-137 days, inversely proportional to infection dose.

Aberrant host: signs typically appear in 30-60 days, as short as 5 days reported in experimental infections.

Clinical signs: Neurologic signs are associated with intracranial or spinal cord inflammatory lesions caused by parasite migration. Signs may range from single limb lameness or rear limb weakness to head tilt, ataxia, circling, blindness, progressive loss of motor function and death. Ocular symptoms associated with migration of larvae into the uvea have been reported.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings Lesions in the aberrant host consist primarily of histologic changes in the brain and spinal cord. They may include meningitis and encephalitis; perivascular cuffing and infiltrations of eosinophils, lymphocytes, and plasma cells; calcified remains of worms; worm tracks; focal traumatic malacia caused by developing nematodes; gliosis; disruption of the ependyma; neuronal and myelin degeneration. Eggs and larvae may be found associated with the eyes or the roots of cranial nerves, on the leptomeninges, and in brain tissue.

Diagnosis:

<u>Natural host:</u> Modified Baermann technique for retrieving 1st stage larvae from feces. Larvae must then be differentiated from related species using PCR. However, there are limited species of dorsal-spined larvae and they are easy to retrieve, allowing for presumptive diagnosis. In addition to white-tailed deer, moose and elk may shed the larvae in low numbers.

<u>Aberrant hosts:</u> Ante-mortem diagnostic testing is currently unavailable; a serum ELISA is under development at the University of Tennessee, aimed at detecting antibodies against 3rd stage larvae in cervid species. Postmortem diagnostics include PCR on tissues collected at necropsy, post-mortem recovery of adult worms, or identification of larvae in neurologic tissue.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Post mortem: spinal cord and brain

Antemortem: plasma or serum (aberrant hosts), feces (white-tailed deer, moose and elk)

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

ELISA (in development) or PCR (tissue): University of Tennessee, College of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Biomedical and Diagnostic Sciences, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

Treatment: High dose fenbendazole (20-50mg/kg orally once daily for 5 days) and or high dose ivermectin (0.3-0.4mg/kg SC daily for 3-5 days), or levamisole, in addition to supportive therapies including non-steroidal or steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, vitamin E, and vitamin B complex. Early initiation of treatment is key to success.

Prevention and control:

<u>Captive species:</u> Administration of anthelmintics every 4 -6 weeks to target 3rd stage larvae before they migrate to neural tissue; minimize exposure of captive animals to mollusks by establishing gravel roads or other vegetation breaks to act as snail and slug barriers; use molluscicides with caution due to potential for environmental toxicity; allow non-susceptible species to initiate grazing on new or overgrown pastures; reduce white-tailed deer population and build fences to exclude them.

Free-ranging species: Control of white-tailed deer population to reduce exposure.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Molluscicides (copper sulfate, metaldehyde, sodium pentachlorophenate) may be used against the intermediate host with caution, as they are potential environmental toxins.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: As no direct transmission of the parasite occurs, and species susceptible to clinical disease do not typically pass larvae, infected animals do not pose a direct threat to un-infected animals. However, white-tailed deer should generally be considered as infected, and exposure of susceptible species to white-tailed deer should be avoided as possible.

MENINGEAL WORM (Parelaphostrongylus tenuis)

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: This disease is endemic in white-tailed deer populations of eastern North America.

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Murray Lankester

Lakeland University

Department of Biology, CB 4026

955 Oliver Road

Thunder Bay, Ontario

Canada P7B 5E1

Phone: (250) 465-9019 mlankester@lakeheadu.ca

Dr. Richard Gerhold

University of Tennessee

College of Vet Medicine

Department of Biomedical

and Diagnostic Sciences

2407 River Drive

Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

Phone: (865) 974-5819 Fax: (865) 974-5640 rgerhold@utk.edu

- 1. Kocan AA. The use of ivermectin in the treatment and prevention of infection with *Parelaphostrongylus tenuis* (Dougherty) (Nematoda: Metastrongyloidea) in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*). J Wildl Dis. 1985;21:454-455.
- 2. Lankester MW. Extrapulmonary lungworms of cervids. In: Samuel WM, Pybus MJ, Kocan AA (eds.). Parasitic diseases of wild mammals, 2nd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 228–278.
- 3. Lankester MW. Understanding the impact of meningeal worm, *Parelaphostrongylus tenuis*, on moose populations. Alces. 2010;46:53-70.
- 4. Lankester MW, Peterson WM, Ogunremi O. Diagnosing parelaphostrongylosis in moose. Alces. 2007;43:49-59.
- 5. Nichols DK, Montali RJ, Phillips LG, Alvarado TP, Bush M, Collins L. *Parelaphostrongylus tenuis* in captive reindeer and sable antelope. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1986;188:619-621.
- 6. Ogunremi O, Lankester M, Gajadhar A. Immunodiagnosis of experimental *Parelaphostrongylus tenuis* infection in elk. Can J Vet Res. 2002;66:1–7.
- 7. Purdy SR, Gagliardo LF, Lefman S, Hamel PJ, Ku S, Mainini T, Hoyt G, Justus K, DaleyBauer LP, Duffy MS, Appleton JA. Analysis of heavy-chain antibody responses and resistance to *Parelaphostrongylus tenuis* in experimentally infected alpacas. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2012;19(7):1019-26.
- 8. Tanabe M, Gerhold RW, Beckstead RB, de Lahunta A, Wade SE. Molecular confirmation of *Parelaphostrongylus tenuis* infection in a horse with verminous encephalitis. Vet Pathol. 2010;47:759.
- 9. Tyler GV, Hibler CP. Experimental infection of mule deer with *Parelaphostrongylus tenuis*. J Wildl Dis. 1980;16:533-541.
- 10. Wasel S, Samuel WM, Crichton V. Distribution and ecology of meningeal worm, *Parelaphostrongylus tenuis* (Nematoda), in north central North America. J Wildl Dis. 2003;39:338–346.
- 11. Weiss RB, Sarver CF, Thilsted J, Wolfe BA. Clinical *Parelaphostrongylus tenuis* infection in two captive American bison (*Bison bison*). J Amer Vet Med Assoc. 2008;233:1127–1130.

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Carnivores including: felids, mink, canids, procyonids, viverrids mustelids, ursids, hyaenids, and possibly sea lions; other species are affected by species-specific parvoviruses, but the diseases differ substantially from	Ingestion, primarily (fecal-oral)	Canine parvovirus-2 (CPV-2) and panleukopenia virus: most common signs are vomiting and diarrhea that can result in dehydration and death, immune-suppression is also common. In some cases no clinical signs occur. Respiratory or neurologic signs also can be seen with	Non-clinical or mild to severe including death; depends on immune status of animal	Symptomatic treatment to prevent dehydration and prevent or treat secondary bacterial infections	Vaccination when possible; environmental sanitation, prevention of contamination of environment, strict isolation of naïve populations; control can be managed with quarantine for at least 30	No
the carnivores		panleukopenia virus			days	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Tara M. Harrison

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011; updated 7 September 12; updated 6 February 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Dalen Agnew, Rebecca Smedley, Roger Maes

Susceptible animal groups: Carnivores, such as felids, canids, procyonids, mustelids, ursids, hyaenids, and viverrids, are affected by those parvoviruses described on this fact sheet. Many other groups of animals are affected by various parvoviruses but the disease differs significantly from these disease presentations.

Causative organism: Canine parvovirus type-2 and Feline parvovirus (panleukopenia virus) are discussed here. (Other parvoviruses include but are not limited to: canine parvovirus type 1 (minute virus of canines), mink enteritis virus, mink Aleutian disease parvovirus, ferret Aleutian disease virus, raccoon parvovirus.)

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: World-wide distribution

Incubation period: Typically 5-7 day incubation period, but can range from 4-10 days

Clinical signs: For canine and other enteric parvoviruses, puppies are most likely to suffer severe disease and death. However any unvaccinated canid, of any age, can become infected with CPV-2. Clinical signs range from non-clinical to profound depression, lethargy, and inappetence; enteric parvoviruses cause signs of gastroenteritis such as vomiting and severe diarrhea that can be foul-smelling and include mucus, fibrin casts, and blood; may also see pyrexia and dehydration.

Other parvorviruses cause variable disease syndromes such as chronic wasting or neurologic disease seen with (mink) Aleutian disease, or respiratory, neurological, and/or gastrointestinal disease seen with panleukopenia. Most parvoviruses also cause immunosuppression. Most animals that succumb do so within 4-5 days of infection; juveniles have a higher fatality rate than adults.

Clinical pathological, gross, and histopathological findings: Feline parvovirus (panleukopenia): total white counts of ≤ 1000 -2000/ml and neutrophils < 200/ml. Canine, feline, and other enteric parvoviruses: anemia and hypoproteinemia possibly due to blood and protein loss through the gastrointestinal tract; segmental reddening, hemorrhage, and a granular appearance of the serosa of the small intestine; lymphoid depletion; necrosis and loss of crypts with subsequent villous atrophy, blunting and fusion in the small intestine; involution of the lymphoid tissues in the small intestine, lymph nodes, spleen and thymus; bone marrow may be hypocellular.

Diagnosis: Testing should be performed on acutely infected or recently exposed or high risk canines. In-house fecal ELISA tests are quite specific and sensitive for currently circulating strains of canine parvovirus, and are reportedly useful for feline panleukopenia. Some strains of CPV-2 can infect domestic cats as well as dogs. As

with any test, false results are possible, and negative results can occur later in the course of disease. Weak false positives may also reportedly occur due to recent vaccination. However, this is uncommon, so positive results should be taken seriously even in recently vaccinated dogs. Shedding can be intermittent, therefore testing more than one animal, or one animal on sequential days is suggested. Other possible tests are latex agglutination, and hemagglutination which is not a specific test. Virus can be detected in serum by hemagglutination and IgG IFA; virus may be detected in tissues using virus isolation, hemagglutination, PCR, immunohistochemistry (IHC) and electron microscopy. Serology is a useful tool to assess risk or to further clarify the need for quarantine of individual dogs. Necropsy (both gross and histopathology) are very useful, especially in a "herd" health situation when mortality is present. A presumptive diagnosis may be made from characteristic gross and histologic lesions and confirmed with positive IHC labeling within the damaged small intestinal crypts and in the epithelial cells of the tongue; tongue can be useful for PCR, IHC, or FA testing in canines and felines if there is marked autolysis in the small intestine.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Enteric parvoviruses: feces, small intestine >> tongue, systemic lymphoid tissues. Serum can also be used but may not be helpful.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Most diagnostic laboratories can test for enteric parvoviruses; several inhouse diagnostic tests are also available for enteric parvovirus.

Treatment: Enteric parvoviruses: isolate infected animal; provide supportive care to treat dehydration and electrolyte imbalance; prevent secondary bacterial infections, especially in animals with leukopenia.

Prevention and control: Parvoviruses can survive for months in cool, moist areas protected from sunlight, and are very stable when frozen; can persist in feces for 6 months at room temperature and may remain viable in the natural environment for 9-12 months. Vaccination is the cornerstone of parvovirus prevention. In the absence of maternal antibody interference, a single modified live vaccine can confer protection within 3-5 days. Revaccination must be performed, especially in high risk situations such as shelters. Both inactivated-adjuvanted and modified live vaccines are available, although the use of modified live vaccines in non-domesticated animals may produce disease and is typically not recommended. Although, modified live vaccines against parvovirus were used in red wolves and produced titers for three years and no adverse reactions.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Parvovirus must be mechanically removed or can be killed by one of the few effective disinfectants. Disinfection using formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, potassium peroxymonosulfate (Trifectant or Virkon-S), or chlorine solutions, such as 0.1755% sodium hypochlorite solution; for bleach, 5% household bleach can be used but should be freshly diluted at **1:32** (1/2 cup per gallon). Foot baths can also be used with sodium hypochlorite solutions to prevent spread. Like all disinfectants, bleach must be used and stored correctly to be effective. Application should be only to pre-cleaned surfaces free of organic matter. Independent studies have shown that quaternary ammonium disinfectants do not reliably kill parvovirus.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Do not introduce non-infected animal to infected animal; non-infected animal should be vaccinated prior to exposure to previously infected animal whenever possible.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Adequate husbandry practices using an approved disinfectant; in many environments parvovirus may be endemic and it may be difficult to restore a disease-free environment. If the virus is present endemically in other wildlife vectors or in a captive setting, appropriate vaccination and disinfection may assist in controlling potential outbreaks. Quarantine of infected animals for a minimum of 30 days may also help to control an outbreak and restore a disease-free state. References below include information for less common parvoviruses.

Experts who may be consulted:

Patricia Pesavento, DVM, PhD

Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology

5323 Vet Med 3A School of Veterinary Medicine University of California Davis, CA 95616 (530) 752-1166 papesavento@ucdavis.edu

Department of Virology, Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory 4125 Beaumont Rd Lansing, Michigan 48910 517-353-1683

- 1. Abd-Eldaim M., Beall M, Kennedy M. Detection of feline panleukopenia virus using a commercial ELISA for canine parvovirus. Vet Ther. 2009;10: E1-6.
- 2. Alexandersen S. Pathogenesis of disease caused by Aleutian mink disease parvovirus. APMIS Suppl. 1990;14: 1-32.
- 3. Anderson K, Case A, Woodie K, Waddell W, Reed HH. Duration of immunity in red wolves (*Canis rufus*) following vaccination with a modified live parvovirus and canine distemper vaccine. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2014;45(3):550-4.
- 4. Appel M, Parrish CR. Canine Parvovirus type 2. In: M.J. Appel. (ed.). Virus infections of carnivores. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science; 1987. p. 69-92.
- 5. Barker IK, Parrish CR. Parvovirus infections. In: E. S. Williams and I.K. Barker (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals. 3rd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 131-146.
- 6. Burger D, Gorham JR. Mink virus enteritis. In: J.W. Davis, L.H. Karstad, and D.O. Trainer (eds.). Infectious diseases of wild mammals. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 1970. p. 76-84.
- 7. Demeter Z, Gal J, Palade EA, Rusvai M. Feline parvovirus infection in an Asian palm civet (*Paradoxurus hermaphroditus*). Vet Rec. 2009;164:213-216.
- 8. Duarte MD, Barros SC, Henriques M, Fernandes TL, Bernardino R, Monteiro M, Fevereiro M. Fatal infection with feline panleukopenia virus in two captive wild carnivores (*Panthera tigris* and *Panthera leo*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2009;40:354-359.
- 9. Eleraky NZ, Potgieter LN, Kennedy MA. Virucidal efficacy of four new disinfectants. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc. 2002;38:231-234.
- 10. Gjeltema J, Murphy H, Rivera S. Clinical canine parvovirus type 2C infection in a group of Asian small-clawed otters (*Aonyx cinerea*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2015;46(1):120-123.
- 11. Godard A, Leisewitz AL. Canine parvovirus. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract. 2010;40: 1041-1053.
- 12. Hoelzer K, Parrish CR. The emergence of parvoviruses of carnivores. Vet Res. 2010;41: 39.
- 13. Hurley K. Feline Panleukopenia [Internet]. UC. Davis Shelter Health Portal. [cited 2013 July 2]. Available from http://www.sheltermedicine.org/
- 14. Hurley K. Canine: parvovirus [Internet]. UC Davis Shelter Health Portal. [cited 2013 July 2]. Available from http://www.sheltermedicine.org/
- 15. McKnight CA, Maes RK, Wise AG, Kiupel M. Evaluation of tongue as a complementary sample for the diagnosis of parvoviral infection in dogs and cats. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2007;19: 409-13.
- 16. Pollock RVH. Experimental canine parvovirus infection in dogs. Cornell Vet. 1982;72: 103-119.
- 17. Pollock RVH, Parrish CR. Canine parvovirus. *In:* R.G. Olsen, S. Krakowa and J. R. Blakeslee (eds.). Comparative pathobiology of viral diseases. Boca Raton (FL); CRC Press; 1985. p. 145-177.
- 18. Sassa Y, Yamamoto H, Mochizuki M, Umemura T, Horiuchi M, Ishiguro N, Miyazawa T. Successive deaths of a captive snow leopard (*Uncia uncia*) and a serval (*Leptailurus serval*) by infection with feline

- panleukopenia virus at Sapporo Maruyama Zoo. J Vet Med Sci. 2011;73(4):491-494.
- 19. Scott FW. Virucidal disinfectants and feline viruses. Am J Vet Res. 1980;41: 410-414.
- 20. Uttenthal A, Lund E, Hansen M. Mink enteritis parvovirus. Stability of virus kept under outdoor conditions. APMIS. 1999;107:353-358.
- 21. Wasueru J, Schmiedeknecht G, Forster C, Konig M, Reinacher M. Parvovirus infection in a Eurasian lynx (*Lynx lynx*) and in a European wildcat (*Felis silvestris silvestris*). J Comp Pathol. 2009;140:203-207.
- 22. de Welchman D, Oxenham BM, Done SH. Aleutian disease in domestic ferrets: diagnostic findings and survey results. Vet Record. 1993;132:479-484.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **PASTEURELLOSIS**

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals Avian	Aerosol, mechanical via bite or scratch wounds, or environmental (food, water). Colonization of lungs by endogenous nasopharyngeal bacteria is described in ruminants and swine due to environmental stressors and/or primary infections due to viruses or <i>Mycoplasma</i> spp.	Primarily depression, fever, coughing, nasal and oral discharge, increased respiratory rates, tachypnea. Arthritis, gastrointestinal disease, otitis media, mastitis, bite wound abscesses and other signs are possible.	Variable. Ranges from subclinical to peracute and fatal.	Supportive care, early intervention with antibiotics, ideally based on antibiotic sensitivity. Drainage of localized abscesses. Organ specific treatment for systemic infections. Peracute systemic infections may be unresponsive.	Sanitation, quarantine, optimization of animal health and management, and minimization of environmental and social stressors. Vaccination for viral respiratory agents that can predispose to pasteurellosis. Some strains may be responsive to vaccination.	Yes, but rare

Fact Sheet compiled by: Glen C. Weiser, David S. Miller, and Susan M. Lindstedt

Sheet completed on: 1 March 2011; updated 1 October 2012, Jan 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Robert E. Briggs, James J. England, Jack C. Rhyan

Susceptible animal groups: Most notably ruminants and birds, but members of the Pasteurellaceae family can cause disease in many farm, companion and wild animals.

Causative organism:

Members of the Pasteurellaceae family. In ruminant pneumonia, mostly *Mannheimia haemolytica, Bibersteinia trehalosi, Histophilus somni*, and *Pasteurella multocida* are involved While each organism is capable of causing systemic and septicemic disease, prominently *P. multocida* in association with avian cholera or hemorrhagic septicemia. In some cases, the incidence of *M. haemolytica* may be underestimated due to proximity dependent inhibition by other organisms (Dassanayake et al., 2010; Bavananthasivam et al., 2012), although this has only been shown *in vitro*. It can be a primary infection, particularly in avians, or secondary to viral or *Mycoplasma* spp. infections and stress. Recent data from free ranging bighorn sheep suggest that *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae*, rather than Pasteurellaceae, may play a primary role in epizootic pneumonia and predispose to secondary Pasteurellaceae infection (Besser et al., 2012). In free ranging bighorn sheep, lambs appeared more susceptible to pasteurellosis than adults, and β-hemolytic isolates were more likely to be associated with respiratory disease in adults (Miller et al., 2012).

Zoonotic potential: Yes, but rare, primarily in severely immunocompromised individuals. Cat bite infections are more common.

Distribution: Ubiquitous

Incubation period: Various reports indicate 1-8 days, although some strains are carried asymptomatically for prolonged periods.

Clinical signs: Serous oculonasal discharge, cough, depression, anorexia, fever, pneumonia, tachypnea, dyspnea. Arthritis, otitis media, gastrointestinal disease, and other signs are possible, particularly with chronic and systemic infections. Localized abscesses in rabbits and cats due to bite wounds.

PASTEURELLOSIS

Postmortem, gross, or histologic findings: Highly variable, but lesions are most common in the thoracic cavity. Gross signs include pleural effusion, fibrinous adhesions, hemorrhage, necrosis, pulmonary consolidation, thickened interlobular septa, hydropericardium, multifocal liver lesions, and abscesses. Histopathologic lesions include hyperemia, pneumonitis, fibronopurulent bronchopneumonia, coagulative necrosis, and fibrinous pleuritis.

Diagnosis: Bacterial culture. PCR detection methods are available. Laboratories with specific expertise in pasteurellosis for disease investigations. Concurrent testing for respiratory viruses and *Mycoplasma* spp. is recommended.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Nasal and/or oropharyngeal swabs, tonsilar tissue, lung tissue, or other infected tissues.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Most veterinary diagnostic laboratories can complete analysis. In cases involving wildlife, labs with specific wildlife experience should be consulted. Wildlife Pasteurellaceae can differ from domestic animal isolates.

Treatment: Rapid quarantine of infected individuals, prompt administration of appropriate antibiotics (ceftiofur, oxytetracycline, penicillins, florfenicol, enrofloxacin, tilmicosin, azithromycin, or based on susceptibility testing), reduction of stressful environmental and social conditions, general supportive care. Drainage of abscesses or other therapy specific to the clinical presentation.

Prevention and control: Sound management practices (including minimization of stressors, nutritional and environmental control, and vaccination for viral respiratory agents), quarantine of affected animals that prevents fence line and close aerosol contact, quick treatment, or in advanced cases, euthanasia. Specific vaccination is practiced for septicemic disease, avian cholera, atrophic rhinitis, and bovine respiratory disease.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Thorough physical cleaning, chlorhexidine, bleach or other effective disinfectants.

Notification: None required.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Quarantine, do not introduce animals with recent or observed clinical disease. Optimize animal health prior to introduction with appropriate nutrition and similar measures, minimize environmental extremes, ensure social compatibility.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Absence of apparent respiratory disease. Persistent subclinical infections are difficult to determine.

Experts who may be consulted:

Any licensed veterinarian with appropriate experience or university animal extension specialists. Glen C. Weiser (Caine Veterinary Teaching Center, University of Idaho) may be able to provide reference laboratory support, also including *Mycoplasma* isolation and PCR detection of some species, and isolation and characterization of Pasteurellaceae. Thomas E. Besser (College of Veterinary Medicine, Washington State University) may be able to provide strain typing of *Mycoplasma* spp.

- 1. Adlam C, and Rutter JM (eds.). Pasteurella and Pasteurellosis. San Diego (CA): Academic Press, Inc; 1989. 341 p.
- 2. Bavananthasivam J, Dassanayake RP, Kugadas A, Shanthalingam S, Call DR, Knowles DP, Srikumaran S. Proximity-dependent inhibition of growth of *Mannheimia haemolytica* by *Pasteurella multocida*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78:6683-6688.
- 3. Besser TE, Highland MA, Baker K, Cassirer EF, Anderson NJ, Ramsey JM, Mansfield K, Bruning DL, Wolff P, Smith JB, Jenks JA. Causes of pneumonia epizootics among bighorn sheep, Western United States, 2008-2010. Emerg Infect Dis. 2012;18:406-414.

PASTEURELLOSIS

- 4. Blackall P, Nørskov-Lauritsen N. Pasteurellaceae the view from the diagnostic laboratory. In: Kuhnert P, Christensen H (eds.). Pasteurellaceae: Biology, Genomics and Molecular Aspects. Norfolk (UK): Caister Academic Press; 2008. p. 227-259.
- 5. Dassanayake RP, Call DR, Sawant AA, Casavant NC, Weiser GC, Knowles DP, Srikumaran S. *Bibersteinia trehalosi* inhibits the growth of *Mannheimia haemolytica* by a proximity-dependent mechanism. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010;76:1008-1013.
- 6. Franco KH, Pollock C [Internet]. Pasteurellosis in rabbits; 2010 [cited 2019 September 24]. Available from https://lafeber.com/vet/pasteurellosis-in-rabbits/
- 7. Friend M. Avian cholera. In: Friend M. (ed.). Field Guide to wildlife diseases. Washington (DC): Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service; 1987. p. 69-82.
- 8. Miller DS, Weiser GC, Ward ACS, Drew ML, Chapman PL. Pasteurellaceae isolated from bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis*) from Idaho, Oregon, and Wyoming. Amer J Vet Res. 2012;73:1024-1028.
- 9. Miller MW. Pasteurellosis. In: Williams ES, Barker IK (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals. 3rd ed. Ames (AI): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 330-349.
- 10. Ward ACS, Weiser G, Anderson BC, Cummings PJ, Arnold KF, Corbeil LB. *Haemophilus somnus* (*Histophilus somni*) in bighorn sheep. Can J Vet Res. 2006;70: 34-42.

PESTE des PETITS RUMINANTS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)					and Control	
Affected						
Goats,	Direct contact with	Fever, erosive	Can be	Supportive	Vaccination	No
sheep,	ocular, nasal, oral	stomatitis,	mild to	care;	w/ PPR	
small	secretions, urine and	conjunctivitis,	severe	antibiotics for	modified	
ruminants	feces of affected animals;	gastroenteritis,	with up to	secondary	live	
Taimmants	indirect via fomites is	pneumonia,	100%	bacterial	vaccine;	
	possible; virus fragile in	abortion	morbidity	infections,	Eradication	
	environment, so long-		and 20-	anthelmintics	from newly	
	distance transmission is		100%	for parasitic	infected	
	unlikely		mortality	complications	areas	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Andrea Goodnight

Sheet completed on: 4 June 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Douglas P. Whiteside

Susceptible animal groups: Goats – most susceptible and most severely affected (80-100% morbidity and mortality); sheep, small ruminants – less severe disease. White-tailed deer are susceptible experimentally. A few outbreaks in camels and water buffalo. Isolated clinical cases reported in: gazelles (Dorcas, Thomson's, Rheem, Arabian), bushbuck impala, springbuck, gemsbok, bharal. Sindh ibex, bezoar ibex, Afghan Markhor goat, Nubian ibex, Barbary sheep, Laristan sheep, and Indian buffalo. Cattle, pigs – subclinical infection (dead-end hosts).

Causative organism: Peste des petits ruminants virus (Genus *Morbillivirus*, family *Paramyxoviridae*). Four lineages recognized.

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: Historically sub-Saharan Africa, north of the equator, more recently cases spreading, including into North Africa; Middle East (Turkey, Iraq, Iran, Pakistan, Afghanistan) southern Asia including India, Bangladesh and Vietnam; moving into Nepal and China as well.

Incubation period: 2-10 days (typically 3-6 days for clinical signs to appear)

Clinical signs: Acute (most common): High fever (40-41°C); crusting lip scabs, nasal discharge – serous to mucopurulent, eventually occludes nares; purulent ocular discharge; conjunctivitis – profuse catarrhal discharge matting palpebrae closed; necrotizing stomatitis – including lips, gingiva, dental pad, hard palate, cheeks, anterior tongue; gray necrotic foci over shallow erosions; profuse, non-hemorrhagic diarrhea; bronchopneumonia with dyspnea; anorexia, dehydration, emaciation; abortion; death in 5-10 days; long convalescence in survivors

<u>Peracute mortality</u> – Frequent in goats; high fever, severe depression, death <u>Subacute and chronic</u> – Pneumonia, inconsistent signs (develops over 10-15 days)

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Gross:</u> Erosions (not ulcerations) – inside of lower lip including gingiva, cheeks near commissures, tongue, hard palate, pharynx, upper 1/3 of esophagus; abomasum and small intestine – moderate erosions; Peyer's patches – extensive necrosis; large intestine has most severe lesions – extensive congestion ("zebra stripes") on mucosal folds of colon and rectum; pneumonia; generalized lymphadenopathy

<u>Histologic:</u> Degeneration, necrosis of epithelial cells of mucous membranes; eosinophilic intracytoplasmic and intranuclear inclusions in epithelial cells; lymphoid cell depletion in Peyer's patches; necrotic/hemorrhagic enteritis; bronchointerstitial pneumonia; eosinophilic intracytoplasmic and intranuclear inclusions in giant cells and alveolar macrophages

PESTE des PETITS RUMINANTS

Diagnosis: Clinical signs are only presumptive so must have laboratory confirmation, especially to differentiate from rinderpest, although rinderpest is considered eradicated worldwide

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Virus neutralization: serum (10 mL)

Virus isolation or PCR (RT-PCR): whole blood in EDTA or heparin (10 mL), tissue (bronchial or mesenteric

lymph nodes, tonsil, spleen, lung, intestinal mucosa)

Virus isolation: nasal, ocular, oral, fecal swabs Ship samples fresh on ice within 12 hours Histopathology on formalin fixed tissue

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

National Veterinary Services Laboratories (NVSL)

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory (FADDL), Plum Island, NY

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/diagnos_tests.shtml

FAO Reference Laboratory for PPR

CIRAD-EMVT Campus International de Baillarguet Montferrier-sur-Lez

BP 5034 34032 Montpellier Cedex 1 France

diallo@cirad.fr

Treatment: Supportive care, antibiotics for secondary infections, anthelmintics for parasitic complications; PPR hyperimmune bovine serum may decrease severity of clinical signs if given early in course of disease; quarantine infected animals; surviving animals – have circulating neutralizing antibodies up to 4 years post infection with likely life-long immunity

Prevention and control: Eradication – quarantine and slaughter, proper carcass disposal (incineration or burial); decontamination of housing facilities; proper disposal of contact fomites; restrict importation of sheep and goats from endemic areas. Vaccination, if approved by the government, strategically or for high risk population, with a homologous PPR vaccine – is protective for 3 years

No current evidence of virus circulation in wild ruminants, unless introduced from domestic sheep and goats.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: PPR virus killed by most common disinfectants. UV light and desiccation likely inactivate the virus within 3-4 days. Virus survives long periods in chilled or frozen tissues.

Notification: In the US and Canada, this is a foreign animal disease which must be reported to state or federal veterinarian

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: In the US and Canada, this is a foreign animal disease which must be reported to state or federal veterinarian.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: It is not recommended to introduce new animals to infected animals; however, vaccination of introduced animals is recommended if must introduce.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Eradication of infected flock and decontamination of facility.

Experts who may be consulted:

Jeremiah T. Saliki, DVM, PhD

College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia

Athens, GA, 30602 jsaliki@vet.uga.edu

Peter Wohlsein, Dr. Med. Vet. School of Veterinary Medicine

Hannover, Germany

Peter.Wohlsein@tiho-hannover.de

PESTE des PETITS RUMINANTS

- 1. World Organization for Animal Health [Internet]. Peste des Petits Ruminants; 2013 [cited 2018 Jun 5]. Available from
 - http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Animal_Health_in_the_World/docs/pdf/Disease_cards/PESTE_DES_PETITS_RUMINANTS.pdf
- 2. Balamurugan V, Hemadri D, Gajendragad MR, Singh RK, Rahman H. Diagnosis and control of peste des petits ruminants: a comprehensive review. Virusdis. 2014;35:39-56
- 3. Abu Elzein EME, Housawi FMT, Bashareek Y, Gameel AA, Al-Afaleq AI, Anderson E. Severe PPR infection in gazelles kept under semi-free range conditions. J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health. 2004;51:68-71.
- 4. Cebra C, Cebra, M. Peste des Petits Ruminants (Pseudorinderpest). In: Pugh D, Baird N (eds). Sheep and Goat Medicine, 1st ed. Philadelphia (PA): Saunders; 2002. p. 384-685.
- 5. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Inspection Service [Internet]. Disease Response Strategy, Peste des Petits Ruminants; 2013 [cited 2018 Jun 5]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/emergency_management/downloads/ppr_disease_strategy.pdf.
- 6. Kinne J, Kreutzer R., Kreutzer M, Wernery U, Wohlsein P. Peste des petits ruminants in Arabian wildlife. Epidemiol Infect. 2010;138:1211-1214.
- 7. Munir M, Abubakar M, Zohari S, Berg, M. Serodiagnosis of Peste des petits ruminants virus. In: Al-Moslih M (ed.). Serological Diagnosis of Certain Human, Animal and Plant Diseases. IntechOpen [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2018 Jun 5]. Available from http://www.intechopen.com/books/serological-diagnosis-of-certain-human-animal-and-plant-diseases/serodiagnosis-of-peste-des-petits-ruminants-virus
- 8. The Center for Food Security and Public Health and Institute for International Cooperation in Animal Biologics, Iowa State University College of Veterinary Medicine [Internet]. Peste des Petits Ruminants; c2008-2015 [cited 2018 Jun 5]. Available from www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/peste_des_petits_ruminants.pdf
- 9. Roeder PL, Obi TU. Recognizing Peste des Petits Ruminants: A field manual. Rome (Italy): Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 1999. [cited 2018 Jun 5]. Available from http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/x1703e/x1703e00.htm
- 10. Saliki JT, Wohlsein P. Peste des petits ruminants [Internet]. US Animal Health Association, Committee on Foreign Animal Disease. Foreign animal diseases: the gray book. Ed 7. Part III, chap 36. Richmond, Virginia: US Animal Health Assoc, 2008:357-64. [cited 2018 Jun 5]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/emergency_response/downloads/nahems/fad.pdf
- 11. Santhamani R, Singh RP, Njeumi, F. Peste des petits ruminants diagnosis and diagnostic tools at a glance: perspectives on global control and eradication. Arch Virol. 2016;161:2953-2967

PHOCINE DISTEMPER VIRUS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Phocids, primarily harbor seals, but also gray seals. Virus isolated from northern sea otters.	Primarily aerosolization of respiratory secretions, but also possible via fecal, urinary, and ocular secretions via direct or indirect transmission.	Lethargy, fever, coughing, dyspnea, oculonasal discharge, conjunctivitis, keratitis, diarrhea, generalized body tremors and spasms, neurologic signs, increased buoyancy, abortion, inability to dive.	Acute to subacute. Mortality is high in susceptible populations.	Supportive, treat secondary infections.	Vaccination with MLV or killed canine distemper (CD) vaccine or subunit CD vaccine. Vaccination of free-ranging pinnipeds is controversial and difficult to implement effectively. Virus is enzootic in arctic seals.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Laurie J. Gage

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011, updated 19 March 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Ariana Finkelstein, Kimberly Rainwater

Susceptible animal groups: Phocids and possibly northern sea otters.

Causative organism: Morbillivirus –Phocine Distemper Virus (PDV)

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: North Sea, North America (Atlantic coast and North Pacific Ocean)

Incubation period: 5 to 12 days

Clinical signs: Variable body condition, lethargy, fever, coughing, dyspnea, oculonasal discharge, conjunctivitis, keratitis, diarrhea, neurologic signs, increased buoyancy, abortion, inability to dive.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Bronchointerstitial pneumonia, interstitial and purulent pneumonia, alveolar and interstitial emphysema, alveolitis, generalized lymphodepletion. Less common findings are non-suppurative encephalitis and eosinophilic intracytoplasmic and intranuclear viral inclusion bodies in the brain and predominantly eosinophilic intracytoplasmic inclusion bodies in various organs including lungs, liver, kidneys, pancreas, intestine, and brain. Lymphoid depletion is marked in acute infection. In two pinniped cases of morbilliviral dermatitis, syncytia and eosinophilic intracytoplasmic inclusions were prominent in the epidermis, follicular epithelium, and sebaceous glands.

Diagnosis: Presence of characteristic histopathological lesions, immunohistochemistry, PCR, RT-PCR, ELISA. Paired serum samples with increasing antibody titer.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Fresh or fixed tissue, serum

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: University of Georgia Marine Mammal Diagnostics (Saliki)

Treatment: Supportive

PHOCINE DISTEMPER VIRUS

Prevention and control: Vaccination with canine distemper vaccine. Vaccination with a subunit vaccine is practiced in European rescue centers and appears to be protective.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: No special requirements/standard disinfection protocol

Notification: Not required

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None required

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: No special requirements

Experts who may be consulted: Jeremiah T. Saliki (jsaliki@uga.edu)

- 1. Duignan, P.J., O. Nielsen, C. House, K.M. Kovacs, N. Duffy, G. Early, S. Sadove, D.J. St. Aubin, B.K. Rima, and J.R. Geraci. 1997. Epizootiology of morbillivirus infection in harp, hooded, and ringed seals from the Canadian Arctic and western Atlantic. J. Wildl. Dis. 33: 7-19.
- 2. Duignan, P.J., S. Sadove, J.T. Saliki, and J.R. Geraci. 1993. Phocine distemper in harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) from Long Island, New York. J. Wildl. Dis. 29: 465-469.
- 3. Duignan, P.J., J. T. Saliki, D.J. St. Aubin, G. Early, S. Sadove, J.A. House, K. Kovacs, and J.R. Geraci. 1995. Epizootiology of morbillivirus infection in North American harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) and gray seals (*Halichoerus grypus*). J. Wildl. Dis. 31: 491-501.
- 4. Goldstein, T., J.A. Mazet, V.A. Gill, A.M. Doroff, K.A. Burek, and J.A. Hammond. 2009. Phocine distemper virus in northern sea otters in the Pacific Ocean, Alaska, USA. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 15: 925-927.
- 5. Grant, R.J., A.C. Banyard, T. Barrett, J.T. Saliki, and C.H. Romero. 2009. Real-time RT PCR assays for the rapid and differential detection of dolphin and porpoise morbilliviruses. J. Virol. Meth. 156: 117-123.
- 6. Härkönen, T., R. Dietz, P. Reijnders, J. Teilmann, K. Harding, A. Hall, S. Brasseur, U. Siebert, S.J. Goodmand, P.D. Jepson, T. Dau Rasmussen, and P. Thompson. 2006. The 1988 and 2002 phocine distemper virus epidemics in European harbour seals. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 68: 115-130.
- 7. Kennedy, S. 1998. Morbillivirus infections in aquatic mammals. J Comp Pathol. 119(3):201-25.
- 8. Lawson B, and P.D. Jepson (eds.). (2004) UK phocine distemper virus epizootic: investigation report 2002/2003. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, London.
- 9. Phillip Earle, J.A., M.M. Melia, N.V. Doherty, O. Nielsen, and S. L. Cosby. 2011. Phocine distemper virus in seals, east coast, United States, 2006. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 17: 215-220.
- 10. Saliki, J.T., E.J. Cooper, and J.P. Gustavson. 2002. Emerging morbillivirus infections of marine mammals: development of two diagnostics approaches. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 969(51): 59.
- 11. Stanton, J.B., C.C. Brown, S. Poet, T.P. Lipscomb, J. Saliki, and S. Frasca, Jr. 2004. Retrospective differentiation of canine distemper virus and phocine distemper virus in phocids. J. Wildl. Dis. 40: 53-59.
- 12. Taubenberger JK, M.M Tsai, T.J. Atkin, T.G. Fanning, A.E. Krafft, R.B. Moeller, S.E. Kodsi, M.G. Mense, and T.P. Lipscomb. (2000). Molecular genetic evidence of a novel morbillivirus in a long-finned pilot whale (Globicephalus melas). Emerg Infect Dis. 6(1):42-5.
- 13. Zarnke, R.L., J.T. Saliki, A.P. Macmillan, S.D. Brew, C.E. Dawson, J.M. Ver Hoef, K.J. Frost, and R.J. Small. 2006. Serologic survey for *Brucella* spp., phocid herpesvirus-1, phocid herpesvirus-2, and phocine distemper virus in harbor seals from Alaska, 1976–1999. J. Wildl. Dis. 42: 290-300.

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Affected					Control	
Reptiles, birds – especially penguins, and some mammals, including non-human primates and humans	Mosquitoes of different genera; in reptiles, also other biting flies	Lethargy; anorexia; minor to severe anemia; neurologic signs; paralysis	Typically of low virulence in adapted hosts; mild to severe – possibly fatal – disease in non-adapted hosts	Various anti- malarial drugs can be used but are unlikely to eliminate infection at tissue stage; resulting in relapses of parasitemia	Vector control and mosquito proof enclosures	Generally no; in endemic areas, primates can act as a reservoir for humans

Fact Sheet compiled by: Dennilyn Parker; updated by Gediminas Valkiûnas

Sheet completed on: 7 June 2011; updated 3 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sam Telford Jr.; Arnaud Van Wettere

Susceptible animal groups: The parasite is reported in birds of the majority of avian orders. Species that have been relocated from habitats without vector or parasite, or in areas where the vector or parasite have been introduced are especially vulnerable, e.g. penguins, other captive Arctic or Antarctic species, species from Hawaii or other islands.

Infection of mammals is most common in tropical countries; diversity is greatest in Africa, where *Plasmodium* parasites have been reported in primates, rodents, ungulates and bats. One report in wild and captive capybaras in South America has been documented. Humans and non-human primates are infected mainly in tropical Africa, Asia and South America.

Reptiles have been seen infected mainly in tropical countries – primarily lizards, some snakes, and reported anecdotally in tortoises, but has not been reported in turtles or crocodiles.

Causative organism: *Plasmodium* spp. (Plasmodiidae, Haemosporida) >200 species.

Zoonotic potential: No zoonotic risk exists from avian or reptilian species. Although no evidence of zoonotic risk from non-human primate species, primates can carry the same species that infect humans, so reservoir exists.

Distribution: Worldwide, except Antarctica due to absence of mosquitoes and low temperature.

Incubation period: Avian – usually 5-7 days.

Clinical signs: Cases in most species of adapted hosts are often of low virulence. Importantly, the same lineages of *Plasmodium* sp. cause diseases of markedly different severity in different avian hosts that should be taken in consideration in conservation projects. Susceptible non-adapted avian species (e.g. penguins and some endemic Hawaiian birds) present lethargy, dyspnea, anorexia, vomiting, ruffled feathers, anemia where hematocrits may fall by more than 50% and regenerative hemolytic anemia is observed. Biliverdinuria may occur. Partial or total paralysis and convulsions can present terminally.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Avian</u>: Blood and reticuloendothelial system – hemolysis, splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, and pulmonary edema. Macrophages, lymphocytes and plasma infiltrate in liver and spleen. Exoerythrocytic meronts in endothelial cells with possible blockage of brain and lung capillaries. Hemozoin pigment in Kupffer cells and splenic macrophages.

<u>Primates</u>: virulence of different species and strains markedly vary in different hosts. Macroscopic pathology of the brain and endocardium might show hemorrhages, and the liver and spleen often are enlarged.

Microscopic pathology usually shows sequestration of pigmented parasitized red blood cells in the vessels of the cerebrum, cerebellum, heart, kidney and other organs. The spleen and liver contains abundant pigment containing macrophages and parasitized red blood cells. During acute infections, the kidney often has evidence of tubular necrosis.

Diagnosis: Identification of intracellular red blood cell parasite on a smear, but difficult to detect low intensity chronic infections by microscopy; gold standard – Giemsa stained blood smear – erythrocytic meronts and gametocytes with pigment granules. PCR is more sensitive but may still not identify low level parasitemias and often does not read co-infections; small subunit ribosomal ribonucleic acid and mitochondrial cytochrome b genes are definitive targets for malarial parasite ID and used to determine genetic relationships. Immunoblotting can be used to ID antibiodies to *Plasmodium* but only to the level of parasite genus. ELISA available for *P. relictum* in penguins.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Giemsa stained blood films (microscopy) and whole blood or tissue (i.e. liver and/or spleen) (PCR) are most often used.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any laboratory performing complete blood counts is capable of diagnosis *Plasmodium* spp. on blood smears. DNA testing is not widely performed commercially at this time, but is available in many research laboratories that manage wildlife parasites.

Treatment:

<u>Avian</u> – Chloroquine phosphate, primaquine phosphate, pyrimethamine-sulfadoxine combinations, mefloquine, and atovaquone - proguanil hydrochloride – canaries, penguins, raptors and wild passerines. Sulfamonomethoxine – suppresses parasitemia but does not protect from mortality if given after circulating parasites are present, sulfachloropyrazine – reduces mortality but has no effect of parasitemia. Halofuginone – delays parasitiemia but only minor suppression of it – turkeys. Mefloquine, and atovaquone - proguanil hydrochloride are highly efficient for blood stages, but does not affect exoerythrocytic (tissue) stages. Primates - drugs which are used for human malaria treatment can be used for treatment of malaria in primates (chloroquine phosphate, quinine sulfate plus doxycycline or malarone, and other drugs).

Prevention and control: Housing susceptible species indoors. Vector (mosquito) control. Prophylactic treatment of highly susceptible species can be considered. Vaccines development is under trial. Preventive treatment for primates has not been used extensively.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Disinfection is not appropriate for this disease.

Notification: None.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Isolate infected animals with vector control to prevent spread to susceptible animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Difficult or impossible as wildlife acts as a reservoir.

Experts who may be consulted:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Center for Global Health, Division of Parasitic Diseases and Malaria

1600 Clifton Road

Mailstop A-06

Atlanta, Georgia 30333

770-488-7788 or 855-856-4713 (toll-free)

Fax: 404-718-4815 malaria@cdc.gov www.cdc.gov/malaria

- 1. Atkinson, C.T. 2008. Avian malaria. *In*: Atkinson, C.T., N.J. Thomas, and D. B. Hunter (eds). Parasitic Diseases of Wild Birds, 1st ed. Wiley-Blackwell., Ames, Iowa. Pp. 35-53
- 2. Grim, K.C., E. Van der Merwe, M. Sullivan, N. Parsons, T. F. McCutchan, and M. Cranfield. 2003. *Plasmodium juxtanucleare* associated with mortality in black-footed penguins (*Spheniscus demersus*) admitted to a rehabilitation center. J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 34: 250-255.
- 3. Grim, K.C., T. McCutchan, J. Li, M. Sullivan, T.K. Graczyk, G. McConkey, and M. Cranfield. 2004. Preliminary results of an anticircum sporozoite DNA vaccine trial for protection against avian malaria in captive African black-footed penguins (*Spheniscus demersus*). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 35: 154-161.
- 4. Palinauskas, V., G. Valkiūnas, A. Križanauskienė, S. Bensch, and C.V. Bolshakov. 2009. *Plasmodium relictum* (lineage P-SGS1): further observation of effects on experimentally infected passeriform birds, with remarks on treatment with MalaroneTM. Exp. Parasitol. 123 (2): 134 -139.
- 5. Telford, Jr., S.R. 2009. Hemoparasites of the Reptilia. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida. 198 pp.
- 6. Valkiūnas, G. 2005. Avian malaria parasites and other haemosporidia. Boca Raton, Florida. 946 pp.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **PNEUMOCOCCOSIS**

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected		8				
Primates, including humans; multiple domestic and lab mammal species; dolphins	Aerosol; direct contact	Primarily respiratory, neurologic, and septic	Asymptomatic to severe	Antibiotics and symptomatic treatment	Vaccine is available for humans. No vaccines have been used routinely for animals. Prevent contact with sick animals or people.	Theoretical

Fact Sheet compiled by: Ellen Wiedner

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011; updated 18 September 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Jim Wellehan; Ramiro Isaza; Steve Unwin

Susceptible animal groups: Humans, multiple non-human primate species (both wild and captive), dogs, cats, rats, mice, guinea pigs, cattle, horses, dolphins.

Causative organism: *Streptococcus pneumonia* is an alpha-hemolytic strep (encapsulated, facultative anaerobe, optochin sensitive and bile soluble) with more than 90 serotypes

Zoonotic potential: This risk is theoretical but unproven. Animals have developed disease both from human serotypes as well as animal-specific serotypes. One report documented in a human included several clinically ill house pets with *S. pneumoniae*. Suspected reverse zoonosis from a keeper to zoo chimpanzees have occurred.

Distribution: Worldwide.

Incubation period: Carrier status confirmed. With asymptomatic but infective carriers, it is unknown in animals how long carrier state lasts. Clinical disease can occur within 96 hours of exposure.

Clinical signs: Pneumonia, meningitis, sepsis, conjunctivitis, sinusitis, otitis media, other respiratory disease, polyarthritis, endocarditis, pericarditis, and sudden death. Clinical disease often more severe with a viral co-infection.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Fibrinous bronchopneumonia, pericarditis, necrotizing cerebral vasculitis.

Diagnosis: Bacterial identification of isolates using DNA sequencing, latex agglutination tests and others. Positive Gram staining of respiratory samples with lancet-shaped diplococci. Serotyping recommended.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Respiratory secretions, CSF, or blood. If immediate (< 1hr) transport to laboratory is not possible, samples should be inoculated into growth media and kept cool. The laboratory should be consulted first about appropriate media.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any laboratory that performs cultures and sensitivities on a routine basis can complete testing for this organism. PCR testing can be found at many major commercial and veterinary diagnostic laboratories.

Streptococcus Laboratory

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Rd

PNEUMOCOCCOSIS

Atlanta, GA 30333 404-639-1237

Treatment: Historically, penicillins were recommended. However, severe multidrug resistance reported in many serotypes to beta-lactam, fluoroquinolone and macrolide antibiotics so culture and sensitivity should be collected and submitted prior to treatment.

Prevention and control: Vaccination programs for humans have decreased rates of *S. pneumoniae* severe and fatal disease cases. No vaccines have been tested in animal species. Prevention of contact with infected animals and good hygiene is recommended in zoos and similar animal facilities. If vaccination considered, bacterial typing required before vaccination to confirm polyvalent vaccine applicable.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: The bacteria is susceptible to many disinfectants: 70% ethanol, 2% glutaradehyde, 1% sodium hypochlorite and others. However, it can live in sputum at room temperature for one week and in dust particles for up to 25 days.

Notification: The disease is reportable nationally. CDC and several states currently conducting surveillance of resistant strains.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None at this time.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Do not introduce new animals to an infected animal.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: In human nursing homes, vaccination and treatment of close contacts with prophylactic antibiotics is done. However, no studies on this approach have been documented in animals.

Experts who may be consulted:

Streptococcus Laboratory Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1600 Clifton Rd, Atlanta, GA 30333 404-639-1237

Fabian Leendertz
Robert Koch Institute
Postbox: 650280
D-13302 Berlin, Germany
Nordufer 20, 13353
Berlin, Germany
+49 (0)30 - 18754-2592
leendertzf@rki.de

- 1. Adam, D. 2009. Issues in pneumococcal disease and pneumococcal conjugate vaccines: Highlights of the 27th meeting of ESPID, Brussels, Belgium, June 9–13, 2009. Vaccine 27: 7133-7137.
- 2. Chi, F., M. Leider, F. Leendertz, C. Bergmann, C. Boesch, S. Schenk, G. Pauli, H. Ellerbrok, and R. Hakenbeck. 2007. New *Streptococcus pneumoniae* clones in deceased wild chimpanzees. J. Bact. 189(16): 6085-6088.
- 3. Dagan, R. 2009. New insights on pneumococcal disease: what we have learned over the past decade. Vaccine 275: C3-C5.
- 4. GAO. 2004. Emerging infectious diseases: Review of state and federal disease surveillance efforts. U. S. G. A. Office. http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d04877.pdf. Accessed 23 July 2013.
- 5. Haber, M., A. Barskey, W. Baughman, L. Barker, C.G. Whitney, K.M. Shaw, W. Orenstein, and D.S. Stephens. 2007. Herd immunity and pneumococcal conjugate vaccine: a quantitative model.

PNEUMOCOCCOSIS

- Vaccine 25: 5390-5398.
- 6. Hicks, L. A., D. L. Monnet, and R.M. Roberts. 2010. Increase in *Pneumococcus* macrolide resistance, USA. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 16(5): 896-897
- 7. Jenkins, T. C., J. Sakai, B.C. Knepper, C.J. Swartwood, J.S. Haukoos, L.A. Long, C.S. Price, and W.J. Burman. 2012. Risk factors for drug-resistant *Streptococcus pneumonia* and antibiotic prescribing practices in outpatient community-acquired pneumonia. Acad. Emerg. Med. 19(6): 703-706.
- 8. McCullers, J. A., J. L. McAuley, S. Browall, A.R. Iverson, K.L. Boyd, and B. Henriques Normark. 2010. Influenza enhances susceptibility to natural acquisition of and disease due to *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in ferrets. J. Inf. Dis. 202(8): 1287-1295.
- 9. Office of Laboratory Security Public Health Agency of Canada (2011) *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS). DOI:http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/lab-bio/res/psds-ftss/streptococcus-pneumoniae-eng.php. Accessed 23 July 2013.
- 10. Reinert, R. R., M. R. Jacobs, and S.L. Kaplan. 2010. Pneumococcal disease caused by serotype 19A: review of the literature and implications for future vaccine development. Vaccine 28: 4249-4259.
- 11. Solleveld, H.A., M.J. van Zwieten, P.J Heidt, and P.M. van Eerd. 1984. Clinicopathologic study of six cases of meningitis and meningoencephalitis in chimpanzees. Lab. Anim. Sci. 34(1): 86-90.
- 12. Unwin, S., J. Chatterton, and J. Chantrey. 2013. Management of severe respiratory tract disease caused by human respiratory syncytial virus and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in captive chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 44(1): 105-115.
- 13. Van der Linden, M., A. Al-Lahham, W. Nicklas, and R. R. Reinert. 2009. Molecular Characterization of Pneumococcal Isolates from Pets and Laboratory Animals. PLoS ONE 4. http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0008286. Accessed 23 July 2013.

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected		2-8				
Humans, non-human primates, and numerous mammalian species, especially immune- compromised individuals.	Aerosol transmission, environmental exposure, or direct contact with infected individuals.	Dyspnea, dry cough, cyanosis, pyrexia, weight loss.	Can be fatal in immuno-compromised individuals.	Trimethoprim -sulfa methoxazole (TMP-SMX) is the drug of choice.	Prophylactic treatment with TMP-SMX.	No as human strain is believed to be host-specific.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Brenda Tesini and Zachary Hoy

Sheet completed on: 3 June 2011; updated 10 September 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Francis Gigliotti; Remo Lobetti

Susceptible animal groups: Humans, primates and numerous mammalian species. The organism is presumed to be ubiquitous in the environment. Serological evidence shows that most healthy children have had exposure to the organism by 4 years of age. Studies screening numerous zoological, wildlife and laboratory mammalian species have also shown a high prevalence of exposure to the organism. The organism proliferates in the lungs of host species with compromised immune systems. Studies have found an absence of the organism in animals with body temperatures below 35°C and above 41°C. Studies conducted in birds, reptiles, amphibians and fish have not identified the organism.

Causative organism: Human derived: *Pneumocystis jirovecii* (formally known as *P. carinii*). Multiple other mammalian host-specific species exist. For example, *P. carinii* in the rat and *P. murina* in the mouse. The organism was previously thought to be a protozoan, but in 1988, through DNA analysis, it was determined to be a yeast-like fungus. It is unusual when compared to other fungi in that the cell membrane lacks ergosterol and currently is unable to be grown in culture. Genomic and phenotypic differences exist between the organisms that infect different mammalian species indicating that the organisms are host-species specific.

Zoonotic potential: *Pneumocystis* organisms infecting each mammalian species are host specific. No animal reservoir for *P. jiroveci* has been identified and no animal strains have been identified as human pathogens.

Distribution: Worldwide in humans and animals.

Incubation period: 3 to 12 weeks; but unclear if this includes carriage time in healthy individuals as compared to immunocompromised hosts.

Clinical signs: Immunocompetent individuals are most often asymptomatic. Immunodeficient individuals develop *Pneumocystis* pneumonia (PcP), a chronic progressive pneumonia. The most common clinical signs include dyspnea, an unproductive cough, cyanosis, pyrexia, and weight loss. Severe cases can lead to respiratory failure and death. Extrapulmonary lesions occur in a minority (<3%) of patients, involving most frequently the lymph nodes, spleen, liver, and bone marrow. The organisms reside in the alveoli and stimulate both a humoral and cellular immune response. The host's inflammatory response leads to alveolar damage, impaired gas exchange and decreased respiratory function which results in the common clinical signs of this disease.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Lungs show evidence of interstitial pneumonia. Grossly, the lungs will be edematous and heavy. They will have a pale gray or tan granular, firm, consolidated cut surface.

PNEUMOCYSTIS

Histological examination of lung tissue often reveals a foamy eosinophilic exudate within the alveolar spaces and interstital fibrosis of the alveolar septa. Basophilic dots within the exudate represent the *Pneumocystis* cysts. With special stains, the cysts can be identified as ovoid bodies. Additional stains can also be used to identify isolated trophozoites. The organism can be specifically identified using immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescense and PCR assay. Studies have also identified the organism in a large percentage of asymptomatic infants on post-mortem.

Diagnosis: Specific diagnosis is by the recovery and identification of the organism in samples obtained through trans-tracheal aspirate (TTA), bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), induced sputum or lung tissue obtained through biopsy or necropsy. Identification of the organism via PCR assay, immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence, or special stains that stain the cyst wall of the organism (Gomori's methanamine silver (GMS), toluidine blue O) or those that stain the nuclei of the trophozoites and sporozoites (Geimsa, Wright, Diff-Quick, polychrome methylene blue, and Gram's stain).

Material required for laboratory analysis: Bronchopulmonary secretions obtained via TTA, BAL or induced sputum. Lung tissue obtained via biopsy or necropsy.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Laboratories with the capability to perform nested PCR assay are used to identify the organism. Immunohistochemical methods require the host species-specific monoclonal antibody used to identify the organism to avoid false negative results. Identification of the organism using special stains requires reviewer expertise.

Treatment: Since the organism lacks ergosterol, common anti-fungal treatments are not effective. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) is the drug of choice for both the treatment of infection and prophylaxis. Alternative drugs used for the treatment of infection include pentamidine, trimethoprim plus dapsone, atovaquone and primaquine plus clindamycin. Alternative drugs used for prophylaxis include dapsone, dapsone plus pyrimethamine, pentamidine and atovaquone. Recurrence is common if the immunosuppressive condition of the host persists.

Prevention and control: Avoidance of the organism is impractical since the natural reservoir is unknown and the organism is presumed to be ubiquitous in the environment. TMP-SMX or other chemoprophylaxis can be used as a preventative treatment in susceptible individuals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: A study found the following chemical disinfectants to be effective in the inactivation of *Pneumosytis* cysts: 70% ethyl alcohol, 10% iodoform, 1% quaternary ammonium salts, 3% hydrogen peroxide, sodium chlorite and 1% cresol soap.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Prevent exposure of healthy animals to animals exhibiting clinical signs of pneumocytosis.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: This approach may not be possible since a large percentage of humans and other mammalian species harbor this organism while remaining asymptomatic. Testing can be used to screen individuals for the presence of the organism. Serological screening is not effective since a large percentage of humans and other mammalian species are shown to have had exposure to the organism. Sterilization of any air filters in the area of the outbreak is an important measure to reduce the number of cysts in the environment. Disinfecting the area of the outbreak with appropriate disinfectants will help to inactivate any remaining cysts.

Experts who may be consulted:

Brenda L Tesini, MD

University of Rochester Medical Center

Department of Pediatrics (Infectious Diseases)

PNEUMOCYSTIS

Rochester, New York, USA Brenda_Tesini@URMC.Rochester.edu

Zachary Hoy, MD University of Rochester Medical Center Department of Pediatrics (Infectious Diseases) Rochester, New York, USA Zachary_hoy@urmc.rochester.edu

Francis Gigliotti, MD University of Rochester Medical Center Department of Pediatrics (Infectious Diseases) Rochester, New York, USA Francis_Gigliotti@URMC.Rochester.edu

- 1. http://dpd.cdc.gov/dpdx/HTML/pneumocystis.htm Accessed 16 September 2013.
- 2. Armstrong, M.Y.K., and M.T. Cushion. 1994. Animal models. *In*: Walzer, P.D. (ed.) *Pneumocystis carinii* Pneumonia, 2nd ed. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, New York. Pp. 181-203.
- 3. Cailliex, J.C, N. Seguy, C.M. Denis, E.M. Aliouat, E. Mazars, L. Polonelli, D. Camus, and E. Dei-Cas. 1996. *Pneumocystis carinii*: an atypical fungal micro-organism. J. Med. Vet. Mycol. 34(4): 227-239.
- 4. Dei-Cas, E., M. Brun-Pascaud, V. Bille-Hansen, A. Allaert, and E.M. Aliouat. 1998. Animal models of pneumocystosis. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 22(1-2): 163-168.
- 5. Demanche, C., M. Berthelemy, T. Petit, B. Polack, A.E. Wakefield, E. Dei-Cas, and J. Guillot. 2001. Phylogeny of *Pneumocystis carinii* from 18 primate species confirms host specificity and suggests coevolution. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39(6): 2126-2133.
- 6. Edman, J.C., J.A. Kovacs, H. Masur, D.V. Santi, H.J. Elwood, and M.L. Sogin. 1988. Ribosomal RNA sequence shows *Pneumocystis carinii* to be a member of the fungi. Nature. 334(6182): 519-522.
- 7. Haque A.K., and P.A. Adegboyega. 2008. *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia. *In*: Tomashefski, J.F. (ed.). Dail and Hammar's Pulmonary Pathology: Volume I: Nonneoplastic lung disease. 3rd ed. Springer, New York, New York. Pp. 487-514.
- 8. Joffrion, T.M., and M.T. Cushion. 2010. Sterol biosynthesis and sterol uptake in the fungal pathogen *Pneumocystis carinii*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 311(1): 1-9.
- 9. Kaneshiro, E.S., and J.N. Maiorano. 1996. Survival and infectivity of *Pneumocystis carinii* outside the mammalian host. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 43(5): 35S.
- 10. Kuramochi, T., K. Hioki, and M. Ito. 1997. *Pneumocystis carinii* cysts are susceptible to inactivation by chemical disinfectants. Exp. Anim. 46 (3): 241-245.
- 11. Laakkonen, J. 1998. Pneumocystis carinii in wildlife. Int. J. Parasitol. 28(2): 241-252.
- 12. Poelma, F.G. 1975. *Pneumocystis carinii* infections in zoo animals. Z. Parasitenkd. 46: 61–68.
- 13. Ponce, C. A., M. Gallo, R. Bustamante, and S.L. Vargas. 2010. *Pneumocystis* colonization is highly prevalent in the autopsied lungs of the general population. Clin. Infect. Dis. 50(3): 347-353.
- 14. Sakura, A., A.K. Jarvnen, M. Olsson, M. Karkkainen, and T. Ilvesniemi. 1996. *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia in dogs- a diagnostic challenge. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 8(1): 124-130.
- 15. Settnes, O.P., P.B. Nielsen, R. Bucala, M.J. Linke, and M.T. Cushion. 1994. A survey of birds in Denmark for the presence of *Pneumocystis carinii*. Avian Dis. 38(1): 1-10.
- 16. Stringer, J.R., Beard, C.B., Miller, R.F. and Wakefield, A.E. 2002. A new name for *Pneumocystis*

from humans and new	perspectives on	n the host-pathoge	n relationship.	Emerg.	Infect.	Dis.	8(9):	891-
896.			-					

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Humans; non- human primates	Fecal-oral and respiratory routes. Rapidly spread through fecescontaminated food and water sources.	Range from asymptomatic to paresis and death.	Most severe clinical signs include permanent flaccid paralysis of one or more limbs or muscle groups. Paralysis of respiratory muscles can lead to death.	Symptomatic, supportive care, based on clinical presentation No effective antiviral medications currently advocated.	Vaccination - used extensively in humans. To control spread of infection, use isolation, standard cleaning and disinfection methods, and PPE.	Yes and humans are the primary reservoir

Fact Sheet compiled by: Wynona C. Shellabarger

Sheet completed on: 8 August 2011; updated on 30 August 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Jennifer D'Agostino

Susceptible animal groups: Humans and non-human primates are affected although cases in NHPs are rare with great apes, in particular chimps, most frequently reported in literature. Macaques and chimpanzees assisted in vaccine development in early 1950's-1960's.

Causative organism: Poliovirus types 1, 2 and 3, family Picornaviridae, subgroup Enteroviridae

Zoonotic potential: Yes, humans are the primary reservoir.

Distribution: Historically, the disease was present worldwide. Western Hemisphere declared free of indigenous poliovirus since September 1991, and the last case of endemic polio in the US was in 1979. This status was achieved through the global efforts of WHO, UNICEF, and an international contingent spearheading the Global Polio Eradication Initiative (GPEI) and widespread use of vaccines in humans. Global eradication is still an active goal of these organizations, and incidence and transmission have continued to dramatically decline with continued use and distribution of vaccine. Currently, three countries maintain an endemic status: Afghanistan, Nigeria and Pakistan; an additional four African countries have continued incidence of imported-wild type polio.

Incubation period: Differs depending on type of polio but ranges from 3 to 35 days. Non-paralytic disease has incubation period of 3 to 6 days; paralytic disease has incubation period of 7 to 21 days. Virus can be shed in the feces for 3 to 6 weeks post- exposure or after vaccination with oral polio vaccine (OPV).

Clinical signs: Although flaccid paralysis is the most noteworthy and potentially severe of the clinical signs described, poliovirus infection in humans can be highly variable and clinical signs are categorized based on presentation. The majority of human infections are asymptomatic (72%). About 24% of infections result in minor disease including those of the upper respiratory tract, gastrointestinal disturbances and flu-like signs with associated fever and muscle aches. In 1-2% of cases, signs are more severe including meningitis, muscle weakness or flaccid paralysis of a single limb to quadriplegia, and respiratory failure with 0.1% of all reported polio cases resulting in the paralytic form in humans. Death occurs, but rarely, at 2-5% in children and 15-30% in adults that contract the paralytic form of this disease. A post-polio syndrome may occur in 25% to 40% of human cases as well, which develops decades after the initial infection. Although rare, poliovirus infection has been described in chimpanzees, orangutans, gorillas, macaques, and colobus monkeys in human care, laboratory, and wild settings, and manifests with similar clinical signs to those of human infections.

Post-mortem, gross, or histological findings: Most severe lesions are associated with paralytic disease and include muscle wasting, inflammation, demyelination, apoptosis, destruction of interior horn cells of spinal cord, brain stem and/or lower motor neurons.

Diagnosis: Culture, intratypic differentiation, genome sequencing, and serology are used for poliovirus

POLIOVIRUS

testing. Viral detection via cell culture or PCR of throat, fecal, or occasionally CSF cultures may be positive within the first week of illness in humans. Real-time reverse transcription PCR is used to distinguish wild-strain from vaccine- induced strain from cell culture isolates. Retrospectively, serologic titers can be used to confirm diagnosis since IgM and IgG titers may take weeks to develop and become detectable.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Diagnostic samples include pharyngeal swabs, feces, CSF fluids, urine, and serum. Contact local and state public health and epidemiology officials for specific NHP sample submissions and guidance.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Diagnosis, isolation and characterization of polioviruses from submitted human samples are coordinated by: Center for Disease Control

Global Polio Laboratory Network/Polio and Picornavirus Laboratory (Division of Viral Diseases)

1600 Clifton Rd

Atlanta, GA 30329-4027 USA

800-CDC-INFO (800-232-4636), TTY: 888-232-6348

Email:CDC-INFO (404) 639-2749 Fax: (404) 639-4011 www.cdc.gov

Treatment: Symptomatic treatment is based on severity of clinical signs, including pain relief and physical therapy. Mechanical ventilation used in humans with permanent respiratory muscle paralysis.

Prevention and control: Infected animals should be isolated and standard disinfection measures used with personnel protective equipment to minimize exposure to humans or other animals.

Vaccines are used extensively in humans to prevent disease, and vaccine use has reduced incidence of disease worldwide by 99%. Inactivated (IPV) and oral polio (OPV) vaccines are currently available for human use, but since 2000, only IPV has been used in the US to minimize vaccine-associated paralytic polio (VAPP) incidence. The World Health Organization recommends that all children be fully vaccinated. Current recommendations for childhood coverage are a series of 4 IPV vaccines at 2, 4, 6-18 months, and 4-6 years of age. Naïve adult vaccine recommendations and vaccine information for travelers to endemic countries are also available through the CDC website. OPV is still used in a number of other countries. Routine polio vaccination with OPV or IPV of great apes in human care has been recommended historically but is currently at the discretion of the animal's holding facility. Risk of exposure is low due to human vaccine eradication efforts. Type and schedule of vaccination in NHPs is extrapolated from human ACIP recommendations and vaccines available.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Poliovirus is known to be susceptible to heat, chlorine, formaldehyde, and UV light. Standard disinfection using a dilute bleach solution or one of the above products should be adequate. Removal of feces and bodily fluids before disinfection is required for effective disinfection.

Notification: If polio is suspected, veterinarians should work closely with local and state public health officials and epidemiologists. Contact CDC directly if local or state authorities are not available.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently no measures are required. However, polio is epidemiologically important to monitor due to extensive worldwide eradication efforts in the human population.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Maintain potentially infected animals in isolation and quarantine conditions until presentation is resolved. Vaccination of conspecific naïve NHPs should be considered.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Minimize fecal contamination and clean and disinfect potentially contaminated areas thoroughly for at least 3-6 weeks post- infection and vaccination series. Source of infection should be determined and NHP staff vaccination history should be reviewed and updated if necessary.

POLIOVIRUS

Experts who may be consulted:

Center for Disease Control

Global Polio Laboratory Network/Polio and Picornavirus Laboratory (Division of Viral Diseases)

1600 Clifton Rd

Atlanta, GA 30329-4027 USA

800-CDC-INFO (800-232-4636), TTY: 888-232-6348

Email:CDC-INFO (404) 639-2749 Fax: (404) 639-4011 www.cdc.gov

- 1. AZA Ape TAG (2010). Preventative Medicine. In: Chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*) Care Manual. Association of Zoos and Aquariums. Silver Spring (MD); 2009;6(6.5):38-41.
- 2. AZA Gorilla Species Survival Plan. (2017). Preventative Medicine. In: Gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*) Care Manual. Association of Zoos and Aquariums. Silver Spring (MD); 2016; 7:(7.4): 56-59.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Epidemiology of Vaccine-Preventable Diseases Polio: c2015-2018 [cited 2018 August 29]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/pinkbook/polio.html
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report MMWR Polio Reports: c2012-2019 [cited 2018 August 29]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/polio_reports.html
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Travelers' Health Polio: c2013-2018 [cited 2018 August 29]. Available from: https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel/diseases/poliomyelitis
- 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Updates on CDC's Polio Eradication Efforts: 2016 [cited 2018 August 29]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/polio/updates/
- 7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Vaccine Recommendations and Guidelines of the ACIP Polio ACIP Vaccine Recommendations: 2014 [cited 2018 August 29]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp/acip-recs/vacc-specific/polio.html
- 8. Douglas JD, Spoike KF, Raynor J. The incidence of poliovirus in chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). Lab Anim Care. 1970;20:265-268.
- 9. Global Polio Eradication Initiative [Internet]. Global Polio Eradication Initiative [cited 2018 August 29]. Available from: http://polioeradication.org/
- 10. The Global Polio Eradication Initiative Stop Transmission of Polio (STOP) Program 1999-2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2013;62(24):501-503.
- 11. Guilloud NV, Allmond BW, Froeschle JE, Fitzgerald FL. Paralytic poliomyelitis in laboratory animals. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1969;155:1190-1193.
- 12. Mugisha L, Pauli G, Opuda-Asibo J, Joseph O, Leendertz F, Diedrich S. Evaluation of poliovirus antibody titers in orally vaccinated semi-captive chimpanzees in Uganda. J Med Primatol. 2010;39(2):123-128.
- 13. Ott-Joslin JE. Zoonotic diseases of nonhuman primates. In: Fowler ME (ed.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, Volume 3, Current Therapy. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders Co.; 1993. p. 358-373.
- 14. Seibil VB, Malyshkina LP, Khishtova SN, Lesnikova MV, Baryshnikova AS, Konopleva TN, Mnozhina EG, Agafonova TV, and Vladimirova LA. State of collective immunity against poliomyelitis in some regions of Russia. Zh Mikrobiol Epidemiol Immunobiol. 2013;2:56-64.

PSITTACINE BEAK AND FEATHER DISEASE

Psittacines - Old World with infected animals with virus presented species by inhalation or ingestion. Indirect contact with contact with contact with contact with contact maintaled excretions, Peracute: Particularly disease most common in African grey parrots with pancytopenia and death. Peracute: Particularly disease most common in provided in isolated reduced spread in managed parrots, and cockatoos. Indirect contact with contact with contaminated excretions, Prevention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Particularly disease most care should be provided in isolated environments where even caretakers populations. Prevention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Prevention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed caretakers populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed populations. Porvention PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed populatio	Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
secretions and feather dust. Secretions and feather dust.	Psittacines - Old World more than New World species	with infected animals with virus presented by inhalation or ingestion. Indirect contact with contaminated excretions, secretions and feather dust. Virus remains in contaminated environments, particularly air handling systems, for years.	Particularly common in African grey parrots with pancytopenia and death. Acute: Depression followed by appearance of dystrophic feathers and death. Chronic: Progressive appearance of dystrophic feathers. Necrotic beak and ulcerations in some long term infected birds. Death occurs in months to years.	disease most common in African grey, vasa, and eclectus parrots, and cockatoos. PCV-1 associated disease is fatal in most Old World psittacines. Chronic and less severe disease in lovebirds, lories and lorikeets, particularly those birds infected	care should be provided in isolated environments where even caretakers have no contact with	PCR-based testing has reduced spread in managed populations. Developed vaccine has reached government al approval stage. Control Testing and isolation of infected birds; strict entry quarantine	None known

Fact Sheet compiled by: Branson W. Ritchie

Sheet completed on: 15 November 2011; updated 19 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Thomas N. Tully; Lauren V. Powers

Susceptible animal groups: All psittacines are susceptible to infection. Most New World species develop a rapid immune response and clear the virus, although classic disease has been documented in some New World species (i.e., macaws and Amazon parrots). Classic disease associated with PCV-1 can occur in any Old World psittacine but is most common in cockatoos, African grey parrots, ring-necked parakeets and eclectus parrots. PCV-2 causes less severe disease and affected birds may recover from disease; infections with this pathotype are most common in lories and lorikeets. Lovebirds may be infected with PCV-1 alone or with both PCV-1 and PCV-2. Disease progression appears to vary in lovebirds infected with both pathotypes.

Causative organism: Psittacine circovirus - a non-enveloped icosahedral DNA virus belonging to the family *Circoviridae*. Two pathotypes, PCV-1 and PCV-2 must be distinguished for accurate prognosis and patient management. Circovirus infections have also been documented in Anseriformes, Columbiformes, Passeriformes, Galliformes and gulls.

Zoonotic potential: No known human transmission has occurred.

PSITTACINE BEAK AND FEATHER DISEASE

Distribution: Virus likely evolved in Australia and has been disseminated globally through transcontinental movement of infected birds. Virus could be found on any continent with a sufficient population of free-ranging or captive psittacine birds to support virus survival and transmission. Virus will continue to spread in untested or, until available, unvaccinated psittacine birds.

Incubation period: Experimentally, signs appear in 3-4 weeks. However, variation in disease progression can make the incubation period appear longer.

Clinical signs: Most birds infected with PCV-1 develop a transient infection that can be detected by finding viral DNA in whole blood. Most infected birds subsequently respond with an appropriate immune response and clear the virus with no recognizable clinical changes. In unmanaged (untested) populations, infection should be considered relatively common while disease is comparatively uncommon.

<u>Peracute/Acute Form</u>: These forms most commonly occur in young chicks, and may begin with signs unrelated to the beak or feathers. Affected birds are often depressed and regurgitate due to crop stasis. They may develop a diarrhea-causing enteritis, or pneumonia, and die without displaying any lesions of the feathers or beak. This peracute form of the disease is particularly common in African grey parrots that frequently die with acute hepatic necrosis. In the acute form, feather abnormalities in already developed feathers (from causes other than PCV) should be distinguished from abnormalities associated with the developing feather (from the pulp cap to the feather base). Visible developmental feather abnormalities include: retention of the feather sheath, hemorrhage of the pulp cavity, shortened deformed feathers and circumferential constrictions at the feather base. Stress lines are common in affected feathers. Affected feathers are often loose, break easily, may bleed, and elicit a pain response with minimal manipulation. Some chicks die within days to weeks of the first signs of feather abnormalities and others survive with progression to chronic disease.

<u>Chronic Form</u>: Newly developing powder down and contour feathers are the first to show clinical changes in birds that exhibit feather abnormalities after their remiges and rectrices are developed. The visible changes in these feathers are similar to those described above. In psittacines other than lovebirds, feather lesions associated with PCV-1 become progressively worse with each successive molt and if the bird survives for years it may become mostly or completely featherless as feather follicle damage prevents replacement.

In some affected birds, beak abnormalities may occur that typically start as a brownish necrotic area on the inside of rhinotheca. Affected beaks may elongate, becoming progressively deformed, and fracture. Secondary beak and oral infections are common in necrotic areas of the beak. Some affected birds may develop beak elongation in the absence of necrosis. In some birds, the nails can also be deformed or slough.

Birds with the chronic form of the disease may live for months to years. Progressive disease is associated with organopathies that are likely associated with immune suppression and birds usually die from secondary bacterial, fungal, parasitic, or other viral infections.

Birds with PBFD shed substantial quantities of extremely environmentally stable virus in their feather dander and should not be maintained in environments (aviaries or hospitals) or by care takers that have direct or indirect contact with other birds. Recovery of Old World psittacines with the chronic disease associated with PCV-1 has not been documented. Comparatively, PCV-2 appears clinically less virulent and lories and lorikeets with moderate feather abnormalities have been shown to recover as indicated by a return to normal feather plumage and no detectable viral DNA in their blood. The PCV-2 pathotype has only been documented as a monotypic infection in lories and lorikeets. Comparatively, other psittacines, particularly lovebirds, have been documented with both PCV-1 and PCV-2 and the role that co-infection may play in altering the virulence of PCV-1 and thus the progression of classic disease is unknown.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross feather and, less often beak, changes described above are associated with the circovirus infection. In chronic cases, other lesions related to the secondary infections that actually lead to the birds death will be found at necropsy.

PSITTACINE BEAK AND FEATHER DISEASE

Predominant histological lesions include necrosis and ballooning degeneration of epithelial cells in the epidermal collar and epidermal, basal and intermediate zones of the developing feather shaft. The follicular epithelium also may be necrotic, but this lesion is reported less commonly. Feather sheath hyperkeratosis prevents the feather from ex-sheathing resulting in retention of the feather sheath. Feather pulp lesions are characterized by suppurative inflammation, including perivascular accumulations of heterophils, plasma cells, macrophages and rarely lymphocytes. The characteristic basophilic intracytoplasmic - and less commonly intranuclear - inclusions are usually, but not always present in diseased feathers. Granulomatous dermatitis with vesicle formation was described in a group of infected lovebirds.

Histologic lesions in the beak of PBFD birds are similar to those described in their feathers, including necrosis and hyperplasia of epithelial cells in the basal and intermediate epithelial layers. Hyperkeratosis and separation of the cornified outer layer from the underlying tissues and bone may also be evident, and are often accompanied by secondary necrosis and osteitis of associated tissues

In peracute cases, histologic lesions may be limited to severe bursal or thymic necrosis with the presence of viral inclusion bodies. Feather pathology in these cases may not occur, or may be limited to edema in the follicular epithelium (if present).

In birds with beak disease, necrosis and inflammation of the epithelial lining of the tongue, beak cavity, and crop have also been reported. Secondary Gram-negative bacteria and fungi are commonly isolated from beak lesions and may be associated with acute or chronic inflammatory reactions.

Diagnosis: PBFD should be considered in any bird presenting abnormal feather loss or developmental abnormalities. PBFD can only be diagnosed by detection of the virus using *in situ* hybridization, immunohistochemistry or electron microscopy to document the virus or viral components in diseased tissues. For antemortem diagnosis, a biopsy of 3-4 diseased feathers and their associated follicle is recommended. It is critical for the clinician to biopsy diseased feathers. Both diseased and normal feathers can be present directly next to each other and failure to obtain a biopsy of diseased feathers can result in an inaccurate diagnosis. Birds with the peracute and early acute forms of the disease may die before the development of feather abnormalities and disease is documented by histopathologic evaluation of internal organs including the bursa, thymus and liver.

PCR-based testing can be used to detect target segments of viral DNA in the blood of suspect birds before feather abnormalities develop but this condition does not confirm the presence of disease. Most birds infected with PCV develop a transient infection that can be detected by finding viral DNA in whole blood. Most infected birds subsequently respond with an appropriate immune response and clear the virus with no recognizable clinical changes. A bird that is PCR positive for PCV-1 and does not have dystrophic feathers must be retested in 90 days to determine if the bird has cleared the virus, It is important that birds be maintained in a virus free environment during this 90 day period. The author has placed vaccinated (protected) birds in the same room with PBFD positive birds and viral DNA can be intermittently detected in the vaccinated birds because of persistent environmental exposure to the virus and the subsequent clearing of the virus through the blood that is necessary for any inhaled or ingested virus.

A bird that is PCR positive for PCV-2 and does not have dystrophic feathers must be retested in 180 days to determine if the bird has cleared the virus. Lories with PCV-2 and with dystrophic feathers have been documented to recover from disease but should be maintained in strict isolation during any convalescent period. Virus is being shed in the dystrophic feathers until they are replaced even though viral DNA can no longer be detected in the blood.

For the most current recommendations on testing and interpretation of PCR-based assays, see www.vet.uga.edu/SAMS/IDL.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Biopsy of dystrophic feathers and their associated follicle in formalin for histologic diagnosis. Whole blood collected by venipuncture. Blood samples collected by toe nail

PSITTACINE BEAK AND FEATHER DISEASE

clipping should be considered environmental samples and not a bird specific sample. Feathers submitted for PCR-based testing should also be considered environmental samples and are not bird specific. Viral DNA can be detected by PCR-based testing in environmental swabs. These can be used to document the extent of environmental contamination (air filters, fan motors, nest boxes, etc.) and for evaluating cleaning efforts following an outbreak.

Post-mortem samples include bursa, thymus, liver, spleen, kidney, and dystrophic feathers (if present) in formalin. Swabs of tissues collected from the cut surface of the bursa, thymus or liver can be used for rapid detection of viral DNA. Only disposable scalpel blades should be used for collecting post-mortem samples or swab may be positive because of transfer to the cut surface of the organ from viral contaminated instruments. Prior to shipping, blood samples should be stored refrigerated (4°C/39.2°F). Samples must be shipped in a padded envelope or box. In cooler seasons, samples may be sent by regular mail, but overnight is recommended. For the most current recommendations on sample submission, see www.vet.uga.edu/SAMS/IDL.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Infectious Disease Laboratory College of Veterinary Medicine University of Georgia 110 Riverbend Rd Riverbend North, Room 150 Athens, GA 30602-7390 706 542-8092

Fax: 706 583-0843

www.vet.uga.edu/SAMS/idl/

Treatment: No known specific antiviral treatment.

Prevention and control: Transmission of the virus is primarily through inhalation or ingestion of air or food containing viral contaminated feather or fecal dust. Contaminated clothing, hair and body surfaces of care takers can also serve to disseminate the virus as can contaminated bird carriers, feeding utensils, nest boxes and nesting materials. Two of the most severe modern (post PCR-based testing) outbreaks the author investigated were associated with use of a contaminated grinder for nail grooming and the sale of a contaminated egg incubator. Maternal transmission has been documented. The virus is extremely environmentally stable and for the safety of birds any contaminated environment should always be considered a source of infectious virus. Any diseased birds should be maintained in strict isolation and the care takers of these birds should always be considered contaminated with the virus. Maintain strict quarantine and testing protocols for new birds prior to entering the collection.

PCR-based testing should be used during entry quarantine to detect viral DNA in the blood. See the recommendations above for testing procedures and interpretation. Because of the difficulty in decontaminating a typical clinic, it is not recommended that known diseased birds by evaluated or maintained in the hospital. PCR-based testing of environmental swabs can be used to document the severity of viral contamination in the environment.

A PCV vaccine has been developed by the Emerging Diseases Research Group at the University of Georgia and the vaccine awaits a USDA approved manufacturer to take the necessary steps to register the vaccine for commercial use.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: While specific data on the susceptibility of PCV to disinfectants is unknown, it is known that other circovirus are among the most environmentally stable and disinfectant resistant of all viruses. The goal in a contaminated facility is to wash the virus out of the environment, expose contaminated surfaces to prolong drying and direct sunlight and then seal any remaining virus to a substrate

PSITTACINE BEAK AND FEATHER DISEASE

with paint (or equivalent). Any contaminated surface that is porous (not made of metal or plastic) should be discarded. All metal, concrete and plastic surfaces should be washed with a sodium hypochlorite (e.g. Clorox)-containing detergent, rinsed and allowed to dry in direct sunlight. The procedure should be repeated 3-4 times. Air handling systems should be professionally cleaned by a company experienced with decontaminating hospital air systems. Once repeated cleaning has been accomplished, a pressure painter should be used to coat all remaining surfaces (floor, walls and ceiling). If a diseased bird has been maintained in an incubator, one should make certain that the fan and motor housing are decontaminated and PCR negative for viral DNA before the fan is returned to service. PCR-based testing can be used to evaluate the success for virus removal from the environment.

Notification: Not needed.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Not applicable

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: It is not recommended to mix infected and non-infected birds.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Remove any birds with feather dystrophy and maintain in isolation while conducting additional diagnostic testing. Remove birds without feather dystrophy from any potentially contaminated environment, wash the birds if feasible and wait 90 days (one could also blood test these birds for the presence of viral DNA immediately but many will be blood positive and clear the virus. Waiting 90 days with the birds in a non-contaminated environment will reduce the number of birds that require additional testing). Follow the current testing recommendations based on the detected pathotype provided at www.vet.uga.edu/SAMS/IDL. PCR-based testing of environmental samples collected during and after the cleaning and decontaminated process as detailed above.

Experts who may be consulted:

Branson W. Ritchie, DVM, PhD, Diplomate ABVP and ECZM University of Georgia College of Veterinary Medicine (706) 206-7931 britchie@uga.edu

Chris Gregory, DVM, PhD Emerging Diseases Research Group University of Georgia 706-583-0742 crg@uga.edu

- 1. http://www.vet.uga.edu/SAMS/idl. Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 2. Khalesi, B. 2007. Studies of beak and feather disease virus infection. Doctoral dissertation. http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/126/1/01Front.pdf. Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 3. Ritchie, B.W., 1995. *Circoviradae. In:* Avian Viruses: Function and Control. Wingers Publishing, Inc, Lake Worth, Florida. Pp. 223-251.
- 4. Reed, H. H., 2000. Circovirus in lories and lorikeets. Proc. Am. Assoc. Zoo Vet. /International Assoc. Aquatic An. Med. Joint Meeting. New Orleans, Louisiana. Pp. 317-321.
- 5. Strunk, A., V. Lester, B.W. Ritchie, D. Pesti, K.S. Latimer, P. Ciembor, C.R. Gregory, H. Wilson, and J. Sherrill. 2002. Pathobiology and testing recommendations for psittacine circovirus 2 in lories. Proc. Assoc. Avian Vet. Monterey, California. Pp. 45-47.
- 6. Ritchie, B.W., and P.D. Lukert. 2008. Psittacine circovirus. *In:* Dufour-Zavala, L., D.E. Swayne, J.R. Glisson, J.E. Pearson, W.M. Reed, M.W. Jackwood, and P.R. Woolcock (eds.). A Laboratory Manual

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **PSITTACINE BEAK AND FEATHER DISEASE**

for the Isolation and Identification of Avian Pathogens, 5th edition. American Association of Avian Pathologists, Kennett Square, Pennsylvania. 249 pp.

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected		_			Control	
Mammals:	Motile,	Gastro-intestinal:	Often fatal	Surgical resection or	As it is	No;
canids,	biflagellate	weight loss,	unless	amputation of	environmental	although
felids,	zoospore (P.	vomiting,	resectable	infected tissues with	exposure,	humans
equids,	insidiosum)	diarrhea, and	with wide	wide margins.	control is	can get it
felids,	released into	hematochezia.	margins.		difficult.	from the
cattle,	aquatic			Post-operative		environ-
camelids	environments.	Other mucosal		treatment with		ment,
and sheep.		(e.g. vulvar):		antifungals may		infection
		weight loss,		decrease recurrence		is rare.
Birds		masses		when incomplete		
(limited)				resection occurs.		
		Cutaneous:				
		Non-healing		Addition of		
		wounds, naso-		corticosteroids to		
		pharyngeal		antifungals may be		
		lesions, invasive		of benefit for		
		subcutaneous		nonresectable		
		masses, draining		lesions,		
		nodular lesion, or				
		ulcerated plaque-		Immunotherapy has		
		like lesions.		also been seen to be		
				effective in humans		
				and horses.		
	1		1		1	1

Fact Sheet compiled by: Roberto Aguilar, updated by Leonel Mendoza and Raquel Vilela, updated by Charles O. Cummings

Sheet completed on: 31 January 2011; updated 9 September 2013; updated 11 November 2019

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Amy Grooters

Susceptible animal groups: Essentially, all mammals are susceptible. Small mammals, cats and dogs have been reported. Horses, cattle, sheep, and camelids present pythiosis with some frequency. Captive wild felids and ursids have been reported although all mammals are potentially susceptible. In zoo species specifically, primary pulmonary pythiosis in a jaguar in Louisiana; spectacled bears in South Carolina; and a lion in Florida have been reported. Mandibular, bulbar, gastric, and vulvar infections in captive camels. In birds, a cutaneous infection in a white-faced ibis and esophageal infection in an ostrich have been described. Ocular and vascular pythiosis is reported in humans.

Causative organism Pythium insidiosum (pathogenic "water mold").

Zoonotic potential: Humans would get pythiosis from the environment, but infection is rare. No evidence had been documented that pythiosis can be transmitted from an animal to a person.

Distribution: Globally, pythiosis is most often encountered in Southeast Asia (especially Thailand and Indonesia), eastern coastal Australia, New Zealand, and South America, but has also been recognized in Korea, Japan, and the Caribbean. In the United States it is most often found in the southeastern US but it also has been identified in Wisconsin, New Jersey, New York, Virginia, Kentucky, Arizona, California, Illinois, Indiana, Oklahoma, Missouri, Kansas, and Tennessee.

Incubation period Unknown, but clinical disease likely develops weeks to months after exposure.

Clinical signs:

<u>Gastrointestinal</u>: weight loss, vomiting, diarrhea, and hematochezia. Laboratory abnormalities include eosinophilia, anemia, hyperglobulinemia, hypoalbuminemia, and rarely hypercalcemia. Abdominal

radiography and sonography usually reveal severe segmental thickening of the gastrointestinal tract, an abdominal mass, and/or mesenteric lymphadenopathy.

<u>Cutaneous:</u> Non-healing wounds and invasive masses that contain ulcerated nodules and draining tracts. In horses, the formation of hard masses ("kunkers") within the lesions may occur. Nasopharyngeal lesions, invasive subcutaneous masses, draining nodular lesion, and ulcerated plaque-like lesions are found in cats. *Pythium insidiosum* has been also reported affecting bones, lungs, lymph nodes, eyes and blood vessels.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Histologically pythiosis is characterized by eosinophilic pyogranulomatous inflammation associated with broad (4-7 micron), poorly septate hyphae. Affected tissues contain multiple foci of necrosis surrounded and infiltrated by neutrophils, eosinophils, and macrophages. In addition, there are discrete granulomas composed of epithelioid macrophages, plasma cells, multinucleate giant cells. Hyphae stain well with GMS but less well with PAS. Histologically pythiosis, other oomycoses, and zygomycosis have a similar appearance.

Diagnosis: Veterinarians and physicians with expertise in this disease could suspect pythiosis because the clinical features of the disease. However, a clinical specimen (biopsy, kunkers) is always recommended to support the findings. Confirmation is usually histopathology followed by PCR confirmation of pythiosis in paraffin-embedded tissues. Serology has been performed successfully in canids, exotic felids, and several species of ursids, but this frequently requires species-specific antibodies. Cytologic evaluation of exudates from draining tracts or fine-needle aspirates of enlarged lymph nodes may be suggestive of fungal infection.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Paraffin-embedded tissue, infected tissue, fine-needle aspirates, serum, Gomori's methenamine silver stain (GMS), selective media containing streptomycin and ampicillin for culture

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Panfungal PCR and Sequencing from Paraffin-embedded Tissue Texas A&M
Dermatopathology Specialty Service, TVMDL
Shipping Address (FedEx, UPS, LSO)
483 Agronomy Rd.
College Station, TX 77843-4471
USPS Mailing Address
PO Drawer 3040
College Station, TX 77841-3040

Pythium Serology (Canine and Equine) 158 Greene Hall Auburn University, AL 36849 (334) 844-2694 Fax: (334) 844-2652

Fungal Culture & Immunotherapy Consultation Leonel Mendoza Michigan State University North Kedzie Hall 354 Farm Lane, Rm 322 East Lansing MI 48824-1031 (517) 432-1234

Fax: (517) 432-2006 mendoza9@msu.edu

PYTHIOSIS

Treatment: Surgical resection of infected tissues with wide margins or amputation for distal cutaneous lesions. Postoperative treatment with itraconazole and terbinafine may decrease the chance of recurrence in lesions that are not completely resected. For inoperable pythiosis, the addition of corticosteroids to terbinafine and itraconazole had resulted in lesion resolution and decreased titers in dogs.

Immunotherapy (Pan American Veterinary Laboratories, https://pavlab.com/pavlab/pythiosis-insidiosum/) is often effective for treatment in horses, especially when it is combined with aggressive surgical resection. It is infrequently effective in dogs.

Prevention and control: As it is transmitted via environmental exposure, control is difficult.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: No special requirements for disinfection. Standard disinfection protocols may be used.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: None

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: None

Experts who may be consulted:

Most internal medicine specialists (DACVIMs) and dermatologists (DACVDs) practicing in the American Southeast are familiar with the intricacies of treating pythiosis and other oomycoses.

- 1. Bissonnette KW, Sharp NJ, Dykstra MH, Robertson IR, Davis B, Padhye AA, Kaufman L. Nasal and retrobulbar mass in a cat caused by *Pythium insidiosum*. J Med Vet Mycol. 1991;29:39.
- 2. Camus AC, Grooters AM, Aguilar RF. Granulomatous pneumonia caused by *Pythium insidiosum* in a Central American jaguar, *Panthera onca.* J Vet Diag Invest. 2004;16:567-571.
- 3. Foil CSO, Short BG, Fadock VA, Kunkle GA. A report of subcutaneous pythiosis in five dogs and a review of the etiologic agent *Pythium* spp. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc. 1984;20:959.
- 4. Gaastra W, Lipman LJA, DeCock AWAM, Exel TK, Pegge RB, Scheurwater J, Vilela R, Mendoza L. *Pythium insidiosum*: an overview. Vet Microbiol. 2010;146:1-16.
- 5. Grooters AM. Pythiosis, lagenidiosis, and zygomycosis in small animals. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract. 2003;33:695.
- 6. Grooters AM, Gee MK. Development of a nested PCR assay for the detection and identification of *Pythium insidiosum*. J Vet Intern Med. 2002;16:147.
- 7. Grooters AM, Leise BS, Lopez MK, Gee MK, O'Reilly KL. Development and evaluation of an enzymelinked immunosorbent assay for the serodiagnosis of pythiosis in dogs. J Vet Intern Med. 2002a;16:142.
- 8. Grooters AM, Whittington A, Lopez ML, Boroughs MN, Roy AF. Evaluation of microbial culture techniques for the isolation of *Pythium insidiosum* from equine tissues. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2002b;14:288.
- 9. Grooters AM. Pythiosis and zygomycosis. In: Sellon DC, Long MT (eds.) Equine Infectious Diseases. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2007. p. 412-419.
- 10. Heck LC, Bianchi MV, Pereira PR, Lorenzett MP, de Lorenzo C, Pavarini SP, Driemeier D, Sonne L. Gastric Pythiosis in a Bactrian Camel (*Bactrianus camelus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2018;49:784–87.
- 11. Hilton RE, Tepedino K, Glenn CJ, Merkel KL. Swamp Cancer: A Case of Human Pythiosis and Review of the Literature. Brit J Derm. 2016;175:394–97.
- 12. Mendoza L, Vilela R. 2013. The mammalian pathogenic Oomycetes. Curr Fungal Infect Rep. 2013;7:198-208.
- 13. Mendoza L, Newton JC. Immunology and immunotherapy of the infections caused by *Pythium insidiosum*. Med Mycol. 2005;43:477-486.
- 14. Pereira IB, Botton SA, Azevedo MI, Motta MA, Lobo RR, Soares MP, Fonseca AO, Jesus FP, Alves

PYTHIOSIS

- SH, Santurio JM. Canine gastrointestinal pythiosis treatment by combined antifungal and immunotherapy and review of published studies. Mycopathologia. 2013;176:309–315.
- 15. Pesavento PA, Barr B, Riggs SM, Eigenheer AL, Pamma R, Walker RL. Cutaneous pythiosis in a nestling white-faced ibis. Vet Pathol. 2008;45:538-541.
- 16. Rakich PM, Grooters AM, Tang KN. 2005. Gastrointestinal pythiosis in two cats. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2005;17:262.
- 17. Reagan KL, Marks SL, Pesavento PA, Maggiore AD, Zhu BY, Grooters AM. Successful Management of 3 Dogs with Colonic Pythiosis Using Itraconzaole, Terbinafine, and Prednisone. J Vet Int Med. 2019;33:1434–39.
- 18. Schemiedt CW, Stratton-Phelps M, Torres BT, Bell D, Uhl EW, Zimmerman S, Epstein J, Cornell KK. Treatment of intestinal pythiosis in a dog with a combination of marginal excision, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2012;241:358-363.
- 19. Souto EPF, Pessoa CRM, Pessoa AFA, Trost ME, Kommers GD, Correa FR, Dantas AFM, et al. Esophageal Pythiosis in an Ostrich (*Struthio camelus*). Arq Brasil Med Vet Zootec. 2019;71:1081–84.
- 20. Videla R, van Amstel S, O'Neill SH, Frank LA, Newman SJ, Vilela R, Mendoza L. Vulvar Pythiosis in Two Captive Camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). Med Mycol. 2012;50:212–24.
- 21. Wellehan JFX, Farina LL, Keoughan CG, Lafortune M, <u>Grooters AM</u>, Mendoza L, Brown M, Terrell SP, Jacobson ER, Heard DJ. Pythiosis in a dromedary (*Camelus dromedarius*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2004;35:564-568.

RABBIT HEMORRHAGIC DISEASE (RHD)/ RABBIT CALICIVIRUS DISEASE (RCD)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
RHDV1/RHDVa: European rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus), viral RNA found in deceased wood mice (Apodemus sylvaticus) and Algerian mice (Mus spretus) RHDV2: European rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus), Cape hare (Lepus capensis var. mediarraneus) Italian hare (Lepus corsicanus), Black- tail jackrabbit (Lepus californicus) and Cottontail rabbit (Sylvilagus audubonii). Rabbit caliciviruses: circulate in healthy	Direct contact with infected rabbits, rabbit products or secretions; insect or animal vectors; fomites; fecal excretion of virus from predators or scavengers. Importation of infected rabbit meat or rabbits that survive infection as they can shed virus for at least 4 weeks. Climate may be importantmany outbreaks occur in winter or spring.	Often sudden death with no obvious signs. In symptomatic animals: depression, coma, nervous signs (paddling, ataxia, convulsions, opisthotonos), reluctance to move, prostration; serosanguineous discharge from nostrils, congested conjunctivitis, edema external genitalia.	Often high morbidity (up to 100%) and high mortality (50-100%)	None	Avoid contact with infected or contaminated animals, animal products, fomites, or vectors. Perform disinfection, depopulation, surveillance, and quarantine under supervision of state and federal agencies. Vaccinate in countries where available and legal - not so in US	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Denise McAloose, updated by Tess Rooney

Sheet completed on: 12 January 2011; updated 6 March 2013; updated 15 December 2019; updated 24 April 2020

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Alisa Newton; Ken Conley; Diana Boon

Susceptible animal groups: RHDV1: European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*); some rodent species (viral RNA found in deceased wood mice (*Apodemus sylvaticus*) and Algerian mice (*Mus spretus*)); other lagomorph species are not affected. RHDV2: European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), Cape hare (*Lepus capensis* var. *mediarraneus*), Italian hare (*Lepus corsicanus*), black-tail jackrabbit (*Lepus californicus*) and cottontail rabbit (*Sylvilagus audubonii*). Both virus subtypes typically affect animals > 2 months of age; animals that survive infection become immune

RABBIT HEMORRHAGIC DISEASE (RHD)/ RABBIT CALICIVIRUS DISEASE (RCD)

Causative organism:

<u>Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease:</u> Family: Caliciviridae; Genus: Lagovirus; Species: Rabbit hemorrhagic disease virus; two serotypes. One serotype contains RHDV (classical RHVD) and RHDVa (antigenic variant); other serotype is associated with RHDV2 (also called RHDVb)

Rabbit Calicivirus Disease:

Family: Caliciviridae; Genus: Lagovirus; Species: Rabbit calicivirus, "Michigan rabbit calicivirus"

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: Disease has been reported in > 40 countries and is endemic in Australia, New Zealand, Cuba, parts of Asia and Africa, and most of Europe, will likely be endemic in British Columbia, Washington and New Mexico as of 2020. Sporadic reports in several countries including Mexico (now eradicated), Canada (2011), Uruguay (2004), and the United States (Iowa 2000, Utah 2001, Illinois 2001, New York 2001, Indiana 2005, Minnesota 2010, RHDV2 Ohio 2018, RHDV2 San Juan Islands (WA) 2019, RHDV2 in wild rabbits New Mexico, Arizona, Texas, Colorado 2020), although was thought to have been eradicated in the US in 2019. It also has been reported in China, Republic of Korea, India and the Middle East. It is more likely to be detected in large congregations than in single (e.g. individual pet) rabbits; disease spread exacerbated in crowded conditions.

Incubation period: Incubation 1-3 days; death often occurs within 12-36 hours of fever onset

Clinical signs:

Classical RHDV/RHDVa:

Often subclinical, especially in young rabbits (less than 4-8 weeks of age).

In older animals, peracute and acute disease are common presentations. Peracute clinical signs may include fever and sudden death within 12-36 hours of fever onset. Sometimes, terminal squeals are the only clinical sign followed by subsequent collapse and death.

Acute clinical signs may include depression, dullness, anorexia, congested conjunctiva, prostration, reluctance to move, congested genitalia, neurologic signs (incoordination, inappropriate excitement, opisthotonos and paddling), and respiratory signs (dyspnea, cyanosis, and in final stages, hemorrhagic, frothy discharge from mouth or nostrils may be seen). In animals that survive, infection, jaundice, weight loss, and lethargy may be seen.

RHDV2:

Affects both old and young animals, experimentally infected animals generally survive longer with fewer peracute/acute presentations of disease. Clinical signs are otherwise similar to classical RHDV.

<u>RCD</u>: Typically circulates sub-clinically in healthy animals; "Michigan rabbit calicivirus" was isolated from an outbreak in Michigan that clinically resembled RHDV (acute fatalitites with clinical signs including vulvar hemorrhage in pregnant does, inappetence, neurological signs, diarrhea, ocular discharge, vocalizations, and death), but was not reproducible in experimentally infected animals (clinical signs were rare/mild).

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Gross findings</u>: The primary lesion identified in animals infected with RHDV, RHDVa, and RHDV2 is hepatic necrosis. Most commonly identified lesions include friable liver, splenomegaly (congested), dark brown kidneys, evidence of DIC (hemorrhage and/or infarcts in various organs, especially thymus) and pulmonary congestion, edema and/or petechiae; multifocal petechiae in other organs or serosanguineous tracheal fluid may be present (trachea often hyperemic)

RABBIT HEMORRHAGIC DISEASE (RHD)/ RABBIT CALICIVIRUS DISEASE (RCD)

<u>Histologic findings</u>: More common lesions: mild to (more often) severe hepatic necrosis, disseminated intravascular coagulopathy (DIC), splenic congestion, multifocal lymphocytolysis. Less frequently, multifocal acute pulmonary edema, congestion or hemorrhage and multifocal hemorrhage in other sites may be present.

Diagnosis:

<u>Serologic tests</u>: hemagglutination inhibition (HI), indirect ELISA (I-ELISA), competitive ELISA (C-ELISA). <u>Pathogen identification</u>: hemagglutination test (HA), electron microscopy (negative staining EM, immuno-EM, immunogold EM), virus detection ELISA, RT-PCR, RT-LAMP, Western blot, histology, immunostaining, inoculation study (RHDV never grown in cell cultures); *in situ* hybridization; liver contains highest viral titers and has been proposed as most diagnostic organ to submit for virus identification

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Serum: HI, I-ELISA, C-ELISA

Fresh liver (preferred sample), spleen or lung: HA, RT-PCR, inoculation study

10% neutral buffered formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded liver, spleen, lung: histology, immunostain

Fresh or fixed liver (depending on procedure): EM

Relevant (only) diagnostic laboratory:

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL-FADDL

40550 Route 25 (for packages)

Orient Point, NY 11957

P.O. Box 848 (for letters)

Greenport, NY 11944-0848

(631) 323-3256

Fax: (631) 323-3366

Treatment: Currently, there is no treatment for this disease beyond supportive care. Passively acquired immunity such as hyperimmune antiserum has been shown to protect animals that have not developed clinical signs, but has not been effective in symptomatic animals.

Prevention and control: Biosecurity is highly important for prevention and control (restrict importation of live rabbits or rabbit products from endemic areas, especially in the event of an outbreak).

Other biosecurity measures to employ include: avoid contact with imported rabbits and rabbit products; prevent contact between healthy and ill animals; quarantine new animals or animals that have been in contact with other rabbits. With input from State and Federal agencies, control will include disinfection, depopulation, surveillance, and quarantine; elimination of fomites (including insects, other animal vectors); incineration of dead animals, feedstuff, feces; limit or ban animal/animal derivative product movement in face of outbreak. Animals that survive infection are immune but may shed virus for at least 4 weeks.

Three vaccines are available in UK; no vaccine available in US or Canada as of April 2020. Some states have been provisionally licensed for use of Filavac. Vaccinated rabbits are protected from disease but due to low mucosal immunity can still develop intestinal infection and shed virus in the absence of clinical disease.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: This process is to be performed in collaboration with and under supervision of State and Federal Agencies. RHDV is inactivated by 1% sodium hydroxide (lye) or 1-2% formalin (OIE recommends 3% for disinfecting pelts). Recommended disinfectants are substituted phenolics (e.g. 2% One-stroke Environ®) and 0.5% sodium hypochlorite (bleach).

Notification: Reportable to State and Federal (USDA) agencies; OIE reportable disease

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Due to highly infectious nature, significance of disease, and persistence in the environment, introduction to infected animals is not recommended and may not be permitted by State and Federal agencies

RABBIT HEMORRHAGIC DISEASE (RHD)/ RABBIT CALICIVIRUS DISEASE (RCD)

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: State and Federal agencies will make recommendations that may include addition of sentinel animals on treated premises to monitor for persistent virus, minimum post depopulation, disinfection period prior to new animal addition

Experts who may be consulted:

Preparedness and Incident Coordination

Veterinary Services

U.S. Department of Agriculture

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Veterinary Services, Emergency Management

4700 River Road, Unit 41 Riverdale, MD 20737–1231

Telephone: (301) 734–8073

Fax: (301) 734–7817

E-mail: FAD.PReP.Comments@aphis.usda.gov

- 1. McIntosh, MT, Behan SC, Mohamed FM, Lu Z, Moran KE, Burrage TG, Neilan JG, Ward GB, Botti G, Capucci L, Metwally SA. A pandemic strain of calicivirus threatens rabbit industries in the Americas. Virol. J. 2007;4:96-109.
- 2. Marcato PS, Benazzi C, Vecchi G, Galeotti M, Salda LD, Sarli G, Lucidi P. Clinical and pathological features of viral haemorrhagic disease of rabbits and the European brown hare syndrome. Rev. Sci. Tech. 1991;10:371-392.
- 3. Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease [Internet]. OIE; c2019. [cited 2020 April 24]. Available from https://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Animal_Health_in_the_World/docs/pdf/Disease_cards/RHD.p df
- 4. Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease Standard Operating Procedures, Foreign Animal Disease Preparedness & Response Plan. United States Department of Agriculture; c2013. [cited 2020 April 24]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal health/emergency management/downloads/sop/sop rhd e-e.pdf
- 5. Hoehn M, Kerr PJ, Strive T. <u>In situ</u> hybridization assay for localization of rabbit calicivirus Australia-1 (RCA-A1) in European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) tissues, J. Virol. Meth. 2012;188(1-2):148-152.
- 6. Abrantes J, van der Loo W, Le Pendu J, Esteves PJ. Rabbit haemorrhagic disease (RHD) and rabbit haemorrhagic disease virus (RHDV): a review. Vet. Res. 2012;43(1):12.
- 7. Filavac vaccine monologue [Internet]. Harcourt-Brown [cited 2020 April 24]. Available from https://www.harcourt-brown.co.uk/media/documents/filavac.doc

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Affected		Signs			Control	
Mammals.	Bites or	Paresthesia	Nearly	Once clinical	Eliminating	Yes
Major reservoirs	scratches of	or pain at	always	signs present,	exposure to	
in the US include	infected	bite site;	fatal	no treatment	rabid animals,	
dogs, raccoons,	animals; saliva	fever,		in humans	including	
skunks, foxes,	into open	myalgia,		and animals	vaccination of	
and bats.	wounds and	malaise,		is available	species for	
Internationally,	mucous	behavior		although an	which an	
vampire bat	membranes	changes,		experimental	approved	
(Latin America),		paresis,		procedure	vaccine exists;	
mongoose		seizures and		has been	providing	
species (the		other CNS		used in	exposed	
Caribbean,		signs.		humans with	persons local	
southern Africa,				limited	treatment of	
and parts of				success.	wounds and	
Asia); jackals					human or	
(parts of Africa);					equine rabies	
wolves (parts of					immune	
northern					globulin (if not	
Europe);					previously	
marmosets					vaccinated);	
(Brazil); ferret					vaccinating	
badgers (China).					persons in at-	
					risk professions	

Fact Sheet Compiled by: Erica Lipanovich

Completed on: updated 12 January 2019
Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Donna Ialeggio

Susceptible Animal Groups: All mammals are susceptible.

Causative Organism: The disease rabies is caused by the rabies virus (Family Rhabdoviridae, Genus Lyssavirus) and non-rabies lyssaviruses, such as Australian Bat Lyssavirus, Duvenhage virus, European Bat Lyssavirus, and Mokola virus.

Zoonotic Potential: Bites or scratches of infected animals; saliva into open wounds and mucous membranes **Distribution:** Worldwide. Several countries have been declared canine rabies-free. However, the of such declaration is to facilitate waiving the rabies vaccination requirement as these are countries that have not reported recent cases of rabies in land animals and that have adequate disease surveillance for rabies cases, as determined by the CDC. Countries on the list might still have circulating bat lyssaviruses, which can cause the disease in people.

Incubation Period: Incubation is prolonged and variable. The virus typically remains at the inoculation site for a considerable time. In domestic animals, it is generally 1-12 weeks, but can range from several days to months, rarely exceeding 6 months. Virus can be shed for a few days prior to the onset of clinical signs and during illness.

Clinical Signs: Animals will show inappetence, cranial nerve deficits, ataxia, salivating, drooping of lower jaw, acute behavioral changes, such as altered vocalization, aggression, docility, coma, and progressive paralysis. Humans experience pain, paresthesia, and intense pruritus at the bite site; fever, myalgia, malaise, and mood changes that progress to paresthesia, paresis, seizures, coma, and many other neurologic signs. Survival is extremely rare in humans and animals.

Post mortem, Gross or Histological Findings: Gross lesions are often undetectable. Necrotic tonsillitis, necrotic bronchitis, bronchiolitis and alveolitis are commonly seen. Focal areas of necrosis are often found in

the liver, spleen, lymph nodes and adrenal glands. Histologically, non-suppurative meningoencephalitis is a characteristic lesion in the gray and white matter. Negri bodies, intracytoplasmic eosinophilic inclusions, may be seen in neurons. Mononuclear perivascular cuffing and neuronal necrosis may also be present.

Diagnosis: Rabies diagnosis should be performed in accordance with the established national standardized protocol for postmortem rabies testing by a qualified laboratory that has been designated by the local or state health department. Euthanasia should be accomplished in such a way as to maintain the integrity of the brain so that the laboratory can recognize the anatomical parts. Rabies viral antigen is typically widespread in the brain of rabid animals, though may spread unilateral. It is therefore critical to examine a complete cross section of the brainstem. Rabies diagnosis in animals is accomplished through the direct fluorescent antibody test. Brain tissues examined must include medulla oblongata and cerebellum.

Serological tests are used to monitor antibody titers in response to rabies vaccination.

Human antemortem testing requires a minimum of four samples to rule out rabies. Samples required include saliva, nuchal skin biopsy, serum and cerebral spinal fluid and brain biopsy. Nuchal skin biopsy for immunofluorescent antibody staining is the most reliable test of rabies infection during the first week. Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction immunofluorescent staining for viral antigen, virus neutralization assays and isolation of infectious virus in cell culture can be performed.

Material Required for Laboratory Analysis: Except in the case of very small animals, such as bats, in which whole animals should be collected, only the head or brain (including brain stem) should be submitted to the laboratory. (https://www.cdc.gov/rabies/resources/specimen-submission-guidelines.html). Brain tissues examined must include multiple regions. To facilitate laboratory processing and prevent a delay in testing, any animal specimen being submitted for testing should preferably be stored and shipped under refrigeration and not be frozen. (http://www.cdc.gov/rabies/specific_groups/laboratories/index.html). Chemical fixation of tissues should be avoided to prevent significant testing delays and because it may preclude reliable testing.

Relevant Diagnostic Laboratories:

State and Local laboratories and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Rabies Laboratory DASH, Bldg 18, Room SSB218 1600 Clifton Road, NE Atlanta, GA 30333 (404) 639-1050

https://www.cdc.gov/rabies/pdf/specimen-submission-guideline-508.pdf

Treatment: No known antivirals currently effective. A few cases of human recovery have been documented following utilization of the "Milwaukee protocol", an experimental procedure, but failures significantly outnumber successes using this protocol.

Prevention and Control: Vaccination is primary means of prevention. Rabies in humans can be prevented either by eliminating exposures to rabid animals or by providing exposed persons (without a prior history of vaccination) with prompt local treatment of wound washing for 15 minutes combined with the administration of human rabies immune globulin and a series of 4 doses of vaccine.

Though not nationally notifiable, some state health departments have made animal bites and use of postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) reportable within their jurisdictions. Individuals that have been previously vaccinated and have a potential rabies exposure require prompt wound care and a series of 2 doses of vaccine. These recommendations, along with information concerning the current local and regional epidemiology of animal rabies and the availability of human rabies biologics, are available from state health departments.

Suggested Disinfectant for Housing Facilities: Lyssaviruses are not stable in the environment and are inactivated by common disinfectants. The best disinfectants are detergents, hypochlorites, alkalis, Virkon®, and glutaraldehyde.

Notification: Rabies is rare in vaccinated animals. If such an event is suspected, it should be reported to state public health officials, the vaccine manufacturer, and USDA, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Center for Veterinary Biologics at 800-752-6255 or

https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/veterinary-biologics.

The laboratory diagnosis should be confirmed, and the virus variant characterized by a rabies reference laboratory. A thorough epidemiologic investigation should be conducted. Because of the risk of rabies in wild animals (especially raccoons, skunks, coyotes, foxes, and bats), the AVMA, CSTE, NACA, and NASPHV strongly recommend the enactment and enforcement of state laws prohibiting their importation, distribution, translocation, and private ownership. Other biting animals which might have exposed a person to rabies should be reported immediately to the local health department. Management of animals other than dogs, cats, and ferrets depends on the species, the circumstances of the bite, epidemiology of rabies in the area, and biting animal's history, current health status, and potential exposure to rabies. Prior vaccination of these animals may not preclude the necessity for euthanasia and testing, merely quarantining.

Measures Required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: The National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians (NAS-PHV) Guidelines for dogs and the Compendium of Animal Rabies Control Guidelines are updated regularly by the NASPHV and provide recommendations (http://www.nasphv.org/documentsCompendia.html). However these guidelines do not supersede state and local laws.

Measures Required for Introducing Animals to Infected Animal: See below.

Conditions for Restoring Disease-Free Status after an Outbreak: Unvaccinated animals exposed to a rabid animal should be euthanized immediately. If the owner is unwilling, the animal should be placed in strict isolation for 6 months. Rabies vaccine should be administered upon entry into isolation or 1 month prior to release to comply with pre-exposure vaccination recommendations. Animals maintained in USDAlicensed research facilities or accredited zoological parks should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Rabies virus may be excreted in the saliva of infected animals during illness and/or for only a few days prior to illness or death. A healthy animal which was previously vaccinated that bites a person should be confined and observed daily for 10 days; administration of rabies vaccine is not recommended during the observation period to avoid confusing signs of rabies with possible side effects of vaccine administration. Animals should be evaluated by a veterinarian at the first sign of illness during confinement. If signs suggestive of rabies develop, the animal should be euthanized and the head submitted for testing. Any stray that bites a person may be euthanized immediately and the head submitted for rabies examination. Other biting animals which might have exposed a person to rabies should be reported immediately to the local health department. Management of animals other than dogs, cats, and ferrets depends on the species, the circumstances of the bite, epidemiology of rabies in the area, and the biting animal's history, current health status, and potential for exposure to rabies. Prior vaccination of these animals may not preclude the necessity for euthanasia and testing.

Experts Who May Be Consulted: Public Health Veterinarians can be found at:

Other state and local rabies consultations can be found at:

http://www.nasphv.org/Documents/StatePublicHealthVeterinariansByState.pdf (last updated 12 Sept 2018) https://www.cdc.gov/rabies/resources/contacts.html (last updated 31 July 2018)

- American Veterinary Medical Association [Internet]. AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2013 Edition; 2013 [cited 2019 January 12]. Available from https://www.avma.org/KB/Policies/Documents/euthanasia.pdf
- 2. American Veterinary Medical Association [Internet]. Ownership or possession of wild animals or their hybrids; 2019 [cited 2019 January 12]. Available from

RABIES

- https://www.avma.org/KB/Policies/Pages/Ownership-and-or-Possession-and-Appropriate-Disposition-of-Wild-and-Exotic-Pet-Species-or-Their-Hybrids.aspx
- 3. Brown CM, Slavinski S, Ettestad P Sidwa TJ, Sorhage FE. Compendium of Animal Rabies Prevention and Control, 2016; 2016 [cited 2019 January 12] Available from http://www.nasphv.org/Documents/NASPHVRabiesCompendium.pdf
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Human rabies prevention—United States, 2008, Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices; 2008 [cited 2019 January 12]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/PDF/rr/rr5703.pdf
- 5. Greene CE. Rabies and other Lyssavirus Infections. In: Greene CE (ed.). Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat, 4th ed. St. Louis (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2011.
- 6. Hanlon CA, Childs JE, Nettles VF. Recommendations of the working group on rabies. Article III: rabies in wildlife. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1999;215:1612–1618.
- 7. Hanlon CA, Smith JS, Anderson GR. Recommendations of the working group on rabies. Article II: laboratory diagnosis of rabies. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1999;215:1444–1446.
- 8. Ma X, Monroe BP, Cleaton JM, Orciari LA, Li Y, Kirby JD, Chipman RB, Petersen BW, Wallace RM, Blanton JD. Rabies surveillance in the United States during 2017. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2018;253(12):1555-1568.
- 9. Rupprecht C [Internet]. Merck Veterinary Manual, Overview of Rabies; 2019 [cited 2019 January 12]. Available from https://www.merckvetmanual.com/nervous-system/rabies/overview-of-rabies
- 10. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service [Internet]. Terrestrial Animal Health Standards Commission, September 2010 Report, Chapter 8.10. Rabies; 2010 [cited 2019 January 12]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/import_export/animals/oie/downloads/tahc_sep10/tahc_rabies_79_sep10.pdf
- 11. Vora NM, Clippard JR, Stobierski MG, Signs K, Blanton JD. Animal Bite and Rabies Postexposure Prophylaxis Reporting United States, 2013. J Pub Health Mgmt Prac [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 January 12]; 21(3):E24–E27 https://insights.ovid.com/crossref?an=00124784-201505000-00017
- 12. Wisconsin Division of Public Health [Internet]. Rabies Algorithm, Rabies Prevention Flowchart: Introduction; 2018 [cited 2019 January 12] Available from https://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/rabies/algorithm/index.htm
- 13. World Health Organization [Internet]. Rabies; 2018 [cited 2019 January 12] Available from http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs099/en/

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Amphibians,	Transmission	Large-scale die offs,	Infection with	None.	Quarantine	No.
especially	can occur	especially of larval stages of	Ranavirus is		any infected	
larvae and	through direct	amphibians. Infection can be	an important		animals,	
metamorphs,	contact with	sub-clinical.	cause of		Screen	
fish, and	infected	Subtle to severe hemorrhages	mortality in		incoming	
reptiles.	animals;	in the ventral skin, especially	wild		amphibians	
	contact with	at the base of the hind limbs	amphibians,		for history of	
	contaminated	and around the vent opening;	and chelonia;		clinical signs	
	water or	fluid accumulation under the	only		consistent	
	substrates;	skin or within the coelom;	occasional		with disease.	
	ingestion of	hemorrhages within serosa of	reports of this		Disinfect all	
	infected	heart, stomach and liver.	infection in		equipment	
	tissues or	Chelonians show swollen	captive		and effluent	
	fomites.	eyelids, oral plaques, ulcers	animals.		water.	
		on feet.				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Ann E. Duncan

Sheet completed on: 15 January 2011; updated 19 August 2013, updated 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Allan P. Pessier; Amanda Duffus

Susceptible animal groups: All types of amphibians including urodeles (salamanders and newts), and anurans (frogs and toads). Larvae and metamorphs are most often associated with morbidity and mortality. Adult morbidity and mortality occurs less often. Some species may have covert infections and be able to shed and transmit virus to other susceptible animals without ever exhibiting clinical signs. Ranaviruses are also found in other poikilothermic vertebrates including reptiles and fish. Has been associated with mortality events in wild and captive chelonia. Sporadic mortality in captive snakes and lizards. Amphibians may serve as a reservoir

Causative organism: *Ranaviruses* are members of the Iridoviridae, a group of double stranded DNA viruses. Numerous strains are identified; however, viruses related to the *Ambystoma tigrinum* virus (ATV) and Frog virus 3 (FV3) appear to be the most important in North America. The Bohle iridovirus (BIV) from Australia also is of concern as it has recently been identified in a zoological collection in the USA. Some ranaviruses are able to infect animals from more than one class (e.g. amphibians and reptiles or amphibians and fish).

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: Worldwide although hotspots have been identified in recurrent mortality events. Ranaviruses are considered to be globally emerging infections.

Incubation period: Variable: Less than 5 days to several weeks. Incubation is affected by ambient temperatures, dose of virus exposure, immunosuppression, developmental stage, and species differences in susceptibility to different *Ranavirus* strains.

Clinical signs: In amphibians, subtle to severe hemorrhages in the ventral skin, especially at the base of the hind limbs and around the vent opening; fluid accumulation under the skin or within the coelom; hemorrhages within serosa of heart, stomach and liver. Skin ulceration and/or epithelial proliferation may be seen. Infection does not always cause clinical disease. Long-term nonclinical carriers have been identified. In chelonian, nasal discharge, conjunctivitis, caseous plaques in the oral cavity and subcutaneous edema of the palpebra and neck have been seen.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: In amphibians, necrosis and/or hemorrhage is present in multiple tissues, especially skin, liver, kidney, spleen/ hematopoietic tissue and gastrointestinal tract. In chelonians, necrotizing and fibrinous stomatitis/esophagitis, splenitis and vasculitis are seen. Histologically intracytoplasmic inclusion bodies may be seen; however, they are difficult to identify, are not always due to the virus, and may be absent or inconspicuous in many cases.

Diagnosis: PCR is the most useful test and is becoming more widely available. Real-time PCR techniques

allow detection of smaller amounts of virus, but to identify the group type (ATV or FV3 virus-like) of *Ranavirus* present conventional PCR with DNA sequencing is required. Determining the specific species of *Ranavirus* usually requires cell culture, virus isolation, and molecular characterization. These techniques are not widely available outside of research laboratories. Conventional PCR may not detect low level infections and can provide false-positive results if confirmatory DNA sequencing or Southern blot analysis is not performed. Histopathology is helpful to screen for lesions in sick animals, but lesions tend to be nonspecific unless intracytoplasmic inclusion bodies are seen. Virus isolation, immunohistochemistry, transmission electron microscopy, cell culture, and serology (not widely available or validated for most species) have also been used to identify infected animals.

Material required for laboratory analysis: The best choice is tissue samples collected at necropsy, especially liver, kidney and, if lesions are present, skin. Frozen tissues are required for virus isolation and are generally best for molecular analysis; however, freezing is not acceptable for histology. For histology, tissues should be submitted fresh or fixed in 70% ethanol or 10% neutral buffered formalin. Ethanol-preserved tissues may be used for some molecular testing. Formalin-fixed tissues may also be used for some molecular testing if the length of time in formalin is minimal at days to weeks but it is possible to perform PCR on paraffin embedded tissues. Samples can also be collected from clinically ill living animals such as cloacal or pharyngeal swabs, tissue biopsy (tail clips) or blood. Contact the laboratory to determine the best swab choice for testing, as some can inhibit detection. If living animals are tested, results should be interpreted with caution recognizing test limitations (e.g., a positive test result is more meaningful than a negative test result). Test sensitivity for antemortem PCR increases with time post-exposure and development of clinical signs of illness. Contact individual laboratories for more information regarding screening.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

For an overall list https://www.ranavirus.org/resources/testing-labs/

Amphibian Disease Laboratory; Taqman PCR for Ranavirus; Conventional PCR and MCP sequencing 15600 San Pasqual Valley Road

Escondido, CA 92027

(760) 747-8702 x 5471

http://www.sandiegozooglobal.org/News/Amphibian_Disease_Laboratory/

Diagnostic or research: Coventional PCR, qPCR, virus culture, MCP sequencing, histopathology:

University of Tennessee Center for Wildlife Health

274 Ellington Plant Sciences Building

2431 Joe Johnson Drive

Knoxville, Tennessee 37996-4563

(865) 974-7948

dmill42@utk.edu or mgray11@utk.edu

qPCR, cell culture, genomic sequencing and speciation:

Zoo Medicine Infectious Disease Lab

c/o April Childress

University of Florida

2015 SW 16th Ave

Building 1017 Room V2-186

Gainesville, FL 32608

Phone 352-294-4420

ChildressA@ufl.edu

http://labs.vetmed.ufl.edu/sample-requirements/microbiology-parasitology-serology/zoo-med-infections/

qPCR: Zoologix

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4

Chatsworth, CA 91311-3800

Phone: 818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881

Email: info@zoologix.com

Treatment: None in amphibians is available. Antiviral therapy and supportive care have been attempted in

reptiles.

Prevention and control: The major concerns in captive programs are that mortality will occur in a valuable species or population or that subclinically infected animals will expose naïve wild populations. The prevalence of infection in captive animals is not yet known. Disease has likely gone unrecognized due to clinical and pathological similarities to other diseases in amphibians. Captive amphibian populations can be surveyed continuously for disease by histopathology testing of samples collected at necropsy and PCR. Once a population or individual has been found positive by PCR the disposition of these animals will depend on careful risk assessment. A positive test does not distinguish between a lethal infection and a subclinical carrier. Factors to be considered include their importance to the survival of the species, the presence or absence of preexisting infection in captive and wild populations and results of follow-up histologic and PCR testing. In some cases, the animals or a population may be managed in permanent isolation from the general amphibian population. Further prevention measures include quarantining all incoming animals. The health history of animals being brought into a population needs to be reviewed- if there have been deaths or illness due to confirmed or suspected *Ranavirus* in the prior 6 months the risk of disease transmission with introduction is considered higher. Animals dying during quarantine can be screened using PCR and histopathology. Strict biosecurity measures must be followed to avoid transmission of infection to other amphibians or susceptible classes of animals (fish, turtles, tortoises).

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 1% Potassium peroxymonosulfate (Virkon®), 3% sodium hypochlorite and 1% chlorhexidine have been reported to be effective at inactivating *Ranavirus* after 1 min. contact duration. Some ranaviruses were found to remain viable for 113 days on dry surfaces and up to 2 weeks in water. Amphibians are sensitive to disinfectant residues- thorough rinsing is required after use. Biosecurity measures must include treatment of waste and effluent from *Ranavirus* infected animals.

Notification: Infection by a *Ranavirus* is classified as a reportable disease by the OIE requiring proof of *Ranavirus*-negative results before commercial shipment of amphibians (OIE 2008).

http://www.oie.int/eng/normes/fcode/fcode2008/en_chapitre_2.4.2.htm. A reporting mechanism (e.g. via USDA-APHIS) has not been announced for the US at this time.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none. See http://www.oie.int/eng/normes/fcode/fcode2008/en_chapitre_2.4.2.htm as Article 2.4.2.10. states that importation of live aquatic animals intended for use in zoos from a country not declared free from *Ranavirus* should be followed by lifelong holding of the animals in biosecure facilities for continuous isolation from the local environment and treatment of all effluent and waste materials in a manner that inactivates *Ranavirus*.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animals: Animals should not be introduced to those showing clinical signs of disease or with exposure to known infected animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: None established.

See: http://www.oie.int/eng/normes/fcode/fcode2008/en_chapitre_2.4.2.htm

Experts who may be consulted:

Allan P. Pessier

Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Pathology

Washington State University

apessier@wsu.edu

509-335-3877

Debra L. Miller
Center for Wildlife Health
The University of Tennessee
274 Ellington Plant Sciences Building
2431 Joe Johnson Drive
Knoxville, Tennessee 37996-4563
(865) 974-7948
dmille42@ukt.edu

- 1. Global Ranavirus Consortium [Internet]. Global Ranavirus Consortium. [cited 2018 November 15]. Available from www.ranavirus.org
- 2. Miller DL, Pessier AP, Hick P, Whittington RJ. Comparative Pathology of Ranaviruses and Diagnostic Techniques. In: Gray M., Chinchar V. (eds) Ranaviruses. Springer, Cham. 2015. p. 178-201.
- 3. United States Geological Survey [Internet]. USGS National Wildlife Health Center [cited 2018 November 26]. Available from https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nwhc
- 4. Bryan LK, Balwin CA, Gray MJ, Miller DL. Efficacy of select disinfectants at inactivating *Ranavirus*. Dis Aquat Org. 2009;84:89–94.
- 5. Cheng K, Jones ME, Jancovich JK, Burchell J, Schrenzel MD, Reavill DR, Imai DM, Urban A, Kirkendall M, Woods LW, Chinchar VG. Isolation of a Bohle-like iridovirus from boreal toads housed within a cosmopolitan aquarium collection. Dis Aquat Org. 2014;111:139-152.
- 6. Driskell EA, Miller DL, Swist SL, Gyimesi ZS. PCR detection of *Ranavirus* in adult anurans from the Louisville Zoological Garden. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2009;40(3):559-63.
- 7. Johnson AJ, Pessier AP, Wellehan JFX, Childress A, Norton TM, Stedman NL, Bloom DC, Belzer W, Titus VR, Wagner R, Brooks JW, Spratt J, Jacobson ER. *Ranavirus* infection of free-ranging and captive box turtles and tortoises in the United States. J Wild Dis. 2008;44(4):851-863.
- 8. Pessier AP, Mendelson JR (eds.). 2010. A Manual for Control of Infectious Diseases in Amphibian Survival Assurance Colonies and Reintroduction Programs. IUCN/SSC Conservation Breeding Specialist Group: Apple Valley, Minnesota. [cited 2013 September 26] Available from www.cbsg.org.
- 9. OIE [Internet]. OIE Aquatic Animal Health Code, Chapter 2.4.2. OIE, Paris. [cited 2013 September 26]. Available from http://www.oie.int/index.php?id=171&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_1.8.2.htm

CHIMPANZEE CORYZA/RESPIRATORY SYNCYTIAL VIRUS (RSV)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Chimps most commonly; Muriqui; other primate spp. may be infected experimentally or have seroevidence of exposure	Aerosol, direct or indirect contact, fomites; both respiratory secretions and feces contain virus	Cough, sneeze, rhinorrhea, lethargy, anorexia; progression to lower respiratory disease; peracute death possible	High morbidity, low to moderate mortality; limited reported fatalities in juvenile chimps with pneumonia; outbreak in chimp group with 10% mortality	Symptomatic; Palivizumab has been used to reduce risk of infection in high risk children	Avoidance of contact with sick humans; proper hygiene and staff PPE	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Allison Wack

Sheet completed on: 26 December 2010; updated 19 March 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kay A. Backues, Elizabeth Hammond

Susceptible animal groups: Chimpanzees; one fatal case report in a muriqui; experimental infection with clinical signs in owl and bonnet monkeys; serologic evidence of exposure in orangutans; possible disease in other great apes and red-capped mangabeys.

Causative organism: Respiratory Syncytial Virus: Family Paramyxoviridae, Genus Pneumovirus, 2 antigenic subgroups (A and B)

Zoonotic potential: Yes, but transmission generally from human to primate, not primate to human.

Distribution: Worldwide in temperate areas; frequently presenting in fall/winter months

Incubation period: 4-5 days

Clinical signs: coughing, sneezing, rhinorrhea, ocular discharge, anorexia, lethargy which may progress to pneumonia. Peracute death has been reported. Recovery typically in 1-2 weeks in humans.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Bronchopneumonia, bronchiolitis, pneumonitis, rhinitis, hyperplasia of pulmonary lymph nodes and lymphadenitis. Multinucleate syncytial cells with eosinophilic cytoplasmic inclusion bodies may be seen.

Diagnosis: RT-PCR, DIA, IFA, ELISA

Material required for laboratory analysis: nasal/nasopharyngeal swab, aspirate, or wash (PCR); serum (DIA, IFA, ELISA).

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

VRL Labs BioReliance

www.vrlsat.com www.bioreliance.com

RT-PCR, DIA IFA, ELISA

Treatment: Symptomatic. Palivizumab (hRSV IgG) has been used in high risk human children to prevent severe disease, although it cannot treat already advanced disease. Antibiotics for secondary bacterial infections. NSAIDs may control some clinical signs.

Prevention and control: Prevent sick human-primate contact and transmission via fomites; appropriate use of

CHIMPANZEE CORYZA/RESPIRATORY SYNCYTIAL VIRUS (RSV)

masks, gloves, and hand washing. Highly transmissible. No vaccine is available.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Virus is readily inactivated by most disinfectants (i.e., quaternary ammonium compounds, phenols). It usually lasts only hours in environment, although can persist longer in cool, shady areas or in serum or tissue debris, transmission via fomites (i.e., enrichment items, cage furniture)

Notification: Reportable in humans in many states, check individual state regulations.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: No long term immunity, and no carriers. Introduction after clinical signs have resolved and area is disinfected would be optimal.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Resolution of clinical signs; some immunocompromised humans can shed for up to 4 weeks, though usual time of shedding is 3-8 days.

Experts who may be consulted: CDC

- 1. http://www.cdc.gov/rsv/index.html. Accessed 3 July 2013.
- 2. http://www.eaza.net/activities/tdfactsheets/051%20Respiratory%20Syncytial%20Virus.doc.pdf. Accessed 3 July 2013.
- 3. Belshe, R.B, Richardson, L.S., London, W.T., Sly D.L., Lorfeld, J.H., Camargo, E., Prevar, D.A., and R.M. Chanock. 1977. Experimental respiratory syncytial virus infection of four species of primates. J. Med. Virol. 1: 157-162.
- 4. Byrd, L.G., and G.A. Prince. 1997. Animal models of respiratory syncytial virus infection. Clin. Infect. Dis. 25: 1363-1368.
- 5. Clarke, C.J., Watt, N.J., Meredith, A., McIntyre, N., and S.M. Burns. 1994. Respiratory syncytial virus-associated bronchopneumonia in a young chimpanzee. J. Comp. Path. 110: 207-212.
- 6. Kilbourn, A.M., Karesh, W.B., Wolfe, N.D., Bosi, E.J., Cook, R.A., and M. Andau. 2003. Health evaluation of free-ranging and semi-captive orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus pygmaeus*) in Sabah, Malaysia. J. Wild. Dis. 39: 73-83.
- 7. McCarthy, C.A., and C. B. Hall. 2003. Respiratory syncytial virus: concerns and control. Ped. Rev. 24: 301-308.
- 8. Mansfield, K., and N. King. 1998. Viral diseases. *In*: Bennett, B.T., Abee, C.R., and R. Henrickson (eds.). Nonhuman Primates in Biomedical Research. Academic Press, San Diego, California. Pp. 1-48.
- 9. Santos, S.V., Strefezzi, R.F., Pissinatti, A., Takakura, C.F.H., Kanamura, C., Duarte, M.I.S., and J.L. Catão-Dias. 2012. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) pneumonia in a southern muriqui (*Brachyteles arachnoides*). J. Med. Primatol. 42 (6): 403-406.
- 10. Simoes, E.A.F., Hayward, A.R., Ponnuraj, E.M., Straumanis, J.P., Stenmark, K.R., Wilson, H.L., and P.G. Babu. 1999. Respiratory syncytial virus infects the bonnet monkey, *Macaca radiata*. Ped. Develop. Path. 2: 316-326.
- 11. Szentiks, C.A., Kondgen, S., Silinski, S., Speck, S., and F. H. Leendertz. 2009. Lethal pneumonia in a captive juvenile chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*) due to human-transmitted human respiratory syncytial virus (HRSV) and infection with *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J. Med. Primatol. 38: 236-240.
- 12. Takanori, K., Okamoto, M., Yoshida, T., Nishida, T., Tsubota, T., Saito, A., Tomonaga, M., Matsuzawa, T., Akari, H., Nishimura, H., and T. Miyabe-Nishiwaki. 2013. Epidemiological study of zoonoses derived from humans in captive chimpanzees. Primates. 54(1): 89-98.
- 13. Unwin, S., Chatterton, J., and J. Chantrey. 2013. Management of severe respiratory tract disease caused by human respiratory syncytial virus and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in captive chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). J. Zoo. Wild. Med. 44(1) 105-115.

RICKETTSIOSIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals	Vector-borne, primarily ticks but some species are transmitted by fleas	Non-specific	Non-clinical or mild to severe including death.	Doxycycline	Avoid contact with ticks and other ectoparasites. No vaccine available.	Many species are zoonotic.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Michael J. Yabsley

Sheet completed on: 1 August 2013, updated 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Edward B. Breitschwerdt; Kristina M. Delaski; Gail Miriam Moraru

Susceptible animal groups: For those *Rickettsia* species that are tick-borne, ticks serve as the definitive and reservoir hosts for these bacteria, but numerous vertebrate hosts are important as they serve as blood-meals for ectoparasites and some can serve as amplifying hosts for *Rickettsia* spp. Antibodies to *Rickettsia* spp. have been reported in a wide range of wildlife and domestic animal species.

Causative organism: The four main *Rickettsia* species that are known to cause disease in people and/or animals in the United States are:

Rickettsia rickettsii, the causative agent of Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever, is transmitted by ticks (primarily *Dermacentor* spp. and rarely by *Amblyomma americanum*). In Arizona (USA), transmission to dogs and people has been documented by *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*.

Rickettsia parkeri, causative agent of Parkeri Rickettsiosis or American Boutonneuse Fever, is transmitted by ticks (primarily *Amblyomma maculatum* and rarely *A. americanum*) and frequently causes an eschar.

Rickettsia philipii (Rickettsia 364D), causative agent of Pacific Coast tick fever, an eschar-associated febrile disease in people, is transmitted by *Dermacentor occidentalis*.

Rickettsia typhi (endemic or murine typhus) is transmitted by Xenopsylla cheopis usually infesting rats. Rickettsia felis, (commonly referred to as cat flea typhus) which is transmitted by Ctenocephalides felis, is endemic to all continents except Antarctica.

Other species of *Rickettsia* have been detected in the US but most are considered endosymbionts of ticks (i.e., these species aren't known to induce disease in vertebrate hosts). However, in recent years, some of these endosymbionts (e.g., *Rickettsia amblyommatis*) have been associated with mild disease in people. Outside of the US, numerous of *Rickettsia* species exist, many of which are zoonotic.

Zoonotic potential: Many species, but not all, are zoonotic.

Distribution: *Rickettsia* spp. have been reported world-wide. *R. rickettsii* and *R. felis* are distributed throughout the Americas while *R. parkeri* is found in the southeastern US and *R. philipii* occurs in California. *R. typhi* and *R. felis* are widely distributed throughout the world.

Incubation period: Typically 3-14 days.

Clinical signs:

<u>People</u>: Wide range of symptoms from asymptomatic to severe potentially fatal disease. Mild or asymptomatic cases rarely diagnosed. Some individuals develop a fever, muscle pain, headache, and rash (due to damage of vascular endothelial cells), but, importantly, a rash is not always observed with rickettsioses. Multi-organ disease results in high mortality rate if not treated. Infections with *R. parkeri* and *R. philipii* tend to be less severe than *R. rickettsii* and often present with an eschar at the site of tick attachment. Neurologic signs may develop in people infected with *R. typhi* or *R. felis*.

<u>Canines</u>: Canines are susceptible to *R. rickettsii* and can develop severe disease rapidly, although most infections are asymptomatic or mild. Dogs can develop similar clinical signs as people. The most common clinical signs include fever, lethargy, anorexia, ataxia, rash, swollen lymph nodes, and localized edema.

RICKETTSIOSIS

<u>Other animals</u>: Most other animals only have short-term infections with no associated disease. These animals as well as others that don't become ill develop antibodies that can be detected by serologic testing.

Clinical pathological, gross, and histopathological findings: Thrombocytopenia is common. Leukopenia followed by a leukocytosis and mild anemia may develop. Petechiae and ecchymoses are common due to damage to endothelial cells.

Diagnosis: These diseases can be difficult to diagnose but diagnosis is based on clinical signs, exposure to ectoparasites (ticks/fleas), and supporting data from laboratory findings, serology, and/or molecular assays. Ideally, acute and convalescent serum samples are tested for antibodies. Molecular testing of petechial skin biopsies (or blood, although this sample is less rewarding) can be used. Fluorescent antibody (FA) or molecular testing of tissues can be used to diagnoses cases post-mortem. Because clinical signs may develop quickly, lack of a serologic response doesn't preclude infection. PCR testing has not been widely used to document active infection in wildlife species.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, EDTA blood for PCR, skin biopsy, and/or tissue samples.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Humans: Many state diagnostic labs have testing capabilities.

Animals:

North Carolina State University

College of Veterinary Medicine

Vector Borne Disease Diagnostic Laboratory

1060 William Moore Drive

Room 462A

Raleigh, NC 27607

919-513-8279

http://www.cvm.ncsu.edu/vhc/csds/ticklab.html (serology and PCR)

Antech Diagnostics

Corporate Headquarters:

17672-B Cowan Avenue

Irvine, CA 92614

ANTECH West 1-800-745-4725 ANTECH East 1-800-872-1001 ANTECH Canada 1-800-341-3440 ANTECH Test Express 1-888-397-8378

(serology)

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue

Suite 4

Chatsworth, CA 91311-3800

Phone: 818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881

Email: info@zoologix.com

(This PCR does not differentiate among *Rickettsia* spp.)

Treatment: The most common treatment is doxycycline, usually 10 - 20 mg/kg every 12 hours for 7 days. A lower dose (5 mg/kg every 12 hours) can also be given for 14 days. Chloramphenicol can also be used.

Prevention and control: Because *Rickettsia* spp. are vector-borne, limiting exposure to vectors is necessary to prevent transmission. Transmission doesn't occur from animal to animal, but can occur through blood

RICKETTSIOSIS

inoculation of wounds. Habitat modification to limit ticks in areas where animal frequent. Some birds are known hosts for certain tick species, and while they may not be competent hosts of the rickettsial pathogens, they can aid in distribution of vectors.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: *Rickettsia* spp. are not viable outside of the host. Prevent vector exposure. Application of acaricides and removal of leaf litter can decrease tick abundance.

Notification: CDC Reportable Disease for human cases in US

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: These bacteria are vector-borne so direct contact between animals is not a risk factor for infection. However, ectoparasite prevention should be implemented.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: n/a

Experts who may be consulted:

Ed Breitschwerdt DVM

NCSU - College of Veterinary Medicine

Vector Borne Disease Diagnostic Laboratory

1060 William Moore Drive

Room 462A

Raleigh, NC 27607

Ed Breitschwerdt@ncsu.edu

Susan Little

Oklahoma State University

Center for Veterinary Health Sciences

250 McElroy Hall

Stillwater, OK 74078

susan.little@okstate.edu

- 1. Allison RW, Little SE. Diagnosis of rickettsial diseases in dogs and cats. Vet Clin Pathol. 2013;42:127-
- 2. Apperson CS, Engber B, Nicholson WL, Mead DG, Engel J, Yabsley MJ, Dail K, Johnson J, Watson DW. Tick-borne diseases in North Carolina: is "*Rickettsia amblyommii*" a possible cause of rickettsiosis reported as Rocky Mountain spotted fever? Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2008;8:597-606.
- 3. Bayliss DB, Morris AK, Horta MC, Labruna MB, Radecki SV, Hawley JR, Brewer MM, Lappin MR. Prevalence of *Rickettsia* species antibodies and *Rickettsia* species DNA in the blood of cats with and without fever. J Feline Med Surg. 2009;11:266-270.
- 4. Breitschwerdt EB, Hegarty BC, Maggi RG, Lantos PM, Aslett DM, Bradley JM. *Rickettsia rickettsii* transmission by a lone star tick, North Carolina. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17:873-875.
- 5. Cohen SB, Yabsley MJ, Garrison LE, Freye JD, Dunlap BG, Dunn JR, Mead DG, Jones TF, Moncayo AC. *Rickettsia parkeri* in *Amblyomma americanum* ticks, Tennessee and Georgia, USA. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15:1471-1473.
- 6. Demma LJ, Traeger M, Blau D, Gordon R, Johnson B, Dickson J, Ethelbah R, Piontkowski S, Levy C, Nicholson WL, Duncan C, Heath K, Cheek J, Swerdlow DL, McQuiston JH. Serologic evidence for exposure to *Rickettsia rickettsii* in eastern Arizona and recent emergence of Rocky Mountain spotted fever in this region. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2006;6:423-429.
- 7. Fritz CL, Kriner P, Garcia D, Padgett KA, Espinosa A, Chase R, Hu R, Messenger SL. Tick infestation and spotted-fever group Rickettsia in shelter dogs, California, 2009. Zoonoses Public Health 2009;59:4-7.
- 8. Fritzen CM, Huang J, Westby K, Freye JD, Dunlap B, Yabsley MJ, Schardein M, Dunn JR, Jones TF,

RICKETTSIOSIS

- Moncayo AC . Infection prevalences of common tick-borne pathogens in adult lone star ticks (*Amblyomma americanum*) and American dog ticks (*Dermacentor variabilis*) in Kentucky. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2011;85:718-723.
- 9. Grasperge BJ, Wolfson W, Macaluso KR. *Rickettsia parkeri* infection in domestic dogs, Southern Louisiana, USA, 2011. Emerg Infect Dis. 2012;18:995-997.
- 10. Labruna MB, Kamakura O, Moraes-Filho J, Horta MC, Pacheco RC. Rocky Mountain spotted fever in dogs, Brazil. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15:458-460.
- 11. McQuiston JH, Guerra MA, Watts MR, Lawaczeck E, Levy C, Nicholson WL, Adjemian J, Swerdlow DL. Evidence of exposure to spotted fever group rickettsiae among Arizona dogs outside a previously documented outbreak area. Zoonoses Public Health 2011;58:85-92.
- 12. Moraru GM, Goddard J, Paddock CD, Varela-Stokes A. Experimental infection of cotton rats and bobwhite quail with *Rickettsia parkeri*. Parasit Vectors. 2013;6:70.
- 13. Moraru GM, Goddard J, Murphy A, Link D, Belant JL, Varela-Stokes A. Evidence of antibodies to spotted fever group rickettsiae in small mammals and quail from Mississippi. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2013;13:1-5.
- 14. Nicholson WL, Allen KE, McQuiston JH, Breitschwerdt EB, Little SE. The increasing recognition of rickettsial pathogens in dogs and people. Trends Parasitol. 2010;26:205-212.
- 15. Paddock CD, Finley RW, Wright CS, Robinson HN, Schrodt BJ, Lane CC, Ekenna O, Blass MA, Tamminga CL, Ohl CA, McLellan SL, Goddard J, Holman RC, Openshaw JJ, Sumner JW, Zaki SR, Eremeeva ME. *Rickettsia parkeri* rickettsiosis and its clinical distinction from Rocky Mountain spotted fever. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;47:1188-1196.
- 16. Padgett KA, Bonilla D, Eremeeva ME, Glaser C, Lane RS, Porse CC, Castro MB, Messenger S, Espinosa A, Hacker J, Kjemtrup A, Ryan B, Scott JJ, Hu R, Yoshimizu MH, Dasch GA, Kramer V. The eco-epidemiology of Pacific Coast Tick Fever in California. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10:e0005020.
- 17. Parola P, Labruna MB, Raoult D. Tick-borne rickettsioses in America: unanswered questions and emerging diseases. Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2009;11:40-50.
- 18. Piranda EM, Faccini JL, Pinter A, Saito TB, Pacheco RC, Hagiwara MK, Labruna MB. Experimental infection of dogs with a Brazilian strain of *Rickettsia rickettsii*: clinical and laboratory findings. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2008;103:696-701.
- 19. Purcell K, Fergie J, Richman K, Rocha L. Murine typhus in children, South Texas. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13:926-927.
- 20. Starkey LA, West MD, Barrett AW, Saucier JM, O'Connor TP, Paras KL, Reiskind MH, Reichard MV, Little SE. Prevalence of antibodies to spotted fever group *Rickettsia* spp. and *Ehrlichia* spp. in coyotes (*Canis latrans*) in Oklahoma and Texas, USA. J Wildl Dis. 2013;49:670-673.
- 21. Stromdahl EY, Jiang J, Vince M, Richards AL. Infrequency of *Rickettsia rickettsii* in *Dermacentor variabilis* removed from humans, with comments on the role of other human-biting ticks associated with spotted fever group Rickettsiae in the United States. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2011;11:969-977.
- 22. Ter-Vartanov VN, Gusev VM, Reznik PA, Guseva AA, Mirzoeva MN, Bocharnikov ON, Bakeev NN. Transport of ticks and fleas by birds. Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal. 1956;35:173–189. [In Russian.]

RIFT VALLEY FEVER

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Domestic ruminants, some wild ruminants, humans, some primates, gray squirrels, some rodents, newborn cats and dogs.	Vector-borne; direct contamination in humans. Virus harbored in mosquito eggs, remains dormant. Periodic heavy rains and flooding cause mosquito hatching and virus transmission and amplification in mammalian hosts.	Epizootic, abortion storms in pregnant ewes, high fever, weakness, gastro- intestinal signs, mortality.	May be inapparent, mild or fatal. Abortions may reach 100%. High mortality in susceptible young and pregnant animals.	None, supportive care.	Animal movement, remove infected animals and vector control. Vaccination in endemic areas. Barrier protocols for exposed humans.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Thomas W deMaar; updated by Mark. W. Atkinson

Sheet completed on: 21 January 2011; updated 26 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: John C. Morrill, Pierre Rollin

Susceptible animal groups: Sheep, cattle, goats, African buffalo, water buffalo, Asian monkeys and humans can be infected. Susceptibility of cervids is not known. Death in wild African ruminants is rare but there are recent reports of abortion and/or deaths with virus isolation in African buffalo, wildebeest, waterbuck, giraffe, sable, springbok and impala. Camels, African monkeys, baboons, equids, pigs and domestic carnivores are considered resistant experiencing only asymptomatic viremia. Gray squirrels, mice, hamsters and newborn dogs and cats can be experimentaly infected but don't usually play a role in the transmission.

Causative organism: RVF virus is an RNA *Phlebovirus* of the family Bunyaviridae. Only one serotype is recognized but strains of variable virulence exist. Virus circulates in endemic areas among wild ruminants and hematophagus mosquitoes; certain *Aedes* species act as reservoirs during inter-epizootic periods and increased precipitation in dry areas leads to an explosive hatching of eggs. Precipitation cycles of 5-25 years produce RVF-immuno naïve animal populations, and introduction of virus can lead to explosive outbreaks. Virus can be transmitted by many species of mosquitoes and other biting insects during viremic phase in mammalian hosts.

Zoonotic potential: Humans infected via contact with nasal discharge and blood from viremic animals as well as aborted fetuses and vaginal secretions following abortion in animals, mosquitoes, and by aerosols and possibly, though unproven, by consumption of raw milk. It is possible that humans can act as amplifying hosts. Generally, raw meat is not a source although it can contain viremic blood, and for humans, it is usually cooked.

Distribution: Serologic or virologic evidence over most of Africa. Considered endemic in sub-Saharan Africa but recently it has made incursions into some Middle Eastern countries and Madagascar.

Incubation period: 1-6 days; 12-36 hrs in lambs.

Clinical signs: Abortion storms occur in domestic livestock at any stage of pregnancy. Biphasic fever up to 106° F (up to 104° F in humans, to 107° F in sheep.) Young animals more severely affected showing high fever, listlessness and unwillingness to move; up to 90% mortality in newborn and young animals after very short incubation period. Affected animals die within 24-36 hours and are often just found dead without exhibiting clinical signs. Older susceptible animals (> 2 weeks of age) show high fever,

RIFT VALLEY FEVER

listlessness, anorexia and weakness and often develop a high titered viremia. Gastrointestinal signs are common: abdominal pain, regurgitation, foul smelling bloody diarrhea, and icterus. Abortion maybe the only sign (40-100% in sheep). Adults may have inapparent infections with abortion being the only sign. Mortality in adult sheep ranges from 20 to 70% and approximately 10% in adult cattle. Camels present either hyperacute form, with sudden death in <24 hours; or and an acute form with fever, ataxia, dyspnea, blood-tinged nasal discharge, icterus, severe conjunctivitis, hemorrhages of gums and tongue, foot lesions, nervous symptoms, and abortions. Humans experience a febrile disease that is usually mild and transient but in rare cases can be fatal with hemorrhagic fever, ocular disease (retinal vasculitis), liver disease and meningoencephalitis.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Focal or generalized hepatic necrosis; enlarged, discolored, soft, friable liver with irregular congestion and white necrotic foci (~1 mm diameter). Lesions are most severe in aborted fetus and young animals. Widespread cutaneous hemorrhages, petechiae and ecchymoses on serosal membranes. Gall bladder wall edematous with possible hemorrhage. Spleen and lymph nodes are edematous, enlarged and may show petechiae. Hemorrhagic enteritis, intestinal contents dark chocolate-brown.

Diagnosis: It is suspected in endemic areas when presented with abortions and relevant signs combined with febrile disease in humans after heavy rains and/or flooding. Histopathology of liver is relevant. Most tissues will contain virus and can be used for detection (virus isolation, PCR, ELISA antigen detection) and numerous serologic tests exist: VN, ELISA, IgG and IgM.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Blood, liver, spleen, brain and aborted fetuses are tissues of choice.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Viral Special Pathogens Branch

1600 Clifton Road NE

Atlanta, GA 30333

Phone: (404) 639-1115 or (404) 639-1510 *Contact prior to specimen submission*

Treatment: No specific treatment is available but supportive care can be provided.

Prevention and control: Vector control and prevent movement of livestock are important measures for managing this disease. General barrier measures (gloves, masks, goggles, etc) should be used when handling suspected materials. Attenuated (Smithburn strain) and inactivated virus vaccines available for use in Africa. No licensed vaccine for use in US but several live-attenuated mutant vaccines are undergoing experimental analysis. The Smithburn vaccine strain is known to cause abortion and birth defects so immunization of pregnant animals is not advised.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: While this is not usually performed, virus is susceptible to acidic solutions, lipid solvents and hypochlorite solutions.

Notification: Reportable to USDA National Animal Health Reporting System (A080)

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None described but response would be massive.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Unadvised

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: A disease free period with active surveillance longer than 4 years. Recovery probably confers lifelong immunity.

Experts who may be consulted:

John C. Morrill DVM, Ph.D.

Dept. of Microbiology & Immunology

RIFT VALLEY FEVER

University of Texas Medical Branch 301 University Blvd., Rm 4.142B MRB Galveston, TX 77555-1070 (409) 772-4908 Cell (254) 223-2868 jcmorril@utmb.edu

CDC – Viral Special Pathogens Branch 1600 Clifton Rd Atlanta, GA 30333 404-639-1115 or 404-639-1510 Dvd1spath@cdc.gov

Amy L. Hartman, Ph.D.
University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health
Regional Biocontainment Laboratory
8038 BST 3
3501 Fifth Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15261
412-648-8765
Fax 412-648-8917
hartman2@pitt.edu

- 1. http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvrd/spb/mnpages/dispages/rvf.htm Accessed 25 September 2013.
- 2. http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/disease.php?name=q-fever. Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 3. http://www.oie.int/index.php?id=169&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_1.8.12.htm. Accessed 26 August 2013.
- 4. El Mamy, A.B.O., M.O. Baba, Y. Barry, K. Isselmou, M.L. Dia, B. Hampate, M.O.B. El Kory, M. Diop, M.M. Lo, Y. Thiongane, M. Bengoumi, L. Puech, L. Plee, F. Claes, S. de La Rocque, and B. Doumbia. 2011. Unexpected Rift Valley Fever outbreak, northern Mauritania. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 17(10): 1894-1896.
- 5. Kasari, T., D.A., Carr, T.V. Lynn, and J.T. Weaver. 2008. Evaluation of pathways for release of Rift Valley fever virus into domestic ruminant livestock, ruminant wildlife, and human populations in the continental United States. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 232(4):514-29.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals, including humans; and certain avian species	Fecal-oral	Diarrhea and other signs of enteritis, including inappetence, and lethargy	Self- limiting to severe	Supportive, correcting dehydration, acid- base imbalance; antibiotics to prevent secondary infection	Vaccines available for humans, cattle, horses, and pigs, poultry	Yes, potentially

Fact Sheet compiled by: Meredith M. Clancy

Sheet completed on: 15 October 2018 **Fact Sheet Reviewed by:** Cara Field

Susceptible animal groups: Ruminants, including non-domestic bovids, antilocaprids (pronghorn), cervids, and giraffids. Rotaviral enteritis also documented in poultry and wild birds, ferrets, rabbits, guinea pigs, felids, canids, camelids, equids, and domestic pigs.

Rotaviruses, especially of Group A, are the most common cause of severe diarrhea in children under 5 years of age. Rotavirus infections are considered species-specific, but re-assortment of the virus between species may occur.

Causative organism: Rotaviruses (family Reoviridae) are generally named after the species where it was first found: Bovine Rotavirus, Porcine Rotavirus, Feline Rotavirus, Canine Rotavirus, etc. Much diversity exists in these viruses due to their genomes' ability to mutate, reassert and rearrange. In human medicine and virology, rotaviral isolates are grouped according to antigens present using A – E, with Group A being the most prevalent cause of illness in humans, but Group C can also cause outbreaks.

Zoonotic potential: Animal rotaviruses are reservoirs for genetic exchange with human rotaviruses, and animal rotaviruses can infect humans, both naturally and experimentally.

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: Variable – from 15h to 5d

Clinical signs: Enteritis resulting in diarrhea is nearly always the presenting sign, sometimes pale yellow or mucoid in character. Lactose-intolerance may be present due to the lack of lactase secretion by enterocytes—an important sign in nursing animals. Other clinical signs may include fever, inappetance, dullness, and progressive dehydration causing metabolic acidosis, which if severe enough can lead to death.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross lesions include thinning of the intestinal walls with sequestration of fluid into the small intestine leading to marked distention of the intestines and abdomen. In young animals, non-digested milk may be present in the intestine. Depending on the strain's virulence, lesions may present in only localized areas of the jejunum, or may be throughout the small intestine and into the large intestine. Rotaviruses infect mature enterocytes on the villi surface in the small intestine, leading to villous atrophy and blunting with club-shaped, stumpy villi that are often fused. Crypt epithelium is often hyperplastic while trying to recover the lost villous enterocytes. Columnar epithelium is lost and replaced with cuboidal or squamous epithelium.

Diagnosis: Electron microscopy (EM) can be used as a screening tool to identify virus in the feces. EM alone is not sufficient to diagnose rotavirus as the cause of diarrhea; comparative levels with nonclinical animals are used in cattle to support diagnosis. Antigen detection can be performed via enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), commonly used to diagnose rotavirus.

Enzyme immunoassays (EIA) point-of-care tests exist for human medicine that have been validated in detecting bovine rotavirus. Latex agglutination testing can also be used to detect Group A rotavirus antigen. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), including reverse-transcriptase qPCR can both detect rotavirus and differentiate between species. Indirect fluorescent assay (IFA) can detect antigen in tissue, generally using

ROTAVIRUS

post-mortem samples. Serology is generally noncontributory, as rotavirus exposure is often widespread and results are nonspecific.

Material required for laboratory analysis:

PCR has become the most widely available test

Feces for ELISA, EIA, latex agglutination, PCR

Fresh tissue (small intestine) for IFA

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Michigan State University Diagnostic Center for Population and Animal Health

PCR: Bovine, Equine, Ferret, Porcine

Clinical Pathology Laboratory

A215 Veterinary Medical Center

Michigan State University

East Lansing, MI 48824-1314

(517) 353-1683

https://www.animalhealth.msu.edu/

Texas A&M Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory

Electron microscopy, PCR: bovine

College Station Laboratory

PO Box Drawer 3040

College Station, TX 77841-3040

Phone: (979) 845-3414 Fax: (979) 845-1794 http://tvmdl.tamu.edu/

Point of care testing: Immuno Card STAT!® Rotavirus test is available through numerous suppliers, produced by Meridian Bioscience http://www.meridianbioscience.com/diagnostic-products/rotovirus-and-adenovirus/immunocard/immunocard-stat-rotavirus.aspx

Treatment: Treatment relies on correction of dehydration and metabolic acidosis, using IV fluid resuscitation or oral rehydration solutions and bicarbonate given orally or IV to address acidosis. Antibiotics are often used to prevent secondary bacterial infections via the compromised gastrointestinal tract. Zinc is used adjunctively in management of human rotavirus.

Prevention and control: In ruminants, colostrum often contains antibodies (IgA) to rotavirus in herds where rotavirus is naturally circulating, but the calf's antibody concentrations decline sharply after one week. Vaccination of the dam 1-3m prior to calving increases circulating antibodies in the milk and helps reduce rotavirus in calves. Vaccination strategies differ among practitioners for nondomestic hoofstock. In species without viable vaccine, prevention and control are best achieved by reducing fecal contamination of the environment through routine cleaning and removal of feces, disinfection of enclosures and all material the animal contacts. Isolation of sick individuals and quarantine of new animals is important to reduce exposure of naïve animals to shed virus. In production animals, the all-in/all-out technique is used to reduce exposure and contamination.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Rotaviruses are hardier than coronaviruses and other diarrheal viruses. Disinfectants that are reported to be effective include formaldehyde (0.25%), phenol (2%), sodium hypochlorite (1%), quaternary ammonium compounds, and iodophores. Cleaning, steaming, and disinfecting of housing facilities is recommended.

Notification: Not reportable to USDA or OIE

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: N/A

ROTAVIRUS

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended. Animals that have been naturally infected may have short-lived immunity via mucosal and cell-mediated immunity, however, so can be reintroduced once convalesced.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: N/A

Experts who may be consulted:

Roger K. Maes, DVM, MS, Ph.D.

Michigan State University – Section Head Virology

4125 Beaumont Road, Bldg 0215, Ste 161

Lansing, MI 48910

516-432-5811 maes@dcpah.msu.edu

Delbert (Hank) L. Harris, DVM, PhD

Iowa State University – Professor Emeritus of Animal Science

11 Kildee Hall

Ames, Iowa 50011

515-294-1664 hharris@iastate.edu

K. Gary Magdesian, DVM, DACVIM, DACVECC, DACVCP

University of California Davis – Professor of Medicine & Epidemiology

3202 Tupper Hall

Davis, CA 95616

kgmagdesian@vmth.ucdavis.edu

- 1. American Association of Swine Veterinarians. Swine Disease Manual. Neumann EJ, Ramirex A, Schwartz KJ (eds.). Perry (IA): American Association of Swine Veterinarians; 2010. Available from https://vetmed.iastate.edu/vdpam/FSVD/swine/index-diseases
- 2. Baumeister BM, Castro AE, McGuire-Rodgers SJ, Ramsay EC. Detection and control of rotavirus infections in zoo animals. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1983;183:1252-1254.
- 3. Citino SB. Rotavirus and coronavirus infections in nondomestic ruminants. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine, Volume 4, Current therapy. Philadelphia (PA): WB Saunders Co.; 1999. p. 605-612.
- 4. Cook N, Bridger J, Kendall K, Gomara MI, El-Attar L, Gray J. The zoonotic potential of rotavirus. J Infect. 2004;48:289-302.
- 5. Eugster AK, Strother J, Hartfield DA. Rotavirus (reovirus-like) infection of neonatal ruminants in a zoo nursery. J Wildl Dis. 1978:14:351-354.
- 6. Izzo M, Gunn AA, House JK. Neonatal diarrhea. In: Smith BP (ed.). Large animal internal medicine, 5th edition. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2015. p. 317.
- 7. Maes RK, Grooms DL, Wise AG, Han C, Ciesicki V, Hanson L, Vickers ML, Kanitz C, Holland R. Evaluation of a human Group A rotavirus assay for on-site detection of bovine rotavirus. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41:290-294.
- 8. Martella V, Banyai K, Matthijnssens J, Buonavoglia C, Ciarlet M. Zoonotic aspects of rotaviruses. Vet Microbiol. 2010;140: 246-255.
- 9. Mulherin E, Bryan J, Beltman M, O'Grady L, Pidgeon E, Garon L, Lloyd A, Bainbridge J, O'Shea H, Whyte P, Fanning S. Molecular characterisation of a bovine-like rotavirus detected from a giraffe. BMC Vet Res. 2008;4:46.
- 10. Raphael BL, Sneed L, Ott-Joslin J. Rotavirus-like infection associated with diarrhea in okapi. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1986;189:1183-1184.

SALMONELLOSIS

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected						
Most vertebrates	Fecal-oral	Mild: gastroenteritis with vomiting, diarrhea. Severe: additionally anorexia, lethargy, pyrexia, dehydration. Severe acute septicemia: systemic infection possible	Varies from subclinical carriage to septicemia and death. Septicemic form often is fatal without prior or unobserved clinical signs.	Antibiotics essential for septic salmonellosis; controversial for enteric infection	Biosecurity essential Pest control Sanitation Vaccination with autogenous bacterin available	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cornelia J. Ketz-Riley; updated by Meredith M. Clancy

Sheet completed on: 18 December 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Lana Krol

Susceptible animal groups: Non-typhoidal salmonellosis causes natural infection in all taxa of vertebrates. Reptiles are important carriers, but multiple exotic pet species have been implicated in human disease outbreaks. Only humans are susceptible to *S. typhi*, the causative agent of typhoid.

Causative organism: Family: Enterobacteriaceae; Genus: Salmonella

- -Salmonella enterica has 6 subspecies, but common language can abbreviate the serotype. Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serotype Typhimurium can be abbreviated to Salmonella Typhimurium.
 - S. enterica subspecies enterica (I) with common serovars
 - S. enteritidis
 - S. paratyphi
 - S. typhimurium
 - S. typhi
 - S. pullorum
 - S. gallinarum
 - S. enterica subspecies salamae (II)
 - S. enterica subspecies arizonae (IIIa)
 - S. enterica subspecies diarizonae (IIIb)
 - S. enterica subspecies houtenae (IV)
 - S. enterica subspecies indica (VI)

There are over 2600 extant serovars recognized determined by phenotyping of the O (somatic) and H (flagellar) antigens. Nomenclature for this genus has constantly evolved, leading to some inconsistences in the literature, particularly for serovars from subspecies *arizonae* (IIIa) and *diarizonae* (IIIb) which were once listed in their own genus (*Arizona*) or species (*Salmonella arizona*), and some laboratories fail to differentiate between these 2 during initial biochemical testing.

- S. bongori, formerly S. enterica subspecies V is of less veterinary importance.

For nomenclature clarify, WHO, CDC, and Institut Pasteur use the Kauffman-White scheme for naming serovars, the most recent of which is found at: https://www.pasteur.fr/sites/default/files/veng_0.pdf

Zoonotic potential: High **Distribution:** Worldwide

Incubation period: Generally 1-4 days.

SALMONELLOSIS

Clinical signs:

Acute: gastroenteritis (including vomiting and diarrhea), pyrexia, and anorexia.

<u>Severe/septicemic</u>: lethargy, polydipsia, dehydration, petechial hemorrhages on cutaneous and mucosal surfaces, joint pain (polyarthritis), abdominal pain, respiratory signs, neurological signs; possibly death <u>Chronic</u>: reduced productivity such as egg and milk production, suppressed growth, decreased fertility, decreased hatchability, and abortion.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Most common findings during gross necropsy include signs of dehydration, gastroenteritis, hepatomegaly with or without miliary white foci, splenomegaly, and mesenteric lymphadenopathy. Pneumonia can be observed more often in birds and calves. In cases of septicemia, petechial hemorrhages can occur in multiple organs, with muscular necrosis typically involving myocardial and gizzard (in avian species) muscle, nephropathy, polyserositis, and synovitis commonly found. Histopathological findings include multifocal necrotic hepatitis, necrosis of cryptic or surface enterocytes in lower small intestines, cecum and colon.

Diagnosis: Culture of fresh fecal material is still the most commonly used diagnostic tool to detect *Salmonella* shedding. PCR can be used to evaluate shedding with a quicker turn-around time than culture. Historically, serotyping was performed on isolates to elucidate course of disease in individuals and epidemiology in populations. Molecular techniques such as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis now allow for more exact epidemiologic tracing.

Serological examinations can be used to establish presence of *Salmonella* on herd basis, but are not reliable for individual animal status identification, although have been used to evaluate vaccination response and flock exposure.

Material required for laboratory analysis: For culture or PCR, feces, organ tissue, whole blood, milk or other environmental material are recommended. Serum is best used for serology such as ELISA.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any laboratory that is set up for culture methods can be used for first screening for *Salmonella*. Serotyping is most commonly sent to the National Veterinary Services Laboratories.

Treatment: Mild infections are self-limiting and are only treated with supportive care, such as rehydration, electrolytes, and analgesics. Antibiotic therapy is controversial as elimination is rare, re-infection common, and creation of a carrier state a likely outcome. Animals treated with antibiotics have shown prolonged bacterial shedding post- treatment. Antibiotics are generally used for suspected sepsis or in immunocompromised or young animals where sepsis is likely. While ideally antibiotics are based on antimicrobial resistance patterns, commonly used antibiotics include trimethoprim-sulfonamide combinations, ampicillin, fluoroquinolones, and third-generation cephalosporins, although resistance to nearly all classes have been reported in some isolates.

Prevention and control: Eradication is difficult due to asymptomatic carriers. Preventive control programs should consist of a good biosecurity protocols. Multiple non-pharmaceutical therapeutic measures, including food and water additives such as probiotics, have been tried to increase intestinal immunity. Vaccination is not possible for most taxa of animals, although vaccines exist for production animals (poultry, cattle, and swine), and autogenous vaccines may be produced for local use.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Most commonly used disinfectants, such as diluted hypochlorite, quaternary ammonium based products are effective against *Salmonella* sp.

Notification:

- Fowl Typhoid (*Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* Gallinarum) and serovar Pullorum (*Salmonella* Pullorum), reportable to USDA and OIE
- Salmonella Abortusovis, reportable to USDA and OIE

Positive laboratory tests are often reportable and various serovars may be reportable in particular states or jurisdictions.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Salmonellosis is part of the National Animal Disease Surveillance Plan, due to its importance as a foodborne bacterial illness. Specific measures required depend on the animal species and nature of the outbreak.

SALMONELLOSIS

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Regular quarantine in a clean environment; reduce access to host animals; separate tools and personnel for quarantined animals

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Quarantine of whole collection; isolation of sick and potentially infected animals; testing of any potentially contaminated feed, water, surface and also healthy animals, as well as personnel, before giving access to previously contaminated area. Multiple cultures of potentially infected animals necessary due to inconsistent shedding of bacteria.

Experts who may be consulted:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases 1600 Clifton Rd Atlanta, GA 30333 800-CDC-INFO

USDA-APHIS-VS-Center for Epidemiology and Animal Health NRRC Building B, M.S. 2E7 2150 Centre Avenue Fort Collins, CO 80526-8117 970-494-7000

E-mail: NAHMS@aphis.usda.gov http://nahms.aphis.usda.gov

- 1. CDC [Internet]. Salmonella. [cited 16 Dec 2018]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/index.html
- 2. Clancy MM, Davis M, Valitutto MT, Nelson K, Sykes IV JM. *Salmonella* infection and carriage in reptiles in a zoological collection. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2016;248:1050-1059.
- 3. Desin TS, Köster W, Potter AA. *Salmonella* vaccines in poultry: past, present and future. Expert Rev. Vaccines. 2013;12:87-96.
- 4. Grimont PAD, Weill F-X [Internet]. Antigenic formulae of the *Salmonella* serovars. In: WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on *Salmonella*; 2007; 2007 [cited 2019 March 19]. Available from http://hemltd.ru/publications/sections/bakteriozi/Salmonella/medicine/salm4/article.pdf
- 5. Kirkwood JK. Salmonellosis in songbirds (order Passeriformes). In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine, volume 6. St. Louise (MO): Elsevier; 2008. p. 166-169.
- 6. National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians Animal Contact Compendium Committee. Compedium of measures to prevent disease associated with animals in public settings. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2013;243:170-1288.
- 7. Spickler AR [Internet]. *Salmonella* (Nontyphoidal); 2013 [cited 16 Dec 2018]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/factsheets.php
- 8. Wattiau P, Bolan C, Bertrand S. Methodologies for *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* subtyping: gold standards and alternatives. Appl Environ Mirobiol. 2011;77:7877-7885.
- 9. Wolfenden RE, Layton SL, Wolfenden AD, Khatiwara A, Gaona-Ramirez G, Pumford NR, Cole K, Kwon YM, Tellez G, Bergman LR, Hargis BM. Development and evaluation of candidate recombinant *Salmonella*-vectored *Salmonella* vaccines. Poult Sci. 2010;89:2370-2379.

SCHISTOSOMIASIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Old World monkeys, great apes, humans, dogs, cows, rats, water buffaloes, pigs	Percutaneous in contaminated water	S. mansoni, S. japonicum: fever, nausea, cough, diarrhea, abdominal pain, gastroesophageal bleeding, CNS signs; S. haematobium: hematuria, dysuria, SCC of the bladder	Sometimes fatal; more often a chronic disease	Praziquantel	Snail control, good sanitation, access to clean water	Yes, via snail vector

Fact Sheet compiled by: Christine Fiorello

Sheet completed on: January 25, 2011; updated 1 November 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sara Childs Sanford, Walter Boyce

Susceptible animal groups Natural infections of *S. japonicum* have been reported in nearly 50 mammalian species, including humans, rhesus macaques, dogs, cats, rats, pigs, water buffalo, cows, horses, donkeys, goats, rabbits, wild carnivores, wild pigs, wild rodents, shrews, hedgehogs. Many more primates and other species have been experimentally infected. The most important species thought to maintain the disease in natural transmission cycles include humans, dogs, cows, and pigs. *S. haematobium* infects humans, and hybridizes with *S. bovis* to infect cattle.

Causative organism Schistosoma japonicum, S. mansoni, S. haematobium

Zoonotic potential Yes, via a snail vector. Humans are the most common host for *S. mansoni* and *S. haematobium*, but *S. japonicum* infects many domestic and wild mammals that can serve as reservoirs of the fluke. Old World monkeys, including baboons and vervet monkeys, are hosts for *S. mansoni*. These host species are commonly found around human settlements and share water sources with humans.

Distribution *S. mansoni*: Africa, Arabian peninsula, South America; *S. japonicum*: China, Phillipines, Indonesia; *S. haematobium*: African, Arabian peninsula

Incubation period 4-6 weeks (although signs due to the acute phase of infection may be immediate)

Clinical symptoms *S. japonicum* and *S. mansoni* (acute phase): fever, nausea, cough, diarrhea (chronic phase): anemia, bloody diarrhea, gastro-esophageal bleeding, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, cirrhosis, cachexia, ascites, portal hypertension, pulmonary hypertension. *S. haematobium*: hematuria, dysuria, ureteral obstruction, hydronephrosis, squamous cell carcinoma of the bladder

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings Portal and periportal hepatic fibrosis, hepatosplenomegaly, gastroesophageal varices, granulomatous hepatic inflammation, mesenteric lymphadenopathy, colonic ulceration, urinary bladder and ureteral fibrosis, hydronephrosis

Diagnosis Fecal sedimentation or centrifugation, Falcon assay screening test (FAST) ELISA, IgG-ELISA, PCR. Urine centrifugation (*S. haematobium*)

Material required for laboratory analysis Feces, serum, urine

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any commercial lab should be able to find ova in feces or urine; ARUP Laboratories in Salt Lake City, UT can perform antibody testing (800 522-2787; aruplab.com)

Treatment Praziquantel is the treatment of choice; it should be repeated in 4-6 weeks. Recently, resistance to praziquantel is being recognized in some areas.

SCHISTOSOMIASIS

Prevention and control Snail control, improved sanitation, access to clean water

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities Niclosamide 10 mg/l to kill snails

Notification: none

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal N/A (requires vector for transmission)

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: N/A (not in USA)

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Patrick Skelly

Molecular Helminthology Lab

Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine

Tufts University

http://vet.tufts.edu/mhl/ Phone: 508-887-4348 Fax: 508-839-7911

Email: Patrick.Skelly@tufts.edu

- 1. Brack, M. 2003. Schistosomiasis. EAZWV Transmissible Disease Fact Sheet, No. 120.
- 2. Fernandez, Jr., T.J., M.R. Tarafder, E. Balolong, Jr., L. Joseph, A.L. Willingham 3rd, P. Bélisle, J.P. Webster, R.M. Olveda, S.T. McGarvey, and H. Carabin. 2007. Prevalence of *Schistosoma japonicum* infection among animals in fifty villages of Samar province, the Phillipines. Vector Borne and Zoonotic diseases 7(2):147-55.
- 3. Gryseels, B., K. Polman, J. Clerinx, and L. Kestens. 2006. Human schistosomiasis. Lancet 368(9541): 1106-18.
- 4. Hardin, B.M., W.M. White, C.T. Clark and G.T. Maclennan. Urinary tract schistosomiasis. Journal of Urology 184(5): 2136-37.
- 5. He, Yi-Xun, B. Salafsky, and K. Ramaswamy. 2001. Host-parasite relationships of *S. japonicum* in mammalian hosts. Trends in Parasitology 17(7): 320-24.
- 6. Kassa, L., A. Omer, W. Tafesse, T. Taye, F. Kebebew, and A. Beker. 2005. Schistosomiasis. Ethiopian Public Health Training Initiative,
 - http://www.cartercenter.org/resources/pdfs/health/ephti/library/modules/Degree/Mod_Schisto_Deg_fina l.pdf. Accessed 10 July 2013.
- 7. Legesse, M. and B. Erko. 2004. Zoonotic intestinal parasites in *Papio anubis* (baboon) and *Cercopithecus aethiops* (vervet) from four localities in Ethiopia. Acta Tropica 90 (3): 231-36.
- 8. Müller-Graf, C.D.M., D.A. Collins, C. Packer, and M.E. Woolhouse. 1997. *Schistosoma mansoni* infection in a natural population of olive baboons in Gombe Stream National Park, Tanzania. Parasitology 115: 621-627.
- 9. Oliveira, L.M.A., H.L.C. Santos, M.M.L. Goncalves, M.G. Barreto, and J.M. Peralta. 2010. Evaluation of PCR as an additional tool for the diagnosis of low-intensity *S. mansoni* infection. Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease 68 (4): 416-21.
- 10. Standley, C.J., L. Mugisha, J.J. Verweij, M. Adriko, M. Arinaitwe, C. Rowell, A. Atuhaire, M. Betson, E. Hobbs, C.R. van Tulleken, R.A. Kane, L. van Lieshout, L. Ajarova, N.B. Kabatereine, and J.R. Stothard. 2011. Confirmed infection with intestinal schistosomiasis in semi-captive wild-born chimpanzees on Ngamba Island, Uganda. Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases; epub doi:10.1089/vbz.2010.0156.
- 11. Vale, T.C., S.R. de Sousa-Pereira, J.G. Ribas, et al. 2012. Neuroschistosomiasis mansoni: literature review and guidelines. Neurologist 18 (6): 333-342.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **SCHISTOSOMIASIS**

12. Wang, W., L. Wang, and Y.S. Liang. 2012. Susceptibility or resistance of praziquantel in human schistosomiasis: a review. Parasitological Research 111 (5): 1871-77.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **NEW WORLD SCREWWORM** (*Cochliomyia hominivorax*)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected		_			Control	
All warm-	Gravid female	Discomfort,	Untreated animals	Treatment of	Monitoring	Yes
blooded	flies deposit	decreased	could die. Mortality	wounds with	wounds	
animals but	eggs either in	appetite, wounds	rates in Texas when	organo-	and	
most cases	wounds or	with malodorous,	disease was	phosphates	treating	
occur in cattle,	directly onto	reddish/brown	endemic in the	(spray, foam,	infested	
goats and	intact mucous	fluid with larvae;	USA was 20 –80%	dip, dust) (e.g.	wounds	
sheep. In Oct.	membranes.	slight movement	in fawns. However,	(coumaphos,	with	
2016 cases		inside a closed	no cases of myiasis	ronnel), or	insecticides	
were confirmed		wound. Upon	in newborn Key	lindane.		
in Key deer (O.		closer	deer fawns were	Carbamate and	Doramectin	
virginianus		observation of	observed in 2016.	pyrethroid	injection.	
clavium) in		wound a mass of	Mortality in the	compounds are		
Florida, USA.		clear-colored	2016 outbreak	also effective vs		
		eggs can be	varied between 7-	larvae, immature		
		observed.	98 animals/month)	forms and flies.		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Carlos R. Sanchez

Sheet completed on: updated December 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Heather Robertson

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals with most cases occurring in cattle, goats, sheep and wildlife; however, dogs, and cats may be affected. Birds are rarely affected.

Causative organism: Cochliomyia hominivorax

Zoonotic potential Yes, with the young, elderly or infirm higher risk of infection.

Distribution: Current distribution includes: Caribbean islands (eradicated in Curacao, Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico) and northern countries of South America to Uruguay, northern Chile and northern Argentina. Panama was recognized free of NWS in 2006 and a permanent barrier zone was established in the Darien province of Eastern Panama. New World screwworm had been eradicated from the United States more than three decades ago, On October 3, 2016 USDA declared the confirmation of New World screwworm (*Cochliomyia hominivorax*) in Key deer from the National Key Deer Refuge in Big Pine Key, Florida. In March 2017, the USDA's APHIS announced the successful eradication of the New World Screwworm from Florida

Incubation period: After 12-24 hrs, eggs are deposited in wounds or mucous membranes have larvae emerge which burrow into the wound. After 7 days, the larvae exit from the wound and fall to the ground. Pupal period ranges from 7d-2mo (depending on temperatures). Complete cycle takes between 3 weeks and 3 months.

Clinical signs: Animals with screwworm infestation often display discomfort and appear unthrifty and depressed. Other non-specific clinical signs include: separation from group, anorexia, and reduced milk production in dairy cattle. Typically, an open wound is present with malodorous reddish/brown fluid that has either eggs or larvae. Egg masses are found around the wound as "shingle-like" raft of whitish or cream-colored egg. The larvae can be visible or deep inside the wound; closed wounds may have slight movement inside. Larvae can also be observed on intact mucous membranes of body orifices (nose, anus, vaginal area). The wound can enlarge due to multiple infestations and if not treated animal could die within 2 weeks.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Screwworms do not feed on dead tissue or carrion so larvae are unlikely to be found on post-mortem examination unless the animal died recently. Larvae of different ages are normally found on wounds or natural opening mucous membranes. Other fly larvae may be present in lesion making gross diagnostic difficult. Microscopic lesions are not useful for the definitive diagnosis of screwworm.

Diagnosis: Screwworm is a reportable disease in US. Before collecting or sending any samples from animals with suspected screwworm, federal and state authorities should be contacted. Identification of the eggs and flies

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **NEW WORLD SCREWWORM** (*Cochliomyia hominivorax*)

are best to left to an entomologist. However, specifically for larvae, they should be removed from the deepest part of the wound and examined grossly by dissecting microscope. Larvae grow from 2mm to fully grown larvae that can reach 1.5cm in length. Larvae are identified by their "wood screw" shape. Screwworm larvae have whitish bodies, and can be differentiated from other larvae by the darkly pigmented tracheal tubes on the dorsal aspect of the posterior end of 3rd stage larvae.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Larvae, eggs or flies can be conserved in vials containing 80% ethanol or isopropyl alcohol; <u>formalin should not be used</u>. Larvae should be removed from the deepest part of the wound to reduce the possibility of collecting non-screwworm species; optimal preservation of larvae, in their natural extended state, can be made by killing them in boiling water (15–30 seconds immersion) before storage in 80% ethanol. Suspected screwworm eggs or flies may also be submitted for diagnosis; eggs may be collected using a scalpel as scraper.

Before collecting or sending any samples from animals with suspected screwworm infections, federal and state authorities should be contacted. In the US, screwworm is a reportable disease and should be reported within 24 hours. Samples should only be sent under secure conditions and to authorized laboratories to prevent the spread of the disease. Screwworms can infest humans; samples should be collected and handled with all appropriate precautions.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL

1920 Dayton Ave. (for parasite specimen submission) – Use VS Form 5-38 available on the APHIS website: (http://www.aphis.usda.gov/library/forms/pdf/VS_Form5_38.pdf)

P.O. Box 844 (for letters)

Ames, IA 50010 (515) 337-7266

Fax: (515) 337-7397

For detailed information concerning the handling and shipping of diagnostic specimens as well as overall guidance on FAD investigations please see APHIS Veterinary Services (VS) Guidance Document 12001 (previously VS Memorandum 580.4) and the FAD Investigation Manual (Manual 4-0), available at http://www.aphis.usda.gov/fadprep.

Treatment: Before any treatment is implemented federal and local authorities must be notified. Organophosphate insecticides (coumaphos, ronnel) and lindane are effective against newly hatched larvae, immature forms and adult flies. Carbamates and pyrethroids may also be used as are effective against larvae and adult flies. In a recent study, nitenpyram showed 100% efficacy on the treatment of myiasis by *C. hominivorax* in naturally infested dogs. Screwworms in wounds are killed by direct application of aerosol, dust or foam that contain any of these products. Removal of necrotic tissue may be necessary and antibiotics may be given when secondary bacterial contamination is present.

Prevention and control: In areas where NWS is found, measures should be implemented to prevent wounds and avoid myiasis. For example, eliminate wounding procedures, handle livestock with care, and inspect pens for sharp objects.

In 1966, US was declared officially free of indigenous screwworms therefore any presumptive case must be reported. The OIE International Animal Health Code stipulates that is necessary to follow strict observation of the requirements for international trade.

When importing domestic and <u>wild mammals</u> from countries considered infested with New World or Old World screwworm, veterinary administrations should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

- 1) Immediately prior to loading, the animals have been inspected on the premises by an official veterinarian and that any infested animal has been rejected for export;
- 2) Immediately prior to entering the quarantine pens in the exporting country:

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **NEW WORLD SCREWWORM** (*Cochliomyia hominivorax*)

- a) each animal has been thoroughly examined for infested wounds by an official veterinarian and that no infestation has been found in any animal; and
- b) any wounds have been treated prophylactically with an officially approved only larvicide at the recommended dose; and
- all animals have been dipped, sprayed, or otherwise treated, immediately after inspection, with a
 product officially approved by the importing and exporting countries for the control of New
 World or Old World screwworm, under the supervision of an official veterinarian and in
 conformity with the manufacturer's recommendations;
- 3) at the end of the quarantine and immediately prior to shipment for export:
 - a) all animals have been re-examined for the presence of infestation and all animals have been found free of infestation;
 - b) all wounds have been prophylactically treated with an approved only larvicide under the supervision of an Official Veterinarian;
- c) all animals have been prophylactically treated again by dipping or spraying as in point 2) above. The floor of the quarantine area and transport vehicles must be thoroughly sprayed with an officially approved larvicide before and after each use. The transit route must be the most direct, with no stopover without prior permission of the importing country. On arrival at the importation point, all animals must be thoroughly inspected for wounds and possible new world or old world screwworm infestation under the supervision of an Official Veterinarian. The bedding material of the vehicle and the quarantine area should immediately be gathered and burned following each consignment.

In addition: any imported animals from areas where screwworms are endemic must be thoroughly inspected for wound and infestations before they are allowed to enter premises. Wounds that do not appear to be infested are treated with an insecticide as preventative measure. Any infestations that become apparent after an animal enters the country must be treated promptly.

APHIS began releasing sterile flies in October 2016, as part of aggressive eradication effort undertaken in collaboration with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, and local partners.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Facilities where screwworm was diagnosed and vehicles that may contain adults or immature screwworms should be sprayed with insecticides; any bedding material used in the area where animal was quarantined should immediately be gathered and burned.

Notification: Any presumptive screwworm infestation must be reported to both state and federal (Area Veterinarian In Charge -AVIC) authorities. Residents who have warm-blooded animals (pets, livestock, etc.) should watch their animals carefully. Florida residents should report any potential cases to 1-800-HELP-FLA (1-800-435-7352) or non-Florida residents should call (850) 410-3800.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Because New World screwworm has been recently eradicated from the US, the National Animal Health Surveillance System (NAHSS) does not have a program for active surveillance at this time. However, APHIS and Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS) will continue passive surveillance to ensure any new findings are quickly identified. This surveillance includes veterinarians reporting any suspicious cases, wildlife surveillance, concerned citizens that see suspicious wounds on animals or even on a person, and continued communication with the parks and the National Key Deer Refuge. Because this is a reportable disease, state and federal (AVIC) authorities should be notified of any presumptive screwworm infestation.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: In non-endemic regions, any infected animal is quarantined until treatment is complete and the wounds have healed. Treatment of the environment, as explained above, may also be necessary.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Areas must be sprayed with approved larvicide; the disease has been eradicated in the US by the Sterile Male Release Technique (SMRT) program and therefore if there is indication of infection in the U.S.A the USDA-APHIS must be involved on any discussion about free-status of a premise.

NEW WORLD SCREWWORM (Cochliomyia hominivorax)

Experts who may be consulted:

Steven R Skoda

Research entomologist

USDA/Agricultural Research Service

2700 Fredericksburg Road

Kerrville, TX, 78028

Telephone: (830) 792-0334 Fax: (830) 792-0314 <u>steve.skoda@ars.usda.gov</u>

National Preparedness and Incident Coordination

Veterinary Services

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

U.S. Department of Agriculture 4700 River Road, Unit 41

Riverdale, MD 20737-1231

Telephone: (301) 851-3595 Fax: (301) 734-7817 FAD.PReP.Comments@aphis.usda.gov

- 1. Anziani OS, Flores SG, Moltedo H, Derozier C, Guglielmone AA, Zimmermann GA, Wanker O. Persistent activity of doramectin and ivermectin in the prevention of cutaneous myiasis in cattle experimentally infested with *Cochliomyia hominivorax*. Vet Parasitol, 2000;87:243-247.
- 2. The Center for Food Security and Public Health, Iowa State University [Internet]. Screwworm myiasis; 2016 [cited 2019 March 11]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/screwworm_myiasis.pdf
- 3. Correia TR, Scott FB, Verocai GG, Souza CP, Fernandes JI, Melo RM, Vieira VP, Ribeiro FA. Larvicidal efficacy of nitenpyram on the treatment of myiasis caused by *Cochliomyia hominivorax* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) in dogs. Vet Parasitol. 2010;173(1-2):169-72.
- 4. Disease Response Strategy; New World Screwworm Myiasis. Foreign Animal Disease Preparedness & Response Plan. USDA, APHIS, Veterinary Services (2014).
- 5. Robbins K, Khachemoune A. Cutaneous myiasis: a review of the common types of myiasis. Int J Dermatol. 2010;49(10):1092-1098.
- 6. United States Department of Agriculture [Internet]. 2016 Investigation into Introduction of New World Screwworm into Florida Keys; 2016 [cited 2019 March 5]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/stakeholders/downloads/2017/nws-epi-report.pdf
- 7. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service [Internet]. Status of Reportable Diseases in the United States; 2015 [cited 2013 June 21]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/monitoring-and-surveillance/SA_NAHSS/CT_Disease_status+2015
- 8. United States Department of Agriculture, National Agriculture Library [Internet]. Selections from the Screwworm Eradication Collection; 2000 [cited 2019 March 11] Available from https://www.nal.usda.gov/exhibits/speccoll/exhibits/show/stop-screwworms--selections-fr
- 9. World Organization for Animal Health [Internet]. OIE Technical disease cards: Screwworm (Old World and New World): 2013 [cited 2019 March 11] Available from http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/home/eng/animal_health_in_the_world/docs/pdf/disease_cards/screwworm.pdf
- 10. World Organization for Animal Health [Internet]. Terrestrial Animal Health Code, Volume 1, Section 8, Chapter 8.12, New World Screwworm (*Cochliomyia homnivorax*) and Old World Screwworm (*Chrysomya bezziana*); c1992-2018 [cited 2019 March 11]. Available from Available at: http://www.oie.int/index.php?id=169&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_cochliomyia_chrysomya.htm

OLD WORLD SCREWWORM (Chrysomya bezziana)

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
All	Flies are attracted to	Severe	Severe	Removal and	Monitoring	Yes
warm-	open superficial	myiasis in	infestations	killing of the larvae	wounds and	
blooded	wounds as small as a	open	that remain	in lesion.	treating	
animals,	tick bite. Occasionally,	wounds;	untreated	Treatment of the	infested	
including	Old World screwworms	associated	may result	wound with	wounds	
birds.	also lay their eggs on	discomfort	in the death	approved	with	
	unbroken soft skin,	and	of the host	insecticide.	insecticides.	
	particularly if it has	decreased	in a short	Treatment is		
	blood or mucous on its	appetite.	time (7-14	normally repeated		
	surface.		days).	until the wound has		
	Gravid female flies			healed. Removal of		
	deposit eggs either into			necrotic tissue is		
	wounds or directly onto			necessary.		
	intact mucous			Ivermectin 200-300		
	membranes.			mcg/kg.		

Fact Sheet compiled by: Carlos R. Sanchez

Sheet completed on: last update December 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sarrah Kaye

Susceptible animal groups: All mammals (domestic and many species of wildlife) are affected potentially; problem is rare in birds.

Causative organism: Chrysomya bezziana

Zoonotic potential: Yes, humans can be hosts for screwworm larvae but it is primarily a veterinary pest.

Distribution: The distribution of Old World screwworm is confined to the Old World. *Chrysomya bezziana* is widely distributed throughout tropical areas. It is most prevalent in Southeast Asia, and throughout much of Africa (from Ethiopia and sub-Saharan countries to northern South Africa), some countries in the Middle East (reports confirmed from Iran, Iraq and recently Yemen), India, the Malay Peninsula, the Indonesian and Philippine Islands, and Papua New Guinea. *C. bezziana* has never become established in Europe, Australia, New Zealand or the Western Hemisphere. Because of its distribution, the most likely potential port of entry into the US is Hawaii.

Incubation period: Eggs hatch within 8-24 hrs after being laid. Once the larvae emerge, they immediately begin to feed on the wound fluids and underlying tissues, burrowing as a group, head-downwards into the wound. The entire larval stage lasts 5-8 days, followed by larvae leaving the wound and pupating in the soil. Maturation of pupae to adult is temperature dependent and ranges from 7 days at 28°C to 60 days at temperatures of 10–15°C. Female flies mate usually only once, but can lay more than one batch of eggs at intervals of a few days.

Clinical signs: Animals with screwworm infestations often display discomfort and appear unthrifty and depressed; other non-specific clinical signs include: separation from group and anorexia.

Screwworms can infest a wide variety of wounds, from tick bites to cuts and dehorning or branding wounds. Infestations are very common in the navels of newborns (fawns with screwworms in their navels may stand in water up to their abdomen), and the perivulvar and perineal regions of their dams. If a screwworm deposits its eggs on mucous membranes, the larvae may enter any orifice including the nostrils, sinuses, mouth, orbits of the eye, ears or genitalia. Infested wounds often have a serosanguineous discharge and sometimes a distinctive odor. By the third day, the larvae may be easily found; secondary bacterial contamination is also common. The wound can enlarge due to multiple infestations and if not treated animal could die within 2 weeks.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: The larvae of *C. bezziana* are obligatory wound parasites that never develop in carcasses or decomposing organic material. Larvae are unlikely to be found on post-mortem

OLD WORLD SCREWWORM (Chrysomya bezziana)

examination unless the animal died recently. Larvae of different ages are normally found on wounds or natural openings and mucous membranes in live animals. Other fly larvae may be present in lesions making gross diagnosis difficult. Microscopic lesions are not useful for the diagnosis of screwworm.

Diagnosis: Diagnosis is by identification of the parasite under the microscope; however, before collecting or sending any samples from animals with suspected screwworm infections, federal and state authorities should be contacted. Clinical presentation of screwworm is always associated with a variety of pre-established wounds and should be considered in the event of any myiasis. Definitive diagnosis can be made after observation, extraction and identification of typical larvae along with history of travel to an area endemic for *C. bezziana*. Larvae must be removed from the deeper areas as well as superficial regions to be sure all species present are examined. Larva should be placed in 70% alcohol and not in formalin for future identification. Fully mature larvae develop a reddish-pink tinge over the creamy white color of younger larvae. Screwworm species have prominent rings of spines around the body and these spines appear large and conspicuous under a microscope when compared with most non-screwworm species. If a wound is considered to be infested with Old World screwworms samples should be collected and sent to eradication officials. Adult screwworms are uncommonly seen. They are also difficult to distinguish from other flies. Other techniques used mostly in research laboratories include cuticular hydrocarbon analysis, analysis of mitochondrial DNA, and random amplified polymorphic DNA polymerase chain reaction (RAPD-PCR) assays.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Before collecting or sending any samples from animals with suspected screwworm infections, federal and state authorities should be contacted. Screwworms can infest humans; samples should be collected and handled with all appropriate precautions. Larvae, eggs or flies can be conserved in vials containing 70-80% ethanol or isopropyl alcohol; formalin should not be used. Different larval stages should be collected; larvae should be removed from the deepest part of the wound to reduce the possibility of collecting non-screwworm species. Optimal preservation of larvae, in their natural extended state, can be made by killing them in boiling water (15–30 seconds immersion) before storage in 80% ethanol. Suspect screwworm eggs or flies may also be submitted for diagnosis; eggs are best collected using a scalpel as a scraper.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL

1920 Dayton Ave. (for packages)

P.O. Box 844 (for letters)

Ames, IA 50010 (515) 337-7266

Fax: (515) 337-7397

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/services/report_pest_disease/report_pest_disease.shtml

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL-FADDL 40550 Route 25 (for packages) Orient Point, NY 11957 P.O. Box 848 (for letters) Greenport, NY 11944-0848 (631) 323-3256

Fax: (631) 323-3366

Treatment: Removal and killing of the larvae present in any wound or lesion. Immediate treatment of all detected wounds with an approved insecticide (organophosphate insecticides, carbamates and pyrethroids) should be followed by a precautionary spraying or dipping of the animals before transport. For residual protection against re-infestation, insecticides must be applied at 2–3-day intervals until the wound has healed; animals with screwworm-suspect wounds should be quarantined until treated and wounds have clearly healed.

OLD WORLD SCREWWORM (Chrysomya bezziana)

A single subcutaneous injection of ivermectin (200 mcg/kg) has been effective against OWS in preventing navel strike of newborn calves and scrotal strike of castrated calves and also prevented re-strike of treated wounds of adult cattle.

Prevention and control: The OIE International Animal Health Code stipulates that is necessary to follow strict observation of the requirements for international trade:

When importing domestic and <u>wild mammals</u> from countries considered infested with New World or Old World screwworm, veterinary administrations should require presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

- 1) Immediately prior to loading, the animals have been inspected on the premises by an official veterinarian and that any infested animal has been rejected for export;
- 2) Immediately prior to entering the quarantine pens in the exporting country:
 - a) each animal has been thoroughly examined for infested wounds by an official veterinarian and that no infestation has been found in any animal; and
 - b) any wounds have been treated prophylactically with an officially approved larvicide at the recommended dose; and
 - all animals have been dipped, sprayed, or otherwise treated, immediately after inspection, with a
 product officially approved by the importing and exporting countries for the control of New
 World or Old World screwworm, under the supervision of an official veterinarian and in
 conformity with the manufacturer's recommendations;
- 3) At the end of the quarantine and immediately prior to shipment for export:
 - a) all animals have been re-examined for the presence of infestation and all animals have been found free of infestation;
 - b) all wounds have been prophylactically treated with an approved larvicide under the supervision of an official veterinarian;
- c) all animals have been prophylactically treated again by dipping or spraying as in point 2) above. The floor of the quarantine area and transport vehicles must be thoroughly sprayed with an officially approved larvicide before and after each use. The transit route must be the most direct, with no stopover without prior permission of the importing country. On arrival at the importation point, all animals must be thoroughly

permission of the importing country. On arrival at the importation point, all animals must be thoroughly inspected for wounds and possible New World or Old World screwworm infestation under the supervision of an official veterinarian. The bedding material of the vehicle and the quarantine area should immediately be gathered and burned following each consignment.

In addition: any imported animals from areas where screwworms are endemic must be thoroughly inspected for wounds and infestations before they are allowed to enter premises. Wounds that do not appear to be infested are treated with an insecticide as preventative measure. Any infestations that become apparent after an animal enters the country must be treated promptly.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Facilities where screwworm was diagnosed and vehicles that may contain adults or immature screwworms should be sprayed with insecticides; any bedding material used in the area where the animal was quarantined should immediately be gathered and burned.

Notification: Any presumptive screwworm infestation must be reported to both state and federal (Area Veterinarian In Charge - AVIC) authorities.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Because Old World screwworm has never been reported in the US, the National Animal Health Surveillance System (NAHSS) does not have a program for active surveillance. However, as this a reportable disease, state and federal (AVIC) authorities should be notified of any presumptive screwworm infestation.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: In non-endemic regions, any infected animal is quarantined until treatment is complete and the wounds have healed. Treatment of the environment, as explained above, may also be necessary.

OLD WORLD SCREWWORM (Chrysomya bezziana)

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Old World screwworm has never been reported in the US and therefore if there is any indication of any screwworm infection in the US, the USDA-APHIS must be notified immediately.

Experts who may be consulted:

steve.skoda@ars.usda.gov

Steven R Skoda Research entomologist USDA/Agricultural Research Service 2700 Fredericksburg Road Kerrville, TX, 78028 (830) 792-0334 Fax: (830) 792-0314

Project Manager, Old World screwworm fly Animal Health Australia (02) 6203 3912

aha@animalhealthaustralia.com.au or call or call the Emergency Animal Disease Watch Hotline 1800 675 888.

- 1. The Center for Food Security and Public Health, Iowa State University [Internet]. Screwworm myiasis; 2016 [cited 2019 March 11]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/screwworm_myiasis.pdf
- 2. Spradbery JP, Tozer RS, Pound AA. The efficacy of insecticides against the screw-worm fly (*Chrysomya bezziana*). Aust Vet J. 1991;68(10): 338-342.
- 3. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service [Internet]. Status of Reportable Diseases in the United States; 2015 [cited 2013 June 21]. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/monitoring-and-surveillance/SA_NAHSS/CT_Disease_status+2015
- 4. World Organization for Animal Health [Internet]. OIE Technical disease cards: Screwworm (Old World and New World): 2013 [cited 2019 March 11] Available from http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/home/eng/animal_health_in_the_world/docs/pdf/disease_cards/screwworm.pdf
- 5. World Organization for Animal Health [Internet]. Terrestrial Animal Health Code, Volume 1, Section 8, Chapter 8.12, New World Screwworm (*Cochliomyia homnivorax*) and Old World Screwworm (*Chrysomya bezziana*); c1992-2018 [cited 2019 March 11]. Available from Available at: http://www.oie.int/index.php?id=169&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_cochliomyia_chrysomya.htm

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Many pinniped species, especially seals and sea lions.	Direct contact (i.e., rubbing, bites, scratches, saliva, bodily fluids), and fomites (i.e., rubber gavage tubing, feeding apparatus, gloves, needle puncture)	Most often seen in juveniles, animals in distress or newly housed. Firm skin nodules (1-3cm) will appear on head, neck, and thorax and can spread to abdomen, flippers, and mucosa. Infected area can become inflamed or necrotic	Often mild severity with low mortality. Those individuals with immunesuppressive conditions are at risk for a more severe infection	Lesions usually heal within a few weeks without treatment and leave a slightly raised gray scar without fur	Restrict movement of animals between enclosures; replace or disinfect gloves when handling animals; drain and scrub pens with 10% bleach solution regularly; wear proper personal protective equipment	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Nadia F Gallardo-Romero, Benjamin P Monroe

Sheet updated on: 20 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: William Van Bonn; Ginny Emerson

Susceptible animal groups: Harbor seals, grey seals, Northern fur seals, Northern elephant seals, California sea lions, Steller's sea lions and South American sea lions.

Causative organism: Sealpox virus, a member of the *Parapoxvirus* genus

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: The geographic range of sealpox virus is considered worldwide, and infection has been confirmed in free-ranging pinnipeds in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans (including America, Europe, and Siberia), and Antarctica. Sealpox infection has been identified in captive pinnipeds and humans at marine rehabilitation centers in North America and Europe.

Incubation period: Clinical signs can appear within 1-5 weeks post exposure in captive animals. Human clinical signs have reportedly developed one week after exposure.

Clinical signs:

<u>Animals:</u> Sealpox infection is highly contagious in confined spaces with low mortality rates but very high morbidity. Juveniles, distressed, and newly-housed animals are the most likely to have active disease. The skin will present 1-3 cm firm skin nodules or lumps on head, neck and thorax, and may spread to abdomen, flippers, and mucosa. Lesions can present as solitary, in clusters, or generalized and progress from inflamed skin to necrotic.

<u>Humans</u>: Persons who handle sick animals may come into contact with the virus and may get infected if they have small open cuts or breaks in the skin. Rare casesdevelop painful, swollen sores that may evolve into a bullous lesion. Infection may be more severe in persons with skin or immune-deficient medical conditions.

SEALPOX VIRUS

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Firm cutaneous nodules 1-3 cm diameter are the characteristic lesions of the disease. They can be congested and focally ulcerated, solitary, in clusters, or generalized along the animal body. Histologically, the lesions are characterized by epithelial hyperplasia and acanthosis. The dermis may present intense inflammatory infiltrate and necrosis, the epidermis may demonstrate edema, vacuolization and ballooning degeneration of keratinocytes. Eosinophilic cytoplasmic inclusions are also typical findings.

Diagnosis: Classic clinical presentation is used predominantly, especially in rehabilitation settings where it is observed seasonally. Molecular assays for viral DNA detection are most commonly used including PCR, RFLPs, and sequencing. Observation of typical cytoplasmic effect (CPE) in cell culture, histology, viral isolation, and virion visualization by electron microscopy also are used as confirmation of findings. Differential diagnosis with "seal finger" (caused by a *Mycoplasma*), anthrax, and fungal infections should be performed.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Swabs of swelling, mucosal or other lesions are the preferred sample. Place swab in a dry, sterile micro tube, store at -20°C. Skin biopsies containing a margin of normal tissue around the affected area. Place the half of the sample in 10% formalin, and the other half in a dry, sterile micro tube, store at -20°C. CDC laboratories can provide specimen collection guidance. Contact the reference laboratory prior to shipping to inquire about necessary permits.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Poxvirus and Rabies Branch

CDC Poxvirus Inquiry line: 404-639-4129 1600 Clifton Rd NE, Atlanta GA 30333

Nzr6@cdc.gov

University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine, Marine Mammal Health Program

Fax: (352) 392-5464

PO Box 100126, Gainesville, FL 32610

NollensH@mail.vetmed.ufl.edu

Treatment: Lesions usually resolve within a few weeks without treatment and may leave a scar. Palliative treatment is recommended for human infection to control secondary infections, inflammation, and pain. However, the literature has previously reported *in vitro* susceptibility of sealpox virus to cidofovir.

Prevention and control: Quarantine newly admitted animals, restrict movement of animals between enclosures, and decrease the number of animals per pen. Replace or disinfect gloves and equipment when handling sick animals and between enclosures; drain and scrub pens with 10% bleach solution or other disinfectant regularly. Wear proper personal protective equipment (PPE) including rubber or latex gloves, rain pants, overalls or suits, goggles and/or masks. Frequent hand washing is encouraged after handling animals, enclosures, or equipment.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 10% bleach solution, chlorhexidine gluconate based solutions, and other anti-viral solutions.

Notifications: Sealpox virus infection is not a reportable disease. However, state or local health departments should be notified of suspected human infections.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: The disease is not currently listed under the USDA National Animal Health Surveillance and/or Reporting systems.

Measures required for introducing animals to an infected animal(s): Sealpox is highly contagious among pinnipeds and will spread easily between animals in direct contact. Introduction of healthy animals

to sick animals is not recommended until skin lesions have completely healed.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: No specific standards exist at this time. However, it is recommended to test the animals for viral DNA presence once the lesions are completely healed. If all animals from the center are negative, disease-free status can be restored and recommendation of quarantine and testing of new individuals should be applied.

Experts who may be consulted:

Mary Reynolds, PhD, MPH

Poxvirus and Rabies Branch

CDC Poxvirus Inquiry line: 404-639-4129 1600 Clifton Rd NE, Atlanta GA 30333

Nzr6@cdc.gov

- 1. Becher, P., M. König, G. Müller, U. Siebert, and H.J. Thiel. 2002. Characterization of sealpox virus, a separate member of the parapoxviruses. Arch. Virol. 147(6): 1133-1140.
- 2. Clark, C., P.G. McIntyre, A. Evans, C.J. McInnes, and S. Lewis-Jones. 2005. Human sealpox resulting from a seal bite: confirmation that sealpox virus is zoonotic. Br. J. Dermatol. 152(4): 791-793.
- 3. Hicks, B.D., and G.A. Worthy. 1987. Sealpox in captive grey seals (*Halichoerus grypus*) and their handlers. J. Wildl. Dis. 23(1): 1-6.
- 4. Müller, G, S. Gröters, U. Siebert, T. Rosenberger, J. Driver, M. König, P. Becher, U. Hetzel, and W. Baumgärtner. 2003. Parapoxvirus infection in harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) from the German North Sea. Vet. Pathol. 40(4): 445-454.
- 5. Nollens, H.H., F.M. Gulland, E.R. Jacobson, J.A. Hernandez, P.A. Klein, M.T. Walsh, and R.C. Condit. 2008. *In vitro* susceptibility of sea lion poxvirus to cidofovir. Antiviral. Res. 80(1): 77-80.
- 6. Nollens, H.H., E.R. Jacobson, F.M. Gulland, D.O. Beusse, G.D. Bossart, J.A. Hernandez, P.A. Klein, and R.C. Condit. 2006. Pathology and preliminary characterization of a parapoxvirus isolated from a California sea lion (*Zalophus californianus*). J. Wildl. Dis. 42(1): 23-32.
- 7. Roess, A.A., R.S. Levine, L. Barth, B.P. Monroe, D.S. Carroll, I.K. Damon, and M.G. Reynolds. 2011. Sealpox virus in marine mammal rehabilitation facilities, North America, 2007-2009. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 17(12): 2203-2208.

SHEEP AND GOAT POX

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Sheep and goats	Airborne; direct contact with infected animals and fomites; biting insects are possible source	Inappetence; fever; skin lesions of maculae, papules and scabs; dyspnea; nasal discharge; conjunctivitis	Mild to severe depending on age, breed and immunity	None although can administer antibiotics to prevent secondary bacterial infections	Quarantine incoming and cull infected animals; isolate recovering animals; properly clean infected area and utensils. Outside US, prophylactic vaccination is used commonly.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Kevin Leiske; revised by Alfonso Torres

Sheet completed on: 20 January 2011; updated 2 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: James Rasmussen; Charles Lamien

Susceptible animal groups: All breeds of domestic and wild sheep and goats

Causative organism Sheep pox and Goat pox viruses, Family Poxviridae, Genus Capripoxvirus. While it is recognized that sheep pox virus and goat pox virus are different, but related viruses, both agents have the ability to infect either sheep or goats causing a disease that is clinically and pathologically identical.

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: Africa (north of Equator), Middle East, Turkey, Greece, Central Asia, South East Asia, several countries in East Asia including parts of China, Russia, and Mongolia. This disease is one of the most actively spreading diseases affecting small ruminants during the last 5-10 years.

Incubation period: 4-21 days but usually 1-2 weeks.

Clinical signs: Fever usually precedes the skin lesions that start as erythematous macules and progress to hard papules. The center of the papules become depressed and turns a whitish grey color. The area then becomes necrotic and is surrounded by an area of hyperemia. Necrotic skin lesions culminate in scabs that leave a scar after scab loss. Lesions are usually easier to find in areas of the body that have sparse hair (i.e., axillary and inguinal areas and under the tail). Mucous membranes can develop similar lesions that may become necrotic. Dyspnea, nasal discharge and conjunctivitis also can occur. In endemic areas, the disease can be mild or the infection inapparent.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Typical "pox" skin lesions, ulceration of the mucous membranes, firm nodules in the lungs (grey or white), papules and ulcerations can also be seen in the abomasal mucosa as well as the rumen, large intestines, pharynx, trachea and esophagus. Lymph nodes are enlarged and edematous and the liver and kidney may have pale, discrete subcapsular foci on the surface.

Diagnosis: Virus detection by electron microscopy on dry skin scabs. Nucleic acid detection by PCR in tissue samples, or virus isolation on cell culture. AGIDs or ELISAs can detect viral antigens. Serology (AGID, IFA, ELISA, VN, Western blotting) is available, but is not that reliable given that capripoxvirus immunity is mostly cell-associated. Nasal swab can be sampled for molecular diagnostics.

SHEEP AND GOAT POX

Material required for laboratory analysis: In live animals biopsies of skin lesions, scraping of skin lesions as well as lymph node aspirates and blood. Nasal swabs can be utilized for PCR. At necropsy, samples from skin lesions, lymph nodes and lung lesions should be collected. Lesions in other organs can also be submitted based on postmortem findings.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL-FADDL

40550 Route 25 (for packages)

Orient Point, NY 11957

P.O. Box 848 (for letters)

Greenport, NY 11944-0848

Director: Dr. Fernando Torres-Velez

Phone: (631) 323-3256 Fax: (631) 323-3366

Email: Fernando.J.Torres-Velez@aphis.usda.gov

Treatment: None although antibiotics could be used to prevent secondary bacterial infections.

Prevention and control: Quarantine incoming and cull infected animals. Recovering animals should be isolated for 45 days after clinical signs are no longer present. Infected areas and utensils should be cleaned properly. Viable virus may be found in shaded areas of the environment for up to 6 months after an outbreak. Vaccination after 6 months of age has helped decrease morbidity and control spread in other countries. MLV products tend to provide best protection, but they are not 100% protective. These MLV products are not allowed for use in the US.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Approved disinfectants for sheep/goat pox include 4% sodium carbonate solutions; 2% sodium hydroxide solution; or up to 12.5% sodium hypochorite.

Notification: Any suspected case should be notified to State and federal authorities within 24 hours for proper investigation and diagnosis by trained State or Federal Foreign Animal Disease Diagnosticians.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Early stages of sheep/goat pox can be similar to some cases of Contagious Ectyma (orf).

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Animals that are infected and survive have very good immunity. However, they should be isolated for 45 days after clinical signs are no longer present.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Culling infected herd may be required. Isolation for 45 days after no more clinical signs seen and properly disinfected. However, since this disease has never been reported in the Western Hemisphere, disease-free status after a confirmed outbreak will require a comprehensive surveillance program conducted by state and federal authorities.

Experts who may be consulted:

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory

USDA-APHIS-VS-NVSL-FADDL

40550 Route 25 (for packages)

Orient Point, NY 11957

P.O. Box 848 (for letters)

Greenport, NY 11944-0848

Director: Dr. Fernando Torres-Velez

Phone: (631) 323-3256 Fax: (631) 323-3366

Email: Fernando.J.Torres-Velez@aphis.usda.gov

SHEEP AND GOAT POX

- 1. Diallo, A., and G. Viljoen. 2007. Genus Capripoxvirus. *In*: Mercer, A.A., A. Schmidt, and O. Weber (eds.), Poxviruses. Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, Switzerland. Pp. 167-181.
- 2. Kitching, P. 2008. Capripoxvirus. *In:* Committee on Foreign and Emerging Diseases of the United States Animal Health Association (ed.). Foreign Animal Diseases 7th edition. Revised 2008. Boca Publishing Group, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida. Pp. 189 196. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/emergency_response/downloads/nahems/fad.pdf. Accessed 10 September 2013.
- 3. Lamien, C.E., C. Le Goff, R. Silber, D.B. Wallace, V. Gulyaz, E. Tuppurainen, H. Madani, P. Caufour, T. Adam, M. El Harrak, A.G. Luckins, E. Albina, and A. Diallo. 2011. Use of the Capripoxvirus homologue of *Vaccinia* virus 30 kDa RNA polymerase subunit (RPO30) gene as a novel diagnostic and genotyping target: development of a classical PCR method to differentiate Goat poxvirus from Sheep poxvirus. Vet. Microbiol. 149: 30-39.
- 4. NAHEMS USDA Guidelines: Cleaning and Disinfection http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/emergency_management/downloads/nahems_guidelines/c leaning_disfection.pdf Accessed 10 September 2013.
- OIE, 2013 Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals, sheep and goat pox http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.07.14_S_POX_G_POX.pdf Accessed 10 September 2013.
- 6. Spickler, A.R. 2008. Sheep and goat pox. http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/sheep_and_goat_pox.pdf. Accessed 10 September 2013.

SHIGELLOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected					Control	
Humans	Fecal-oral; via	Diarrhea or	Generally self-	Oral	Proper	High
and non-	direct contact	dysentery	limiting disease.	rehydration	sanitation;	zoonotic
human	with infected	with		and	reduction	potential
primates;	animals; or	potentially	Complication due	antibiotics.	of stress;	
ruminants;	indirectly via	blood and/or	to bacteremia is		and	
occasionally	food, water, flies	mucus;	possible, mainly in		isolation	
dogs.	or inanimate	abdominal	immuno-		of	
	objects	cramps;	compromised		potential	
	contaminated	tenesmus; and	individuals, that		carriers;	
	and contact with	pyrexia.	result in arthritis,		fly	
	shedding animals		neuritis, vulvo-		control.	
	Food borne	Asymptomati	vaginitis, chronic			
	disease; sexual	c carriers are	colitis,			
	contact	possible.	conjunctivitis;			
			eventually death.			

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cornelia J. Ketz-Riley

Sheet completed on: updated 8 February, 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: David Miller

Susceptible animal groups: Primates (humans and non-human) are natural hosts. Reports of infection in cattle and dogs have been made.

Causative organism: Family: Enterobacteriaceae; genus: *Shigella*; four species: *Shigella dysenteriae* – serogroup A; *Shigella flexneri* – serogroup B; *Shigella boydii* – serogroup C; *Shigella sonnei* – serogroup D. Infection and transmission occurs mainly via fecal-oral route through contaminated food, water or direct contact; in humans, person-to-person transmission is the most common route. Arthropods, such as houseflies can function as mechanical vectors. Serovars are of antigenetic difference; serotyping and subtyping via pulsed-field gel electrophoresis is important in epidemiogic investigations. *Shigella* bacteria are able to invade intestinal mucosa cells, but this varies by strain; cytotoxins (Shiga -toxin) may also be produced. While *Shigella* dysenteriae is mostly responsible to larger outbreaks in humans, mainly children, there seems to be an epidemiological shift towards other serogroups, mainly *Shigella* sonnei. This will have additional consequences for treatment and vaccine production.

Zoonotic potential: High.

Distribution: Worldwide. Originally, a common problem encountered mostly in under-developed regions, but with higher tourist travel activity and movements of refugees in more recent times, infections more often seen in other parts of the worlds.

Incubation period: 1-6 days.

Clinical signs: Pyrexia, headache, abdominal cramps, and severe painful diarrhea that is watery, and potentially with mucus, pus or blood. The presentation is usually self-limiting within 10 days. However, in its more severe form, other signs can present such as dehydration and neurological signs. Bacteremia has potential complications of arthritis, neuritis, vulvovaginitis, chronic colitis, conjunctivitis, iritis, hemolytic uremic syndrome, or death. *Shigella* infection is affecting T-lymphocyte activity and therefore alters immune response. It also stimulates protective local IgA secretion supporting the integrity of intestinal epithelial cells. Gingivitis has been reported in macaques.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Most common findings during gross necropsy; signs of dehydration, gastroenteritis, enteritis, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, military white foci in the liver, and mesenteric lymphadenopathy. After development of septicemia, submucosal and subserosal petechial

hemorrhages in multiple organs, muscular necrosis - typically involving myocardial and gizzard muscle, nephropathy, polyserositis, synovitis are commonly found. Histopathologic findings include multifocal necrotic hepatitis, necrosis of cryptic or surface enterocytes in lower small intestines, sometimes in cecum and colon, depending on bacterial species involved.

Diagnosis: Culture of fresh fecal material or use of a transport medium, due to limited viability, is still the most commonly used diagnostic tool. Selective media are used for identification of *Shigella* sp. Such media are: MacConkey, *Salmonella-Shigella* Agar (S-S), Xylose-Lysin-Desoxycholate (XLD), Lysine iron agar. In cases of small samples and bacterial overgrowth, transfer of cultured sample to enrichment media, such as Gram-negative broth, is recommended. Serological and immunohistochemical methods can be used to identify *Shigella* species and serotypes involved in disease process. These methods are essential when a *Shigella* infection is suspected, and when isolation of live organisms by culturing is not possible. ELISA and similar modified assays for antibody reactions against *Shigella* types in individuals. Serological examinations valid for identification of acute or subacute infected individuals, but chronic carriers are often seronegative. A variety of PCR assays is researched and used to recover *Shigella* DNA in live material or dead surfaces. PCR is also used for further classification of *Shigella* serovars. A multiplex PCR assay was recently optimized for simultaneous detection and differentiation of three pathogenic *Shigella* species by using amplified target genes of the bacteria. Also, a flow cytometry method and other molecular methods have been investigated as rapid methods for detection of *Shigella* bacteria.

Material required for laboratory analysis: For culture, feces, organ tissue, and whole blood are recommended. For ELISA and other serologic assays, feces, organ tissue, serum, food, milk, and water may be used. Tissue, feces, whole blood, soil, or processed food can be used for PCR testing.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any laboratory that is set up for culture methods can be used for first screening for *Shigella*.

Treatment: Mild infections are self-limiting and are only treated with supportive care, such as rehydration, electrolyte and analgesic treatment. Antibiotics should be used only in cases of severe acute and lifethreatening infection, when a subsequent bacteremia is anticipated, mainly in immunocompromised and young individuals. The choice of antibiotics should be based on an antibiogram of the culture; however, recommended antibiotics are quinolones (nalidixic acid, norfloxacin, enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, danofloxacin), beta-lactams (ampicillin, amoxicillin, 1st 2nd cephalosporin), macrolids (azithromycin, erythromycin, clindamycin), aminoglycosides (gentamicin, streptomycin), others (tetracyclines, sulfonamides, cotrimoxazole and furazolidon. Antibiotic and chemotherapeutic use can reduce severity of the disease, as well as the period of convalescent carriage of *Shigella* organisms. Multi-drug resistance against the commonly recommended antibiotics and chemotherapeutics, including the more recently advocated drugs like azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, marbofloxacin, is developing rapidly worldwide. Therefore, newer drugs and alternative treatment methods are constantly researched for their efficacy and safety in the treatment of shigellosis.

Prevention and control: Asymptomatic carriers make eradication and control of shigellosis difficult. Preventative control programs should include a good sanitation protocol and animal collection management. Feeding additives to introduce competitive bacteria through food or to influence the local pH values and mucosal integrity, such as probiotics, plant extracts and essential oils with antimicrobial activity seems to be beneficial in controlling *Shigella* infections. Bacteria and yeast found in kefir yogurt have shown to effectively inhibit the invasion of intestinal tissue by *Shigella* bacteria and the subsequent local inflammation. The existence of multiple *Shigella* serotypes and their growing resistance to antibiotics stress the urgent need for the development of a low-cost vaccine that is protective across all serotypes. No vaccine is yet officially available, but human and animal challenge-rechallenge trials with virulent *Shigella* as well as observational studies in *Shigella*-endemic areas have shown that the incidence of disease decreases following *Shigella* infection, pointing to biological feasibility of a vaccine. A variety of *Shigella* vaccine constructs are under development, including live attenuated, formalin-killed whole-cell, glycoconjugate, subunit, and novel antigen vaccines (e.g., Type III secretion system and outer membrane proteins). All persons involved in

SHIGELLOSIS

animal care, dealing with and processing and preparing food and feed need to be properly educated in sanitation and potential risks of contamination of the animal collection or the food chain with *Shigella*. High sanitation standards and low-stress impact to the animals are key elements in the control of *Shigella* infections.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Most commonly used disinfectants, such as diluted hydrochlorite, quaternary ammonium-based products are effective against *Shigella*.

Notification: Reportable disease; Most states require that local health departments report outbreaks to their state health department. States report voluntarily to CDC.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Culture and serotyping of *Shigella* of any animals potentially in contact with infected animals and asymptomatic carrier in a collection with shigellosis outbreak. Any potential sources, such as introduced animals, care personnel, feed and water sources and any potentially contaminated dead surfaces need to be cultured and potentially serologically and immunohistochemically investigated.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Regular quarantine in a clean environment; reduce access to potential vectors, and host animals; separate tools and personnel for quarantined animals; fecal examination and culture as preshipment evaluation and quarantine examination before introduction.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Quarantine of whole collection. Isolation of sick and potentially infected animals. Testing of any potentially contaminated feed, water, surface and also healthy animals before giving access to previously contaminated area. Multiple cultures of potentially infected animals necessary due to inconsistent shedding of bacteria.

Experts who may be consulted:

Email:zoodoc12@gmail.com

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Division of Foodborne, Waterborne and Environmental Diseases 1600 Clifton Rd Atlanta, GA 30333 800-CDC-INFO

Cornelia J. Ketz-Riley, DMV, DVM, DACZM Exotic and Zoo Veterinary Specialist Neel Veterinary Hospital 2700 N MacArthur Blvd Oklahoma City, OK 73127 Phone: (405) 947-8387

- 1. Acha PN, Szyfres B. Shigellosis. In: Zoonoses and Communicable Diseases Common to Man and Animals, 3rd ed. vol. I: Bacterioses and Mycoses. Washington (DC): Pan American Health Organization; 2001. p. 247-251.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. *Shigella* Shigellosis; 2018 [cited 2019 March 15]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/shigella/index.html
- 3. Allam NG, Eldrieny EA, Mohamed AZ. Effect of Combination Therapy Between Thyme oil and ciprofloxacin on ulcer-forming *Shigella flexneri*. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2015; 9(5):486-95.
- 4. Allen GP, Harris KA. In vitro resistance selection in *Shigella* flexneri by azithromycin, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and moxifloxacin.agents Chemother. 2017;61(7):pii: e00086-17.
- 5. Bolla PA, Abraham AG, Pérez PF, de Los Angeles Serradell M. Kefir-isolated bacteria and yeasts inhibit *Shigella flexneri* invasion and modulate pro-inflammatory response on intestinal epithelial cells. Benef Microbes. 2016;7(1):103-110.

SHIGELLOSIS

- 6. Brown JD, Willcox SJ, Franklin N, Hazelton B, Howard P, Reinten T, Sheppeard V, O'Sullivan M. *Shigella* species epidemiology and antimicrobial susceptibility: The implications of emerging azithromycin resistance for guiding treatment, guidelines and breakpoints. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2017;72(11):3181-3186. Available from doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx268.
- 7. Butler T. Haemolytic uraemic syndrome during shigellosis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2012;106(7): 395-399.
- 8. Cantlay JC, Ingram DJ, Meredith AL. A Review of Zoonotic Infection Risks Associated with the Wild Meat Trade in Malaysia. EcoHealth. 2017;14:361–388.
- 9. Chakraborty S, Harro C, DeNearing B, Bream J, Bauers N, Dally L, Flores J, Van de Verg L, Sack DA, Walker R. Evaluation of the safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of an oral, Inactivated whole-cell *Shigella flexneri* 2a vaccine in healthy adult subjects. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2016;23(4):315-25.
- 10. Chitradevi STS, Kaur G, Sivaramakrishna U, Singh D, Bansal A. Development of recombinant vaccine candidate molecule against *Shigella* infection. Vaccine. 2016;34(44):5376-5383.
- 11. Chowdhury FM, Rahman MZ, Sarkar MMH, Rabbi F, Khan SI, Ahsan CR, Birkeland NK. Protection against shigellosis caused by *Shigella dysenteriae* serotype 4 in Guinea pigs using *Escherichia albertii* DM104 as a live vaccine candidate strain. Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung. 2017;64(2):151-164.
- 12. DeLaine BC, Wu T, Grassel CL, Shimanovich A. Pasetti MF, Levine MM, Barry EM. Characterization of a multicomponent live, attenuated *Shigella flexneri* vaccine. Pathog Dis. 2016;74(5).
- 13. Deldar A, Yakhchali B. The influence of riboflavin and nicotinic acid on *Shigella sonnei* colony conversion. Iran J Microbiol. 2011;3(1):13-20.
- 14. Farag TH, Faruque AS, Wu Y, Das SK, Hossain A, Ahmed S, Ahmed D, Nasrin D, Kotloff KL, Panchilangam S, Nataro JP, Cohen D, Blackwelder WC, Levine MM. Housefly population density correlates with shigellosis among children in Mirzapur, Bangladesh: a time series analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7(6):e2280.
- 15. Gu B, Cao Y, Pan S, Zhuang L, Yu R, Peng Z, Qian H, Wei Y, Zhao L, Liu G, Tong M. Comparison of the prevalence and changing resistance to nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin of *Shigella* between Europe-America and Asia-Africa from 1998 to 2009. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2012;40(1):9-17.
- 16. Gu B, Ke X, Pan S, Cao Y, Zhuang L, Yu R, Qian H, Liu G, Tong M. Prevalence and trends of aminoglycoside resistance in *Shigella* worldwide, 1999-2010. J Biomed Res. 2013;27(2):103-115.
- 17. Haddar C, Begaud E, Maslin J, Germani Y. Point-of-care tests for the rapid diagnosis of shigellosis. Bull Soc Pathol Exot. 2017;110(1):1-8. [Article in French]
- 18. Hoffmann C, Sahly H, Jessen A, Ingiliz P, Stellbrink HJ, Neifer S, Schewe K, Dupke S, Baumgarten A, Kuschel A, Krznaric I. High rates of quinolone-resistant strains of *Shigella sonnei* in HIV-infected MSM. Infection. 2013 [cited 2013 September 11];41(5):999-1003.Available from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23852945
- 19. Hussain SA, Patil GR, Reddi S, Yadav V, Pothuraju R, Singh RRB, Kapila S. Aloe vera (*Aloe barbadensis* Miller) supplemented probiotic lassi prevents *Shigella* infiltration from epithelial barrier into systemic blood flow in mice model. Microb Pathog. 2017;102:143-147.
- 20. Jeong KI, Venkatesan MM, Barnoy S, Tzipori S. Evaluation of virulent and live *Shigella sonnei* vaccine candidates in a gnotobiotic piglet model. Vaccine 2013;31(37):4039-4046.
- 21. Joshi RK. Volatile composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oil of *Artemisia absinthium* growing in Western Ghats region of North West Karnataka, India. Pharm Biol. 2013;51(7):888-892.
- 22. Kaminski RW, Clarkson K, Kordis AA, Oaks EV. Multiplexed immunoassay to assess *Shigella*-specific antibody responses. J Immunol Meth. 2013;393(1-2):18-29.
- 23. Khan WA, Griffiths JK, Bennish ML. Gastrointestinal and extra-intestinal manifestations of childhood shigellosis in a region where all four species of *Shigella* are endemic. PLoS One. 2013;8(5):e64097.

SHIGELLOSIS

- 24. Khiveh A, Hashempur MH, Shakiba M, Lotfi MH, Shakeri A. Kazemeini S, Mousavi Z, Jabbari M, Kamalinejad M, Emtiazy M. Effects of rhubarb (Rheum ribes L.) syrup on dysenteric diarrhea in children: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Integr Med. 2017;(5):365-372.
- 25. Kim J, Coble DJ, Salyards GW, Bower JK, Rinaldi WJ, Plauche GB, Having GG. Antimicrobial Use for and Resistance of Zoonotic Bacteria Recovered from Nonhuman Primates. Comp Med. 2017;67(1):79–86.
- 26. Klontz KC, Singh N. Treatment of drug-resistant *Shigella* infections. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2015;13(1):69-80
- 27. Kotloff KL, Riddle MS, Platts-Mills JA, Pavlinac P, Zaidi AKM. Shigellosis. The Lancet. 2018;391(10122):801–812.
- 28. Li YL, Tewari D, Yealy CC, Fardig D, M'ikanatha NM. 2016. Surveillance for travel and domestically acquired multidrug-resistant human *Shigella* infections-Pennsylvania, 2006-2014. Health Secur. 2016;(3):143-51. Available from doi: 10.1089/hs.2016.0026.
- 29. Lindsay B, Ochieng JB, Ikumapayi UN, Toure A, Ahmed D, Li S, Panchalingam S, Levine MM, Kotloff K, Rasko DA, Morris CR, Juma J, Fields BS, Dione M, Malle D, Becker SM, Houpt ER, Nataro JP, Sommerfelt H, Pop M, Oundo J, Antonio M, Hossain A, Tamboura B, Stine OC. Quantitative PCR for detection of *Shigella* improves ascertainment of *Shigella* burden in children with moderate-to-severe diarrhea in low-income countries. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(6):1740-1746.
- 30. Lowenstine L. A primer of primate pathology: lesions and non-lesions. Tox Pathol. 2003;31S:92-102.
- 31. Martin DJ, White BK, Rossman MG. 2012. Reactive arthritis after *Shigella* gastroenteritis in American military in Afghanistan. J Clin Rheumatol. 2012;18(5):257-258.
- 32. Mani S, Wierzba T, Walker RI. Status of vaccine research and development for *Shigella*. vaccine. 2016;34(26):2887-2894.
- 33. Mathias A, Longet S, Corthésy B. Agglutinating secretory IgA preserves intestinal epithelial cell integrity during apical infection by *Shigella flexneri*. Infect Immun. 2013;81(8):3027-3034.
- 34. Mirnejad R, Vahdati AR, Rashidiani J, Erfani M, Piranfar V. The antimicrobial effect of *Lactobacillus casei* culture supernatant against multiple drug resistant clinical isolates of *Shigella sonnei* and *Shigella flexneri in vitro*. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2013;15(2):122-126.
- 35. Mitobe J, Sinha R, Mitra S, Nag D, Saito N, Shimuta K, Koizumi N, Koley H. An attenuated *Shigella* mutant lacking the RNA-binding protein Hfq provides cross-protection against *Shigella* strains of broad serotype. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(7):e0005728. Available from doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005728.
- 36. Mokhtari W, Nsaibia S, Gharbi A, Aouni M. Real-time PCR using SYBR Green for the detection of *Shigella* spp. in food and stool samples. Mol Cell Probes. 2013;27(1):53-59.
- 37. Nag D, Sinha R, Mitra S, Barman S, Takeda Y, Shinoda S, Chakrabarti MK, Koley H. Heat killed multi-serotype *Shigella* immunogens induced humoral immunity and protection against heterologous challenge in rabbit model. Immunobiology. 2015;220(11):1275-83.
- 38. Nüesch-Inderbinen M, Heini N, Zurfluh K, Althaus D, Hächler H, Stephan R. *Shigella* antimicrobial drug resistance mechanisms, 2004-2014. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22(6):1083-5.
- 39. Ojha SC, Yean Yean C, Ismail A, Singh KK. 2013. A pentaplex PCR assay for the detection and differentiation of *Shigella* species. Biomed. Res. Int. 2013:412370.
- 40. Olajuyigbe OO, Afolayan AJ. *In vitro* antibacterial and time-kill assessment of crude methanolic stem bark extract of *Acacia mearnsii* de wild against bacteria in shigellosis. Molecules 2012;17(2):2103-2118.
- 41. Onyango DM, Wandili S, Kakai R, Waindi EN. Isolation of *Salmonella* and *Shigella* from fish harvested from the Winam Gulf of Lake Victoria, Kenya. J Infect Dev Countries. 2009;3(2): 99-104.
- 42. Ottosson H, Nylén F, Sarker P, Miraglia E, Bergman P, Gudmundsson GH, Raqib R, Agerberth B, Strömberg R. Potent inducers of endogenous antimicrobial peptides for host directed therapy of infections. Sci Rep. 2016;6:36692. Available from doi: 10.1038/srep36692.

SHIGELLOSIS

- 43. Overbeck S, Rink L, Haase H. Modulating the immune response by oral zinc supplementation: a single approach for multiple diseases. Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz). 2008;56(1):15-30.
- 44. Pichel M, Brengi SP, Cooper KL, Ribot EM, Al-Busaidy S, Araya P, Fernández J, Vaz TI, Kam KM, Morcos M, Nielsen EM, Nadon C, Pimentel G, Pérez-Gutiérrez E, Gerner-Smidt P, Binsztein N. *Shigella flexneri* PulseNet PFGE Protocol Working Group. Standardization and international multicenter validation of a PulseNet pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocol for subtyping *Shigella flexneri* isolates. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2012;9(5):418-424.
- 45. Pons MJ, Gomes C, Martínez-Puchol S, Ruiz L, Mensa L, Vila J, Gascón J, Ruiz J. Antimicrobial resistance in *Shigella* spp. causing traveller's diarrhea (1995-2010): a retrospective analysis. Travel Med. Infect. Dis. 2013 [cited 2013 September 10];11(5):315-9. Available from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23886737
- 46. Ranallo RT, Fonseka S, Boren TL, Bedford LA, Kaminski RW, Thakkar S, Venkatesan MM. Two live attenuated *Shigella flexneri* 2a strains WRSf2G12 and WRSf2G15: a new combination of gene deletions for 2nd generation live attenuated vaccine candidates. Vaccine 2012;30(34):5159-5171.
- 47. Ranjbar R, Afshar D, Mehrabi Tavana A, Najafi A, Pourali F, Safiri Z, Sorouri Zanjani R, Jonaidi Jafari N. Development of Multiplex PCR for simultaneous detection of three pathogenic *Shigella* species. Iran J Public Health. 2014;43(12):1657-63.
- 48. Salgado-Pabón W, Celli S, Arena ET, Nothelfer K, Roux P, Sellge G, Frigimelica E, Bousso P, Sansonetti PJ, Phalipon A. *Shigella* impairs T lymphocyte dynamics *in vivo*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110(12):4458-4463.
- 49. Shiferaw B, Solghan, Palmer A, Joyce K, Barzilay EJ, Krueger A, Cieslak P. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Shigella* isolates in Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) sites, 2000-2010. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54(Suppl 5):S458-S463.
- 50. Shipley ST, Panda A, Khan AQ, Kriel EH, Maciel Jr. M, Livio S, Nataro JP, Levine MM, Sztein MB, DeTolla LJ. A challenge model for *Shigella dysenteriae* 1 in cynomolgus monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*). Comp. Med. 2010;60(1):54-61.
- 51. Sperandio B, Fischer N, Joncquel Chevalier-Curt M, Rossez Y, Roux P, Robbe Masselot C, Sansonetti PJ. 2013. Virulent *Shigella flexneri* affects the secretion, expression, and glycosylation of gel-forming mucins in mucus-producing cells. Infect Immun. 2013;81(10):3632-43. Available from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23876800 Accessed 10 September 2013.
- 52. Thompson CN, Duy PT, Baker S. The Rising Dominance of *Shigella sonnei*: An intercontinental shift in the etiology of bacillary dysentery. Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9(6):e0003708.
- 53. Wang Y, Wang Y, Xu J, Ye C. Development of multiple cross displacement amplification label-based gold nanoparticles lateral flow biosensor for detection of *Shigella spp*. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:1834.
- 54. Wu Y, Chakravarty S, Li M, Wai TT, Hoffman SL, Sim BK. Development of a live attenuated bivalent oral vaccine against *Shigella sonnei* shigellosis and typhoid fever. J Infect Dis. 2017;215(2):259-268.
- 55. Xue Y, Wilkes JG, Moskal TJ, Williams AJ, Cooper WM, Nayak R, Rafii F, Buzatu DA. Development of a flow cytometry-based method for rapid detection of *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella spp.* using an oligonucleotide probe. PLoS One. 2016;11(2):e0150038. Available from doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0150038.

SIMIAN FOAMY VIRUS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected					Control	
Found in	Horizontal	Not known to	Not known to	None	Life-long	Yes
most non-	through contact	cause disease	cause disease		infection	
human	with saliva					
primates						

Fact Sheet compiled by: Natalie Mylniczenko

Sheet completed on: Jan2019

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Donna Ialeggio

Susceptible animal groups: All species of non-human primates are susceptible.

Causative organism: Simian foamy virus (SFV) in Genus Spumavirus.

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Worldwide distribution in wild and captive non-human primate populations.

Incubation period: Unknown

Clinical signs: None reported, considered to be 'medically insignificant'.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: No known pathology is associated with SFV infection. Coinfection with SIV may increase SIV-related disease progression. At least in NHP co-infection with species-specific SFV (eg chimp + colobus, see ref. 14, below) is documented.

Diagnosis: Serology (ELISA and WB for confirmation), PCR, and virus isolation can be used.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Whole blood, serum/plasma, body fluids, and tissues.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Primate Assay Laboratory (PAL) Formerly PDL

California National Primate Research Center

University of California, Davis

Phone: 530-752-8242 E-mail: cnprc-pdl@ucdavis.edu http://www.cnprc.ucdavis.edu/primate-assay-laboratory-core/

Virus Reference Laboratories, Inc.

VRL-San Antonio, USA

P.O. Box 40100

7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200

San Antonio, Texas 78229

Office: 877-615-7275 Fax: 210-615-7771

http://www.vrlsat.com/

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4 Chatsworth, California 91311-3800

818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881 Email: <u>info@zoologix.com</u>

http://www.zoologix.com (accessed 15Jan19)

Treatment: None is reported.

Prevention and control: Infection is ubiquitous in non-human primates.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 70% ethanol, formalin, 10% household bleach (sodium

hypochlorite), Lysol, and most lipophylic detergents.

Notification: None at this time.

SIMIAN FOAMY VIRUS

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None at this time.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Seroconversion of naïve animals is possible with exposure.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Life-long infection so no changes can be made to restore disease-free status.

Experts who may be consulted:

William M. Switzer, MPH

Retrovirus Surveillance Activity Leader, Laboratory Branch

Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, NCHSTP

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Rd.

Atlanta, Georgia 30333

bis3@cdc.gov

- 1. Calattini S, Betsem EBA, Froment A, Mauclère P, Tortevoye P, Schmitt C, Njouom R, Saib A, Gessain A. Simian foamy virus transmission from apes to humans, rural Cameroon. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13:1314–1320.
- 2. Choudhary A, Galvin TA, Williams DK, Beren J, Bryant MA, Khan AS. Influence of naturally occurring simian foamy viruses (SFVs) on SIV disease progression in the rhesus macaque (*Macaca mulatta*) model. Viruses. 2013;5:1414-1430.
- 3. Craig KL, Pinto-Santini DM, Linial ML. Simian Foamy Virus (SFV). In: Fuentes A (ed.). The International Encyclopedia of Primatology. Chester (UK): John Wiley & Sons Ltd.; 2017.
- 4. Khan AS. Simian foamy virus infection in humans: prevalence and management. Expert Rev Anti-infective Ther. 2009;7:569-580.
- 5. Meiering CD, Linial ML. Historical perspective of foamy virus epidemiology and infection. Clin Microbiol. 2001;14(1):165-176.
- 6. Mouinga-Ondémé A, Kazanji M. Simian foamy virus in non-human primates and cross-species transmission to humans in Gabon: an emerging zoonotic disease in central Africa? Viruses. 2013;5(6):1536-1552.
- 7. Muniz CP, Cavalcante LT, Jia H, Zheng H, Tang S, Augusto AM, Pissinatti A, Fedullo LP, Santos AF, Soares MA, Switzer WM. Zoonotic infection of Brazilian primate workers with New World simian foamy virus. PLoS One. 2017;12(9):e0184502.
- 8. Sandstrom PA, Phan KO, Switzer WM, Fredeking T, Chapman L, Heneine W, Folks TM. Simian foamy virus infection among zoo keepers. The Lancet, 2000;355(9203):551-552.
- 9. Switzer WM, Bhullar V, Shanmugam V, Cong M, Parekh B, Lerche NW, Yee JL, Ely JJ, Boneva R, Chapman LE, Folks TM, Heneine W. Frequent simian foamy virus infection in persons occupationally exposed to nonhuman primates. J Virol. 2004;78:2780-2789.
- 10. Switzer WM, Heneine W. Foamy virus infection of humans. In: Liu, D. (ed.). Molecular Detection of Viral Pathogens. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2011. p. 131-146.
- 11. Voevodin AF, Marx PA. Simian Virology. Ames (IA): Wiley Blackwell; 2009. p. 217-236.
- 12. Weston-Murphy H, Switzer WM. Occupational exposure to zoonotic simian retroviruses: health and safety implications for persons working with nonhuman primates. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 6. St Louis (MO): Saunders/Elsevier; 2008. p 251-264.
- 13. Pinto-Santini DM, Stenbak CR, Linial ML. Foamy virus zoonotic infections. *Retrovirology*. 2017;14(1):55. Leendertz FH, Zirkel F, Couacy-Hymann E, Ellerbrok H, Morozov VA, Pauli G, Hedemann C, Formenty P, Jensen SA, Boesch C, Junglen S. Interspecies transmission of simian foamy virus in a natural predator-prey system. *J Virol*. 2008;82(15):7741-4.

SIMIAN HEMORRHAGIC FEVER

Animal	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)					Control	
Affected						
Natural host:	Direct and	Anorexia,	Fatal in	Isolation of	Testing of	No
Patas monkey	indirect contact	lethargy, fever,	macaques	unaffected	African primates	
(Erythrocebus	with infected	diarrhea or	but no	animals		
patas) and other	animals,	melena with	clinical		Separation of	
African primates	secretions or	frank blood,	disease in	No	African primates	
	fomites.	facial edema,	natural hosts	successful	and macaques in	
Aberrant host:	Iatrogenic	petechia, DIC		clinical treatment	captivity	
Asian macaques	transmission					

Fact Sheet compiled by: Thomas P. Meehan; updated by Dawn Zimmerman

Sheet completed on: 30 June 2011; updated 15 August 2013; updated 27 December 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kimberlee B. Wojick; Meredith M. Clancy

Susceptible animal groups: Captive rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*) were affected during an "explosive" outbreak in the index case in 1964. This and subsequent outbreaks in macaques have apparently resulted from contact with, or iatrogenic transmission from, asymptomatic captive African monkeys. African monkey species including Patas monkey (*Erythrocebus patas*), vervet monkeys (*Cercopithecus aethiops*) and baboons (*Papio* spp.) are suspected to be the natural reservoirs. Red colobus monkeys and red-tailed guenons also have been identified as natural hosts for SHFV variants. Currently, SHFV is thought only to affect Asian macaques of diverse species, including: rhesus macaque (*Macaca mulatta*), bonnet macaque (*M. radiata*), cynomolgus macaque (*M. fasicularis*), stump-tailed macaque (*M. arctoides*), Assam macaque (*M. assamensis*) and Southern pig-tailed macaque (*M. nemestrina*).

Causative organism: SHF is caused by at least three *Arteriviruses* (family *Arteriviridae*): simian hemorrhagic fever virus (SHFV), simian hemorrhagic encephalitis virus (SHEV), and Pebjah virus (PBJV). Since 2011, nine additional distant relatives of these three viruses were discovered in apparently healthy African cercopithecid primates, and are thought to also be potential causes of SHF.

Zoonotic potential: None; however, the virus is being researched for species jump potential due to the presence of highly divergent SHFV variants.

Distribution: Natural hosts in Africa but consideration for captive animals worldwide.

Incubation period: 2-9 days.

Clinical signs:

Natural hosts: asymptomatic

<u>Macaques</u>: Although these aberrant hosts can be asymptomatic, in clinical animals, fever, depression, facial edema, anorexia, adipsia, dehydration, proteinuria, cyanosis, skin petechiae, melena, epistaxis, DIC, and retrobulbar hemorrhages can present. Mortality ranges widely at 11-100% (64% in recent study) but death occurs in 10-15 days.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:Petechial hemorrhages on mucosal and serosal surfaces, hemorrhage of proximal duodenum, splenomegaly, splenic lymphoid follicles ringed with zone of hemorrhage, multi-organ necrosis, vasculitis and hemorrhage, intravascular fibrin, fibrin in spleen, lymphohistiocytic meningoencephalitis.

Diagnosis: Real time RT- PCR, ELISA, DIA.

Material required for laboratory analysis: blood, serum.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Zoologix

9811 Owensmouth Ave, Suite 4

Chatsworth CA 91311

818-717-8880

SIMIAN HEMORRHAGIC FEVER

BioReliance Corp. 14920 Broschart Rd. Rockville, MD 20850-3349 301-738-1000

VRL-San Antonio, USA P.O. Box 40100/7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200 San Antonio, Texas 78229 877-615-7275

http://www.vrlsat.com/catalog/specimen/45

Treatment: None.

Prevention and control: Separation of African primates and macaques in captive settings; Testing of African primate species for antibodies. Due to the indication that SHF may be caused by a number of distinct simian arteriviruses, screening procedures for SHFV in primate-holding facilities should allow for detection of all known simian arteriviruses.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Disinfectants effective against *Arteriviridae*: quaternary ammonium and glutaraldehyde mixture (SynergizeTM, Preserve International) 0.8%, potassium monopersulfate (Virkon-S TM, DuPont Animal Health) 1.0%.

Notification: None.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Depopulation of affected macaque group and premise disinfection.

Experts who may be consulted

While this disease is not zoonotic, similarities to other hemorrhagic diseases of primates should indicate consultation with:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Division of Global Migration and Quarantine May be contacted 24 hours/day through the CDC emergency operations center (770-488-7100).

- 1. Dalgard DW, Hardy RJ, Pearson SL, Pucak GJ, Quander RV, Zack PM, Peters CJ, Jahrling PB. Combined simian hemorrhagic fever and Ebola virus infection in cynomolgus monkeys. Lab Anim Sci. 1992;42:152–157.
- 2. Godeny EK. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of antibodies against simian hemorrhagic fever virus. Comp Med. 2002;52:229–232.
- 3. Gravell M, London WT, Leon ME, Palmer AE, Hamilton RS. Differences among isolates of simian hemorrhagic fever (SHF) virus. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. 1986;181:112–119.
- 4. Johnson RF, Dodd LE, Yellayi S, Gu W, Cann JA, Jett C, Bernbaum JG, Ragland DR, St Claire M, Byrum R, Paragas J, Blaney JE, Jahrling PB. Simian hemorrhagic fever virus infection of rhesus macaques as a model of viral hemorrhagic fever: clinical characterization and risk factors for severe disease. Virol. 2011;421(2):129-140.
- 5. Lauck M, Hyeroba D, Tumukunde A, Weny G, Lank SM, Chapman CA, O'Connor DH, FriedrichTC, Goldberg TL. Novel, divergent simian hemorrhagic fever viruses in a wild Ugandan red colobus monkey discovered using direct pyrosequencing. PLoS One. 2011;6(4):e19056.
- 6. Lauck M, Sibley SD, Hyeroba D, Tumukunde A, Weny G, Chapman CA, Ting N, Switzer WM, Kuhn JH, Friedrich TC, O'Connor DH, Goldberg TL. Exceptional simian hemorrhagic fever virus diversity in a wild African primate community. J Virol. 2013;87(1):688-691.
- 7. London WT. Epizootiology, transmission and approach to prevention of fatal simian haemorrhagic fever in rhesus monkeys. Nature. 1977;268:344–345.

SIMIAN HEMORRHAGIC FEVER

- 8. Palmer AE, Allen AM, Tauraso NM, Shelokov A. Simian hemorrhagic fever. I. Clinical and epizootiologic aspects of an outbreak among quarantined monkeys. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1968;17:404–412.
- 9. Wahl-Jensen V, Johnson JC, Lauck M, Weinfurter JT, Moncla LH, Weiler AM, Charlier O, Rojas O, Byrum R, Ragland DR, Huzella L. Divergent Simian Arteriviruses Cause Simian Hemorrhagic Fever of Differing Severities in Macaques.MBio, 2016;7(1), e02009–15.

SIMIAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUSES

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Found in	Mainly horizontal	Clinical disease occurs	Severe	None	Test collect-	Infection should
many	through sexual	in only a minority of	and fatal	specific	ion and	be considered a
African	contact and bite	infected individuals.	in non-	although	determine	zoonotic disease
non-human	wounds.		natural	same	risk to benefit	since many SIV
primates.		When pathogenic,	host	treatment	of	species can grow
	Vertical	disease depends on the		options for	introductions	in human cell
Macaques	transmission	nature of the organ		HIV could	to naïve	lines in vitro.
susceptible.	reported by virus-	and opportunistic		be used	animals.	
	infected milk.	infections.				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sam Rivera; updated by Natalie Mylniczenko

Sheet completed on: 1 June 2011; updated 10 September 2013, April 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Lana Krol

Susceptible animal groups: Natural host can be susceptible to disease and older animals may succumb to AIDS-related disease. Non-natural host infections can be fatal. Asian macaques are highly susceptible to fatal infection

Causative organism: Identified in 45 species, including: SIVagm, SIVasc, SIV bkm, SIVblu, SIVcol, SIVcpz, SIVdeb, SIVden, SIVdrl, SIVgor, SIVgsn, SIV l'hoest, SIVmnd 1 and 2 (possibly 3), SIVmon, SIVmus, SIV olc, SIVrcm, SIVschm, SIVstm, SIVstm, SIVsyk, SIVtal, SIVwrc.

Zoonotic potential: The virus should be considered a zoonotic disease. Many SIV species can grow in human cell lines *in vitro*. HIV-1 originated from SIVcpz and SIVgor; HIV-2 from SIVsmm.

Distribution: Natural infections occur in Africa. Infection in captive non-human primates occurs worldwide. Cross species viral 'jumping' has been reported but appears relatively rare.

Incubation period: Strain and host dependent. Can be as short as a few weeks in non-natural host or as long as several decades in natural host.

Clinical signs: Clinical disease does not usually present in natural hosts. However, when disease occurs, common findings are lymphadenopathy and diarrhea. Other signs may include wasting, malabsorption, and weight loss. Cardiac disease, arteriopathies, transient cutaneous erythematous maculopapular rash, and CNS involvement can be observed. Secondary infections can be due to immunodeficiency and hypergammaglobulinemia can be observed.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Lymphoid organs may be hypertrophied. Other findings depend on affected organ systems: encephalitis, cardiac necrosis, myocarditis, coronary or systemic arteriopathy, glomurulosclerosis, pneumonia, follicular hyperplasia and fragmentation in lymphoid tissues, extramedullary hematopoiesis in lymph nodes and follicular and paracortical hyperplasia, epididymitis, prostatitis, urethritis, malignant lymphomas.

Diagnosis: Serology (ELISA, Western blot), PCR, virus isolation. If positive on serology, SIV genotyping is recommended to identify natural reservoirs that are often African non-human primates. Screening is typical with ELISA testing, but confirmation should be completed with Western blot or PCR. It should be noted that highly divergent SIVs may not react completely with HIV and SIVmac antigens used in commercial assays. Viral isolation efficiency is highly variable.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Whole blood, serum/plasma, body fluids, tissues

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Primate Assay Laboratory (PAL) Formerly PDL

California National Primate Research Center

University of California, Davis

Phone: 530-752-8242 E-mail: cnprc-pdl@ucdavis.edu http://www.cnprc.ucdavis.edu/primate-assay-laboratory-core/

SIMIAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUSES

Virus Reference Laboratories, Inc.

VRL-San Antonio, USA

P.O. Box 40100

7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200

San Antonio, Texas 78229

Office: 877-615-7275 Fax: 210-615-7771 http://www.vrlsat.com/

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4 Chatsworth, California 91311-3800

818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881 info@zoologix.com http://www.zoologix.com/

Treatment: None

Prevention and control: Identify status of animals in collection. Determine risk to benefit of maintaining a closed population in the face of population needs.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 70% ethanol, formalin, 10% household bleach (sodium hypochlorite), most lipophilic detergents, quaternary ammonium chloride, bezalkonium chloride.

Notification: None at this time.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None at this time.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Determine current status of both groups of these animals then determine risk to benefit of introducing negative individuals to positive individuals. It is important to remember that natural reservoirs of particular SIV variants exist.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Life-long infection results in inability to restore disease free status.

Experts who may be consulted:

William M. Switzer, MPH

Retrovirus Surveillance Activity Leader, Laboratory Branch

Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, NCHSTP

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Rd.

Atlanta, Georgia 30333

bis3@cdc.gov

- 1. Cohen J. AIDS virus traced to chimp subspecies. Sci. 1999;283:772–773.
- 2. Essex M. Simian immunodeficiency virus in people. N Engl J Med. 1994;330: 209 -210.
- 3. Etienne L, Nerrienet E, LeBreton M, Bibila GT, Foupouapouognigni Y, Rousset D, Nana A, Djoko CF, Tamoufe U, Aghokeng AF, Mpoudi-Ngole E. Characterization of a new simian immunodeficiency virus strain in a naturally infected Pan troglodytes troglodytes chimpanzee with AIDS related symptoms. Retrovirol. 2011;8:4.
- 4. Etienne L, Nerrienet E, LeBreton M, Bibila GT, Foupouapouognigni Y, Rousset D, Nana A, Djoko CF, Tamoufe U, Aghokeng AF, Mpoudi-Ngole E, Delaporte E, Peeters M, Wolfe ND, Ayouba A. Characterization of a new simian immunodeficiency virus strain in a naturally infected *Pan troglodytes troglodytes* chimpanzee with AIDS related symptoms. Retrovirol. 2011;8:4.

SIMIAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUSES

- 5. Filippone C, De Oliveira F, Betsem E, Schaeffer L, Fontanet A, Lemée V, Gessain A, Plantier JC. Simian Immunodeficiency Virus seroreactivity in inhabitants from rural Cameroon frequently in contact with non-human primates. Virology. 2017;503:76-82.
- 6. Keele BF, Jones JH, Terio KA, Estes JD, Rudicell RS, Wilson ML, Li Y, Learn GH, Beasley TM, Schumacher-Stankey J, Wroblewski E, Mosser A, Raphael J, Kamenya S, Lonsdorf EV, Travis DA, Mlengeya T, Kinsel MJ, Else JG, Silvestri G, Goodall J, Sharp PM, Shaw GM, Pusey AE, Hahn BH. Increased mortality and AIDS-like immunopathology in wild chimpanzees infected with SIVcpz. Nature. 2009;460(7254):515-519.
- 7. Lerche NW. Common viral infections of laboratory primates. In: Wolfe-Coote, S (ed.). The Handbook of Experimental Animals; The Laboratory Primate. San Diego (CA): Elsevier Academic Press; 2005. p. 75-89.
- 8. Lowestine LJ, Lerche NW. Nonhuman primate retroviruses and simian acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. In: Fowler ME (ed). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 3. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders Co.; 2003. p. 373-378.
- 9. Sharp PM, Hahn BH. The evolution of HIV-1 and the origin of AIDS. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2010;365(1552):2487-2494.
- 10. Tebit DM, Arts EJ. From Simian to Human Immunodeficiency Viruses (SIV to HIV): Emergence from Nonhuman Primates and Transmission to Humans. In: Johnson N (ed.) The Role of Animals in Emerging Viral Diseases. San Diego (CA): Elsevier. 2014.p. 201-234.
- 11. Terio KA, Kinsel MJ, Raphael J, Mlengeya T, Lipende I, Kirchhoff CA, Gilagiza B, Wilson ML, Kamenya S, Estes JD, Keele BF, Rudicell RS, Liu W, Patton S, Collins A, Hahn BH, Travis DA, Lonsdorf EV. Pathologic lesions in chimpanzees (*Pan trogylodytes schweinfurthii*) from Gombe National Park, Tanzania, 2004-2010. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2011;42:597-607.
- 12. Voevodin AF, Marx PA, Apetrei C. Simian Virology. Ames (IA): Willey-Blackwell; 2009. p. 77-118.
- 13. Weston-Murphy H, Switzer WM. Occupational exposure to zoonotic simian retroviruses: health and safety implications for persons working with nonhuman primates. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 6. St. Louis (MO): Saunders/Elsevier; 2008. p. 251-264.
- 14. Whetter LE, Ojukwu IC, Novembre FJ, Dewhurst S. Pathogenesis of simian immunodeficiency virus infection. J Gen Vir. 1999;80:1557–1568.

Type D SIMIAN RETROVIRUSES (SRV)

Animal		Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatmen	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs		t	and	
Affected	l					Control	
Macaques are	2	Direct contact;	Diarrhea, weight loss,	Fatal	None	Test and	Yes
natural host;		transplacentally	fever, splenomegaly,	disease		remove/	
langurs, squi	rrel		lymphadenopathy, anemia,			isolate	
monkeys,			neutropenia, lymphopenia,			positive	
baboons,			cutaneous fibrosarcoma,			animals	
talapoins			and malignant lymphomas				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sam Rivera; updated by Natalie Mylniczenko

Sheet completed on: 1 June 2011, updated September 2013, April 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sam Rivera

Susceptible animal groups: Macaques are natural hosts; langurs, squirrel monkeys, baboons, and talapoins also susceptible. Largely, this disease is one of laboratory colonies.

Causative organism: Simian type D retroviruses (SRV); seven genotypes recognized; SRV-1 – SRV-7; Genus (Betaretroviruses)

Zoonotic potential: Zoonotic infection, serologic evidence of human infection in one study.

Distribution: Mostly in Asian macaques and langurs

Incubation period: Unknown, life-long infection

Clinical signs: Immunosuppressive disease (neutropenia and lymphopenia), generalized lymphadenopathy, diarrhea, weight loss, anemia, opportunistic infections. SRV-1 causes malignant lymphomas and SRV-2 abdominal fibromatosis, and subcutaneous fibrosarcomas.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Splenomegaly, hyperplastic lymphoid follicles, follicular atrophy, fibrosarcomas, polymyositis, nonsuppurative enteritis, sialoadenitis, bone marrow hyperplasia; SRV2: retroperiotoneal fibromatosis, subcutaneous fibrosarcomas.

Diagnosis: Serology (ELISA, Western blot for confirmation), PCR, virus isolation. Some animals can have latent infection and be antibody negative. High false positive rate with standard ELISA but newer microbead-based immunoassays have improved specificity.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Whole blood, serum/plasma, saliva, urine, tissues.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Primate Assay Laboratory (PAL) Formerly PDL

California National Primate Research Center

University of California, Davis

Phone: 530-752-8242 E-mail: cnprc-pdl@ucdavis.edu http://www.cnprc.ucdavis.edu/primate-assay-laboratory-core/

Virus Reference Laboratories, Inc.

VRL-San Antonio, USA

P.O. Box 40100

7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200

San Antonio, Texas 78229

Office: 877-615-7275 Fax: 210-615-7771 http://www.vrlsat.com/

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4 Chatsworth, California 91311-3800

818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881 Email: info@zoologix.com

http://www.zoologix.com/

Type D SIMIAN RETROVIRUSES (SRV)

Treatment: None

Prevention and control: Test and remove/isolate positive animals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 70% ethanol, formalin, 10% household bleach (sodium hypochlorite), Lysol, and most lipophylic detergents can be used.

Notification: None at this time.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None at this time.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Life-long infection so disease-free status cannot be restored.

Experts who may be consulted:

William M. Switzer, MPH

Retrovirus Surveillance Activity Leader, Laboratory Branch

Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, NCHSTP

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Rd.

Atlanta, Georgia 30333

bis3@cdc.gov

- 1. Engel GA, Besnard F. Human–Nonhuman Primate Disease Transmission. In: Fuentes A (ed.). The International Encyclopedia of Primatology. Chester (UK): John Wiley & Sons Ltd.; 2017.
- 1. Lerche NW. Common viral infections of laboratory primates. *In:* Wolfe-Coote, S. (ed). The Handbook of Experimental Animals; The Laboratory Primate. San Diego (CA): Elsevier Academic Press; 2005/p. 75-89.
- 2. Lerche NW, Cotterman RF, Dobson MD, Yee JL, Rosenthal AN, Heneine WM. Screening for simian type D retrovirus infection in macaques using nested polymerase chain reaction. Lab Anim Sci. 1997;47:263 -268.
- 3. Lerche NW. Emerging viral diseases of nonhuman primates in the wild. *In:* Fowler, M.E. (ed). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 3. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders Co.; 1993. p. 340-344.
- 4. Lerche NW, Switzer WM, Yee JL, Shanmugam V, Rosenthal AN, Chapman LE, Folks TM, Heneine W. Evidence of infection with simian Type D retrovirus in persons occupationally exposed to nonhuman primates. J. Virol. 2001;75:1783-1789.
- 5. Liao Q, Guo H, Tang M, Touzjian N, Lerche NW, Lu Y, Yee JL. Simultaneous detection of antibodies to five simian viruses in nonhuman primates using recombinant viral protein based multiplex microbead immunoassays. J Virol Meth. 2011;178:143-52.
- 6. Lowestine LJ, Lerche NW. 2003. Nonhuman primate retroviruses and simian acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. In: Fowler ME (ed). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 3. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders Co.; 2003. p. 373-378.
- 7. Montiel NA. An updated review of simian betaretrovirus (SRV) in macaque hosts. J Med Primatol. 2010;39(5):303-314.
- 8. Voevodin AF, Marx PA. Simian Virology. Ames (IA): Wiley Blackwell; 2009. P. 163-181.
- 9. Weston-Murphy H, Switzer WM. Occupational exposure to zoonotic simian retroviruses: health and safety implications for persons working with nonhuman primates. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 6. St. Louis (MO): Saunders/Elsevier; 2008. p 251-264.

SIMIAN T-LYMPHOTROPIC VIRUSES

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Affected		518110			Control	
Old	Direct contact:	STLV-1 has been	Can be	None	Test collection	Yes, it
World	bite wounds,	associated with	fatal;	reported	and determine	can
non-	sexual contact,	lymphoma, leukemia	affects a	_	risk to benefit	infect
human	sharps puncture	and wasting disease in	small		of	humans
primates.	(IV, or	several non-human	percentage		introductions	
	transdermal).	primates; non-	of the		to naïve	
	Transmission	Hodgkin's lymphomas	population		animals.	
	rate is low.	and lymphosarcomas				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sam Rivera; updated by Natalie Mylniczenko

Sheet completed on: 1 June 2011; 10 September 2013; 19 April 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sam Rivera

Susceptible animal groups: *Bonobo, Cercocebus* sp., *Cercopithecus* sp., *Erthrocebus patas, Gorilla gorilla*, macaques, *Mandrillus* sp., *Pan troglodytes*, *Pan paniscus*, *Papio* sp., *Pongo pygmaeus*, *Symphalangus syndactylus*, colobines, and others.

Causative organism: STLV-1 (most likely), STLV-2, STLV-3, STLV-4 that are in genus Deltaretrovirus.

Zoonotic potential: STLV can infect humans and causes disease in up to 5% of infected persons. HTLV-1, -2, -3, and -4 originated from STLV-1, -2, -3, and -4 respectively.

Distribution: Africa and Asia naturally, and captive non-human primates worldwide.

Incubation period: Long incubation period has been reported of at least four years; however, it can be shorter in persons receiving blood transfusions from persons with HTLV-1-induced leukemia. Cases are generally spontaneous.

Clinical signs: Mostly reported in laboratory animals in isolated 'outbreaks' where the virus jumped species. Most immunocompetent infected animals are healthy. Disease occurs in a few percent of the positive carriers. Leukemia/lymphoma syndrome (enlarged lymph nodes, persistent lymphocytosis and abnormal T-cells, T-cell lymphomas and leukemia, lymphadenopathy, and splenomegaly, and non-Hodgkin's lymphomas). Lymphoma without presence of virus is more common in NHPs and in humans disease includes leukemia, lymphoma, inflammatory disorders, and neurologic disease. STLV-4 recently described in wild gorillas.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Generalized enlarged neoplastic lymph nodes are seen in affected animals. Malignant lymphomas sometimes metastasize, with pale foci or larger nodules found in various organs such as spleen, kidney, and liver. In some individuals, lymph nodes are depleted. Other findings are more variable.

Diagnosis: Serology (IFA and EIA and WB for confirmation) and PCR. Rarely, virus isolation is performed. Care must be taken in interpreting seropositive animals with associated disease manifestations. Lymph node and bone marrow PCR are used to determine disease presence. Dual STLV-1 and STLV-3 infections have been reported in naturally infected simians.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Whole blood, serum/plasma, lymph nodes, bone marrow, and urine.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Primate Assay Laboratory (PAL) Formerly PDL

California National Primate Research Center

University of California, Davis

530-752-8242 cnprc-pdl@ucdavis.edu http://www.cnprc.ucdavis.edu/primate-assay-laboratory-core/

SIMIAN T-LYMPHOTROPIC VIRUSES

Virus Reference Laboratories, Inc.

VRL-San Antonio, USA

P.O. Box 40100

7540 Louis Pasteur, Suite 200

San Antonio, Texas 78229

Office: 877-615-7275 Fax: 210-615-7771 http://www.vrlsat.com/

Zoologix Inc.

9811 Owensmouth Avenue, Suite 4 Chatsworth, California 91311-3800

818-717-8880 Fax: 818-717-8881 info@zoologix.com

http://www.zoologix.com/

Treatment: None

Prevention and control: Identify status of animals in collection. Determine risk to benefit of maintaining a closed population in the face of population needs.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 70% ethanol, formalin, 10% household bleach (sodium hypochlorite), benzalkonium chloride, and most lipophylic detergents.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None at this time.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Determine current status of both animal sets, determine risk to benefit of introducing negative individuals to positive individuals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Life-long infection so disease-free status cannot be restored.

Experts who may be consulted:

William M. Switzer, MPH

Retrovirus Surveillance Activity Leader, Laboratory Branch

Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, NCHSTP

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Rd.

Atlanta, Georgia 30333

bis3@cdc.gov

- 1. Courgnaud V, Van Dooren S, Liegeois F, Pourrut X, Abela B, Loul S, Mpoudi-Ngole E, Vandamme A, Delaporte E, Peeters M. Simian T-cell leukemia virus (STLV) infection in wild primate populations in Cameroon: evidence for dual STLV type 1 and type 3 infection in agile mangabeys (*Cercocebus agilis*). J Virol. 2004;78:4700-4709.
- 2. Lerche NW. Common viral infections of laboratory primates. In: Wolfe-Coote, S. (ed). The Handbook of Experimental Animals; The Laboratory Primate. San Diego (CA): Elsevier Academic Press; 2005. p. 75-89.
- 3. Lowestine LJ, Lerche NW. Nonhuman primate retroviruses and simian acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. In: Fowler, M.E. (ed). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 3. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders Co.; 2003. p. 373-378.
- 4. Masters N, Niphuis H, Verschoor E, Breuer J, Quinlivan M, Wawrzynczyk T, Stidworthy M. Debilitating clinical disease in a wild-born captive western lowland gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*) co-infected with varicella zoster virus (VZV) and simian T-lymphotropic virus (STLV). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2010;41:713-716.

SIMIAN T-LYMPHOTROPIC VIRUSES

- 5. Richard L, Betsem E, Filippone C, Nerrienet E, Gessain A. Search for primate T-lymphotropic virus type 4 in highly exposed human populations and gorillas from Central Africa. Retrovirology, 2015;12(1):P83.
- 6. Sintasath DM, Wolfe ND, LeBreton M, Jia H, Garcia AD, Diffo JL, Tamoufe U, Carr JK, Folks TM, Mpoudi-Ngole E, Burke DS, Heneine W, Switzer WM. Simian T-lymphotropic virus diversity among nonhuman primates, Cameroon. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15:175–184.
- 7. Voevodin AF, Marx PA(eds.). 2009. Deltaretroviruses. *In*: Simian Virology. Willey-Blackwell, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 193-215.
- 8. Voevodin AF, Marx PA. Simian Virology. Ch 8: Deltaretroviruses. Ames (IA): Willey-Blackwell; 2009. p. 193-212.
- 9. Weston-Murphy H, Switzer WM. Occupational exposure to zoonotic simian retroviruses: health and safety implications for persons working with nonhuman primates. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds). Zoo & Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy 6. St. Louis (MO): Saunders/Elsevier; 2008. p. 251-264.

SPIRURIDOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Non-human	Ingestion of	Variable, but	Inapparent	Levamisole,	Control of	Rare,
primates,	intermediate	may include	to severe;	albendazole,	arthropod	although
equids,	(invertebrate	chronic	many are	mebendazole,	intermediate	food-borne
cervids,	or verte-	gastritis,	subclinical	ivermectin	and paratenic	infection or
bovids,	brate) or	vomiting,		and other	hosts;	vector-
camelids,	paratenic	hemoptysis,		anthelminthics	quarantine of	borne can
canids,	host	anemia,			shedding	occur
felids,		anorexia,			animals	
insectivores,		weight loss,				
birds, and		conjunctivitis,				
reptiles		keratitis, and				
		sudden death				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Inga F. Sidor; updated by Christopher S. Hanley

Sheet completed on: 31 January 2011; updated 24 August 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Guilherme G. Verocai; Inga Sidor

Susceptible animal groups: Many vertebrates are susceptible to members of this order of nematode parasites, including wild and captive primates, equids, cervids, bovids, camelids, suids, canids, felids, insectivores, marsupials, rodents, birds, amphibians, and reptiles

Causative organism: Commonly encountered pathogenic spirurids of zoo and wildlife species include nematodes of the genera *Habronema*, *Draschia* (equids, camelids), *Parabronema* (primates), *Thelazia* (mammals, birds), *Spirocerca* (canids, felids, ruminants), *Gongylonema* (primates, ruminants, equids, suids, birds), *Trichospirura* (primates, reptiles, amphibians), *Tetrameres, Oxyspirura* (birds), *Physaloptera* (small carnivores, primates, insectivores, rodents), and *Gnathostoma* (carnivores, suids, primates, marsupials).

Zoonotic potential: Most species are not known to cause human disease, although some zoonotic spirurids exist. *Gnathostoma* spp. may be acquired by ingestion of uncooked infected paratenic hosts (fish,frogs, crustaceans), and cause cutaneous, visceral or ocular larva migrans. *Thelazia* spp. Also can affect human eyes and it is transmitted by flies directly into the eyes.

Distribution: Global, more common in warm climates

Incubation period: Variable, typically weeks to months; the life cycle includes an obligate arthropod intermediate host, including house or stable flies, cockroaches, coprophagous beetles, and crickets. Paratenic hosts (rodents and other small mammals, amphibians, reptiles, small birds) may also be involved. *Gnathostoma* are aquatic, with a secondary fish or amphibian intermediate host.

Clinical signs: Most species of spirurids live in the lumen or walls of the upper gastrointestinal tract (oral cavity, esophagus, stomach, proventriculus, or ventriculus); cutaneous or conjunctival infections are also seen (*Habronema* and *Thelazia*, respectively). Signs vary according to site of parasitism and infections are often inapparent, but signs can include esophagitis with aneurysms, chronic gastritis, vomiting, hemoptysis, anemia, anorexia, weight loss, aortic stenosis or aneurysm, or may induce tumors such as sarcoma (*Spirocerca*), acute or chronic pancreatitis (*Trichospirura*), cutaneous ulceration or nodules, ocular discharge, keratitis/conjunctivitis, and/or sudden death.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Superficial epithelial infections (*Gongylonema*) may result in esophageal epithelial hypertrophy and cornification. With more invasive infections (*Spirocerca*, *Habronema*, and *Tetrameres*), granulomatous or ulcerative lesions of organs develop surrounding necrotic nematodes and caseous debris, including gastritis, esophagitis, and aortitis. Granulomas may be large and

SPIRURIDOSIS

coalescent, appearing neoplastic. Larval migration may cause focal tissue hemorrhage and necrosis. Nodular granulomatous dermatitis can be seen with cutaneous infections due to erratic larval migration when life-cycle is not completed. Conjunctivitis and progressive keratitis are typical of *Thelazia*.

Diagnosis: Morphological identification of larvae, eggs or adult nematodes. Adults may be recovered from ocular conjunctiva (e.g. *Thelazia*, *Oxyspirura*), including surgical removal, or during necropsy. Because of encysting or encapsulation, for some species, of the adult nematodes in granulomas, fecal shedding of eggs may be intermittent. Imaging techniques such as endoscopy may assist in some cases (e.g. granulomas by *Spirocerca*). Eggs of different species may be difficult to separate morphologically (e.g. *Spirocerca* and *Physaloptera*) and may require larvae to make a definitively identification. Confirmation of infection in biopsies or necropsy tissues may be desired by histopathology. Oral and lingual scraping has been used to identify *Gongylonema* in callitrichids, but results are inconsistent. Molecular techniques, including EM are available for identification of some parasites.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Feces, vomitus, surgical/postmortem lesions

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any diagnostic laboratory with routine parasitologic capabilities should be able to diagnose this infection.

Treatment: A variety of anthelminthics have been used to treat these infections, with variable efficacy, including mebendazole, albendazole, levamisole, fenbendazole, ivermectin, doramectin, moxidectin, and milbemycin oxime, but controlled studies are uncommon. Surgical removal of nematodes (*Thelazia*) or granulomas (e.g. *Spirocerca*) may apply.

Prevention and control: Removal of arthropod intermediate hosts (terrestrial and aquatic) or paratenic hosts from enclosures is key to controlling infections. Prophylactic treatment of animals with endectocides or insecticides may prevent contact of arthropod intermediate hosts. In endemic regions, preventative treatment may be possible for some spirurid species. Animals with active fecal shedding or vomiting should be separated from uninfected animals. Quarantine, routine parasitological diagnostics, and prophylactic treatment of new arrivals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: General measures for cleaning and disinfection should reduce environmental parasite contamination. Bleach or ethanol treatment may reduce viability of spirurid eggs, which are believed not to be very resistant in the environment.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Direct infection is not observed; the indirect life cycle of these parasites makes control of intermediate hosts the most important measure.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Undefined as ante mortem testing may be unreliable (due to the low sensitivity of certain techniques) and return to disease-free status may be difficult to ascertain.

Experts who may be consulted:

Guilherme G. Verocai, DVM, MSc

Department of Ecosystem and Public Health

University of Calgary

3330 Hospital Drive NW, HSC 2531

Calgary AB, Canada T2N 4N1

Phone: 403-210-7869 Fax: 403-210-7869 gui.verocai@ucalgary.ca

References:

1. Adkesson M. J., J. N. Langan, and A. Paul. 2007. Evaluation of control and treatment of

SPIRURIDOSIS

- Gongylonema spp. infections in callitrichids. J. Zoo Wildl. Med. 38(1): 27-31.
- 2. Otranto, D., and M. L. Eberhard, 2011. Zoonotic helminths affecting the human eye. Parasit. Vectors 4:41.
- 3. Hawkins, J. V., N. K. Clapp, R. L. Carson, M. A. Henke, M. D. McCracken, C. T. Faulkner, and S. Patton. 1997. Diagnosis and Treatment of *Trichospirura leptostoma* infection in common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*). Contemp. Top. Lab. Anim. Sci. 36: 52-55.
- 4. Hodgkinson, J. E. 2006. Molecular diagnosis and equine parasitology. Vet. Parasitol. 136: 109-116.
- 5. Kelly, P. J., M. Fisher., H. Lucas, and R. C. Krecek. 2008. Treatment of esophageal spirocercosis with milbemycin oxime. Vet. Parasitol. 156: 358-360.
- 6. Kudo, N., H. Kubota, H. Gotoh, H. Ishida, H. Ikadai, and T. Oyamada. 2008. Efficacy of thiabendazole, mebendazole, levamisole and ivermectin against gullet worm, *Gongylonema pulchrum: in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. Vet. Parasitol 151: 46-52.
- 7. Myers, D.A., C. D. Smith, E. C. Greiner, E. Wiedner, J. Abbott, R. Marsella, and C. Nunnery. 2010. Cutaneous periocular *Habronema* infection in a dromedary camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). Vet. Dermatol. 21: 527-530.
- 8. Rossi, L., C. Rigano, E. Tomio, D. Frassetto, and E. Ferroglio. 2007. Use of sustained-release moxidectin to prevent eyeworm (*Thelazia callipaeda*) infection in dogs. Vet. Rec. 161: 820-821.
- 9. Soulsby, E. J. L. (ed). 1982. Helminths, Arthropods and Protozoa of Domestic Animals. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 285-306.
- 10. Van der Merwe, L. L., R. M. Kirberger, S. Clift, M. Williams, N. Keller, and V. Naidoo. 2008. *Spirocerca lupi* infection in the dog: a review. Vet. J. 176: 294-309.
- 11. Vicente, J. J., H. O. Rodrigues, D. C. Gomes, and R. Magalhães-Pinto. 1997. Nematóides do Brasil. Parte V: Nematóides de mamíferos. Rev. Bras. Zool. 14(1): 1-452.
- 12. Walker, M. L., and W. W. Becklund. 1971. Occurrence of a cattle eyeworm, *Thelazia gulosa* (Nematoda: Thelaziidae), in an imported giraffe in California and *T. lacrymalis* in a native horse in Maryland. J. Parasitol. 57: 1362-1363.

SPRING VIREMIA OF CARP

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Fishes, specifically members of the Family Cyprinidae. Salmonids and a percid have been experimentally infected.	Horizontal transmission (direct, vectors, fomites). Most cases occur in the spring or early summer when the water begins to warm but remains below 15°C. One report of virus isolation from ovarian fluid in carp.	Multiple and varied, including lethargy, pale gills, fecal cast, and branchial hemorrhage.	Mortality with SVCv in carp may reach 100% but is frequently much less.	None	Strict biosecurity and quarantine protocols should be followed based on information available through the OIE and USDA. A DNA vaccine has potential as a method of prevention and control.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Gregory A. Lewbart

Sheet completed on: updated 20 May 2018; updated 12 January 2019 **Fact Sheet Reviewed by**: Kathryn Tuxbury and Elsburgh "Tres" Clarke

Susceptible animal groups: Fishes of the family Cyprinidae. Some notable examples include: arp/koi (*Cyprinus carpio*), golden orfe (*Leuciscus idus*), goldfish (*Carassius auratus*), tench (*Tinca tinca*), *Percocypris pingi*, and sheatfish (*Silurus glanis*). Documented in Chinese firebelly newts (*Cynops orientalis*) in 2016.

Causative organism: Spring Viremia of Carp Virus (SVCv); Rhabdovirus carpio

Zoonotic potential: None

Distribution: Global, especially in temperate geographical areas.

Incubation period: Varies depending on water temperature. Latent infections can likely persist for months or even years. Arthropods such as the fish louse (*Argulus* sp.) are likely vectors.

Clinical signs: Infected fish may present with a variety of clinical signs including, but not limited to, abdominal distention, exophthalmia, lethargy, pale gills, darkening of the body surface, fecal casts, skin and branchial hemorrhage, and distention or protrusion of the vent.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: On necropsy, affected fish may have generalized edema which may be sanguineous, organ hemorrhage, intestinal inflammation, and the gastrointestinal tract may contain mucus and no ingesta. Histopathologic examination may reveal multifocal necrosis in liver and pancreas, pericarditis, and renal tubular degeneration.

Diagnosis: Diagnosis is usually made with viral isolation from spleen and/or caudal kidney and/or serum antibody titers and confirmed with virus neutralization. It is important to note that SVCv infected fish also may present with opportunistic Gram-negative bacterial infections.

Material required for laboratory analysis: A minimum of 10 moribund fish or 10 fish exhibiting clinical signs of SVCv must be collected. Fish should be sent live to the laboratory or sacrificed and packed separately in sealed aseptic refrigerated containers or on ice. Depending on the size of fish, whole fish (body length 0-4 cm) or the entire viscera including kidney and encephalon (body length 4-6 cm) should be collected. If the fish is larger, liver, kidney, spleen and encephalon should be collected aseptically. Samples should be combined to form pools of a maximum of five fish per pool that should not exceed 1.5g. Tissues should be placed in sterile vials and stored at 4°C until virus extraction is performed at the laboratory, which is recommended to begin within 24 hours of sample collection.

For detecting asymptomatic carriers, tissue samples of kidney, spleen, gill and encephalon should be collected. Depending on the population size, fish collection must encompass a statistically significant number of specimens. The sampling should be designed in order to enable detection, at a 95% confidence level, of infected animals. Ultra-filtration using large volumes of water can be used to concentrate and isolate the virus.

SPRING VIREMIA OF CARP

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Various approved state and federal laboratories. Information is available through the USDA web site.

Treatment: None.

Prevention and control: Facilities holding and importing high risk cyprinid fishes should be diligent in following standard quarantine protocols and adhere to appropriate and periodic screening as prescribed by the OIE and USDA. A DNA vaccine utilizing the SVCv glycoprotein gene has proved promising in challenge trials using koi. USDA placing restrictions on import of SVCv susceptible species - gametes, fertilized eggs and live fish.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: The disinfection protocol depends on the size, type and nature of the materials and sites to be disinfected. When an active outbreak of SVCv has occurred, the infected stocks should be depopulated and all areas that held the infected fish must be disinfected. The virus may be inactivated by formalin, ozone, sodium hypochlorite, organic iodophors, gamma and ultraviolet radiation, pH extremes of < 4.0 or > 10.00, and heating at 60° C for 15 minutes. All equipment and tanks, raceways and ponds should be disinfected. The USDA APHIS also recommends if surface water – rather than municipal water source - is used as incoming water to the farms it be treated with sand filtration and UV.

Notification: All suspect cases should be necropsied and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) contacted for proper routing of diagnostic samples. Confirmed cases must be reported to the USDA and state veterinarian.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Once an infection is reported, a facility has to follow the recommendations described in the International Aquatic Animal Health Code and the Diagnostic Manual for Aquatic Animal Diseases by OIE to be declared free of SVCv. In the United States, the USDA recommendations must be followed.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not applicable.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: See the OIE web site for most current information. Facilities must be disease free for at least 2 years before disease-free status can be granted. Periodic testing with negative results is required to maintain this status.

Experts who may be consulted: A complete summary of the disease and diagnostic procedures are available through the Office International des Epizooties (OIE) (http://www.oie.int/).

- 1. Ahne W. Argulus foliaceus L. and Philometra geometra L. as mechanical vectors of spring viraemia of carp virus (SVCV). J Fish Dis. 1985;8:241-242.
- 2. Ahne W, Bjorklund HV, Essbauer S, Fijan N, Kurath G, Winton JR. Spring viremia of carp (SVC). Dis Aquat Org. 2002;52:261-272.
- 3. World Organisation for Animal Health [Internet]. Aquatic Animal Health Code; 2010 [cited 2018 May 19]. Available from https://www.oie.int/doc/ged/D7821.PDF
- 4. World Organisation for Animal Health [Internet]. Manual of Diagnostic Tests for Aquatic Animals (2018); 2018 [cited 2018 May 19]. Available from http://www.oie.int/standard-setting/aquatic-manual/access-online/
- 5. Emmenegger EJ, Kurath G. DNA vaccine protects ornamental koi (Cyprinus carpio) against North American spring viremia of carp virus. Vaccine. 2008;26:6415-6421.
- 6. Emmenegger EJ, Sanders GE, Conway CM, Binkowski FP, Winton JR, Kurath G. Experimental infection of six North American fish species with the North Carolina strain of spring viremia of carp virus. Aquaculture. 2016;450:273-282.
- 7. Fijan N, Petrince Z, Sulimanovic D, Zwillenberg L. Isolation of the viral causative agent from the acute form of infectious dropsy of carp, Veterinarski Arhiv 1971;41:125-138.
- 8. Goodwin AE. First report of spring viremia of carp virus (SVCV) in North America. J Aquatic Anim Health 2002;14:161-164.
- 9. Grant AA, Jakob E, Richard J, Garver KA. Concentration of infectious aquatic rhabdoviruses from

SPRING VIREMIA OF CARP

- freshwater and seawater using ultrafiltration. J Aquatic Anim Health 2011; 23:218-223.
- 10. Lewbart GA, Shivappa R. Spring Viremia of Carp Virus. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.) Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine Current Therapy, vol. 6, St. Louis (MO): Saunders, Elsevier; 2007. p. 121-125.
- 11. Overstreet RM, Jovonovich J, Ma H. Parasitic crustaceans as vectors of viruses, with an emphasis on three penaeid viruses. Integ Comp Biol. 2009;49(2):127-141.
- 12. Petty PD, Riggs AC, Klinger R, Yanong, RPE, Francis-Floyd R [Internet]. University of Florida IFAS Extension, Spring viremia of carp; c2002-2016 [cited 2018 May 19]. Available from http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/vm106

ST. LOUIS ENCEPHALITIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected					Control	
Humans (clinical disease)	Bite of	Fever,	In people, mild to	Supportive care	Prevention	Yes,
	infected	stiff neck,	severe, can be	- fluids,	of mosquito	primarily
Other mammals (inapparent	mosquito;	seizures,	fatal although	anticonvulsants;	exposure	via
infection or clinical illness	theoretical risk	coma.	most infections	anti-	and bites.	mosquito
may be possible)	of direct		are	inflammatories.		vector.
	contact with		asymptomatic.			
Birds (usually subclinical	infected					
reservoirs, amplifying	tissues at		Illness in animals is			
hosts, possible illness)	necropsy.		not completely			
			understood.			

Fact Sheet compiled by: Rose Borkowski

Sheet completed on: 2 February 2011; updated 13 August 2013, August 1, 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Michael McBride

Susceptible animal groups: This disease is primarily a concern for humans, especially the elderly, but it may be a concern for other mammals, including nonhuman primates and birds. SLE was isolated from a domestic horse with neurologic disease, raising concern for other equid species. Several zoo animal taxa (mammals and birds) were found to have positive serologic tests for St. Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV) during arbovirus surveillance studies. The relationship of positive SLEV serology to clinical disease in these species was not completely understood. Usually, wild birds are subclinical reservoirs.

Causative organism: A single stranded RNA virus in the genus *Flavivirus*, family Flaviviridae; it is closely related to West Nile virus.

Zoonotic potential Yes – Primarily via mosquito vector, usually *Culex* sp.

Distribution: Human SLEV infections are known from Canada to Argentina. The illness occurs throughout the US, particularly eastern and central states, and an SLE outbreak recently occurred in Arizona

Incubation period: 5-15 days in people

Clinical signs: Wild birds serving as viral reservoirs and amplifying hosts generally do not show signs of illness. Signs of SLE illness in other animal species are incompletely understood, yet the virus was isolated from a horse that succumbed to neurologic disease. In humans, fever, headache, and fatigue are common clinical signs. More serious clinical signs, including stiff neck, altered mental status, seizures, and coma or death, are more likely to occur in the elderly. The case-fatality ratio in humans has been reported as 5-15%. May be a concern for non-human primates.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: In humans, evidence of meningitis and/or encephalitis may be found. Diffuse inflammation of the brain and edema of the substantia nigra have been described.

Diagnosis: Care must be taken to perform virus-specific testing as SLEV cross-reacts with West Nile virus on many diagnostic tests.

<u>Animals</u>: Serology – Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test (PRNT) or virus isolation from various tissues. <u>Humans</u>: Isolation of virus from, or demonstration of specific SLEV antigen or nucleic acid in tissue, blood, CSF, or other body fluid; four-fold or greater change in SLEV-specific quantitative antibody titers in paired sera; SLEV-specific IgM antibodies in serum with confirmatory PRNT antibodies in the same or a later specimen; SLEV-specific IgM antibodies in CSF and a negative result for other IgM antibodies in CSF for arboviruses endemic to the region where exposure occurred.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, cerebrospinal fluid, and tissues.

ST. LOUIS ENCEPHALITIS

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Veterinary samples:

New York State Veterinary Diagnostic Lab (Serology, Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test)

Cornell University

PO Box 5786 (for letters)

240 Farrier Rd (for packages)

Ithaca, NY 14852-5786 Phone: 607-253-3900

Fax: 607-253-3943 diagcenter@cornell.edu http://ahdc.vet.cornell.edu

Louisiana Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory (Virus Isolation from Tissues) School

of Veterinary Medicine

1909 Skip Bertman Drive, Room 1519

Baton Rouge, LA 70803

laddl info@vetmed.lsu.edu

Human Samples:

Contact CDC, state or county public health departments for appropriate laboratories

Treatment: Supportive care is based on clinical signs at presentation. No specific treatment regime is available for SLEV infection and illness

Prevention and control: No vaccine is available. Prevention of mosquito bites is important by use of repellants, protective clothing, screens, and fans. Reduction in mosquito presence includes elimination of standing water in containers that can support mosquito breeding and modification of animal enclosures to reduce areas for mosquito access and breeding. Efforts to limit exposure of animals and humans to insect vector should be taken (e.g. indoors housing at night to avoid exposure during times of peak mosquito feeding activity or repellent application). Use personal protective equipment and proper sharps handling when working with infected animals or their tissues. Prevent aerosolization of virus and contact of infected tissues and fluids with skin and mucous membranes. Do not use mechanical saws to obtain spinal cord samples due to risk of aerosolization. Additional recommendations for handling of potentially infected tissues include use of 3 pairs of gloves (inner layer disposable, middle layer waterproof, and outer layer of metal or Kevlar gloves), face shield or goggles plus a disposable "half mask" high efficiency particle arresting (HEPA) respirator.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Sodium hypochlorite 500 – 5000 ppm, 2% glutaraldehyde, 2-3% hydrogen peroxide, 1% iodine, and ethanol can be used. The virus may be inactivated by UV light.

Notification: SLE in humans is notifiable to State Public Health Departments. If the disease occurs in an animal, state veterinary regulations should be queried for requirements to report the disease.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None at this time.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: SLE is not known to be transmissible

between mammals, birds, reptiles, or people except via the bite of an infected mosquito. A newly diagnosed SLEV-positive animal indicates that SLEV-infected mosquitoes have been active in the area. In people, infection with SLE is believed to confer lifelong immunity to subsequent SLE infection.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: If an outbreak of SLE among zoo animals is verified, information-gathering regarding SLEV serologic status of sentinel animals managed by public health officials may be prudent. Thorough evaluation and enhancement of mosquito control efforts would also contribute to restoration of disease-free status.

ST. LOUIS ENCEPHALITIS

Experts who may be consulted:

Division of Vector-Borne Diseases Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 3156 Rampart Road Ft. Collins, Colorado 80521 800-CDC-INFO

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Saint Louis Encephalitis; 2018 [cited 2018 July 31]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/sle/index.html
- 2. Blackmore C. West Nile Virus and other arboviral infections. In: Rabinowitz PM, Conti L (eds.). Human-Animal Medicine, Clinical Approaches to Zoonoses, Toxicants, and Other Shared Risks. Maryland Heights (MO): Saunders Elsevier; 2010. p. 294 298.
- 3. De Thoisy B, Dussart P, Kazanji M. Wild terrestrial rainforest mammals as potential reservoirs for flaviviruses (yellow fever, dengue 2 and St Louis encephalitis viruses) in French Guiana. Trans of Royal Society of Trop Med and Hygiene. 2004;98(7):409-412. Available from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trstmh.2003.12.003
- 4. Hukkanen RR, Liggitt HD, Kelley ST, Grant R, Anderson DM, Hall RA, Tesh RB, DaRosa AP, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H. West Nile virus and St. Louis encephalitis virus antibody seroconversion, prevalence and persistence in naturally infected pig-tailed macaques, (*Macaca nemstrina*). Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2006;13:711-714.
- 5. Maharaj PD, Bosco-Lauth AM, Langevin SA, Anishchenko M, Bowen RA, Reisen WK, Brault AC. 2018. West Nile and St. Louis encephalitis viral genetic determinants of avian host competence. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018 [cited 2018 August 1];12(2):e0006302. Available from https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006302 Accessed August 1, 2018
- 6. Reimann CA, Hayes EB, DiGuiseppi C, Hoffman R, Lehman JA, Lindsey NP, Campbell GL, Fischer M. Epidemiology of neuroinvasive arboviral disease in the United States. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2008;79(6):974-9.
- 7. Rosa R, Costa EA, Marques RE, Oliveira TS, Furtini R, Bomfim MR, Teixeira MM, Paixão TA, Santos RL. Isolation of St. Louis Encephalitis Virus from a Horse with Neurologic Disease in Brazil. Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7(11): e2737
- 8. Siirin MT, Duan T, Lei H, Guzman H, da Rosa A, Watts DM, Xiao SY, Tesh RB. Chronic SLE virus infection in the golden hamster (*Merocricetus auratus*). Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007;76:299-306.
- 9. White GS, Symmes K, Sun P, Fang Y, Garcia S, Steiner C, Smith K, Reisen WK, Coffey LL. Reemergence of St. Louis Encephalitis Virus, California, 2015. Emerg. Infect Dis. 2016 [cited 2018 August 1];22(12):2185-2188. Available from https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/22/12/16-0805_article

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual *STAPHYLOCOCCUS* SPP. INFECTIONS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Mammals, including humans; birds; reptiles	Opportunistic pathogens often involving breaks in the skin. Ubiquitous, and	Can affect every organ system and clinical signs depend upon organ affected. Common cause of dermatitis. Fever,	Depends upon organ(s) affected and	Antibiotics: First-choice antibiotics (pending culture and sensitivity	Appropriate wound care. Frequent hand washing. Sanitation of	Yes
	live free in the environment and commensal parasites of skin and upper respiratory tract. Droplet, direct/indirect contact transmission can	anorexia, pain, abscesses and infections of the skin, eyes, ears, respiratory system, mammary glands, genito-urinary tract, skeleton, joints. Toxins may produce signs of food poisoning.	immune status of host.	testing) include cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones. Antibiotic resistance is common so sensitivity testing is recommended.	environment. Avoid abrasions or injury to skin. Isolate animals under treatment. Appropriate PPE for animal care-	
	occur.				takers.	

Fact Sheet compiled by: June Olds

Sheet completed on: 5 April 2011; updated 7 March 2013; updated February 21, 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Leah Greer

Susceptible animal groups: All mammals, including humans; birds; reptiles.

Causative organism: *Staphylococcus* spp. of various species, but not MRSA. *Staphylococcus* spp. are Gram positive, facultative anaerobic cocci occurring typically in clusters, although pairs and short chains do occur.

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Worldwide, ubiquitous. Staphylococcal species have been identified as part of the normal microflora in the nasal mucosa and intestinal tract of wild, freshly shot agouti from various areas of Trinidad and are expected to be part of the normal flora of most mammals, humans, birds and reptiles.

Incubation period: Interval of 2-10 days although signs of poisoning from food contaminated with toxins may occur within 30 minutes and up to 6 hours following ingestion.

Clinical signs: Members of the genus *Staphylococcus* are among the most common pyogenic or pus-inducing bacteria, causing local abscesses and generalized infections in a wide variety of species. Depending upon organ system affected, and if bacteremia and septicemia occur, clinical signs may include: pneumonia, endocarditis, meningitis, metritis, peritonitis, osteomyelitis – all organs are susceptible. Dermatitis and local abscesses are common. The organisms are opportunistic pathogens that require some damage to skin or mucous membranes to become established in underlying tissues. Staphyococcal infections in wild rabbits may result in severe and sometimes fatal disease. Clinical signs of the disease are non-specific. Infected lagomorphs may be listless, emaciated, and lame if joints or tendons are involved. Large subcutaneous abscesses may be visible externally, as well as swelling and draining tracts, resulting in crusting of the hair. Infected areas of the skin are usually crusted with exudate. Staphylococcal organisms have also been identified as part of mixed infections with fusobacterium spp. and actinomyces spp. in mandibular osteomyelitis in wallabies and kangaroos.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Purulent inflammation of any organ can be produced. <u>Skin:</u> (<u>Staph pyoderma</u>), abscesses, cellulitis, necrotizing dermatitis. It is also a common cause of pneumonia, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, urinary tract infection, septicemia, mastitis, and meningitis.

Diagnosis: Clinical picture and/or gross presentation of skin lesions (pyoderma) observed. Inflammatory leukogram is often present. Large Gram-positive cocci, arranged in clusters, are readily found in smears of exudate from lesions. Culture and sensitivity testing of affected tissues should be performed for definitive diagnosis.

STAPHYLOCOCCUS SPP. INFECTIONS

Material required for laboratory analysis: Culture (aerobic) of affected tissues with media designed for facultative anaerobe. The organism grows well on 5% blood agar media.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any lab capable to perform microbiology culture/sensitivity testing should be able to identify this organism.

Treatment: Appropriate antibiotics can be guided by culture and sensitivity testing.

Prevention and control: Appropriate antibacterial disinfectants that list efficacy against *Staphylococcus* should be used. Clean environments reduce skin contamination and decreasing risk of skin trauma reduces entry point. Clean environment with dilute bleach solution to the extent possible.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Use disinfectants that list efficacy against *Staphyloccoccus*. Clean environment with dilute bleach solution to the extent possible.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Clear infection and sanitize environment to the extent possible prior to introductions.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Resolution of infection in affected animals, sanitation of environment.

Experts who may be consulted: These are common bacterial pathogens, most diagnostic laboratories and bacteriologists should be familiar with the diseases associated with these bacteria.

- 1. Andreasen CB [Internet]. Overview of Staphylococcosis in Poultry In: The Merck Veterinary Manual; 2019 [cited 2019 March 19]. Available from https://www.merckvetmanual.com/poultry/staphylococcosis/overview-of-staphylococcosis-in-poultry
- 2. Beehler BA, Sauro AM. Aerobic bacterial isolates and antibiotic sensitivities in a captive reptile population. In: Proc Am Assoc Zoo Vet. 1983. p. 198-201.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Staphylococcal (Staph) Food Poisoning; 2018 [cited 2019 March 19]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/foodsafety/diseases/staphylococcal.html
- 4. Kiel JP. Paget's disease in snakes. 1983. In: Proc Am Assoc Zoo Vet. 1983.p. 201-207.
- 5. Suepaul R, Charles RC, Dziva F. Aerobic microflora and endoparasites of freshly shot wild agouti (*Dasyprocta leporina*) in Trinidad, West Indies. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2016;47(4):1044-1048.
- 6. Tilly LP, Smith FWK. The 5-minute veterinary consult, canine & feline, 2nd Edition. Philadelphia (PA): Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2000. p. 1222-1223
- 7. Wobeser G. Staphylococcus Infection. In: Williams ES, Barker IK (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 509.

STREPTOCOCCUS GROUP C

Animal Group(s)	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Affected		Signs			and Control	
Equines as	Inhalation;	Variable based	Severity can	1 st choice:	Vaccination	Yes, although
"Strangles";	ingestion;	on organ system	range from	Procaine	and	rarely and mostly
pneumonias, or	during	affected.	mild to	penicillin and	isolation.	in immuno-
reproductive	breeding;	Abscesses;	severe or	Ampicillin.		compromised
disease.	transplacental.	pharyngitis;	fatal,			individuals.
Swine as	Indirectly via	cellulitis;	depending on	2 nd choice:		Death has
pneumonias and	hands and/or	septicemias;	age, species,	Cephalosporins,		occurred. Strep.
polyarthritis.	fomites.	rhinitis; ocular	and immune	Chloramphenicol,		zooepidemicus
Ruminants as	Direct contact	discharge;	status of the	macrolides,		has been the main
mastitis and	with infectious	coughing;	individual.	Rifampin, and		isolate in those
polyarthritis.	exudates.	sneezing;		Trimethoprim-		cases.
Marine mammals,	Undercooked	draining tracts.		sulfas.		Strep.
birds, and salmon	horsemeat.	Abortions.				dysgalactiae is
as septicemias.		Mastitis.				also zoonotic.
Fish as ulcers.						

Fact Sheet compiled by: Christie Hicks

Sheet completed on: 30 April 2011; updated 7 August 2013; updated 14 February 2018.

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Ryan Colburn

Susceptible animal groups: Virtually all mammals, including humans, can be susceptible. Equine, swine, ruminants, and marine mammals are at risk and published reports include pyometra in a spotted seal. Birds, salmon, and other fish species also can be affected. 3 separate outbreaks in shelters have led to the death of many dogs with *Streptococcus zooepidemicus* as the cause.

Causative organism: *Streptococcus* species classified into Lancefield Group C, which are Gram positive cocci occurring in pairs and chains.

Zoonotic potential: *Streptococcus zooepidemicus* has been reported as the cause of zoonosis in several individuals with some cases leading to death. Possible routes of zoonotic infection are: consumption of infected milk and milk products, exposure to bodily fluids or contaminated fomites, or occupationally during the care of infected individuals. *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* is now being seen more frequently in people.

Distribution: Widely distributed worldwide.

Incubation period: *Streptococcus equi* subsp *equi*: 3 to 14 days. In humans it can be significantly shorter.

Clinical signs: An abscess filled with purulent material especially around the head and neck. Fever, nasal discharge, pharyngitis, rhinitis, ocular discharge, coughing, sneezing, draining tracts, and more rarely cellulitis and septicemia can be seen.

Streptococcus equi subsp equi is highly infectious. Retropharyngeal and submandibular lymph node swelling and abscesses can progress to affect other organs such as the mesentery, liver, spleen, kidney, brain and less commonly the thorax. Classic "Strangles" is typically limited to the head and neck regions. But when the disease progresses past these areas it is known as metastatic strangles or "Bastard Strangles" and can result in colic like symptoms, fever, and/or weight loss. Purpura hemorrhagica can develop secondary, a Type III hypersensitivity presenting with ventral and limb edema, petechia and ecchymoses, and result in renal and muscle disease. This infection has been considered a possible link in Idiopathic Hemorrhagic Vasculopathy Syndrome in black rhinos.

Streptococcus equi subsp zooepidemicus causes mastitis, abortions and infertility in adults, and pneumonias in adults and foals. Purulent rhinitis and bronchitis in weanling foals. Cases of fibrinous pleuritis and pneumonia in sheep, mastitis in goats, and hemorrhagic pneumonia in dogs have been reported.

Streptococcus dysgalactiae is seen in cattle as mastitis. Piglets, lambs, goats, and calves as polyarthritis. Acute death in puppies. And recently it has been stated to cause ulcers in fish.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Committee Manual 2018 STREPTOCOCCUS GROUP C

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Abscesses tend to be fluid filled with *Streptococcus equi* subsp *equi*. Empyema with or without chondroids may be found in the guttural pouches. Metastasis is most commonly to the mesenteric lymph nodes. *Streptococcocus equi* subsp *zooepidemicus* is associated with consolidation and adhesions of the lungs with debris in the airways. Reproductive disease is associated with placentitis especially around the cervical star. *S. dysgalactiae* causes endocarditis with yellow or white vegetations of varying sizes, fibrous and multifocal abscesses of tissues, and hypertrophy of synovial villi.

Diagnosis: On CBC: anemia, neutrophilic leukocytosis, and hyperfibrinogenemia are present while the chemistry panel remains unremarkable. Polymerase chain reaction is the most sensitive and efficient. Growth of the organism on cow or sheep blood agar at 37° C in 3-5% CO2 or using the CAMP phenomenon. Ultrasound, endoscopy (particularly of the guttural pouches) and/or radiographs may be helpful to determine the extent of the abscesses and infection.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Aspirates from unopened abscesses collected in a sterile manner and/or milk collected under sterile conditions can be cultured. Aspirates and washes from the nasopharyngeal and guttural pouches can be submitted for *Streptococcocus equi* subsp *equi* PCR and culture.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any laboratory that performs cultures and sensitivities on a routine basis can complete testing for this organism. *Streptococcocus equi* subsp *equi* PCR can be found at many major commercial and veterinary diagnostic laboratories.

Treatment: Antibiotics that are found to be effective against *Streptococcus* Group C on culture: penicillins, cephalosporins, macrolides, Chloramphenicol, Rifampin, and Trimethoprim-sulfonamides have proven to be effective. Procaine Penicillin is the antibiotic of choice. However, the use of antibiotics is controversial in *Streptococcocus equi* subsp *equi* unless given in the early stages of disease because many clinicians feel that treatment at later stages only prolongs the course of disease. Antibiotic use should therefore be dependent on the severity of disease and can help limit shedding. The use of antibiotics may prevent the development of natural immunity therefore, re-infection is possible. Encouraging assessable lymph nodes and abscesses to drain via warm compresses, aspiration, and/or lancing may help to speed up the recovery process. Guttural pouch flushes with a gelatin/benzyl penicillin mixture may also help. Administer NSAIDS or corticosteroids to help decrease fever and provide analgesia.

Prevention and control: *Streptococcous equi* subsp *equi*: Intramuscular vaccination has proven to not be completely protective but can help decrease the severity of disease. Injection site reactions are possible. An intranasal product with a live attenuated strain of *Streptococcus equi* subsp *equi* is available and used commonly, except in Europe. It is recommended to vaccinate with 2 initial boosters separated by 2 weeks and then annually. Vaccination of any kind is not recommended for exposed horses at a facility with an ongoing outbreak and for 2 years afterwards due to the increased risk of purpura hemorrhagica. Vaccine titers can be performed. It is important to monitor temperatures and isolate febrile animals as minimal to no shedding occurs within the first 48 hours. Isolate the infected individuals as recovered individuals because they can still shed the bacteria for months. Examination of guttural pouches can identify carriers. Prophylactic treatment of exposed animals may be considered.

Foals should be appropriately vaccinated for respiratory viruses to help prevent secondary bacterial infections. Limit crowding when housing foals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Clean with detergents and then disinfect either with; chlorhexidine gluconate or glutaraldehyde.

Notification: Not federally reportable but *Streptococcocus equi* subsp *equi* is reportable in some states.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none.

STREPTOCOCCUS GROUP C

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Streptococcus equi subsp equi can live outside the host for several weeks and can be shed for at least 4 weeks, so all facilities should not accept any new individuals for at least 1 month after an outbreak has resolved. Specifically, for *S. equi* subsp equi, all new animals should observe a 21-day quarantine period with three negative nasopharyngeal wash PCRs or one guttural pouch wash PCR obtained before entry into the group.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Careful monitoring of those that are infected for a resolution of clinical signs and all blood parameters returning to normal. Following clinical resolution, three negative nasopharyngeal PCRs separated by 4 to 7 days should be performed before recovered individuals are allowed back into the group with a minimum of 1 month of isolation. It should be noted that some individuals can become prolonged shedders for months with the source being within the guttural pouch therefore, PCR of the guttural pouch may prove beneficial.

Experts who may be consulted: Any laboratory that routinely tests for this bacterium, as well as large animal internists and equine veterinarians.

- 1. Boyle AG. *Streptococcus equi* subspecies *equi* infection (Strangles) in horses. Compend Contin Educ Vet. 2011;33:E1-8.
- 2. Clancy MM, Woc-Colburn M, Viner T, Sanchez C, Murray S. Retrospective analysis of mortalities in elephant shrews (*Macroscelididae*) and tree shrews (*Tupaiidae*) at the Smithsonian National Zoological Park, USA. J Zoo Wild Med. 2013;44:302-309.
- 3. DVM360 [Internet]. Deadly Bacterium Strikes Shelter Dogs; 2008 [cited 2018 March 3]. Available from http://www.veterinarynews.dvm360.com
- 4. Hirsh D, Biberstein E (eds.). *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus*. In: Hirsh D, MacLachlan NJ, Walker RL (eds.). Veterinary Microbiology 2nd Edition. Ames (IA): Blackwell Publishing Professional; 2004. p. 159–165.
- 5. Hueffer K, Lieske CL, McGilvary LM, Hare RF, Miller DL, O'Hara TM. *Streptococcus phocae* isolated from a spotted seal (*Phoca largha*) with pyometra in Alaska. J Zoo Wildl Med. 2011;42:108-112.
- 6. Kahn C, Line S (eds.). The Merck Veterinary Manual 9th Edition. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck & Co., Inc.; 2005. p. 587, 1130, and 1212–1213.
- 7. Kahn C, Line S (eds.). The Merck Veterinary Manual 10th Edition. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck & Co., Inc.; 2010. p. 665, 1339-1341 and 2468.
- 8. Medscape [Internet]. Emergence of *Streptococcus Equi* Subspecies *Zooepidemicus* Infections in Humans by Zoonotic Transmission from Horses; 2013 [cited 2018 March 3]. Available from http://www.medscape.org
- 9. Murray S, Lung NP, Alvarado TP, Gamble KC, Miller MA, Paglia DE, Montali RJ. Idiopathic hemorrhagic vasculopathy syndrome in seven black rhinoceros. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2000;216(2):230-233.
- 10. Pasquini C, Pasquini S (eds.). Guide to Bovine Clinics 3rd Ed. Pilot Point (TX): Sudz Publishing; 1996. p. 195.
- 11. Pasquini C, Pasquini S, Woods P (eds.). Guide to Equine Clinics 3rd Edition. Pilot Point (TX): Sudz Publishing; 1998. p. 96, 114–115, 180, and 217.
- 12. Sweeny CR. Streptococcus equi infection (Strangles). In: Smith BP (ed.). Large Animal Internal Medicine, 4th edition. St. Louis (MO): Mosby Elsevier; 2009. p. 533-536.
- 13. Vet Folio [Internet]. *Streptococcus equi* subspecies *equi* Infection (Strangles) in Horses; 2011 [cited 2018 March 3]. Available from http://www.vetfolio.com
- 14. Vet Specialists [Internet]. Horse Strangles/Streptococcus equi subsp equi infection in horses; 2015 [cited 2018 March 3]. Available from http://www.vetspecialists.com
- 15. Wikipedia [Internet]. Streptococcus dysgalactiae; 2017 [cited 2018 March 3]. Available from http://en.wikipedia.org

STRONGYLOIDIASIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Primates, especially young, captive orangutan. Various Strongyloides spp. can affect humans, canids, felids, suids, equids, ruminants, rodents, birds.	Larvae or ova shed in feces, develop into free-living adults or infectious larvae that can penetrate skin. They then migrate to intestines, (many species go through lungs on the way to gut); also some species are transmitted transmammary. Some species can autoinfect within intestines and produce pulmonary hyperinfection.	Often insidious; acute lethargy or sudden death, diarrhea, abdominal distension and discomfort, nausea, anorexia, cough, shortness of breath.	Can cause severe disease and death from hyperinfection in young animals; typically subclinical in immunecompetent adults.	Usually unsuccessful in severe symptomatic cases. However, can attempt to treat with ivermectin and/or benzimidazoles	Ivermectin, also benz- imidazoles; improve hygiene to reduce fecal contamination	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Ellen Bronson, med. vet., DACZM

Sheet completed on: 31 January 2011; updated 12 March 2013; updated 24 December 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Tom Nolan, PhD; Christy Rettenmund, DVM, DACZM

Susceptible animal groups: All vertebrates. Primates, especially captive orangutans < 5 yr; also canids, felids, suids, ruminants, equids, rodents.

Causative organism: *Strongyloides stercoralis* in primates, domestic dog; *S. fuelleborni* in Old World primates; *S. cebus* in New World primates. Other *Strongyloides* spp. reported in other primates, suids, felids, equids, ruminants, rodents, birds, and reptiles.

Zoonotic potential: Yes (*S. stercoralis* and *S. fuelleborni*); infective larvae can penetrate intact skin, also fecaloral transmission possible. Common parasite of humans in subtropical and tropical climates. Reported in human caretakers in orangutan rehabilitation facilities.

Distribution: Worldwide with different geographic strains and species. It is most prevalent in tropics and subtropics, also endemic in Southeastern US.

Incubation period: 1-2 weeks in most species; individuals can be chronically affected.

Clinical signs: *Strongyloides* spp. infections are usually subclinical in adult immunocompetent animals. In young or immunocomprimised primates with disseminated hyperinfestations due to autoinfection, sudden death without premonitory signs is seen. Other clinical signs include abdominal pain, diarrhea, paralytic ileus, constipation, cough, shortness of breath, urticaria, and rash.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Petechiae and ecchymoses in lungs, pulmonary hemorrhage, erosive or ulcerative enterocolitis. Adult parasites, larvae, and eggs in pulmonary (very rare) and intestinal mucosal tissue on histologic examination and can also be found in other tissues (lymph nodes, liver, etc) in disseminated infections. In hyperinfections, secondary bacterial septicemia, pneumonia, and meningitis are common.

Diagnosis: With *S. stercoralis* infection, rhabditiform (or less frequently filariform) larvae can be seen in feces with Baermann fecal exam or direct wet mount or with charcoal or Horadi-Mori fecal culture, but diagnosis often challenging due to infrequent shedding in feces. In infants, severe tissue destruction and death can occur before fecal shedding begins. Eggs can be seen in feces with *S. fuelleborni* infections. In hyperinfections, may be able to detect larvae in sputum or respiratory tract mucus. Eosinophilia possible during acute and chronic stages. *S. stercoralis* ELISA and other serology available for humans; levels shown to decrease after treatment in humans. ELISA has been used in orangutans but has not been validated, and usefulness in non-humans is unknown.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Fresh feces for fecal exam; serum for ELISA antibody testing.

STRONGYLOIDIASIS

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Most reference laboratories can perform Baermann fecal exams.

ELISA antibody test available through CDC for human cases, but not currently commercially available for non-human primates or other species.

Treatment: Difficult in symptomatic or chronically infected animals but can reduce burdens with ivermectin, albendazole, other benzimidazoles. Ivermectin treatment is the preferred treatment and is usually 2 doses one week apart resulting in rapid amelioration of clinical signs. Treatment with benzimidazoles usually is daily for two weeks with no change in clinical signs expected before 3 to 7 days. Aggressive combination treatment recommended for hyperinfection cases. A second dosing of antiparasitic a week after the end of the first treatment is usually needed to kill adults developing from larvae that were migrating in the tissues during the first treatment. Treatments should always be performed in combination with control measures to prevent reinfection during treatment since the larvae are not killed by the same dosages as the adults.

Prevention and control: Daily removal of feces to break cycle; if animals on soil will not be able to break cycle. Can keep burdens low with regular anthelmintics. Due to high morbidity/mortality in captive orangutans, monthly anthelmintic strongly recommended for all members of orangutan groups with infants, juveniles, or pregnant adults. Monthly ivermectin is the most commonly used preventative regimen for orangutans.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Mechanical removal of feces most important, cleaning with soap and water and complete drying is recommended. Quaternary ammonium products containing N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride or didecyl dimethyl ammonium chloride will kill infective larvae very rapidly and are suitable for hard solid surfaces. Steam cleaning also effective for disinfecting housing areas.

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: N/A

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Consider prophylactic deworming regimen during introduction phase and frequent fecal examinations, but transmission likely difficult to avoid; goal should be to avoid clinical signs, especially in groups with young primates.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: If animals have access to soil it will be impossible to eliminate parasite. If area can be completely disinfected, can attempt daily complete removal of feces, cleaning/drying area, but if chronically infected animal is present, will be unlikely to eliminate infection.

Experts who may be consulted:

Thomas J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Director of the Clinical Parasitology Laboratory

University of Pennsylvania School of Veterinary Medicine

Phone: 215-898-7895 Email: parasit@vet.upenn.edu

- 1. Bowman DD. Helminths. In: Bowman DD. (ed). Georgis' Parasitology for Veterinarians, 10th Ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier Saunders; 2014. p. 192-194
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Strongyloidiasis; 2016 [cited 2017 November 17]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/strongyloides/health_professionals/index.html
- 3. Murphy HW. Great Apes. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, Volume 8. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier Saunders; 2015. p. 336-354.
- 4. Nurcahyo W, Konstanzová V, Foitová I. Parasites of orangutans (primates: ponginae): An overview. Am J Primatol. 2016;79:e22650.
- 5. Swenson B. Great Ape Neonatology. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, Current Therapy Volume 4. Philadelphia (PA): W.B. Saunders; 1999. p. 385-386.
- 6. Toft, JE, Eberhard ML. Parasitic Diseases. In: Bennett BT, Abee CR, Herickson R (eds.). Non-human Primates in Biomedical Research -Diseases. San Diego (CA): Academic Press; 1998. p. 133-140.

SWINE VESICULAR DISEASE

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Domestic pigs, wild boar.	Direct – not common - contact with infected pig, fecal transmission. Indirect – feeding uncooked infected pork products, fomites.	Pyrexia, anorexia, lameness, vesicles progression to erosions (coronary bands, snout, lips, oral cavity, teats).	Moderately contagious. Moderate to high morbidity. Very low mortality.	None	Rare persistent carriers. Test and quarantine animals, disinfect environment, do not feed uncooked pork products.	Possibly

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cora Singleton

Sheet completed on: 8 August 2018.

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Marjorie Bercier

Susceptible animal groups: Domestic pigs, wild boar.

Causative organism: An enterovirus of the *Picornaviridae* family.

Zoonotic potential: Possible. More recent studies suggest that the virus has adapted to swine and has lost its ability to infect humans. SVDV is closely related to human coxsackievirus B5.

Distribution: Europe, some parts of Asia. Exotic to the United States.

Incubation period: 2-7 days

Clinical signs: Pyrexia, anorexia, lameness, vesicles progressing to erosions (coronary bands, snout, lips, oral cavity, and teats), horn of hoof occasionally shed. May present with unsteady gait with jerky leg movements due to encephalitis. Young animals are usually more severely affected. Clinically indistinguishable from foot and mouth disease, vesicular exanthema of swine, Seneca virus A, and vesicular stomatitis. Recovery within 2-3 weeks, presence of a dark horizontal line on the hoof where growth had stopped.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Vesicles on coronary bands, snout, lips, oral cavity. Hydropic degeneration and edema of stratum spinosum of the affected epidermis, followed by ballooning degeneration of keratinocytes that then float into the vesicular fluid. Stratum basale remains intact. A nonsuppurative meningoencephalitis and necrotizing myocarditis and endocarditis have been reported.

Diagnosis: Agent identification – virus culture along with electron microscopy, ELISA (method of choice), complement fixation, RT-PCR

Serology – ELISA, virus neutralization; also double immunodiffusion, radial immunodiffusion, counterimmunoelectrophoresis.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Vesicular fluid, epithelium covering a vesicle, heparinized whole blood, serum, feces, tissues in formalin.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory, Plum Island

40550 Route 25 (for packages)

Orient Point, NY 11957

P.O. Box 848 (for letters)

Greenport, NY 11944-0848

(631) 323-3256

Fax: (631) 323-3366

Since vesicular diseases cannot be distinguished clinically, contact the proper authorities prior to sample collection and shipment.

Treatment: No effective treatment. Supportive care and treatment of secondary problems.

Prevention and control: Prevention should include no feeding of uncooked pork products, regulation of movement of animals and animal products, and serologic monitoring to detect infections. No vaccine is available. Control measures include notification of authorities, quarantine or depopulation of infected animals,

SWINE VESICULAR DISEASE

and disinfection of the environment.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Phenols, sodium hydroxide, formalin, sodium carbonate, ionic and non-ionic detergents, strong iodophors in phosphoric acid, chloroform. The virus is extremely persistent in the environment, thus difficult to eradicate.

Notification: Reportable to the USDA/APHIS through the State Veterinarian or the federal Area Veterinarian in Charge. The disease is also reportable to the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE).

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Reportable disease.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Infections must be reported to USDA/APHIS for management.

Experts who may be consulted: USDA State Veterinarians or federal Area Veterinarians in Charge.

- 1. Alexandersen S, Knowles NJ, Belsham GJ, Dekker A, Nfon C, Zhang Z, Koenen F. Picornaviruses. *In:* Zimmerman JJ, Karriker LA, Ramirez A, Schwartz KJ, Stevenson GW, Zhang J (eds.). Diseases of Swine, 11th ed. Ames (IA): Wiley-Blackwell; 2018. *in press*.
- 2. Jackson PGG, Cockcroft PD. Handbook of Pig Medicine. London (England): Saunders Elsevier; 2007. p. 186-187.
- 3. Knowles NJ, McCauley JW. Coxsackievirus B5 and the relationship to swine vesicular disease virus. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 1997;223:153–167.
- 4. Spickler, AR [Internet]. Swine vesicular disease; 2015 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/swine_vesicular_disease.pdf.
- 5. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services [Internet]. All National Animal Health Laboratories Network (NAHLN) Laboratory List; 2018 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahln/downloads/all_nahln_lab_list.pdf.
- 6. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services [Internet]. Diagnostic Testing at the National Veterinary Services Laboratories; 2015 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/lab-infoservices/sa_diagnostic_tests/ct_diagnostic_tests.
- 7. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) [Internet]. Swine vesicular disease. In: Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2018; 2018 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.08.08_SVD.pdf.
- 8. Fernandez PJ and White WR. Swine vesicular disease. In: Atlas of Transboundary Animal Diseases. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE). 2010; 237-242.

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Primates,	African	Erythematous 2-	Mild to	Supportive	Avoid	Yes
including	species are	3cm raised,	moderate	as lesions	cohabitation of	
humans	usually	thickened skin	severity.	usually have	African and	
	source for	lesions with		spontaneous	Asian non-	
	Asian	umbilicated	Increased	regression.	human primate	
	species.	centers that	severity with		species.	
	Humans	developing within	immuno-		Disinfection of	
	infected via	days to weeks of	compromise		fomites and	
	skin wounds.	contact. Lesions	conditions		vector control.	
		often on face.				

Fact Sheet compiled by: E. Marie Rush

Sheet completed on: 3 December 2010; updated 15 July 2013; May 1 2018.

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Marc Valitutto

Susceptible animal groups: Primates, human and non-human

Causative organism: Tanapoxvirus (genus *Yatapoxviridae*)- Principle reservoir is unknown, thought to be a non-human primate.

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Sub-Saharan Africa (originated in Tana River Valley of Kenya). Cases have been reported from travelers in Tanzania and during WHO smallpox eradication in Central Africa.

Incubation period: Unknown, but clinical signs can appear within days of inoculation. Replication has been shown in owl monkey renal cells.

Clinical signs: In non-human primates, vesicles may be numerous, are often around the upper body and head region, and appear within 2-3 weeks of inoculation. In humans, often a single – occasional clusters of 10 lesions - erythematous, thick-walled dermatologic vesicle/ papule is noted often on the extremities or lower body regions, and the patient may have prostration, general body ache or headache, tender regional adenopathy, and prodromal (2-4 days) pyrexia prior to lesion onset. Lesions may reach maxmal size by two weeks then typically regress spontaneously within 4-6 weeks. Pruritus may accompany lesions. This disease is clinically virtually indistinguishable from Yaba-like disease virus, which is in the same genus *Yatapoxviridae*, but is different from Yaba Monkey tumor virus, also in the same genus. In humans, risk for secondary bacterial infections in humans.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Grossly apparently epidermal lesions that when biopsied, show marked thickening and ballooning degeneration of prickle cell layer and eosinophilic viral inclusion bodies characteristic of poxviruses on histopathology and enveloped forms seen on EM.

Diagnosis: History of direct or indirect contact with non-human primates (or transport from or travel to Africa), complement fixation, serum neutralization and precipitation tests, ELISA, and PCR.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, tissue for histopathology or EM

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: This is an uncommon disease, but has been noted in North American collections. Most laboratories that process non-human primate samples can either run the PCR for this virus or can direct personnel accordingly to an appropriate laboratory facility for testing of samples. Histopathology or EM can be done at most laboratories that normally process tissues and have the capabilities for these procedures.

Treatment: Supportive – spontaneous resolution usually in ~6 weeks in humans.

Prevention and control: Avoid contact with primates that have had potential exposure. Proper quarantine and testing of animals with history of exposure or recent shipment from Africa. Humans should keep all skin wounds cleaned, bandaged and covered when working with non-human primates. Thorough

disinfection of all potential fomites in housing areas for primates in collections and protection of animal care staff through education and proper clothing and protective wear (gloves, long sleeves). Vector control. Previous exposure/immune reaction to Yaba-like disease virus may provide immunity for tanapox, but not visa-versa.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Detergents, hypochlorite, alkalis, Virkon® and glutaraldehyde.

Notification: Public health officials may need to be notified if zoonotic transmission occurs, depending on the state.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Do not introduce animals with clinical disease (active or resolving pustules/lesions) to non-infected or new animals. Allow resolution of all lesions completely prior to introduction and follow proper quarantine measures for individual facility.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Condition typically spontaneously resolves within weeks with supportive care. Treatment of any secondary infections should assist in wound healing. Immunosuppressed animals may be more susceptible to infection and secondary disease/complications. Proper disinfection of animal area and fomites should be done following an outbreak or care of an infected animal prior to housing new animals in the area.

Experts who may be consulted:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Poxvirus and Rabies Branch, Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology

1600 Clifton Rd

Atlanta, GA 30333

800-CDC-INFO

- 1. USAF [Internet]. Zoonotic Diseases, Viral Diseases Yabapox; 2006 [cited 2013 July 18]. Available from: http://www.phsource.us/PH/ZD/VD/Yabapox.htm
- 2. International Veterinary Information Service [Internet]. Contageous Ecthyma; [cited 2013 July 18]. Available from: http://www.ivis.org/advances/Disease_Factsheets/contagious_ecthyma.pdf.
- 3. Berger S. Infectious diseases of the Democratic Republic of Congo. Los Angeles (CA): Gideon Informatics, Inc; 2018. p 286.
- 4. Brunetti CR, Amano H, Ueda Y, Qin J, Miyamura T, Suzuki T, Li X, Barrett JW, McFadden G. Complete genomic sequence and comparative analysis of the tumorigenic poxvirus Yaba monkey tumor virus. J Virol. 2003;77:13335-13347.
- 5. Dhar AD, Werchniak AE, Li Y, Brennick JB, Goldsmith CS, Kline R, Damon I, Klaus SN. Tanapox infection in a college student. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:361-366.
- 6. Downie AW, Espana C. A Comparative Study of Tanapox and Yaba Viruses. J Gen Virol. 1973;19:37-49.
- 7. Downie AW, Espana C. Comparison of Tanapox virus and Yaba-like viruses causing epidemic disease in monkeys. Epidemiol Infect. 1972 70:23-32.
- 8. Jezek Z, Arita I, Szceniowski M, Paluku KM, Ruit K, Nakano JH. Human Tanapox in Zaire: clinical and epidemiological observations on cases confirmed by laboratory studies. Bull World Health Org. 1985;63:1027-1035.
- 9. Joslin J. Other primates excluding great apes. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.), Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 5th ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2003. p.346-381.
- 10. Knight JC, Novembre FJ, Brown DR, Goldsmith CS, Esposito JJ. Studies on Tanapox virus. Virol. 1989;172:116-124.
- 11. Mediratta S, Essani K. The replication cycle of tanapox virus in owl monkey kidney cells. Can J Microbiol. 1999;45(1):92-96.

- 12. Monroe BP, Nakazawa YJ, Reynolds MG, Carroll DS. Estimating the geographic distribution of human Tanapox and potential reservoirs using ecological niche modeling. Int J Health Geogr. 2014;15;13:34.
- 13. Schielke JE, Kalishman J, Liggitt D, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H. What is your diagnosis: multifocal subcutaneous tumors in a young male baboon. Contemp Top Lab Anim Sci. 2002;41:27-29.
- 14. Stich A, Meyer H, Köhler B, Fleischer K. Tanapox: first report in a European traveler and identification by PCR. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2002;96:178-179.
- 15. Zimmermann P, Thordsen I, Frangoulidis D, Meyer H. Real-time PCR assay for the detection of Tanapox virus and Yaba-like disease virus. J Virol Meth. 2005;130:149-153.

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
All vertebrates (primarily birds and mammals)	Ingestion of oocysts from felid feces; ingestion of tissue cysts; transplacental; transmammary	Variable, depending on species and organs affected. Can range from asymptomatic to sudden death.	Variable depending on species. Causes severe disease in Australian marsupials, New World primates, and lemurs. Usually asymptomati c in most	Atovaquone, clindamycin, sulfonamide.	Prevent exposure to felid feces. Control intermediate hosts in environment.	Yes
			felids.			

Fact Sheet compiled by: Joseph A. Smith

Sheet completed on: 30 June 2011; updated 15 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Charles Faulkner; Jitender P. Dubey

Susceptible animal groups: Felids are the only definitive host for *Toxoplasma gondii*. Australian marsupials, lemurs, New World primates, brown hares, southern sea otters, and pronghorn antelope are reported to be highly susceptible. Cattle, rats, horses, Old World monkeys, and turkeys are reported as relatively resistant to clinical disease. Pallas' cats are an exception to most felids in that a positive queen's immune response does not prevent congenital transmission.

Causative organism: Toxoplasmosis is caused by the obligate intracellular coccidian *Toxoplasma gondii*. Felids are the definitive host and are the only taxa known to transmit infective oocysts in feces. Other species are most frequently infected by ingestion of oocysts from felid feces, which may survive for months to years in the environment. Once ingested by an intermediate host, the organism forms tachyzoites that rapidly reproduce in host tissues. Tachyzoites are the cause of most clinical signs. Tachyzoites can then transform into thin-walled tissue cysts containing bradyzoites. The life cycle is completed when felids ingest the tissue cysts from prey species. Other non-felid carnivorous species may also become infected from ingestion of tissue cysts, but are unable to complete the life cycle and do not produce infective oocysts in feces; however, they – as prey species – can become carrier hosts which are infective, and usually termed intermediate hosts in the literature, although they are not required to complete the life cycle.

Zoonotic potential: In the US, it is estimated that 22.5% of the population has been infected with toxoplasmosis with this number approaching 95% in some other parts of the world. Transmission can occur from ingestion of oocysts passed in cat feces (e.g. cleaning pet litter boxes, gardening/contact with contaminated soil, contaminated produce), ingestion of undercooked meat, transplacentally, or rarely through blood transfusions and organ transplants. Most infections are asymptomatic or cause mild self-limiting flu-like symptoms. Infections acquired during pregnancy can cause abortion, congenital defects, or more severe disease in the child. Clinical signs in the child, including ocular disease, seizures, and mental disability, may not be present until later in life. Infections in immunocompromised persons may be severe.

TOXOPLASMOSIS

Immunosuppression may also cause a recrudescence of an infection that was acquired earlier in life.

Distribution: Worldwide anywhere felids are present or have been introduced. Runoff water infected with oocysts can introduce the organism to ocean environments.

Incubation period: Infections acquired from ingestion of tissue cysts have a 3-10 day prepatent period in felids. Infections acquired from ingestion of oocysts have a 19-48 day prepatent period in felids. Oocysts passed in feces become infective after 1-5 days in the environment. Felids can shed millions of oocyts over 1-3 weeks. Tissue cysts can remain present for years.

Clinical signs: Infections in felids are usually subclinical, although a transient mild diarrhea may occur. In species sensitive to the disease, animals are often found dead with no clinical signs observed prior to death. If present, clinical signs may vary depending on the organs affected. Reported clinical signs include respiratory signs (dyspnea, tachypnea, coughing), gastrointestinal signs (diarrhea), general signs (depression, anorexia, behavioral changes), lymphadenopathy, muscle weakness, neurologic signs (blindness, ataxia, dysphagia), ocular disease (keratitis, uveitis, chorioretinitis, endophthalmitis, cataracts), and abortion. Serum biochemical abnormalities may include elevated muscle and liver enzymes.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

<u>Gross</u>--Affected animals may have no gross lesions. If present, gross lesions may include congestion, hemorrhage, organomegaly, or necrosis of any affected organs.

<u>Histologic</u>--Multifocal, multi-organ necrosis is often associated with acute toxoplasmosis. Focal necrosis of affected organs may be associated with free and intracellular tachyzoites ($2\mu m \, x \, 6\mu m$ crescent-shaped structures with pointed anterior and rounded posterior). Brain (encephalitis with microglial nodules and perivascular cuffing), myocardium (myocarditis), and lung (interstitial pneumonia) are frequently affected. Tissue cysts measuring 5-100 μ m in diameter can be found in any tissue, but frequently occur in the brain, eye, and muscle. Cysts have thin ($<0.5\mu m$) elastic walls and contain up to hundreds of $7\mu m \, x \, 1.5\mu m$ crescent-shaped bradyzoites.

Diagnosis: Definitive diagnosis can be achieved by observation of tachyzoites or bradyzoites in affected tissues with cytology or histopathology. Multiple serologic testing modalities capable of detecting IgG and IgM antibodies are available including ELISA, Western blot, direct agglutination test (DAT), modified agglutination test (MAT), latex agglutination test (LAT), and indirect hemagglutination test (IHAT). A single positive serologic test indicates exposure to the organism. In young animals, transfer of maternal antibodies can produce positive serology results. Active infections are generally characterized by a high positive IgM titer with subsequent seroconversion and development of an IgG antibody titer > 32 or by a 4-fold increase in paired IgG titers taken 2-4 weeks apart. PCR and immunohistochemical staining can also be used to detect *Toxoplasma* antigen in tissues.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Formalin-fixed affected tissues can be used for histopathology and immunohistochemical staining. Fresh and frozen tissue can be used for PCR. DNA denatures in formalin so PCR becomes less accurate in tissues that have been fixated in formalin for long periods. Serum is needed for the serologic tests. Aqueous humour, cerebrospinal fluid, and plasma can also be assayed for IgG antibodies by the MAT.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Clinical Parasitology Diagnostic Service Laboratory (immunoassay by MAT)

Room A233

University of Tennessee College of Veterinary Medicine

2407 River Drive

Knoxville, TN 37996-4500

865-974-5645

parasitology@utk.edu

Colorado State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory 300 West Drake Road Ft. Collins, CO 80526 970-297-1281 dlab@colostate.edu

Treatment: Atovaquone has shown the most promise in treating toxoplasmosis in multiple species. Administration with a high fat meal (e.g. canola oil) has been suggested to increase absorption of the drug. However, the efficacy of this practice is unknown for foregut fermenters. Other drugs including sulfa drugs, clindamycin, spiramycin, ponazuril, and pyrimethamine have also been used alone or in combination with variable success. General supportive care is also usually needed for active cases of toxoplasmosis.

Prevention and control: Controlling exposure to cat feces is an important part of toxoplasmosis prevention. Feral cats are a common source of infective oocysts in the environment. Contamination of food and bedding materials with cat feces may be a source of infection in situations where felids are not known to be present near the affected animal. A live attenuated vaccine has been developed for livestock, but efficacy is variable in other species. Meat containing tissue cysts can be rendered non-infective by cooking to 67 °C or freezing to -12 °C for at least 24 hours. Control of intermediate hosts (e.g. rodents) in the environment can help prevent transmission to carnivores. Prophylactic treatment of queens or kittens has been recommended to reduce morbidity and mortality in Pallas' cats.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: *Toxoplasma* is resistant to most disinfectants but is usually susceptible to boiling water, formalin, and iodine.

Notification: Not a reportable disease.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Animals introduced to the environment of an infected felid are at risk of contracting toxoplasmosis. Non-felid species that are infected with toxoplasmosis do not pose a risk to other individuals in the environment unless their tissue is ingested. Vertical transmission between females and their offspring is possible in all mammalian species when the infection occurs during gestation.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Once an individual becomes infected with toxoplasmosis, it can remain infected for life. *Toxoplasma* organisms can remain dormant in tissue cysts where they are protected from the host's immune response. Episodes of immunosuppression can result in a recrudescence of clinical disease. Serologic testing and removal of positive individuals is a possible way of reaching disease-free status provided that there is not continued exposure to infective oocysts in the environment.

Experts who may be consulted:

Joseph A. Smith, DVM Fort Wayne Children's Zoo 3411 Sherman Blvd. Fort Wayne, IN 46808 260-427-6815 (voice) 260-427-6859 (fax) vet@kidszoo.org

Jitender P. Dubey USDA, ARS, ANRI, APDL BARC-East Bldg 1001

10300 Baltimore Avenue Beltsville, MD 20705 301-504-8128 (voice) 301-504-9222 (fax)

Jitender.Dubey@ars.usda.gov

Sharon Patton, MS, PhD University of Tennessee College of Veterinary Medicine 2407 River Drive Knoxville, TN 37996 865-974-5654 (voice) spatton@utk.edu

Michael R. Lappin DVM, PhD, ACVIM
Colorado State University – Veterinary Teaching Hospital and Diagnostic Laboratory
300 West Drake Road
Ft. Collins, CO 80526
970-297-0313
michael.lappin@colo.state.edu

- 1. De Camps, S., J.P. Dubey, and W.J.A. Saville. 2008. Seroepidemiology of *Toxoplasma gondii* in zoo animals in selected zoos in the Midwestern United States. J. Parasitol. 94(3): 648-653.
- 2. Dubey, J.P., and K. Odening, 2001. Toxoplasmosis and related infections. *In*: Samuel, W.M., M.J. Pybus, and A.A. Kocan (eds.). Parasitic Diseases of Wild Mammals, 2nd ed. Iowa State Press, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 478-519.
- 3. Dubey, J.P. 2008. *Toxoplasma. In*: Atkinson, C.T., N.J. Thomas, and D.B. Hunter (eds.). Parasitic Diseases of Wild Birds. Wiley-Blackwell, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 204-222.
- 4. Miller, M.A., 2008. Tissue cyst-forming coccidia of marine mammals. *In*: Fowler, M.E., and R.E. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine Current Therapy 6. Saunders, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 319-340.
- 5. Portas, T.J. 2010. Toxoplasmosis in macropodids: a review. J. Zoo. Wild. Med. 41: 1-6.
- 6. Wolfe, B.A. 2003. Toxoplasmosis. *In*: Fowler, M.E., and R.E. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine 5th ed. Saunders, Elsevier Science, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 745-749.

TRICHOSTRONGYLOSIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Group(s) Affected		Signs			and Control	
Birds;	Fecal-oral	Heavy	Low-level	Benzimidazoles or	Pasture	Some
bovids;	with a direct	burdens	infections are	macrocyclic	rotation.	species
camels;	life cycle	cause	usually	lactones.	Consider	are
cervids;		weight loss,	asymptomatic.	Alternatives to	strategic and	zoonotic
equids;		lethargy,	Young animals	anthelminthics	evidence-based	
giraffe;		anorexia,	more severely	have been	treatment using	
suidae;		watery	affected	investigated in	in vitro	
rabbits;		diarrhea,		artiodactylids	sensitivity	
rodents;		weakness,		including cooper	testing with	
primates		anemia, and		oxide wire particles	anthelmintic	
		death		and nemato-	usage.	
				phagous fungus	Immunity	
				(environmental	develops as	
				control)	animals age	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Christopher S. Hanley

Sheet completed on: 29 December 2010; updated 1 April 2013; updated 8 February 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Deidre Fontenot

Susceptible animal groups: Birds, bovids, camelids, cervids, equids, giraffe, suidae, rabbits, rodents, and, as accidental hosts, primates

Causative organism: Any of the 35+ species of nematodes of the genus *Trichostrongylus*

Zoonotic potential: Yes, although not all species are zoonotic. Most human infections are asymptomatic or associated with mild clinical signs as all primates are accidental hosts. Abdominal pain, rashes, nausea, diarrhea, anorexia, flatulence, dizziness, generalized fatigue, and malaise all possible.

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: Under ideal conditions, the third stage infective larvae develop within 5-10 days. Depending on the species of *Trichostrongylus*, prepatency is generally 15-25 days but can be delayed for prolonged periods. Some species of *Trichostrongylus* (*T. colubriformis*, *T. tenuis*) can undergo winter arrest in certain geographic areas. Soil moisture, climate warming, and pasture loads can all play a role in the incubation and infectivity.

Clinical signs: Most infections are asymptomatic or only have mild signs. Weakness and death can occur with heavy worm burdens, especially in young animals. Wasting, black or watery diarrhea, depression, anorexia, swollen mucosa, eosinophilia, and anemia can all occur, especially in chronic infections. Birds may have the above as well as decreased egg production. Because of the great variability in host, and organ invaded each species of *Trichostrongylus* must be evaluated in the specific circumstance of presentation

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Depending on the species of *Trichostrongylus adult* worms may be seen in the small intestine, abomasum of ruminants, stomach of monogastrics or ceca of birds. They are very fine parasites and if they are removed from the organs and placed against a dark background, they look like small hairs. Mucosal congestion, inflammation, and thickening may be present. Gastric infection may produce an edematous stomach or abomasum. Histologically, villus atrophy, enterocyte destruction, mucosal ulceration, capillary erosion, blood loss, mucosal edema, fibrinonecrotic membranes, and secondary bacterial infection may be seen. Gastric infection may produce gastric edema and hyperplasia.

Diagnosis: Eggs can be found on fecal parasite evaluation or parasites can be identified on histologic evaluation of the gastrointestinal tract.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Feces or adult worms

TRICHOSTRONGYLOSIS

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any laboratory that can provide endoparasite identification and quantification. Eggs of *Trichostrongylus* spp. cannot be reliably differentiated from those of most other Trichostrongyloidea or Strongyloidea. Egg quantification (fecal egg count via McMasters technique) is recommended in hoofstock species to determine whether treatment is warranted.

Treatment: Benzimidazoles or macrocyclic lactones. Alternatives to anthelminthics have been investigated in artiodactylids including cooper oxide wire particles and nematophagous fungus (environmental control).

Prevention and control: Proper sanitation, pasture rotation, strategic and evidence based anthelmintic treatment using in vitro sensitivity testing. Immunity with age develops in some species although this has not been proven in hoofstock species.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: None

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: In artiodactylids, fecal egg counts are recommended before releasing new animals on pasture to determine current level of infection prior to introduction. Pasture infection levels can also be considered (pasture larval counts) as well as current infection levels in animals to make risk/ benefit assessment for treatment prior to pasture introduction. . *In vitro* sensitivities can be performed to determine level of resistance to classes of anthelminthics prior to treatment. If *in vitro* sensitivity testing cannot be performed, then treatment of newly infected animals with a cocktail of anthelminthics with at least two drugs in different families at full dose using accurate animal body weights may eliminate previously acquired anthelmintic resistant worms.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Directed treatment at clinically affected animals by employing quantitative fecal parasitology (McMasters counts). Low levels of parasitism are common and may aid in the development of immunity.

Experts who may be consulted:

Thomas Craig, DVM, MS, PhD Department of Veterinary Pathobiology Texas A&M University 4467 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-4467 (979) 845-9191 tcraig@cvm.tamu.edu

James E. Miller DVM MPVM PhD
Department of Pathobiological Sciences
School of Veterinary Medicine
Louisiana State University
Baton Rouge, LA 70803
225-578-9652 Fax: 226-578-9701 jmille1@lsu.edu

Ray M. Kaplan, DVM., PhD Associate Professor Department of Infectious Diseases College of Veterinary Medicine University of Georgia Athens, GA 30602

706-542-5670 Fax: 706-542-5771 rkaplan@vet.uga.edu

TRICHOSTRONGYLOSIS

- 1. Roberts LS, Janovy J. Nematodes: Strongyloidia: Bursate Rhabditians. In: Roberts LS, Janovy, J (eds.). Foundations of parasitology. 8th ed. St. Louis (MO): McGraw Hill; 2009. p. 419-432.
- 2. Bowman DD. Helminths. In: Bowman, DD (ed.). Georgis' parasitology for veterinarians, 10th ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2014. p. 122-240.
- 3. Hoberg EP, Kocan AA, Rickard LG. Gastrointestinal strongyles in wild ruminants. In: Samuel WM, Pybus MJ, Kocan AA (eds.). Parasitic diseases of wild mammals, 2nd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State Press; 2001. p. 193-227.

CAPILLARIASIS/TRICURIASIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Wide range of vertebrates	Fecal/oral transmission via transmission of eggs with infective L2 Some capillarids use earthworms or fish as intermediate hosts	Weight loss, diarrhea	High morbidity, but low mortality	Fenbendazole and other benzimidazoles, milbemycin oxime	Sanitation; eggs are very resistant. Do not consume undercooked fish.	Yes, some species of Trichuris, Capillaria hepaticum, and C. philippinensis

Fact sheet compiled by: Janna Wynne

Sheet completed on: 15 March 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Michael McBride

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals, birds, and reptiles.

Causative organism: Capillarids of many genera (e.g. Capillaria, Eucleus, Calodium, Pearsonema) occur in mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and fish. Trichuris spp. occurs in mammals, primates, ruminants, carnivores, suids, and rodents.

Zoonotic potential: Yes, some species of Trichuris, Capillaria hepatica and C. philippinensis.

Distribution: Worldwide, although parasites of concern will vary by location and species.

Incubation period: Variable, but tends to be longer than many other parasites. Prepatent period is three months in many intestinal Trichuris.

Clinical signs: Clinical cases and fatal disease are rare. Many low parasite burdens can be asymptomatic, and infections are frequently asymptomatic in hoofed stock. In clinical animals, weight loss, colitis, diarrhea, hematochezia or melena can be present. Capillaria tend to infect airways, nasal cavity, or the urinary bladder such as air sacculitis or pneumonia from Eucolies spp. Or Pearsonema spp. In the urinary system. Capillaria hepaticum causes hepatic cirrhosis and C philippenensis is found in the tissues of fish, causing an intestinal infection when affected raw fish are consumed.

Post Mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Trichuris spp. Can be observed embedded in the wall of the colon of carnivores and ungulates, and found in the neutral pH forestomach of some leaf-eating monkeys (e.g. Colobus). Capillaria hepatica induces cirrhosis of the liver. It is usually identified on post mortem. Other capillarids can cause nasal, bronchial, intestinal, hepatic, and urinary infections, and findings will vary according to parasitic and host species and site of parasitism.

Diagnosis: Centrifugation fecal floatation can be performed for identification of infection. However. The eggs (bipolar plugs) are very dense and require correct floatation solution – good choice is Sheather's with specific gravity of 1.27 – and centrifugation to recover them. Eggs are shed intermittently, so repeated fecals may be necessary. For pulmonary species, BAL, and for urinary tract infections, urine sedimentation. Ova of different capillarids that infect the same host (e.g. carnivores) can be distinguished by structure of patterns of egg case. Biopsies of affected areas – gastrointestinal tract or liver – can be used.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Fecal sample, colon or gastric biopsy, hepatic biopsy, BAL Relevant diagnostic laboratories: These diagnostics are readily available, as in-house fecal floatation or any laboratory performing fecal exams or histopathology.

Treatment: Fenbendazole and other benzimidazoles, milbemycin oxime, and pyrantel pamoate can be used. Variable sensitivity to ivermectin has been noted. Due to its long prepatent period, it is appropriate to treat monthly for 3 treatments.

Prevention and control: Quarantine measure and treatment before introduction is best. Chronic treatment may be required. Environmental control and preventing recontamination are critical.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Eggs are very resistant to destruction and may remain infective in the soil for long periods of time. Remove fecal material promptly from enclosures. Dirt floored

CAPILLARIASIS/TRICURIASIS

enclosures are almost impossible to disinfect. Dig out dirt or use fire to sterilize.

Notifications: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Many facilities manage chronically infected groups with varying levels of problems. Many use chronic anthelmintic treatment.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Treat for a minimum of 3-4 months. Clear animals while held in cement floored facility before introducing to a clean group in a clean environment. Continue long term monthly fecal screening and environmental sanitation.

Experts who may be consulted:

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Parasites Capillariasis (also known as Capillaria Infection); 2018 [cited on 2018 March 15]. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/parasites/capillaria/
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Parasites Trichuriasis (also known as Whipworm Infection); 2013 [cited on 2018 March 15]. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/parasites/whipworm/
- 3. Bowman DD. Helminths. In: Bowman DD, Lynn RC, Eberhard ML (eds.). Georgis' Parasitology for Veterinarians, 8th de. St. Louis (MO): W.B. Saunders; 2003. p. 228-231.
- 4. Fuehrer H, Igel P, Auer H. Capillaria hepatica in man and an overview of hepatic capillariosis and spurious infections. Parasitol Res. 2011;109(4):969-979.
- 5. Goncalves AQ, Ascaso C, Santos I. Calodium hepaticum: Household Clustering Transmission and the Finding of a Source of Human Spurious infection in a Community of the Amazon Region. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6(12):e1943.
- 6. Limsrivilai J, Pongprasobchai S, Apisarnthanarak P. Intestinal capillariasis in the 21st century: clinical presentations and the role of endoscopy and imaging. BMC Gastroenterol. 2014;12:207.
- 7. Liu GH, Gasser RB, Nejsum P, Wang Y, Chen Q, Song HQ, Zhu XQ. Mitochondrial and nuclear ribosomal DNA evidence supports the existence of a new Trichuris species in the endangered Francois' leaf-monkey. PLoS One.2013;8(6):e66249.
- 8. Ravasi DF, O'Riain M, Davids F, Illing N. Phylogenetic evidence that two distinct Trichuris genotypes infect both humans and non-human primates. PLoS one. 2012;7(8):e44187.
- 9. Stephenson LS, Holland CV, Cooper ES. 2000. The public health significance of Trichuris trichiura, Parasitol. 2000;121(S1):S73-S95.
- 10. Stidworthy MF, Lewis JC, Masters NJ, Boardman S. Capillaria hepatica in primates in zoological collections in the British Isles. Vet Rec. 2009;164(2):66.
- 11. Wynne J, Garner M. Elimination of trichuriasis in a group of colobus monkeys (Colobus quereza). In: Proc Am Assoc Zoo Vet. 2004. p. 202-203.

TRYPANOSOMA CRUZI - CHAGAS DISEASE

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
All mammals are susceptible to infection.	Contamination of blood- feeding lesion or mucous membrane by	Dogs and humans- range from asymptomatic to acute	Dogs and humans- variable; can cause severe disease or death.	No FDA approved treatment is available.	Prevent exposure to vectors; control vector	Yes.
Wildlife reservoirs include woodrats, opossums, armadillos, and raccoons.	feces of insect vector; ingestion of infected vector, or food or water contaminated	myocarditis and sudden death. Chronic disease signs are related to cardio-	The degree to which wildlife reservoirs present disease is unknown.		populations; minimize wildlife reservoir access; blood donor screening;	
Disease is reported in dogs, humans, and non-human primates.	with bug feces; trans-placental or trans- mammary; blood transfusion	myopathy; and in humans, mega- esophagus and/or megacolon can be seen.			prevent seropositive female dogs from breeding.	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Sarah A. Hamer Sheet completed on: 4 September 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Tom Sidwa; Susan Montgomery

Susceptible animal groups: All mammal species are considered to be susceptible to *Trypanosoma cruzi*, including more than 150 species of 24 families that have been reported to be infected. Disease is best described from humans and dogs; the degree to which other domestic or wild animals present disease upon infection is unknown

Causative organism: *Trypanosoma cruzi* is a flagellated protozoan parasite that maintains many life stages. The parasite is spread by triatomine bugs. Triatomines are blood-sucking vectors commonly referred to as kissing bugs or cone-nosed bugs. After ingesting trypomastigotes from the blood of a vertebrate host, the bug's hindgut contains epimastigotes which also can multiply in the vector. Metacyclic trypomastigotes appear in the insect's rectum 8-10 days after infection. These metacyclic forms pass in the feces and can enter the body of a vertebrate host through the bite, scratched skin, or mucous membranes. Trypomastigotes are the abundant blood form that circulates in the mammalian host after infection. Amastigotes develop in muscle and other tissue cells and multiply by binary fission. Amastigotes differentiate into to trypomastigotes which lyse the host cell and burst free and this stage can then attack other host cells. Pseudocysts of parasites may form in muscle cells.

Zoonotic potential: Many kinds of wild and domestic mammals serve as reservoirs for *T. cruzi*. This parasite can be bridged to humans from mammalian reservoirs through kissing bug vectors. Zoonotic potential is high in areas of Mexico and South and Central America, where kissing bugs maintain peridomestic cycles and colonize human dwellings. In contrast, the housing structures in US are generally less able to be colonized by bugs, and therefore zoonotic potential is reduced relative to areas with peridomestic cycles.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual TRYPANOSOMA CRUZI - CHAGAS DISEASE

Distribution: Chagas disease in humans or animals can occur wherever there is overlap among kissing bug vectors, the *T. cruzi* parasite, and vertebrate reservoir hosts. The disease is endemic in many areas of Mexico, South and Central America, and is increasingly recognized across the southern US. In the US, 11 species of kissing bugs occur, and are distributed across the southern half of the country and range as far north as the California/Oregon border and New Jersey. In Latin America, an estimated 12-19 million people were infected in the early 1990s, with an annual incidence exceeding 500,000. Since then, control campaigns have assisted in reducing the disease burden. The disease burden in the US is largely unknown due to lack of awareness, testing, and reporting. However, CDC has estimated that more than 300,000 cases of Chagas disease are found in US among immigrants from endemic countries of Latin America. The American Association of Blood Banks maintains The Chagas Biovigilance Network for reporting of screening and confirmatory results from the testing of US blood donors for antibodies to *T. cruzi*.

Incubation period: Once the metacyclic trypomastigotes enter the host, an acute local inflammatory reaction may occur. In humans, within 1-2 weeks of infection, the parasites spread to lymph nodes and multiply within phagocytic cells. The intracellular amastigotes multiply and pseudocysts may form. Within days, some organisms may transform to trypomastigotes and burst free from the pseudocyst. A generalized parasitemia can occur, followed by parasite invasion of many tissues within body. The incubation period may be up to several months if contaminated blood from transfusion is the source of infection.

Clinical signs: Chagas disease manifests as acute and chronic phases; in the absence of treatment, the host is infected for life. The chronic phase of infection has two forms, an indeterminate form during which the host is asymptomatic followed by development of clinical disease years to decades later. In humans and dogs, the initial acute phase of infection is usually asymptomatic or undetected; regional or generalized lymphadenopathy, fever, myalgia, headache, hepatosplenomegaly, edema, rash, vomiting, diarrhea, or anorexia may occur. Humans may note a lesion (chagoma) where the parasite enters the body. Severe manifestations, such as acute myocarditis or meningoencephalitis are rare.

Chronic phase of disease may develop in a subset of human patients who survive the acute phase of infection. In chronic disease, cardiac abnormalities may be noted including right bundle branch block and left anterior hemiblock, atrio-ventricular conduction abnormalities, and arrhythmias. Megacardia may be noted on radiographs. In humans and dogs, systolic dysfunction is indistinguishable from dilated cardiomyopathy. Weakness and exercise intolerance may be noted. Humans with Chagas disease may also have complications of the digestive system, including megaesophagus and megacolon, with or without cardiac manifestations.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross cardiac changes may include megacardia and focal thinning of the myocardium including apical aneurysm. Dilatation and thinning of the wall of the esophagus and colon may occur. Histologically, in canines, examination of the heart may reveal unruptured pseudocysts with no inflammatory response, or ruptured pseudocysts with characteristic infiltration of lymphocytes, monocytes, and/or polymorphonuclear leukocytes.

Diagnosis: During acute infections, the trypomastigotes (blood stage of the parasite) may be identified by microscopy of a peripheral blood sample or through culture techniques; the organism has a single flagellum and a large kinetoplast at the posterior end of the cell and appears as a characteristic 'C' shape in Giemsa stains of bloodsmears. Additionally, PCR can be used to amplify the DNA of the parasite from a blood sample. Serologic tests may be of limited utility during acute infections. Because the level of circulating parasites decreases within months, parasites are undetectable in blood by most methods during the chronic phase of disease.

During chronic disease, serologic tests are used to detect antibodies to the parasite. To increase sensitivity and specificity, a standard serodiagnostic approach is to apply two or more tests that use different techniques or different antigens. Two commonly used techniques are enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and immunofluorescent antibody test (IFA). Some serological tests are cross-reactive and will also detect

TRYPANOSOMA CRUZI - CHAGAS DISEASE

antibodies to *Leishmania* species. Rapid immunochromatographic 'dipstick' assays have been developed for the detection of antibodies to *T. cruzi* in humans and dogs. While sensitivity and specificity meet or exceed the characteristics of other available tests, their use for Chagas disease diagnosis is considered experimental. Two tests are FDA approved for use as screening tests for human blood donations; most samples that screen positive are then subjected to a supplemental test with greater specificity. Blood donors who screen positive are notified of results, are urged to contact their physician, and are no longer able to donate blood. In chronic disease, particular ECG abnormalities combined with positive serology results can be highly indicative. The only parasitological techniques currently considered useful in the chronic phase of disease would be xenodiagnoses and hemoculture although it is no longer used in human diagnostics. In humans, PCR and IHC also are used and PCR would be considered more sensitive. Postmortem, heart or other tissues may be examined using histopathology for the amastigotes (tissue stage of the parasite) and associated inflammation.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Whole blood, plasma, serum, and/or cardiac tissue.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Texas A&M Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Laboratory PO Box Drawer 3040 College Station, TX 77841-3040 (979) 845-3414 (888) 646-5623 http://tvmdl.tamu.edu/

T. cruzi rapid immunoblot assay Primate Diagnostic Services Laboratory (PDSL) Washington National Primate Research Center University of Washington Seattle Washington 98195-7330 diagnostic@wanprc.org http://www.wanprc.org/pdsl/

Treatment: Although two antiparasitics can be used to treat human patients with Chagas disease (nifurtimox and benznidazole), these drugs are not approved by FDA so in the US, they are available only from CDC under investigational protocols. For both drugs, side effects are fairly common, and contraindications for treatment include severe hepatic disease and renal disease. However, antiparasitic treatment is indicated for all cases of congenital, acute or reactivated Chagas disease and for chronic *T. cruzi* infection in children. Treatment is recommended for adults up to 50 years old with chronic infection who do not already have advanced Chagas cardiomyopathy. For adults older than 50 years with chronic *T. cruzi* infection, the decision to treat with antiparasitic drugs should be individualized.

Prevention and control: In the absence of a human or veterinary vaccine and given the limited treatment options, prevention and control of Chagas disease across the Americas relies heavily on vector control and community education. Improvement of housing structures combined with insecticide treatment inside homes has significantly reduced peridomestic transmission of the *T. cruzi* parasite in Central and South America. To reduce the attraction of kissing bugs to homes or kennels, outdoor lights should be eliminated, and rodent habitat immediately surrounding the home or kennel should be removed. Screening of blood donations is an important public health tool for prevention of disease transmission through blood transfusion. Early detection and treatment of acute disease, including congenital cases, can reduce the burden of disease.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: The duration of time the parasite can live outside a vector or host on environmental surfaces contaminated by bug feces is unknown, but the parasite will be destroyed by direct exposure to sunlight and other harsh environments. Surfaces that have come in contact with bugs or

TRYPANOSOMA CRUZI - CHAGAS DISEASE

bug feces should be disinfected using 10% bleach or 70% ethanol.

Notification: States are not required by federal law to report cases of Chagas disease. However, Chagas disease in humans is reportable in 4 states: Arizona, Massachusetts, Tennessee, and Texas. Chagas disease in animals is reportable in Texas.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: N/A

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: The risk of animal to animal direct transmission in the absence of the kissing bug vector is minimal. However, infected animals may increase the infection prevalence in vectors in a local environment. Efforts should be made to prevent seropositive female dogs from breeding due to congenital transmission.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: N/A

Experts who may be consulted:

Sarah A. Hamer, MS PhD DVM
Department of Veterinary Integrative Biosciences
Texas A&M University
TAMU 4458
College Station, TX 77443
shamer@cvm.tamu.edu

Tom J. Sidwa, DVM, MPH Manager, Zoonosis Control Branch Texas Department of State Health Services 1100 W. 49Th St. 512-776-6628 Tom.Sidwa@dshs.state.tx.us

- 1. Brown, E.L., D.M. Roellig, M.E. Gompper, R.J. Monell, K.M. Wenning, M.W. Gabriel, and M.J.Yabsley MJ. 2010. Seroprevalence of *Trypanosoma cruzi* among eleven potential reservoir species from six states across the southern United States. Vector-borne Zoonotic Dis. 10(8): 757-763.
- 2. American Association of Blood Banks. Chagas' Biovigilance Network. https://www.aabb.org/programs/biovigilance/Pages/chagas.aspx Accessed 9 September 2013.
- 3. Bern, C., S. Kjos, M.J. Yabsley, and S.P. Montgomery. 2012. *Trypanosoma cruzi* and Chagas' disease in the United States. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 24: 655–681.
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Chagas disease antiparasitic treatment. http://www.cdc.gov/parasites/chagas/health_professionals/tx.html Accessed 9 September 2013.
- 5. Miles, M.A. 2009. American trypanosomiasis (Chagas' disease). *In*: Cook, G.C., and A.I. Zumla (eds.), Manson's Tropical Disease, Elsevier, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 1327-1340.
- 6. Roberts, L.S., and J. Janovy. 2005. Kinetoplasta: trypanosomes and their kin. *In*: Roberts, L. and Janovy Jr., J. (eds.). Foundations of Parasitology, 7th ed., McGraw Hill, New York, New York. Pp. 61-88.

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
All warm-blooded animals	Arthropod vectors such as ticks, biting flies, and, in some areas, mosquitoes. Inhalation of aerosolized infectious material Ingestion of contaminated food or water Direct transmission: Skinning dead infected animals; contaminated water	Depends on route of infection; general: lethargy, anorexia, pyrexia Transdermal exposure: ulcer at site of inoculation and swollen glands or (rarely) swollen glands without ulcer; lymphadenopathy Oculoglandular: conjunctivitis and lymphadenopathy Oral exposure: lymph-adenopathy Inhalation: pneumonia, coughing	Clinical signs vary from mild to severe, depending on route of exposure, and death can result if untreated. Pneumonic form: severe. Septicemia often death occurs without prior signs	Antibiotics: streptomycin, gentamicin, tetracyclines, ciprofloxacin	Rodent, lagomorph, mosquito, biting fly and tick control; Sanitation (including use of gloves and masks); avoid ingestion and contact with untreated water; avoid ingestion of uncooked meat and rodent carcasses	High zoonotic potential

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cornelia J. Ketz-Riley

Sheet Revised on: 2 February 2018 **Fact Sheet Reviewed by:** David Miller

Susceptible animal groups: Natural infection in mammals and birds.

Causative organism: Francisella tularensis - four subspecies: most commonly associated with disease outbreaks are F. tularensis subsp <u>tularensis</u> (type A)(associated with cottontail rabbit, ticks, biting flies) and F. tularensis subsp holarctica (type B)(associated with muskrat and beaver), while F. tularensis subsp mediasiatica and novicida are rarely associated with severe infections.

Type A is regarded as a category A biowarfare/bioterrorism agent 3 because of the diversity of its route of transmission, ease of dissemination (especially the aerosol route), high infectivity, and potentially high mortality rate.

Type A and B can be distinguished by the ability of type A to ferment glycerol and polymerase chain reaction test (PCR).

Zoonotic potential: The zoonotic potential is very high, with inhalation of only 10-50 organisms needed to cause severe infection.

Distribution: Throughout the Northern hemisphere, this disease represents one of the largest host distributions of any zoonotic disease. Type A only occurs in North America, whereas type B found throughout Northern hemisphere. In North America, geographic overlap of both subspecies is present, although type A associated with highest disease incidence and mortality rate.

Changes involving climate and animal, as well as vector distribution, seem to cause emergence or reemergence in areas considered non-critical for appearance of *Francisella tularensis*. Flooding may be associated with increased transmission. Arthropods, such as ticks, mosquitoes and biting flies, are common vectors associated with transmission of *F. tularensis*. While ticks are believed to be the primary biological vectors, transmission by mosquitoes and biting flies is believed to be mostly mechanical through their

mouthparts. Infection of a patient through a ringtail possum in Tasmania, Australia, indicated the emergence of *F. tularensis* type B in the Southern hemisphere.

Incubation period: generally 3-5 days, but 1-14 days possible

Clinical signs: Clinical presentation of tularemia varies with the route of infection. First development: non-specific signs such as depression, lethargy, anorexia, vomiting, diarrhea, marked pyrexia, or peracute death without prior clinical signs; Clinical disease in humans includes forms of ulceroglandular, glandular, oculoglandular, oropharyngeal, pneumonic, and typhoidal disease. First three forms occur via local infection through arthropod bites, injuries, or mechanical transfer involving skin and lymphoid tissue, and result in local or even generalized lymphadenopathy. Skin ulcers may form at the site of dermal infection. Oropharyngeal form - ingestion of contaminated food or water involving the tonsils and retropharyngeal lymph nodes. Pneumonic form as most severe clinical form of tularemia, leading to mortality if untreated that results from direct inhalation of organisms from infected tissue. Typhoidal form - systemic disease: high fever, but without lymphadenitis or cutaneous lesions. All forms can develop into secondary septicemia, pleuropneumonia, and meningitis.

F. tularensis is usually invading and replicating in vector-derived cells and hemolymph, and in macrophages within the host. Cytokines, such as intereferon-gamma and tumor necrosis factor, produced by T-cells are critical for activation of macrophages and cell-mediated and protective immunity. Yet, *F. tularensis* is able to proliferate in macrophages without destroying the host cell. It also has developed good survival and adaptation strategies using surface proteins to suppress innate immune response, which makes it harder to diagnose and control it within the host. New research has discovered that *F. tularensis* is also able to invade erythrocytes. The high hemoglobin and iron content in erythrocytes could influence the virulence gene expression in *F. tularensis*. Yet, erythrocytes do not support replication of the pathogen and, therefore, do not seem to be a major contributor to the pathogenesis of tularemia.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross: congested organs - mostly lungs, lymph nodes, spleen, liver - with multiple light tan miliary foci on the surface, as well as in the parenchyma. Histopathology: pyogranulomatous lymphadenitis, tonsillitis, splenitis, hepatitis and pneumonia with necrotic foci.

Diagnosis: Although culture is considered the "gold standard" diagnostic tool to confirm tularemia, recovery of live organisms of *F. tularensis* from carcasses can pose a challenge. The bacterium is very slow growing and has special biochemical needs so poor competitive characteristics in the presence of other bacterial pathogens. Selective antibiotic media (CHAB-A) are needed for isolating the bacteria from contaminating environmental flora in carcasses; Western blot and microagglutination assay demonstrate the highest level of sensitivity and specificity for *F. tularensis*, higher than enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or indirect immunofluorescence (IFA). A combination of at least two serological tests, such as ELISA and Western blot, was demonstrated to be a suitable diagnostic tool for laboratory confirmation of both individual cases, and larger epidemiological studies. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) has been successfully used for post mortem diagnosis in formalin-fixed tissue.

To detect serologic titers in live animals or humans, besides microagglutination, latex or tube agglutination, a novel competitive ELISA test, can be recommended. Real-time PCR, Multiplex qPCR, 16S rDNA sequencing, and molecular subtyping using differential insertion sequence amplification and regions of differences (RD), can be especially useful for samples where organisms are non-culturable or nonviable. Serology is often difficult as short-term diagnosis due to low antigenicity of the organism. Repeated serology is necessary for evaluation of titer development. Although some commercially available serologic tests are available showing good results, these should be interpreted cautiously because of the quick onset of clinical signs as compared to the development of humoral response; clinically silent cases have been reported; and antibodies in humans can persist for years. A recombinase PCR amplification assay has been developed for rapid detection of *F. tularensis*. Molecular tests provide a safer diagnostic tool, while avoiding hazardous multiplying of the pathogen. However, cultivation of *F. tularensis* will still be required for evaluation of antibiotic resistance patterns, molecular epidemiological and pathological analysis of the pathogen. Investigation into molecular level of host macrophage survival and innate immune response to

infection with *F. tularensis* enabled the identification of newer tools for diagnosis of and immunologic prevention of tularemia in laboratory animals and humans.

Material required for laboratory analysis: The best result is achieved by immediate culturing of fresh tissue, or by immediate freezing of tissue specimens from carcasses for subsequent culture. Blood samples are often used to confirm serologic titers in live animals or humans. Molecular tests can nowadays be used for testing of any type of tissue.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: The contagious nature of *F. tularensis* poses an additional challenge to laboratory personnel, high biohazardous risk of infection via inhalation of aerosolized bacteria. Testing for tularemia demands a laboratory setting with a minimum biological safety level 2 (BSL-2), and testing procedures performed according to BSL-3 regulations

Confirmation of results are suggested in Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases, Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, Bacterial Diseases Branch, Foothills Campus, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80522, USA

Treatment: Streptomycin is considered the treatment of choice in humans with tularemia. Other chemotherapeutics, such as gentamicin, tetracyclines, chloramphenicol, and fluoroquinolones, have been used successfully. Tetracyclines and chloramphenicol are bacteriostatic and require a longer treatment period of at least 14-21 days. Due to frequent treatment relapses or failure, combined with increase in resistance against commonly used products, alternative chemotherapeutics and newer chemotherapeutic generations, such as glycocyclines, ketolides, and new generation fluoroquinolones are currently investigated and show promising results. Cystatin 9, a type 2 cystein protease inhibitor with immunomodulatory properties has shown to help develop effective protection against F. tularensis, in vitro and in vivo, and may become a future treatment tool against tularemia.

Prevention and control: There are still no approved vaccine products available in the USA. A live attenuated vaccine strain of F. tularensis type B was developed in the Soviet Union for immunization of humans. Although this live vaccine serum (LVS) strain was also shown to be effective against the type A strain and oral infection, this vaccine was not fully effective against infection acquired by inhalation. Currently, newer LVS vaccine affords no better efficient protection against an aerosolization challenge by F. tularensis. Subunit or recombinant vaccines have been more recently researched, but any results did not show better prevention efficacy than the LVS. DNA Gold Micronanoplex used for genetic immunization seems to produce efficient levels of antibodies against F. tularensis. Ongoing trials were completed to develop vaccine using mutant strains or nonpathogenic F. novicida strain, but they have not shown improved protection efficacy over the LVS, either. Some research is concentrating on virus-vectored vaccine for better stimulation of immunity in presence of F. tularensis. Newest research is focusing on adding epitope to the immunogenic products to atimulate high avidity of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells, as well as using adjuvants to help stimulate higher antibody titers against F. tularensis, to increase efficacy of any existing LVS. Monophosphoryl Lipid A has already been approved by the FDA as adjuvants for other vaccine. One study identified nitric oxide as a predictor of vaccine efficacy, which also has already been used in connection with other diseases. Good pest control is the best defense against development of F. tularensis carrying population on zoo grounds.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Diluted hypochlorite, quaternary ammonium disinfectants or any other ordinary medical disinfectants are useful. *F. tularensis* can be inactivated by heat, at least at 60 °C for 20 min.

Notification: Reportable disease at a variety of levels – city, county, state, and federal as *F. tularensis* is considered a Category A Bioterrorism agent.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Continuous surveillance of wildlife and vector populations, as well as first-level emergency response plan after detection of tularemia cases are important to prevent or minimize outbreaks in animals and humans.

TULAREMIA

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Regular quarantine in a clean environment; reduce access to potential vectors, and host animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Pest and vector control are necessary to minimize exposure. Constant pathogen surveillance of wildlife populations is strongly recommended.

Experts who may be consulted:

Jeannine M. Petersen, PhD, Nordin S. Zeidner, DVM, PhD

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases

Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, Bacterial Diseases Branch

Foothills Campus

Fort Collins, Colorado, 80522

Cornelia J. Ketz-Riley, Dr.med.vet.,, DVM, DACZM

Exotic and Zoo Veterinary Specialist Neel Veterinary Hospital 2700 N MacArthur Blvd Oklahoma City, OK 73127

Phone: (405) 947-8387 zoodoc12@gmail.com

- 1. Akimana C, Kwaik YA. *Francisella*-arthropod vector interaction and its role in patho-adaptation to infect mammals. Front. Microbiol. 2011;2:34.
- 2. Anderson PD, Bokor G. Bioterrorism: pathogens as weapons. J Pharm Pract. 2012;25(5):512-529.
- 3. Ariza-Miguel J, Johansson A, Fernández-Natal MI, Martínez-Nistal C, Orduña A, Rodríguez-Ferri EF, Hernández M, Rodríguez-Lázaro D. Molecular investigation of tularemia outbreaks, Spain, 1997-2008. Emerg Infect Dis. 2014;20(5):754-61.
- 4. Boisset S, Caspar Y, Sutera V, Maurin M. New therapeutic approaches for treatment of tularaemia: a review. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2014;28:4:40.
- 5. Brown VR, Adney DR, Olea-Popelka F, Bowen RA. 2015. Prior Inoculation with Type B Strains of Francisella tularensis Provides Partial Protection against Virulent Type A Strains in Cottontail Rabbits. PloS one. 2015;10(10):e0140723
- 6. Carvalho CL, Lopes de Carvalho I, Zé-Zé L, Núncio MS, Duarte EL. Tularaemia: a challenging zoonosis. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;37(2):85-96.
- 7. Celebi B, Kılıç S, Yeşilyurt M, Acar B. Evaluation of a newly-developed ready-to-use commercial PCR kit for the molecular diagnosis of Francisella tularensis. Mikrobiyol Bul. 2014;48(1):135-42.
- 8. Celebi B, Kilic S. Development of a novel Francisella tularensis antigen stained with tetrazolium-blue for tularemia microagglutination test. Mikrobiyol Bul. 2013;47(3):514-22.
- 9. Celli J, and T.C. Zahrt TC. Mechanisms of *Francisella tularensis* intracellular pathogenesis. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Med. 2013;3(4):a010314.
- 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Tularemia; 2018 [cited 2019 February 20]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/tularemia/index.html
- 11. Chaignat V, Djordjevic-Spasic M, Ruettger A, Otto P, Klimpel D, Müller W, Sachse K, Araj G, Diller R, Tomaso H. Performance of seven serological assays for diagnosing tularemia. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;5;14:234
- 12. Christian MD. Biowarfare and bioterrorism. Crit Care Clin. 2013;29(3):717-56.
- 13. Dauphin LA, Walker RE, Petersen JM, Bowen MD. Comparative evaluation of automated and manual commercial DNA extraction methods for detection of *Francisella tularensis* DNA from

TULAREMIA

- suspensions and spiked swabs by real-time polymerase chain reaction. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2011;70(3):299-306.
- 14. Eaves-Pyles T, Patel J, Arigi E, Cong Y, Cao A, Garg N, Dhiman M, Pyles RB, Arulanandam B, Miller AL, Popov VL, Soong L, Carlsen ED, Coletta C, Szabo C, Almeida IC. Immunomodulatory and antibacterial effects of cystatin 9 against *Francisella tularensis*. Mol Med. 2013;28;19:263-75.
- 15. Euler M, Wang Y, Otto P, Tomaso H, Escudero R, Anda P, Hufert FT, Weidmann M. 2012. Recombinase polymerase amplification assay for rapid detection of *Francisella tularensis*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2012;50(7):2234-2238.
- 16. Golovliov I, Lindgren H, Eneslätt K, Conlan W, Mosnier A, Henry T, Sjöstedt A. An *InVitro* Coculture Mouse Model Demonstrates Efficient Vaccine-Mediated Control of *Francisella tularensis* SCHUS4 and Identifies Nitric Oxide as a Predictor of Efficacy. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2016;6:152.
- 17. Hansen DT, Robida MD, Craciunescu FM, Loskutov AV, Dörner K, Rodenberry J-C, Wang X, Olson TL, Patel H, Fromme P, Sykes KF. Polyclonal Antibody Production for Membrane Proteins via Genetic Immunization. Scientific Reports 2016;6:21925
- 18. Hong KJ, Park PG, Seo SH, Rhie GE, Hwang KJ. 2013. Current status of vaccine development for tularemia preparedness. Clin Exp Vacc Res. 2(1):34-39.
- 19. Horzempa J, O'Dee DM, Stolz DB, Franks JM, Clay D, Nau GJ. Invasion of erythrocytes by *Francisella tularensis*. J Inf Dis. 2011;204(1):51-59.
- 20. Isherwood KE, Titball RW, Davies DH, Felgner PL, Morrow WJW. Vaccination strategies for *Francisella tularensis*. Adv Drug Del Rev. 2005;57:1403-1414.
- 21. Jackson J, McGregor A, Cooley L, Ng J, Brown M, Ong CW, Darcy C, Sintchenko V. *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *holarctica*, Tasmania, Australia, 2011. Emerg Infect Dis. 2012;18 (9):1484-1486.
- 22. Jacob D, Wahab T, Edvinsson B, Peterzon A, Boskani T, Farhadi L, Barduhn A, Grunow R, Sandström G. Identification and subtyping of *Francisella* by pyrosequencing and signature matching of 16S rDNA fragments. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 2011;53 (6):592-595.
- 23. Janse I, Hamidjaja RA, Bok JM, van Rotterdam BJ. Reliable detection of *Bacillus anthracis*, *Francisella tularensis* and *Yersinia pestis* by using multiplex qPCR including internal controls for nucleic acid extraction and amplification. BMC Microbiol. 2010;10:314.
- 24. Jia Q, Lee BY, Bowen R, Dillon BJ, Som SM, Horwitz MA. A *Francisella tularensis* live vaccine strain (LVS) mutant with a deletion in capB, encoding a putative capsular biosynthesis protein, is significantly more attenuated than LVS yet induces potent protective immunity in mice against *F. tularensis* challenge. Infect Immun. 2010;78 (10):4341-4355.
- 25. Kaur R, Chen S, Arevalo MT, Xu Q, Chen Y, Zeng M. Protective immunity against tularemia provided by an adenovirus-vectored vaccine expressing Tul4 of *Francisella tularensis*. Clin Vacc Immunol. 2012;19 (3):359-364.
- 26. Ketz-Riley CJ, Kennedy GA, Carpenter JW, Zeidner NS, Petersen JM. 2009. Outbreak of tularemia type A in captive Bornean orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus pygmaeus*). J Zoo Wildl Med. 2009;40(2):257-262.
- 27. Kiliç S, Celebi B, Yeşilyurt M. Evaluation of a commercial immunochromatographic assay for the serologic diagnosis of tularemia. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012;74(1):1-5.
- 28. Kingry LC, Petersen JM. Comparative review of Francisella tularensis and Francisella novicida. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2014;13;4:35.
- 29. Kuehn A, Schulze C, Kutzer P, Probst C, Hlinak A, Ochs A, Grunow R. Tularemia seroprevalence of captured and wild animals in Germany: the fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) as a biological indicator. Epidemiol Infect. 2013;141 (94):833-840.
- 30. Lang S, Kleines M, Harik NS. 2013. Two at one blow: reemergence of tularemia in Upper Austria. Pediatr Ann. 2013;42(7):288-292.

TULAREMIA

- 31. Larson MA, Fey PD, Bartling AM, Iwen PC, Dempsey MP, Francesconi SC, Hinrichs SH. *Francisella tularensis* molecular typing using differential insertion sequence amplification. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49 (8):2786-2797.
- 32. Lõhmus M, Janse I, van de Goot F, van Rotterdam BJ. Rodents as potential couriers for bioterrorism agents. Biosecur Bioterror. 2013;11 Suppl 1:S247-57.
- 33. Lyko C, Chuard C. Tularemia, an emerging disease in Switzerland. Rev Med Suisse. 2013;9(401):1816-8, 1820.
- 34. Maetz-Rensing K, Floto A, Schrod A, Becker T, E. J. Finke EJ, Seibold E, Splettstoesser WD, Kaup F-J. Epizootic of tularemia in an outdoor housed group of cynomolgus monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*). Vet Pathol. 2007;44: 327-334.
- 35. Mahawar M, Atianand MK, Dotson RJ, Mora V, Rabadi SM, Metzger DW, Huntley JF, Harton JA, Malik M, Bakshi CS. Identification of a novel *Francisella tularensis* factor required for intramacrophage survival and subversion of innate immune response. J Biol Chem. 2012;287(30): 25216-25229.
- 36. Marohn ME, Barry EM. 2013. Live attenuated tularemia vaccines: recent developments and future goals. Vaccine. 2013;31(35):3485–3491.
- 37. Mätz-Rensing K, Floto A, Schrod A, Becker T, Finke EJ, Seibold E, Splettstoesser WD, Kaup FJ. Epizootic of tularemia in an outdoor housed group of cynomolgus monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*). Vet Pathol.2007;44(3):327-334.
- 38. Meinkoth KR, Morton RJ, Meinkoth JH. Naturally occurring tularemia in a dog. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2004;225:545-547.
- 39. Pennisi MG, Egbering H, Hartmann K, Lloret A, Addie D, Belák S, Boucraut-Baralon C, Frymus T, Gruffydd-Jones T, Hosie MJ, Lutz H, Marsilio F, Möstl K, Radford AD, Thiry E, Truyen U, Horzinek MC. *Francisella tularensis* infection in cats: ABCD guidelines on prevention and management. J Feline Med Surg. 2013;15 (7):585-587.
- 40. Origgi FC, Frey J, Pilo P. Characterisation of a new group of Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica in Switzerland with altered antimicrobial susceptibilities, 1996 to 2013. Euro Surveill. 2014;19(29) pii: 20858.
- 41. Origgi FC, Wu N, Pilo P. *Francisella tularensis* infection in a stone marten (*Martes foina*) without classic pathological lesions consistent with tularemia. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2013;25(4): 519-521.
- 42. Petersen JM, Schriefer ME. Tularemia: emergence/re-emergence. Vet Res. 2005;36: 455-467.
- 43. Petersen JM, Schriefer ME, Gage KL, Montenieri JA, Carter LG, Stanley M, Chu MC. Methods of enhanced culture recovery of *Francisella tularensis*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004;70:3733-3735.
- 44. Petersen JM, Schriefer ME, Carter LG, Zhou Y, Sealy T, Bawiec D, Yockey B, Urich S, Zeidner NS, Avashia S, Kool JL, Buck J, Lindley CM, Celeda L, Montenieri JA, Gage KL, Chu MC. Laboratory analysis of tularemia in wild-trapped, commercially traded prairie dogs, Texas, 2002. Emerg. Inf. Dis. 2005;10:419-425.
- 45. Ramond E, Gesbert G, Barel M, Charbit A. Proteins involved in *Francisella tularensis* survival and replication inside macrophages. Future Microbiol. 2012;7(11):1255-1268.
- 46. Richard K, Mann BJ, Qin A, Barry EM, Ernst RK, Vogel SN. Monophosphoryl Lipid A Enhances Efficacy of a *Francisella tularensis* LVS Catanionic Nanoparticle Subunit Vaccine against *F. tularensis* Schu S4 Challenge by Augmenting both Humoral and Cellular Immunity. Clin and Vacc Immunol. 2017;24 (3):1-15.
- 47. Richard K, Vogel SN, Perkins DJ. Type I interferon Licenses Enhanced Innate Recognition and Transcriptional Responses to Franciscella tularensis LVS. Innate Immun. 2016;22(5):363–372.
- 48. Revich B, Tokarevich N, Parkinson AJ. Climate change and zoonotic infections in the Russian Arctic. Int. J. Circumpolar Health. 2012;71:18792.
- 49. Rijks, JM, Kik M, Koene MG, Engelsma MY, van Tulden P, Montizaan MG, Oomen T, Spierenburg MA, Ijzer J, van der Giessen JW, Gröne A, Roest HJ. Tularaemia in a brown hare

- (Lepus europaeus) in 2013: first case in the Netherlands in 60 years. Euro Surveill. 2013;18(49).pii: 20655.
- 50. Roberts LM, Crane DD, Wehrly TD, Fletcher JR, Jones BD, Bosio CM. Inclusion of epitopes that expand high avidity CD4+ T cells transforms sub-protective vaccines to efficacious immunogens against virulent *Francisella tularensis*. J Immunol. 2016;197(7):2738–2747
- 51. Ryden P, Björk R, Schäfer ML, Lundström JO, Petersén B, Lindblom A, Forsman M, Sjörstedt A, Johansson A. Outbreaks of tularemia in a boreal forest region depend on mosquito prevalence. J Inf Dis. 2011;205(2): 297-304.
- 52. Sharma N, Hotta A, Yamamoto Y, Fujita O, Uda A, Morikawa S, Yamada A, Tanabayashi K. Detection of *Francisella tularensis*-specific antibodies in patients with tularemia by a novel competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2013;20 (1): 9-16.
- 53. Shen H, Harris G, Chen W, Sjostedt A, Ryden P, Conlan W. Molecular immune responses to aerosol challenge with *Francisella tularensis* in mice inoculated with live vaccine candidates of varying efficacy. PLoS One. 2010;5(10):e13349.
- 54. Sjoestedt AB. *Francisella*. In: Brenner,DJ, Krieg NR, Staley JT, Garrity GM (eds.). The Proteobacteria, part B. Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. 2nd ed. New York (NY): Springer Verlag; 2005. p. 200-210.
- 55. Sting R, Runge M, Eisenberg T, Braune S, Müller W, Otto P. Comparison of bacterial culture and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica in wild animals. Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr.2013;126(7-8):285-90.
- 56. Walker RE, Petersen JM, Stephens KW, Dauphin LA. Optimal swab processing recovery method for detection of bioterrorism-related *Francisella tularensis* by real-time PCR. J Microbiol Methods. 2010;83 (1): 42-47.
- 57. Wolfe DN, Florence W, Bryant P. Current biodefense vaccine programs and challenges. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2013;9(7).

ULCERATIVE STOMATITIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and Control	
Affected						
Reptiles	Opportunistic-	Anorexia,	Severe	Debridement,	Appropriate	Some
	normal flora of	dysphagia,	cases can	irrigation with	nutrition,	associated
	the oral cavity	ptyalism,	result in	antimicrobial	hygiene, and	agents can
	or present in	periodontal	septicemia	solution, topical	temperature;	be human
	the	disease,	and death	ointment,	minimize	pathogens
	environment,	ulceration of		analgesia, and long	stress;	
	but also seen	mucous		term antibiotics or	prevent	
	with some	membranes with		antifungal based	trauma to	
	primary	caseous exudate,		on culture and	oral cavity	
	pathogens such	pneumonia,		sensitivity testing		
	as herpesvirus	osteomyelitis				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Genevieve Vega Weaver

Sheet completed on: 27 January 2011; updated 1 October 2012; 15 December 2017

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Charles Innis

Susceptible animal groups: Mostly reptiles, especially snakes, chelonians, and some groups of lizards such as chameleons, bearded dragons, and monitors

Causative organisms: Gram-negative bacteria are most commonly implicated, but there are multiple possible causative agents including various aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, viruses, and fungi. Bacteria: Aeromonas, Pseudomonas, Escherichia coli, Morganella, Proteus, Vibrio alginolyticus, Providencia, Salmonella, Corynebacterium, Flavobacterium, Citrobacter freundii, Acinetobacter, Micrococcus, Aureobacterium, Betahemolytic Staphylococcus, Streptococcus group C, Enterobacter, Klebsiella, Pasteurella, Bacteroides, Clostridium, Fusobacterium, Peptostreptococcus, Mycoplasma, and Mycobacterium;

Virus: herpesvirus, ranavirus, adenovirus

Fungi: Candida albicans, Aspergillus, Sporothrix schenkii, and Paecilomyces.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Immunocompromised individuals and young children are most at risk. *Aeromonas* can cause enteric disease in humans. *Pseudomonas* can cause urinary tract, respiratory tract, soft tissue, bone, joint, and gastrointestinal disease. *Salmonella*, *E. coli*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Pasteurella*, *Corynebacterium*, *Mycobacterium*, *Vibrio*, *Staphylococcus*, and *Streptococcus* also can cause disease in humans.

Distribution: Worldwide in both captive reptiles and injured and immunosuppressed free-living animals.

Incubation period: Weeks to months

Clinical signs: Anorexia, dysphagia, ptyalism, tongue paralysis, gingivitis, ecchymosis, petechiation, loss of teeth, tongue sheath abscesses, ulceration of mucous membranes with caseous material accumulation, and osteomyelitis. In lizards with acrodont dentition (e.g., bearded dragons, water dragons), periodontal disease may be seen more frequently. Infection can spread from the nasolacrimal duct and involve the eyes or can descend the trachea and cause pneumonia. Septicemia and death can result in complicated and untreated cases. An ulcerative stomatitis-obstructive rhinitis-pneumonia disease complex has been reported in sea turtles and tortoises. Differential diagnoses include exposure gingivitis due to nutritional secondary hyperparathyroidism and neoplasia.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross findings: Yellow plaques with a diphtheritic membrane and caseous exudate covering eroded oral mucosa and surrounded by inflamed tissue that bleeds easily. Histologic findings: Plaques consist of serofibrinous material, pyknotic nuclei, and cellular debris above an ulcerated, degenerated epithelium layer with lymphocytic infiltration and hyperplastic epithelium along the periphery of the ulcer.

ULCERATIVE STOMATITIS

Diagnosis: Aerobic and anaerobic bacterial culture and sensitivity; fungal culture and sensitivity; cytology showing increased heterophils and large numbers of Gram-negative bacteria; acid-fast stain for *Mycobacterium*; radiographs to determine bone involvement; chemistry profile to detect underlying renal disease.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Culture swab or tissue sample of the affected area. A stab incision culture protocol may be necessary. Histopathology and molecular methods useful for viral identification.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Laboratories should be experienced with reptilian tissue and culturing from ectotherms. Samples should be incubated at the standard 37° C and also at 25° C.

Treatment: Periodic debridement possibly under anesthesia, irrigation with dilute antimicrobial solution (e.g. povidone-iodine, chlorhexidine, etc.), topical ointment (e.g. silver sulfadiazine, triple antibiotic, gentamicin/betamethasone, etc.), analgesia, and long term antibiotics (at least 4 weeks) or antifungal therapy (at minimum 4-6 weeks) based on culture and sensitivity testing. Antimicrobials should be given for both aerobic and anaerobic bacteria using doses established by species-specific pharmacokinetic testing, when available. Ensure proper husbandry and a low stress environment. Maintain animals at the high end of their optimal temperature range and also provide heat at night. Address any systemic or metabolic illness. Euthanasia should be considered for animals with non-healing lesions due to *Mycobacterium* spp. Commonly used drugs include tetracyclines, cephalosporins, trimethoprim-sulfa, aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, clindamycin, metronidazole, and chloramphenicol. Oral acyclovir for viral stomatitis at 40-80 mg/kg every 8-24 hours has been used. Laser therapy reduces inflammation and provides pain relief. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatories can be used if animal is well hydrated and does not have underlying renal disease. Supportive therapy with Vitamins A, B-complex, and C can be given to boost the immune system. For anorexic animals, avoid forced feeding of whole prey and instead, administer a puree or slurry via a gastric tube.

Prevention and control: Proper nutrition including adequate vitamin (especially Vitamin A) and mineral supplementation, appropriate temperatures, good hygiene, preventing oral trauma from food or habitat, minimizing stress, clearing mite infestations, and avoiding hibernating recently fed animals.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 1% sodium hypochlorite for most microbes; vinegar or 2% glutaraldehyde for mycobacteria

Notification: None

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Isolate infected animal until lesions are healed. Ensure good hygiene and appropriate husbandry practices. Do not introduce infected animal to immunocompromised animals. Avoid all stress or continued suppression of immune system.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Properly disinfect habitat.

Experts who may be consulted:

Rob Coke, DVM, DACZM, DABVP (Reptile and Amphibian Practice), Senior Staff Veterinarian San Antonio Zoo (210) 734-7184 x1320 zoosrvet@sazoo-aq.org

Dr. Jörg Mayer

Associate Professor of Zoological Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia mayeri@uga.edu

- 1. Melissa Kaplan's Herp Care Collection. Ulcerative Stomatitis (Mouthrot) in Reptiles; [cited 2017 December 15]. Available from http://www.anapsid.org/stomatitis.html
- 2. Hedley J. Anatomy and disorders of the oral cavity of reptiles and amphibians. Vet Clin Exot Anim 2016;19:689-706.

ULCERATIVE STOMATITIS

- 3. Jacobson ER. Bacterial diseases of reptiles. In: Jacobson ER (ed.). Infectious diseases and pathology of reptiles. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press Taylor and Francis Group; 2007. p. 476-477.
- 4. Mehler SJ, Bennett RA. Upper alimentary tract disease. In: Mader, D (ed.). Reptile medicine and surgery, 2nd edition. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2006. p. 926-928.
- 5. O'Rourke DP, Lertpiriyapong K. Chapter 19: Biology and diseases of reptiles. In: Anderson LC, Otto G, Pritchett-Corning KR, Whary MT, Fox JG. Laboratory animal medicine, 3rd edition. Amsterdam (NL): Elsevier; 2015. p. 967-1013.
- 6. Reavill DR, Griffin C. Chapter 2: Common pathology and diseases seen in pet store reptiles. In: Mader, D, Divers SJ (eds.). Current therapy in reptile medicine and surgery. Philadelphia (PA): Saunders; 2014 p. 13-19.

VESICULAR EXANTHEMA OF SWINE/SAN MIGUEL SEA LION VIRUS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Preventio n and Control	Zoonotic
Swine, various marine mammals.	Direct – contact with infected animal. Indirect - feeding uncooked infected products, fomites.	Pyrexia, anorexia, lameness, vesicles progression to erosions (coronary bands, snout, lips, oral cavity, teats).	Moderately contagious. Moderate to high morbidity. Very low mortality.	None	Do not feed uncooked fish to swine.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cora Singleton

Sheet completed on: 1 January 2011; updated 31 October 2012; updated 8 August 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Ryan Colburn

Susceptible animal groups: Swine, cattle, horses, skunk, primates, reptiles, fish, and various marine mammals.

Causative organism: Vesicular exanthema of swine (VES) and San Miguel sea lion virus (SMSV) are caliciviruses in the *Caliciviridae* family.

Zoonotic potential: VES has occasionally been isolated from humans with blisters, however, the virus is not considered to be a serious public health threat.

Distribution: VES has been eradicated worldwide. SMSV is found on Pacific coast of North America. The Opaleye fish is considered the primary host of SMSV.

Incubation period: 1-5 days

Clinical signs: Swine – Pyrexia, anorexia, lameness, vesicles progressing to erosions (coronary bands, snout, lips, oral cavity, teats). Clinically indistinguishable from foot and mouth disease, vesicular stomatitis, Seneca virus A, and swine vesicular disease.

Pinnipeds – Abortion; vesicles progressing to erosions on flippers.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Vesicles on coronary bands, snout, lips, oral cavity, teats. Hydropic degeneration and edema of stratum spinosum of the affected epidermis, followed by ballooning degeneration of keratinocytes that then float into the vesicular fluid. Stratum basale may be disrupted.

Diagnosis: Virus culture, antigen detection, or serology.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Vesicular fluid, epithelium covering a vesicle, heparinized whole blood, serum, tissues in formalin.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory, Plum Island

40550 Route 25 (for packages)

Orient Point, NY 11957

P.O. Box 848 (for letters)

Greenport, NY 11944-0848

(631) 323-3256 Fax: (631) 323-3366

Since vesicular diseases cannot be distinguished clinically, contact the proper authorities prior to sample collection and shipment.

Treatment: No effective treatment. Supportive care and treatment of secondary problems.

Prevention and control: VES is thought to have emerged from feeding uncooked fish and marine mammal tissues containing SMSV to pigs. Strict enforcement of cooking of feed in conjunction with a slaughter program lead to eradication of the disease in swine in 1959. Early diagnosis and eradication by test and slaughter are important if VES were to recur. SMSV is endemic in pinnipeds along the western coast of the United States.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Phenols, sodium hydroxide, formalin, sodium carbonate, ionic and non-ionic detergents, strong iodophors in phosphoric acid, chloroform. Sodium hypochlorite (0.1% solution, or a 1:32 dilution) are effective in the absence of organic material.

VESICULAR EXANTHEMA OF SWINE/SAN MIGUEL SEA LION VIRUS

Notification: VES is not reportable to USDA/APHIS or OIE. However, this disease is considered eradicated and is clinically indistinguishable from other vesicular diseases that are reportable.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None specifically but due to similar appearance to other reportable vesicular diseases.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Consult USDA/APHIS.

Experts who may be consulted: USDA State Veterinarians or federal Area Veterinarians in Charge.

- 1. Davies PR [Internet]. Overview of Vesicular Exanthema of Swine (San Miguel sea lion virus disease); 2019 [cited 2019 January 17]. Available from <a href="https://www.merckvetmanual.com/generalized-conditions/vesicular-exanthema-of-swine/overview-of-vesicular-exanthema-of-swine/overview-
- 2. Iowa State University, College of Veterinary Medicine, Veterinary Diagnostic and Production Animal Medicine [Internet]. Vesicular Exanthema of Swine (San Miguel Sea Lion Viral Disease); 2019 [cited 2019 January 17]. Available from: https://vetmed.iastate.edu/vdpam/FSVD/swine/index-diseases/vesicular-exanthema
- 3. Knowles NJ, Reuter G. Porcine Caliciviruses. In: Zimmerman, JJ, Karriker LA, Ramirez A, Schwartz KJ, Stevenson GW, Zhang J (eds.). Diseases of Swine, 11th ed. Ames (IA): Wiley-Blackwell; 2018. *in press*.
- 4. Smith AW, Skilling DE, Cherry N, Mead JH, Matson DO. Calicivirus Emergence from Ocean Reservoirs: Zoonotic and interspecies movements. Emerg Infect Dis. 1998 4(1): 13-20
- 5. Smith AW, Iversen PL, Skilling DE, Stein DA, Bok K, Matson DO. Vesivirus viremia and seroprevalence in humans. J Med Virol. 2006;78(5):693-701
- 6. Swine Health Information Center [Internet]. Vesicular Exanthema of Swine Virus; 2015 [cited 2019 January 17]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/pdf/shic-factsheet-vesicular-exanthema-swine-virus
- 7. Thorne ET. San Miguel sea lion virus and vesicular exanthema of swine virus. In: Williams ES, Barker IK (eds.). Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, 3rd ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 286-288.
- 8. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services [Internet]. All National Animal Health Laboratories Network (NAHLN) Laboratory List; 2018 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahln/downloads/all_nahln_lab_list.pdf.
- 9. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services [Internet]. Diagnostic Testing at the National Veterinary Services Laboratories; 2015 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/lab-infoservices/sa_diagnostic_tests/ct_diagnostic_tests.

VESICULAR STOMATITIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Swine, equids, bovids, South American camelids.	Biting insects (transovarial transmission in sandflies and blackflies), direct contact, fomites.	Pyrexia, anorexia, lameness, vesicles progression to erosions (coronary bands, oral cavity, teats).	Low to moderately contagious. Low to moderate morbidity.	None	No carrier state. Test and quarantine animals, disinfect environment, control insect vectors, do not feed uncooked pork products.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Cora Singleton

Sheet completed on: updated 8 August 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Kristi Delaski

Susceptible animal groups: Swine, horses, cattle; Tapirs serologically positive, but no clinical disease

reported.

Causative organism: A vesiculovirus in the *Rhabdoviridae* family.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Vesicular stomatitis virus causes pyrexia, headache, myalgia, and occasional blisters in the oral cavity of humans.

Distribution: Enzootic in the US and present in North, Central, and South America.

Incubation period: 1-5 days

Clinical signs: Pyrexia, anorexia, lameness, vesicles progressing to erosions (coronary bands, oral cavity, teats). Clinically indistinguishable from foot and mouth disease, vesicular exanthema of swine, Seneca virus A, and swine vesicular disease. Epizootics in the United States occur about every 10-13 years, starting in early summer and ending with the onset of freezing weather.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Vesicles on coronary bands, snout, lips, oral cavity. Hydropic degeneration and edema of stratum spinosum of the affected epidermis, followed by ballooning degeneration of keratinocytes that then float into the vesicular fluid. Stratum basale remains intact.

Diagnosis: Agent identification – virus culture with electron microscopy, ELISA, complement fixation, PCR. Serology – ELISA, virus neutralization (often preferred – may need to test for Indiana and New Jersey strains), complement fixation.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Vesicular fluid, epithelium covering a vesicle, serum, tissues in formalin.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory, Plum Island

40550 Route 25 (for packages)

Orient Point, NY 11957

P.O. Box 848 (for letters)

Greenport, NY 11944-0848

(631) 323-3256 Fax: (631) 323-3366

Since vesicular diseases cannot be distinguished clinically, contact the proper authorities prior to sample collection and shipment.

Treatment: No effective treatment. Supportive care and treatment of secondary problems.

Prevention and control: Prevention should include no feeding of uncooked pork products, regulation of movement of animals and animal products, and control of insect vectors. Vaccination has not been used routinely in the United States but might be useful during an epizootic. Control measures include notification of authorities, quarantine or depopulation of infected animals, and disinfection of the environment.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Phenols, sodium hydroxide, formalin, sodium carbonate, ionic and non-ionic detergents, strong iodophors in phosphoric acid, chloroform, ethanol, glutaraldehyde.

VESICULAR STOMATITIS

Notification: Reportable to the USDA/APHIS through the State Veterinarian or the federal Area Veterinarian in Charge. The disease is also reportable to the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE).

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Reportable

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Infections must be reported to USDA/APHIS for management.

Experts who may be consulted: USDA State Veterinarians or federal Area Veterinarians in Charge.

- 1. Hernandez-Divers, SM, Aguilar R, Leandro-Loria D, Foerster CR. Health Evaluation of a radiocollared population of free-ranging Baird's tapirs (Tapirus bairdii) in Costa Rica. J Zoo Wild Med. 36(2). 2005. P 176-187.
- 2. Spickler, AR [Internet]. Vesicular stomatitis; 2016 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/vesicular_stomatitis.pdf.
- 3. Swenson SL, Mead DG, Kinker DR. Rhabdoviruses. In: Zimmerman JJ, Karriker LA, Ramirez A, Schwartz KJ, Stevenson GW, Zhang J (eds.). Diseases of Swine, 11th ed. Ames (IA): Wiley-Blackwell; 2018. *in press*.
- 4. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services [Internet]. National Animal Health Laboratories Network (NAHLN) Laboratories approved for VSV Preparedness and Surge Testing; 2018 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahln/downloads/vsv_lab_list.pdf.
- 5. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant and Health Inspection Services [Internet]. Diagnostic Testing at the National Veterinary Services Laboratories; 2015 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/lab-infoservices/sa_diagnostic_tests/ct_diagnostic_tests.
- 6. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) [Internet]. Vesicular stomatitis. In: Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2018; 2015 [cited 2018 August 08]. Available from http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.01.23_VESICULAR_STOMATITIS.pdf.

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Marine and	Unknown in	Acute or	Significant	Systemic	Appropriate	Many
brackish	many cases.	chronic forms.	mortalities	antibiotics	water quality	strains
fish.			possible in	based on culture	and reduction of	are
	Fish to fish	Nonspecific,	outbreaks	and sensitivity	other stressors	zoonotic.
Occasionall	contact and	e.g., lethargy,	(>50%).	and regulations.	(e.g., over-	
y reported in	oral	darkening,			crowding,	
freshwater	transmission is	ulcers,			elevated	
fish.	suspected.	petechial			temperature).	
		hemorrhages,				
Commonly	Some species	erythema,			Effective	
found in	may use	coelomic			vaccines	
mollusks	invertebrate	distension,			available for <i>V</i> .	
and	vector.	ocular,			anguillarum.	
crustaceans.		neurologic, or				
		respiratory				
		signs.				
<u>[</u>						

Fact Sheet compiled by: Catherine A. Hadfield

Sheet completed on: 28 November 2010; updated 5 July 2013

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Brent R. Whitaker, E. Scott Weber III

Susceptible animal groups: Over 50 species of marine and brackish fish (including elasmobranchs) are susceptible and disease is occasionally reported in freshwater fish.

Causative organism: Vibrio spp. are pleomorphic Gram negative rods. Some can be primary pathogens, but most are ubiquitous in the environment and cause secondary disease. More than 20 serovars may cause disease: Vibrio anguillarum (salt water furunculosis), V. salmonicida (hitra or cold water vibriosis), V. alginolyticus, V. cholerae, V. fischeri, V. harveyi (carchariae), V. ichthyoenteri, V. logei, V. ordalli, V. parahaemolyticus, V. pelagius, V. splendidus, V. tapetis, V. vulnificus; Moritella viscosa, M. marina; Photobacterium damselae damselae, P. damselae piscicida.

Zoonotic potential: Many species have zoonotic potential through skin wounds or ingestion of infected shellfish.

Distribution: Worldwide; first reported in North America in 1953.

Incubation period: Variable.

Clinical signs: Acute or chronic presentation occurs with non-specific clinical signs, e.g., lethargy, inappetance, skin darkening, scale loss, ulcers, hyperemia, petechiation, erythema, coelomic distension from ascites or organomegaly, corneal edema or ulceration, and exophthalmia. Neurologic or respiratory signs may be observed. Many fish die acutely without external signs and mortalities may be >50%. High index of suspicion in a zoo/aquarium setting after shipping or other stressors.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Visceral petechiation, congestion and/or necrosis of organs (especially kidney), organomegaly (especially spleen), and fibrinous adhesions can be observed. Weakly motile, pleomorphic, Gram negative rods may be present. Inflammation, which may be granulomatous, can be observed histologically.

Diagnosis: Pure bacterial culture from lesions, blood, or organs (especially kidney and spleen) with consistent

VIBRIOSIS

clinical signs is supportive for diagnosis, although the organism may be commensal in elasmobranch tissues. Selective media available (e.g., TCBS) but these organisms can grow well on blood agar and other nutrient-rich media. Incubation temperature needs to be lower for *Vibrio salmonicida*. Serology not available.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Aerobic culturette and/or blood culture vials. Tissue swabs or preferably tissue samples for culture. Transport at 4°C.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Laboratories specializing in fish pathogens, although regular laboratories may be able to culture and identify *Vibrio* spp.

Treatment: Systemic antibiotics (e.g., trimethoprim sulfa, tetracyclines, florenfenicol, aminoglycosides) are needed but treatment should be adjusted as indicated by culture and sensitivity results and should follow all relevant legislation. For foodfish, follow guidelines for FDA-approved antibiotics (e.g., oxtetracycline). Nutritional support and supportive care can assist treatment. Immunostimulants, e.g., glucans, alginate or ascorbic acid.

Prevention and control: For outbreaks in aquaculture stocks, regulations may require movement restrictions, depopulation, and disinfection of premises. Most serovars, however, are ubiquitous, secondary pathogens. Control of stressors (e.g., temperature, water quality, stocking density, organic load, nutrition) is sometimes enough to control infection. Selective breeding has been used in salmonids to develop resistance to *V. anguillarum*. Immersion vaccine for *V. anguillarum* in salmonids (Novartis) is available and autogenous vaccines may be considered.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Susceptible to most common disinfectants (e.g., sodium hypochlorite and other chlorine-based disinfectants, ethanol, iodophors, quaternary ammonium compounds, and peroxygen compounds).

Notification: None required.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: To be avoided with *V. anguillarum*. Other *Vibrio* spp. are ubiquitous, but avoid introducing animals if clinical signs are present.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Not applicable in most settings.

Experts who may be consulted: Most fish clinicians will be familiar with vibriosis and can be consulted if an outbreak is encountered.

- 1. Actis, L.A., M.E. Tolmasky, and J.H. Crosa. 2010. Vibriosis. *In*: Woo, P.T.K. (ed), 2nd ed. Fish Diseases and Disorders, Volume 3: Viral, Bacterial and Fungal Infections. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK. Pp 570-605.
- 2. Austin, B., and D.A. Austin. 2007. Bacterial Fish Pathogens: Disease in Farmed and Wild Fish, 4th ed. Springer Praxis, New York, 552p.
- 3. Chang, C.S., H.L. Huang, S. Chen, and S.N. Chen. 2013. Innate immune responses and efficacy of using mushroom beta-glucan mixture (MBG) on orange-spotted grouper, *Epinephelus coioides*, aquaculture. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 35: 115-125.
- 4. Ferguson, H.W. 2006. Systemic Pathology 2nd ed., Scotian Press, London, 366p.
- 5. Grimes, D.J., P. Brayton, R.R. Colwell, and S.H Gruber. 1985. Vibrios as autochthonous flora of neritic sharks. System. Appl. Microbiol. 6: 221-226.
- 6. Inglis, V., R.J. Roberts, and N.R. Bromage. 1993. Bacterial Diseases of Fish, Halsted Press, New York, pp.107-166.
- 7. Noga, E.J. 2010. Fish Disease: Diagnosis and Treatment, 2nd ed., Wiley-Blackwell, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 193-197.
- 8. Plumb, J.A. 1999. Salmonid bacterial diseases. *In*: Plumb, J.A. (ed). Health Maintenance and Principal Microbial Diseases of Cultured Fishes. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 230-

VIBRIOSIS

296.

- 9. Ringo, E., R.E. Olsen, J.L.G. Vecino, S. Wadsworth, and S.K. Song. 2012. Immunostimulants and nucleotides in aquaculture: a review. J. Marine Sci. Res. Devel. 2: 1-22.
- 10. Roberts, R.J. 2001. The bacteriology of teleosts. *In*: Roberts, R.J. (ed), 3rd ed. Fish Pathology. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 297-331.
- 11. Stoskopf, M.K. 1993. Bacterial diseases of marine tropical fish. *In*: Stoskopf, M.K. (ed). Fish Medicine. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Pp. 635-646.
- 12. Zhou, Q., L. Wang, H. Wang, F. Xie, and T. Wang. 2012. Effect of dietary vitamin C on growth performance and innate immunity of juvenile cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 32: 969-975.

VIRAL HEMORRHAGIC SEPTICEMIA

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Fish: more than 80 susceptible marine and freshwater species	Horizontal transmission via fish waste, water, fomites, etc.	Clinical presentation varies by host species and strain, ranging from severe dermal, muscle, and organ hemorrhage to lethargy, to no significant lesions.	Dependent on species; from mild to lethal.	No effective treatment is available for free-ranging or captive fish.	Limit the spread through the movement of infected fish, water, and fomites.	No

Fact Sheet compiled by: Nicholas Phelps

Sheet updated on: 17 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: James G. Johnson III, Elsburgh "Tres" Clarke

Susceptible animal groups: Fish: 80 susceptible marine and freshwater species. The virus has also been detected in amphipods, leeches, and turtles. It is not known what role these non-fish species play in the ecology of the virus.

Causative organism: Viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (previously known as Egtved virus), in the Family Rhabdoviridae

Zoonotic potential: No

Distribution: Viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus has a broad distribution in the northern hemisphere. Four primary strains of VHSV are known to exist, distributed in Europe (VHSV-I, II, III), East Asia (VHSV-I, III, IV), and North America (VHSV-IV). VHSV-IV is further divided into marine (VHSV-IVa) and freshwater (VHSV-IVb). In North America, VHSV-IV has been detected off the Northern Pacific and Atlantic coasts as well as in the Great Lakes region.

Incubation period: An inverse correlation has been recorded between virus stability and water temperatures ranging from 1°C to 20°C. Transmission occurs at cooler temperatures (1-12°C) with an incubation time of 1-2 weeks at high temperature and 3-4 weeks at low temperatures.

Clinical signs:

<u>Acute</u>: Results in rapid destruction of endothelial cells and extravasation of the blood supply, which may ultimately result in diffuse or petechial hemorrhage, ascites, exophthalmia, organ failure, anemia (pale gills), and high mortality.

<u>Chronic</u>: Results in prolonged disease with neurologic-type behavior characterized by anorexia, erratic swimming, or lethargy.

Clinical presentation is dependent on a variety of factors including host, pathogen, or environmental variables. Some species exhibit no clinical lesions while infected with high levels of VHSV, while others develop severe lesions with low levels of VHSV. Presumptive diagnosis can be difficult and secondary testing is recommended.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: VHSV has a predilection for endothelial cells and will often induce hemorrhagic lesions throughout the body visible by gross and histologic examination. The virus will also cause necrosis and degeneration of hematopoietic tissues, macrophage proliferation within renal tissue, and degeneration and vacuolization of hepatic tissue.

Diagnosis: The gold standard for VHSV detection is virus isolation by cell culture. Suitable cell lines include Epithelioma Papulosum Cyprini (EPC), Rainbow Trout Gonad (RTG-2), Bluegill fry (BF-2), Chinook salmon embryo (CHSE-214), and the Fathead minnow (FHM) cell lines incubated at 15°C. Cytopathic effects are typically observed within 4-6 days, but may take up to four weeks and two passages to appear. Secondary testing by RT-PCR or IFA are recommended. Real-time RT-PCR is becoming widely used for preliminary diagnosis and surveillance testing and can be performed on non-lethal samples (i.e., fin or gill biopsy).

VIRAL HEMORRHAGIC SEPTICEMIA

Material required for laboratory analysis:

<u>Virology</u>: Fresh tissue homogenate of the kidney and spleen should be placed in plastic tubes or whirlpack bags with 1g tissue to 10mL dilution with virus transport media (i.e. Hank's Balanced Salt Solution). Ship samples overnight on frozen gel packs.

<u>RT-PCR</u>: Tissue should be placed in tubes with RNAlater or immediately frozen. Tissue storage in 70% ethanol is an option, but freezing is necessary for long term storage. Contact the diagnostic lab where tissues will be sent to determine the types of tissues they will accept for non-regulatory testing and their recommended method of preservation.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Please see the list of experts below; all of whom will accept diagnostic samples for preliminary testing. Additionally, USDA-APHIS approved labs for export certification of aquacultured species can be found at: http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_dis_spec/aquaculture/

Virus Reference Laboratory Diagnostic Virology Laboratory USDA-APHIS National Veterinary Service Laboratory 1920 Dayton Avenue, Ames, Iowa 50010 janet.v.warg@aphis.usda.gov

Treatment: Therapeutics are not widely used to control VHS infection. General supportive care and stress reduction are recommended.

Prevention and control: Given the lack of available therapeutics, preventing the introduction of VHSV is the primary method of control. In addition, early detection of the virus by proactive surveillance programs provides value in determining areas or activities of risk. Strict biosecurity protocols should be implemented in areas of risk.

Prevention can be achieved by eliminating the transfer of the virus via contaminated fomites, eggs, fish, and water. Typical anti-viral disinfectants, such as chlorine, sodium hypochlorite, and UV irradiation are effective. Iodophor treatment of eggs is not always effective at removing the virus from the eggs' surface. However, at this time, no evidence for true vertical transmission of VHSV has been recorded; viral adherence to the egg surface and presence in ovarian fluid has been documented.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: If captive fish test positive, the population should be isolated or euthanized. Housing facilities should be cleaned and disinfected with standard products such as chlorine and sodium hypochlorite. Facility effluent should also be disinfected with similar chemicals. For recirculating facilities, in-line UV sterilization should be incorporated to prevent the transmission of the virus via contaminated water.

Notification: VHSV is a reportable pathogen to the OIE and USDA. Upon suspicion or preliminary diagnosis, the area veterinarian in charge should be notified.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: The National Aquatic Animal Health Plan provides some guidelines for surveillance of aquatic animals. There is no coordinated surveillance plan for this disease in wild populations; however, regulatory and research surveys do occur. Any suspect case in a new species or geographic region needs to be reported to AVIC USDA APHIS.

Measures required for introducing animals to an infected animal(s): Susceptible species of naïve fish should not be introduced to a previously infected population. It may be possible to co-habitat non-susceptible species with a previously infected population; however, this approach is risky because the host range is broad and rapidly expanding.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: No specific standards exist at this time; however, non-lethal antibody and quantitative RT-PCR methods are available to monitor a population over time.

VIRAL HEMORRHAGIC SEPTICEMIA

Experts who may be consulted:

Nicolas Phelps University of Minnesota 1333 Gortner Ave. St. Paul, Minnesota 55108 612-624-7450 phelp083@umn.edu

Rod Getchell
Aquatic Animal Health Program
Department of Microbiology and Immunology
College of Veterinary Medicine
Cornell University
Upper Tower Road
Ithaca, NY 14853
(607)-253-4028
prb4@cornell.edy; rgg4@cornell.edu; erc58@cornell.edu

Mohammed Faisal Michigan State University 174 Food Safety and Toxicology Building East Lansing, MI 48823 (517)-884-2019 faisal@msu.edu

Gael Kurath and Jim Winton Western Fisheries Research Center 6505 NE 65th St. Seattle, WA 98115-5016 (206)-526-6282 gkurath@usgs.gov; jwinton@usgs.gov

- 1. Cornwell ER, Bellmund CA, Groocock GH, Wong P, Hambury KL, Getchell RG, Bowser PR. Fin and gill biopsies are effective nonlethal samples for detection of Viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus genotype IVb. J Vet Diag Invest. 2013;24:203-209.
- 2. Faisal M, Shavalier M, Kim RK, Millard EV, Gunn MR, Winters AD, Schulz CA, Eissa A, Thomas MA, Wolgamood M, Whelan GE, Winton J. Spread of the emerging viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus strain, genotype IVb, in Michigan, USA. Viruses. 2012;4:734-760.
- 3. Goodwin AE, Merry GE. Replication and persistence of VHSV IVb in freshwater turtles. Dis Aq Org. 2011;94:173-177.
- 4. Groocock GH, Getchell RG, Cornwell ER, Frattini SA, Wooster GA, Bowser PR, LaPan SR. Iodophor disinfection of walleye eggs exposed to viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus type IVb. N Am J Aquacult. 2012;75:25-33.
- 5. Kim R, Faisal M. Emergence and resurgence of viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (Novirhabdovirus, Rhabdovirus, Mononegavirales). J Adv Res. 2011;2:9-23.
- 6. Phelps NBD, Craft ME, Travis D, Pelican K, Goyal SM. Risk-based management of viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV-IVb) in Minnesota. N Am J Fish Manag. 2014;34:373-379.

VIRAL HEMORRHAGIC SEPTICEMIA

- 7. OIE (World Organization for Animal Health) Aquatic Health Standards Commission. 2016. Manual of diagnostic tests for aquatic animals. Office international des epizooties, Paris, France.
- 8. USFWS and AFS-FHS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and American Fisheries Society Fish Health Section). 2016. AFS-FHS Blue Book: Suggested procedures for the detection and identification of certain finfish and shellfish pathogens. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.
- 9. VHSV Expert Panel & Working Group. Viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV-IVb) risk factors and association measure derived by expert panel. Prev Vet Med. 2010;94:128-139.
- 10. Warg JV, Clement T, Cornwell ER, Cruz A, Getchell RG, Giray C, Goodwin AE, Groocock GH, Faisal M, Kim R, Merry GE, Phelps NBD, Reising MM, Standish I, Zhang Y, Toohey-Kurth K. Detection and surveillance of viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus using real-time RT-PCR. II. Diagnostic evaluation of two protocols. Dis Aq Org. 2014;111:15-22.

VIRULENT NEWCASTLE DISEASE (Formerly Exotic Newcastle Disease)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Birds	Highly contagious Avian Paramyxovirus – 1 (APMV-1). Aerosol and ingestion are the primary routes. Inadvertent comingling asymptomatic with non-exposed birds.	Death; gastro- intestinal and respiratory signs	Severe; typically fatal	Not usually performed. In zoo specimens treatment is supportive care with consideration of vaccination.	Strict biohazard containment. Vaccination program may be considered. Depopulation and disinfection of premises then no new birds for 30 days	Rarely humans exposed may develop self- limiting infections. Cooked poultry products are safe to eat.

Fact Sheet compiled by: Nancy Carpenter

Sheet completed on: 1 January 2011; updated 18 March 2013, updated 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Erika Travis-Crook, Donald L. Janssen

Susceptible animal groups: Numerous species of birds (250 species to date) have been affected. Primary concerns are domestic poultry operations (chickens > turkey) and free-ranging double-crested cormorants are particularly susceptible. Penguins are highly susceptible and often die acutely; psittacines show varying susceptibility and prolonged shedding of virus. It has not been reported in mammals, except humans when they are exposed to a highly infected environment or during the vaccination process.

Causative organism: RNA virus within avian paramyxovirus-1 group (APMV-1) Genus *Avulavirus*, Family Paramyxoviridae. It should be noted that the mild strains are endemic to the U.S. with the most virulent strains being in other countries.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Humans who have exposure to infected birds may get conjunctivitis or mild flu-like symptoms. No human cases of Newcastle Disease have ever occurred from eating poultry products.

Distribution: Worldwide but endemic in the Middle East, Asia, Africa, Central and South America.

Incubation period: 2-15 days and depends upon the virulence of the strain, the susceptibility of the population and the species affected.

Clinical signs: In rare human infections, clinical signs include self-limiting conjunctivitis and flu-like symptoms. In animals, clinical signs vary by pathotype:

Asymptomatic enteric – generally subclinical

Lentogenic or respiratory – mild or subclinical respiratory signs

Mesogenic – respiratory and occasional neurologic signs with low mortality

Velogenic – most virulent with high mortality rates.

- a. neurotropic respiratory (coughing, gasping) and neurologic signs (muscle tremors, circling, paralysis; green watery diarrhea; decreased egg production (NVND)
- b. viscerotropic hemorrhagic gastrointestinal disease and lesions (VVND)

In domestic laying hen operations, initially a drop in egg production occurs and then numerous deaths within 24-48 hours which will continue for 7-10 days. Birds that survive for 12-14 days may live but may have permanent neurologic damage.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: No specific post mortem lesions are present. However, relevant gross lesions are usually found only in birds infected with velogenic strains include:

hemorrhage, ulcers, edema and/or necrosis often occur in the cecal tonsils and lymphoid tissues of the intestinal wall (including Peyer's patches); this lesion is particularly suggestive of Newcastle disease. In chickens infected with less virulent strains, the lesions may be limited to congestion and mucoid exudates in the respiratory tract, and opacity and thickening of the air sacs.

VIRULENT NEWCASTLE DISEASE (Formerly Exotic Newcastle Disease)

Diagnosis: Virus isolation and microscopic lesions identified in tissues. Differential diagnosis list for infectious diseases includes avian cholera (*Pasteurella multocida*), highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI), infectious aryngotracheitis (herpesvirus), infectious coryza (*Hemophilus paragallinarium*), diphtheritic avianpoxvirus, psittacosis (chlamydophylosis (*Chlamydophila psittaci*), mycoplasmosis, infectious bronchitis (coronavirus), and, in psittacines only, Pacheco's disease.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Contact laboratory in advance of collections to ensure proper collection storage and shipping methods. Brain and Heart infusion broth (BHI) with high concentrations of antibiotics should be used for transport. Freeze if samples will not be received by the laboratory within 24 hours.

Swabs of trachea, oropharynx, and cloaca can be collected from live birds. Tissue samples from dead birds include trachea, lung, spleen, cloaca, intestines, cecal tonsils, brain. Feces for culture can be collected from either live or dead birds. Serum for ELISA can be used but previous exposure and vaccination may affect results. Reverse Transcriptase PCR is also available. However, results returning before that particular animal might die may be problematic.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Testing is performed at numerous state labs.

Treatment: It is not recommended to pursue treatment and typically flock depopulation is performed in domestic poultry operations. However, in a zoo situation the benefit of treatment should outweigh the risk of transmission to other birds.

Prevention and control: There is no effective cure for virulent Newcastle Disease. Once identified, strict biohazard control methods should be immediately implemented. Slaughter and disposal of all infected and exposed birds is recommended. No new birds in for 30 days. Pests must be controlled to minimize mechanical transfer of the virus.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: cresylics and phenolics

Notification: State and Federal veterinarians should be notified.

 $\underline{\underline{Federal}} : \ http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/area_offices/- use \ map \ for \ regional \ instructions.$

State: http://www.usaha.org/Portals/6/StateAnimalHealthOfficials.pdf

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: This is a reportable disease and control must be managed with regional veterinary authorities. Once the disease is confirmed, strict biosecurity measures should be taken. Depopulation to prevent spread must be considered.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Do not repopulate infected areas for at least 30 days from final disinfection.

Experts who may be consulted:

Donald Janssen, DVM, Dipl. ACZM San Diego Zoo don.janssen@gmail.com

Nadine Lamberski, DVM, Dipl. ACZM

San Diego Zoo

NLamberski@sandiegozoo.org

- 1. The Center for Food Security and Public Health [Internet]. Newcastle Disease: 2016 [cited 2018 February 2]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/newcastle_disease.pdf. .
- 2. Dvorak G. USDA. Division of Animal Industry. The Center for Food Security and Public Health Chart. Additional High Consequence Livestock Pathogens. Vers. 1.5.4.
- 3. Janssen D, Sutherland-Smith M, Papendick R, Lamberski N, Lewins E, Mace M, Edwards M. Exotic Newcastle Disease outbreak in Southern California: biosecurity measures for prevention in zoo

VIRULENT NEWCASTLE DISEASE (Formerly Exotic Newcastle Disease)

- collections. In: Proc Am Assoc Zoo Vet; 2003. p. 107-110.
- 4. King DJ. Newcastle Disease. In: Committee on Foreign and Emerging Diseases of the United States Animal Health Association (eds.). Foreign Animal Diseases. 7th Ed. Boca Raton (FL): Boca Publishing Group; 2008. p. 343-349.
- 5. Newcastle Disease. In: Friend M, Franson JC, Ciganovich EA (eds.). Field Manual of Wildlife Diseases. U.S. Dept. of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. 1999. p. 175-179.
- 6. Spicker AR. Newcastle Disease. In: Spickler AR, Roth J. (eds.) Emerging and Exotic Diseases of Animals, 3rd ed. Center for Food Security and Public Health. Ames (IA): Iowa State University; 2008. p. 203-206.

VISCERAL LEISHMANIASIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Canids Humans	Phlebotomine sand flies (<i>Lutzomyia</i> spp.); transplacental, sexual, and via blood transfusion also reported in dogs	Lymphadenopathy, onychogryphosis, weight loss, alopecia, conjunctivitis (Dogs); Fever, weakness, lethargy, weight loss, hepatosplenomegaly, lymphadenopathy (Humans)	Fatal if not treated	Allopurinol, meglumine antimoniate, liposomal amphotericin B	Insecticides for sandfly control	Yes, but requires vector

Fact Sheet compiled by: Christine Fiorello

Sheet completed on: 20 January 2011; updated 1 November 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Sara Childs Sanford, Walter Boyce

Susceptible animal groups Domestic and wild canids are the main host species. Humans are commonly infected, and the infection is becoming more commonly recognized in domestic cats. Opossums and some rodents are also commonly infected, although usually asymptomatic. However, the domestic dog is the only epidemiologically important reservoir.

Causative organism Protozoal organisms *Leishmania donovani* (Asia, Middle East, Africa) and *L. infantum* (Asia, Middle East, Europe, South America)

Zoonotic potential Transmission occurs via sandfly bites; dogs are the reservoir host. Humans are accidental hosts and not considered important in the epidemiology of the disease. Dog to human and human to human transmission does not seem to occur

Distribution Europe, South America, Africa, Middle East, Asia. Dogs in North America are occasionally infected.

Incubation period Weeks to months

Clinical symptoms Humans: Fever, weakness, lethargy, weight loss, muscle wasting, hepatosplenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, pallor; anemia & thrombocytopenia are common. **Dogs:** lymphadenopathy, onychogryphosis, weight loss, conjunctivitis, alopecia.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Inflammation and parasites found in macrophages of infected organs; specific findings vary with parasite and host species, chronicity of disease, and immune status of host.

Diagnosis Gold standard: demonstration of parasites (amastigote form) in splenic or bone marrow aspirates. Serologic tests include an IFAT, ELISA (rK39 antigen most promising), DAT, and immunochromagraphic test strip. Numerous blood and bone marrow PCR protocols are also often used.

Material required for laboratory analysis Depends on diagnostic method; could include bone marrow, lymph node, or splenic aspirates or blood.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories In the US, Cornell University Animal Health Diagnostic Lab, Michigan State Diagnostic Center for Population and Animal Health, National Bio Vet Lab are some of the many labs that have commercial tests available.

Treatment Humans: Liposomal amphotericin B is first choice. Meglumine antimoniate is less expensive but has more adverse effects. Miltefosine is a newer oral drug that has shown good efficacy in India. **Dogs:** Allopurinol, meglumine antimoniate, and liposomal amphotericin B have all been used; a complete cure is

VISCERAL LEISHMANIASIS

usually impossible and euthanasia is often recommended.

Prevention and control: Culling of dogs does not seem to be effective. Insecticide spraying around human settlements to control sandflies has been effective is some areas but not in others. Insecticide-impregnated nets can provide protection for individuals. Deltamethrin-impregnated collars and various insecticide pour-ons for dogs provide limited efficacy in decreasing transmission.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities Control of the disease is based on control of the insect vector.

Notification Not a nationally notifiable disease in the US; it is notifiable in a few states such as Texas.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan:

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not relevant (vector-borne disease)

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Not relevant (vector-borne disease)

Experts who may be consulted:

Dr. Edward Breitschwerdt

North Carolina State University College of Veterinary Medicine

CVM Main Building 454, Box 8401

NCSU Campus Raleigh, NC 27695 Phone: 919-513-8277 Fax: 919-513-6336

Email: ed_breitschwerdt@ncsu.edu

- 1. Cruz, I. L. Acosta, M.N. Gutierrez, J. Nieto, C. Cañavate, J. Deschutter, F.J. Bornay-Llinares. 2010. A canine leishmaniasis pilot survey in an emerging focus of visceral leishmanisis: Posadas (Misiones, Argentina). BMC Infectious Diseases 10: 342-49.
- 2. Maroli, M., L. Gradoni, G. Oliva, M. Castagnaro, A. Crotti, G. Lubas, S. Paltrinieri, X. Roura, E. Zini, and A. Zatelli. 2010. Guidelines for prevention of leishmaniasis in dogs. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 236 (11): 120-1206.
- 3. Patra, P., S.K. Guha, A.K. Maji, P. Saha, S. Ganguly, A. Chakraborty, P. Kundu, S. Sarker, and K. Ray. 2012. Efficacy of oral miltefosine in visceral leishmaniasis in rural West Bengal, India. Indian Journal of Pharmacology 44 (4): 500-503.
- 4. Pavli, A. and H.C. Maltezou. 2010. Leishmaniasis, an emerging infection in travelers. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 14: 1032-1039.
- 5. Quinnell, R.J. and O. Courtenay. 2009. Transmission, reservoir hosts and control of zoonotic visceral leishmaniasis. Parasitology 136: 1915-34.
- 6. Savani, E.S.M.M., M.C.G.O. Camargo, M.R. de Carvalho, R.A. Zampieri, M.G. dos Santos, S.R.N. D'Auria, J.J. Shaw, L.M. Floeter-Winter. 2004. The first record in the Americas of an autochthonus case of *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) infantum chagasi in a domestic cat from Cotia County, São Paulo State, Brazil. Veterinary Parasitology 120: 229-33.
- 7. Schantz, P.M., F.J. Steurer, Z.H. Duprey, K.P Kurpel, S.C. Barr, J.E. Jackson, E.B. Breitschwerdt, M.G. Levy, and J.C. Fox. 2005. Autochthonous visceral leishmaniasis in dogs in North America. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 226 (8): 1316-1322.
- 8. Srivastava, P., A. Dayama, S. Mehrotra, S. Sundar. 2011. Diagnosis of visceral leishmaniasis. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 105: 1-6
- 9. Vercammen, F. 2009. Visceral leishmaniasis. EAZWV Transmissible Disease Fact Sheet No. 113.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **WEST NILE VIRUS**

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Avian	Primarily via mosquito	Range:	High fatality in	Supportive	Mosquito control;	Yes
Equids	bite, possibly ticks, less	asymptomatic	some avian	care,	avoiding	
Squirrels	efficient means include	to non-specific	species	immuno-	mosquito bites	
Other	ingestion of virus via	(e.g., anorexia,	(especially	globulin	(repellant,	
mammals,	infected carcasses or	weight loss,	corvids and	therapy	screens, clothing,	
Alligators	contaminated tissues or	dehydration)	some raptors);		staying indoors at	
	fluids (feces, urine, oral	to neurologic	10-30% of		dawn and dusk);	
	or cloacal secretions);	(e.g., ataxia,	equine clinical		vaccination	
	occupational exposure,	lethargy,	cases are fatal;		licensed for	
	blood transfusion,	paresis,	<1% of human		horses also used	
	organ transplant, and	paralysis,	cases are severe		extra-label in	
	maternal transmission	convulsions,	(i.e., West Nile		some birds	
		seizures,	neuroinvasive		(primarily	
T		death)	disease)		captive)	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Genevieve Vega Weaver

Sheet completed on: 15 January 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Heather Robertson

Susceptible animal groups: Changes in global climate, land-use, and biodiversity as well as potential virus evolution will continue to increase exposure as well as increasing the potential for disease in vulnerable, naïve species. Many avian species serve as amplifying hosts for WNV. American robins, house finches, house sparrows, and other species are considered high amplification hosts due to high proportions of WNV-positive mosquito blood meals. Several species of mammals (squirrels, chipmunks, and rabbits), a reptile (alligators), and an amphibian (lake frogs) are unlikely to serve as amplifying hosts for West Nile virus (WNV), as viremia titers are relatively low in these species as compared to birds, and the duration of infectious viremia is short (e.g., approximately 1 day). Most mammals are incidental (i.e., dead-end) hosts. Very young and old animals are likely most susceptible to adverse effects of infection.

Birds: WNV has been reported in at least 326 species of birds in North America and over 1,300 avian species worldwide. All bird species are likely susceptible to WNV infection, although most infections in most species are likely subclinical. North American birds that are of particularly high susceptibility to WNV-associated morbidity and mortality are the American crow as well as other corvids (e.g., blue jays, black- and yellowbilled magpies, fish crows, and others), and to a lesser extent, other passerine species (e.g., common grackles, house sparrows, house finches). Some competent mosquito vector species are ornithophilic and at least one study has shown that *Culex pipiens* preferentially feeds on raptor species in some situations (owls, eagles, falcons, hawks). Species of special concern due to apparently high rates of susceptibility and conservation status include California condors, Florida scrub jays, greater sage grouse, ruffed grouse, loggerhead shrike, and native Hawaiian birds. High rates of death were observed in free-ranging, juvenile American white pelicans in nesting colonies, captive lesser scaup ducklings, experimentally-infected and free-ranging greater sage grouse and experimentally-infected ruffed grouse. There is also concern over numerous raptor species, such as great horned owls and northern owl species; most observations come from rehabilitation facilities. Other birds have been documented with WNV infection, including flamingos, penguins, emus, wild turkeys, cormorants, bronzewinged ducks, sandhill cranes, common coots, red-legged partridges, and others. A variety of psittacine species housed in outdoor aviaries, many of which were of Australian origin, had clinical WNV disease. Reports of WNV disease and death in New World psittacines are relatively rare. Antibodies to WNV have been detected in a vast array of avian species, sometimes at high prevalences.

<u>Mammal</u>: WNV-associated disease in mammals is most severe in equids and can also be significant in squirrels. It has rarely been reported in alpacas, sheep, reindeer, harbor seals, Indian rhinoceroses, a polar bear,

WEST NILE VIRUS

a wolf and several domestic canids, a Barbary macaque, white-tailed deer and a killer whale. Antibodies to WNV have been detected in a variety of mammals including cattle, dogs, cats, goats, rabbits, raccoons, Virginia opossums, coyotes, striped skunks, bats, black bears, wild boar, red foxes and killer whales, indicating prior infection.

<u>Reptile and amphibian</u>: Some reptiles and amphibians are susceptible to experimental infection, including the American alligator, Nile crocodile, green iguana, crocodile monitor, garter snake, various chelonians, lake frog and North American bullfrog. Among these, alligators had clinical (neurologic) signs.

Causative organism: West Nile virus is a single-stranded, enveloped, RNA virus of the Japanese encephalitis antigenic group, genus *Flavivirus* and Family *Flaviviridae*. It is an arthropod-borne virus ("arbovirus") transmitted by mosquitoes. *Culex* spp. and *Aedes* spp. are primary vector species. *Culex pipiens*, in particular, is an important bridge vector from avian hosts to humans. There is one published study that suggests that WNV may be waterborne with at least one major outbreak (in bald eagles and eared grebes in Utah) caused by contaminated water and invertebrate prey.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Transmission to humans is predominantly via mosquito bite but risk is also present during handling tissues and fluids, as transmission can occur via inhalation, mucous membrane contact, open cuts and puncture wounds from a needle stick or contaminated equipment. Mask with face shield and gloves should be worn when handling suspect animals and bedding.

Distribution: Worldwide

Incubation period: Approximately 3-15 days in horses, 2-14 days in humans, and 4-14 days in birds. Some birds become detectably viremic by 1 day post-inoculation.

Clinical signs:

<u>People</u>: The fatality rate is approximately 4%. The majority of infected people are asymptomatic, although some have mild, non-specific symptoms ("West Nile fever," involving fever, headache, fatigue and/or myalgia/arthralgia; skin rash is also possible), and rarely (<1% of those diagnosed with WNV) experience severe, neurological symptoms ("West Nile neuroinvasive disease," involving encephalitis, meningitis, and/or paralysis), leading to death in geriatric patients. There is evidence that the human fatality rate may actually be higher than what is currently reported especially in people less than 60 years old. Complications, such as cognitive dysfunction, can develop many years later related to the initial WNV infection.

<u>Birds</u>: Clinical signs vary and can include depression, ruffled feathers, anorexia, dehydration, rapid weight loss, decreased activity to lethargy, torticollis, opisthotonos, nystagmus, ataxia, diarrhea, nasal discharge, drooping wings, labored breathing, and sudden death. Most affected birds deteriorate rapidly following the onset of clinical signs; however, there are several reports of captive birds exhibiting clinical signs for weeks or months. In some cases, WNV-infected raptors have had feather abnormalities, including stunted growth and pinched-off feathers (at the quill).

Equids: About 10% of infected horses develop clinical disease that may include anorexia, depression, ataxia, paresis, paralysis, teeth grinding, aimless wandering, convulsions, circling, tremors of facial and neck muscles, cranial nerve deficits, difficulty swallowing, hyperesthesia, apprehension, hyperexcitability, facial edema, coma, impaired vision, conjunctivitis, abdominal pain, colic, urinary dysfunction, fever, and head pressing. Injuries and secondary pulmonary infections due to prolonged recumbency can also occur. Horses that recover usually show improvement within 7 days of onset of signs. About 10-20 % of recovered horses have residual effects, such as neurologic deficits.

Squirrels: Head tilt, tremors, paralysis, and ataxia.

<u>Reptiles</u> (alligators): Anorexia, weakness, tremors, slow reflexes, heat tilt, anisocoria, opisthotonos, circling, and lymphohistiocytic proliferative cutaneous lesions.

Postmortem, gross, or histologic findings: Lesions are variable among species and there or no pathognomonic findings.

<u>Birds</u>: Gross lesions are often absent, but can be non-specific, including white-tan mottling or streaking of the myocardium, splenomegaly, congested cerebral vessels, and poor nutritional condition. Histologic lesions can

WEST NILE VIRUS

be minimal to severe, and can include lymphoplasmacytic myocarditis, encephalitis, ganglionitis, hepatitis, and nephritis and occasional adrenal gland and pancreatic necrosis. Vasculitis can also occur.

<u>Equids</u>: Gross lesions are usually absent. When gross lesions are present, they are most often in the CNS: submeningeal edema and hemorrhage of spinal cord, brain stem, and midbrain. Histologic findings: nonsuppurative encephalitis or encephalomyelitis.

<u>Squirrels</u>: No gross lesions are evident. Histologic findings may include lymphoplasmacytic encephalitis or meningoencephalitis with multifocal microglial nodules, perivascular and meningeal infiltrates of neutrophils, neuronal necrosis, and neuronophagia.

Other mammals: Few reports of gross lesions. Histologic lesions are similar to equids.

<u>Reptiles</u>: Fluid in coelomic cavity; mottled enlarged liver, spleen, and myocardium. Intracellular heterophilic infiltrates in epithelial cells and cellular necrosis.

Diagnosis

<u>Serology</u>: Increase in WNV-specific antibodies in acute and convalescent sera, IgM in CSF, or IgM in serum (suggestive). ELISA, with confirmation of results by plaque reduction neutralization test.

<u>Virus isolation</u>, or antigen or RNA detection: Infectious virus (virus isolation) or viral components (RT-PCR) can be detected in serum, CSF, homogenized tissues (brain, heart, kidneys and spleen), oral/cloacal swabs, and/or urine of some animals. The period in which virus can be detected in live animals is limited, and can be especially difficult in animals with low viremia titers (e.g., horses). RT-PCR can be more sensitive than virus isolation. Immunohistochemistry is most useful during active infection and in birds, viral antigen may be evident in kidney, heart, spleen, and to a lesser extent in other tissues such as brain, pancreas, liver, and intestine, and others.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Bodily fluids such as blood (centrifuged for separation of serum or plasma), CSF, urine, saliva, or swabs of body cavities (oropharyngeal or cloacal cavities, rectum), or tissues (heart, kidney, and spleen have been consistently useful for virus isolation and PCR testing in birds and can also be useful for immunohistochemistry [IHC] in birds); feather pulp, nonvascular feathers, brain, eye, spinal cord, liver, and others; tissues can be pooled to possibly increase sensitivity. Testing maggots from carcasses for RNA may be useful in decomposed birds.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Most state public health laboratories conduct WNV testing; however, virus isolation and plaque reduction neutralization tests are time and labor intensive and require BSL-3 laboratory conditions.

Arbovirus Diagnostic Laboratory, DRA

CDC/DVBID/ADB

3150 Rampart Road

Fort Collins, C0 80521

Phone: (970) 221-6445 http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/misc/arboviral_shipping.htm

Formalin-fixed specimens for immunohistochemistry:

Infectious Disease Pathology Activity

CDC (MS-G32)

1600 Clifton Rd, NE

Atlanta, GA 30333

Phone: 1-800-232-4636

National Wildlife Health Center, USGS

6006 Schroeder Road

Madison, Wisconsin 53711

Phone: (608) 270-2400 Fax: (608) 270-2415

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Manual **WEST NILE VIRUS**

Treatment: Supportive care (i.e., fluids, nutrition, heat or cold) can be provided with the goal of reducing CNS inflammation, preventing injuries, minimizing the effects of prolonged recumbency, and nursing the animal beyond the severe morbidity that can occur. Morbid birds and horses can have neurologic deficits that range from ataxia to paralysis and seizures, and therefore, padded caging may be necessary to prevent further injury. Long-term (i.e., up to several years) neurologic defects have been observed in some raptors following WNV infection. Immunoglobulin therapy has been used in horses (serum based, Novartis Animal Health and a plasma based product, Lake Immunogenics, Inc.). L-lysine supplement and homeopathic treatments have been used with some success in raptors. Mild cases may resolve without treatment.

Prevention and control: Mosquito control measures should be implemented: screened housing, fans, repellants (10% DEET), avoiding stagnant water, larvicides, and stocking mosquito fish in ponds. Insect repellants listed by the CDC as being EPA-registered and providing long-lasting protection include: DEET, picaridin, natural or synthetic oil of lemon eucalyptus and IR3535 (3-[N-Butyl-N-acetyl]-aminopropionic acid, ethyl ester). Isolation of infected individuals and quarantine of new animals is recommended. Avoid feeding potentially contaminated meat/carcasses.

Four vaccines were developed for use in horses: a killed vaccine (West Nile-Innovator® DNA vaccine, Fort Dodge Animal Health), a recombinant vaccine in a canarypox vector (Recombitek®, Merial Animal Health), a flavivirus chimera vaccine (Equi-Nile[™], Intervet), and a recombinant DNA plasmid-pCBWN (CDC/Fort Dodge Animal Health-not yet licensed). Many zoological facilities vaccinate equids and sensitive avian species with available vaccines. A hydrogen peroxide-inactivated whole virion WNV vaccine, HydroVax-001, for use in humans is currently in development.

Extra-label use of vaccines or use of vaccines that have not been adequately assessed in the target animal (i.e., controlled challenge studies) should be used with caution and not assumed to be protective. Numerous vaccines have been tested to various degrees in birds (some without challenge) with varied responses. Flamingoes failed to seroconvert after a single vaccination with the killed product. This vaccine provided some level of protection at a small dose in ruffed grouse; vaccinated grouse had no clinical disease, lower viremia titers and milder microscopic lesions than non-vaccinated grouse. A modified live vaccine was tested in domestic geese in Israel with 75-94% protection. The killed equine vaccine, DNA plasmid vaccine, and recombinant equine vaccine provided partial protection in island scrub jays. Some red-tailed hawks vaccinated with a DNA-plasmid vaccine had partial protection while American robins and California condors vaccinated with the same vaccine seroconverted. Results were variable among adult and juvenile thick-billed parrots vaccinated with the killed equine vaccine. Seroconversion occurred in some penguins following administration of DNA plasmid and killed vaccines. A DNA plasmid vaccine failed to protect greater sage grouse from mortality. Oral vaccines in fish crows were ineffective. The Recombitek vaccine was immunogenic in rhinos.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: As an enveloped virus, WNV does not persist for long periods in the environment. 70% ethanol and bleach are sufficient for general cleaning. Viricides such as Virkon® are highly effective when concern is high but can be damaging to skin and mucus membranes.

Notification: Certain states require veterinary cases to be reported to the state animal health authority.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Laboratory-confirmed positive cases in humans, horses, other mammals, birds and mosquitoes from across the United States are collected by ArboNET (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/westnile/usgs_frame.html). Equine cases are usually determined from passive reporting from private practitioners and diagnostic submissions.

Measures required for introducing virus to infected animals: WNV has been spread horizontally shortly after experimental inoculation in some birds that were housed in close captive quarters, as well as in the American alligator. Infected individuals should be isolated. Viremia usually wanes 5-10 days in birds and up to 14 days in alligators. However, experimental infection in hamsters resulted in urine viral shedding for over 300 days and infectious virus persisted in tissues of house sparrows for up to 43 days. Antibodies persist in some previously infected birds for years to life-long.

WEST NILE VIRUS

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: WNV is firmly established in avian and mosquito populations worldwide. The virus is endemic and transmission is reinitiated annually in the summer within temperate areas of North America and Europe. Therefore, animals housed outdoors in endemic or at-risk areas will be at a continual risk. Seasonal and climatic factors may precipitate outbreaks of disease in wildlife (i.e., wild birds) that may spillover into captive populations and humans. Proper disinfection of housing facilities and equipment after an outbreak is necessary.

Experts who may be consulted:

Nicole M. Nemeth, DVM, PhD, Dipl ACVP Assistant Professor and Wildlife Pathologist Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife Disease Study Departments of Population Health and Pathology University of Georgia Athens, GA 30602

- 1. Center for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. West Nile Virus [cited 2017 December 15]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/westnile/index.html
- 2. Center for Food Security & Public Health [Internet]. West Nile Virus Infection [cited 2017 December 15]. Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/west_nile_fever.pdf.
- 3. Nemeth NM. West Nile virus in raptors. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Fowler's Zoo and wild animal medicine: Current Therapy, Volume 7. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier Saunders; 2012. p. 329-335.
- 4. Nemeth NM, Oesterle PT. West Nile virus from an avian conservation perspective. Int Zoo Yb. 2014;48:101–115.
- 5. Travis D. West Nile virus in birds and mammals. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine Current Therapy, Volume 6. St. Louis (MO): Saunders; 2008. p. 2-9.

WESTERN EQUINE ENCEPHALITIS

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			and	
Affected					Control	
Mammals -	Bite of WEE-	Equids: Fever,	Mild to	No specific	Vaccination;	Yes,
Equids may show mild	infected	anorexia,	severe,	treatment but	mosquito	primarily
to severe clinical illness.	mosquito, may	lethargy,	may be	supportive	control is	by
Other mammals may be	also be	impaired	fatal	care, hydration,	important	mosquito,
inapparently infected or	transmitted by	vision,		and nutritional	for routine	less
show clinical illness.	ticks.	dysphagia,		support are	exposure.	frequently
Humans may experience		circling, head		important.		via tick
mild to severe disease.	Possibly from	pressing,		Anticonvulsant	Personal	
	direct contact	paresis,		and anti-	protective	
Birds- Emus, chukar	with infected	paralysis,		inflammatory	equipment	
partridges, pheasants,	tissues at	seizures		treatment may	when	
turkeys, and some other	necropsy (i.e.			be used.	handling	
avian species may show	through	Emus:			tissues and	
clinical illness. Native	broken skin or	asymptomatic			performing	
birds generally serve as	mucous	infections are			necropsies	
viral reservoirs without	membranes)	common;				
clinical illness		anorexia,				
		watery				
Reptiles- Inapparent		diarrhea,				
infection noted for		weight loss,				
snakes, tortoises, as well		abnormal neck				
as amphibians (frogs)		movements,				
		neurologic				
		signs				

Fact Sheet compiled by: Rose Borkowski Sheet completed on: updated 2 August 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Danelle Okeson, Sarah Cannizzo

Susceptible animal groups: Mammals (Equids), Birds (emus, other exotics, turkeys, pheasants)

Causative organism: Western Equine Encephalitis Virus, an Alphavirus, Family Togaviridae

Zoonotic potential: Yes, primarily via mosquito bite. Primary mosquito vector is *Culex tarsalis*, although *Aedes* sp. may also transmit; ticks (*Dermacentor andersoni*) can serve as vectors as well.

Distribution: Argentina to Canada. In the US, it generally occurs west of Mississippi River. Currently a rare disease of humans and horses in the US.

Incubation period: 5-14 days

Clinical signs:

<u>Animals</u>: <u>Equids</u> - Fever, anorexia, lethargy, impaired vision, difficulty swallowing, circling, head pressing, paresis, paralysis, and seizures may be seen and disease is potentially fatal. Clinical signs may be similar to other neurologic disease including rabies, necessitating cautious examination and appropriate protective equipment.

<u>Birds</u>: Emus have demonstrated watery diarrhea, weight loss, neurologic signs, and fatalities. A drop in egg production may occur in poultry. The potential for WEE to cause disease in other avian species, particularly nonnative birds, exists. WEE generally causes inapparent infection in native birds as virus naturally cycles between mosquitoes and several passerine species.

<u>Reptiles</u>: Positive serologic tests indicating exposure have been demonstrated in reptiles. The ability of the virus to cause clinical disease in captive or wild reptiles is incompletely understood.

WESTERN EQUINE ENCEPHALITIS

<u>Humans</u>: Fever, headache, stiff neck, disorientation, altered consciousness, coma, convulsions, and paralysis can be present, and death may occur. Infection is of particular concern for infants, elderly. Can have asymptomatic infections.

Primates: Theoretic concern for nonhuman primates as fatal human illness has occurred.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Gross lesions are usually nonspecific. Congestion of brain and meninges may be seen as well as ecchymotic hemorrhages due to antemortem trauma. Severe inflammation of gray matter, neuronal degeneration, gliosis, perivascular cuffing and hemorrhage.

Diagnosis: Serology is from paired samples for virus neutralization (Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test), and IgM determination. Complement fixation (CF) and hemagglutination inhibition (HI) can be used for identification as well. Vaccination history is essential for accurate interpretation of serologic tests. Molecular Diagnostics (PCR) and virus isolation on brain and other tissues are available - brain preferred in equids, although many tissues may demonstrate virus in emus. Diagnostic testing to exclude rabies virus infection is required for submitted brain tissue.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, Tissues (particularly brain. Note: rabies testing must be performed on brain tissue prior to submission of any additional brain samples from the same animal for WEE testing at National Veterinary Services Laboratory)

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

National Veterinary Services Laboratory

1920 Dayton Ave. Ames, IA 50010

Phone: (515) 337-7266 Fax: (515) 337-7397

Will test serum for Eastern Equine Encephalitis as well as WEE. If submitting brain, cerebrospinal fluid, or whole blood for virus isolation, the brain must be tested for rabies prior to submission.

 $\underline{https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/downloads/AmesDiagnosticTestingCatalog.pdf}$

Treatment: No specific treatment is available for this disease. Identification of neutralizing antibodies that may have therapeutic value has been recently investigated. Patient management includes hydration, nutritional support, anticonvulsant, and anti-inflammatory treatment.

Prevention and control: Vaccination of equids is an important means of prevention. Extra-label use of vaccination for emus and potentially other ratites has been implemented. Prevention of mosquito and tick bites via use of repellants, protective clothing, screens, and fans. Enclosure modification to reduce areas for mosquito access and breeding. Avoidance of outdoor exposure during times of day when mosquitoes are most active. As viral neurologic diseases such as arboviral encephalitides and rabies cannot be distinguished from one another clinically, and may cause death, it is imperative that proper sharps handling and use of personal protective equipment occur when working with infected animals or their tissues. Although WEE is not believed to be directly transmissible from horses to humans under usual circumstances, performance of necropsies on infected animals of any species, and handling of their tissues, blood, and cerebrospinal fluid may pose risk. Prevent aerosolization of virus and contact of infected tissues and fluids with skin and mucous membranes. Do not use mechanical saws to obtain spinal cord samples due to risk of aerosolization.

Additional recommendations for handling of potentially infected tissues include use of 3 pairs of gloves (inner layer disposable, middle layer waterproof, and outer layer of metal or Kevlar gloves), face shield or goggles plus a disposable "half mask" high efficiency particle arresting (HEPA) respirator.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: The virus cannot survive outside of the host. It is susceptible to bleach, most disinfectants, aldehydes, ethanol, moist and dry heat, as well as drying.

Notification: A reportable animal disease in some states, refer to individual state veterinary regulations. It also is a notifiable disease in humans – www.cdc.org.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

WESTERN EQUINE ENCEPHALITIS

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: WEE is not known to be transmissible between mammals, birds, reptiles, or people. Vaccination against WEE is prudent for equids, ratites and potentially other mammalian and avian species in endemic areas.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Susceptible animals should be vaccinated. Ensure that veterinary equipment used with infected animals is discarded or disinfected prior to use with disease-free animals. Continue preventive measures against mosquito breeding and biting. If mosquito numbers are excessive, reduction in mosquito population via aerial spraying of pesticides can be discussed with public health officials, and state or county mosquito control agents.

Experts who may be consulted:

CDC/Division of Vector Borne Diseases Arboviral Diseases Branch 3150 Rampart Road Foothills Campus Fort Collins, CO 80521 (970) 221-6400

- 1. Ayers JR, Lester TL, Angulo AB. An epizootic attributable to Western equine encephalitis in emus in Texas. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1994;205(04):600-601.
- 2. Blackmore C. West Nile Virus and other arboviral infections. In: Rabinowitz PM, Conti LA (eds.) Human-Animal Medicine, Clinical Approaches to Zoonoses, Toxicants and Other Shared Risks. Maryland Heights (MO): Saunders; 2010. p. 294 298.
- 3. The Center for Food Security & Public Health [Internet]. Eastern, Western and Venezuelan Equine Encephalomyelitis; 2015-2017 [cited 2018 August 2] Available from http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/easter_wester_venezuelan_equine_encephalomyelitis.pdf
- 4. Chapman GE, Baylis M, Archer D, Daly JM. The challenges posed by equine arboviruses. Equine Vet J. 2018;50(4) 436-445
- 5. Delfraro A, Burgueño A, Morel N, Gonzalez G, Garcia A, Morelli J, Perez W, Chiparelli H, Arbiza J. Fatal Human Case of Western Equine Encephalitis, Uruguay. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17(5):952-954
- 6. Hülseweh B, Rülker T, Pelat T, Langermann C, Frenzel A, Schirrmann T, Dübel S, Thullier P, Hust M. Human-like antibodies neutralizing Western equine encephalitis virus. MAbs. 2014;6(3):717-726.
- 7. Medici EP, Mangini PR, Fernandes-Santos RC. Health assessment of wild lowland tapir (Tapirus terrestris) populations in the Atlantic forest and Pantanal biomes, Brazil (1996-2012). J Wild Dis. 2014;50(4):817-828.
- 8. Randolph KD, Vanhooser SL, Hoffman M. Western equine encephalitis virus in emus in Oklahoma. J Vet Diagn Invest. 1994;6:492-493.
- 9. USDA-APHIS-VS-CEAH National Surveillance Unit [Internet]. Case Definition for Wester Equine Encephalitis; 2010 [cited 2018 August 2] Available from:

 http://www.aphis.usda.gov/vs/nahss/equine/ee/case_definition_western_equine_encephalitis_01_18_11

 .pdf

WHITE NOSE SYNDROME: Cutaneous Invasive Ascomycosis in Hybernating Bats

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Any	Aerosol, direct	Abnormal	North America:	Supportive	Biosecurity:	Not likely.
hibernating	contact,	hibernation	90-100%	care (warmth,	limit human	Pd is a
bat in a	environmental	activity (more	mortality in some	fluid & food	access to	psychrophill
WNS-	exposure	frequent	hibernacula.	supplement-	affected areas,	ic fungus;
affected area		arousal,	Population-wide	ation)	decontaminate	body
is considered		daytime flights	losses of some		clothing and	temperature
at risk for the		during winter,	species in the	Natural	equipment after	of humans is
disease		congregating at	northeastern US	recovery has	entering	above that
		or near cave	are >80% since	been	hibernacula or	conducive to
Microscopic		openings)	emergence of	documented	trapping bats in	growth of
lesions			WNS.	experimentall	affected areas,	Pd.
visible in		White mold	Recovery has	y and in wild,	biosecurity	
hibernating		(fungal hyphae)	been documented	banded bats	practices for	
bats in		on muzzle,	experimentally,	upon	handling	
Europe and China		wings, or both	and multi-year survival has been	emergence from	Pseudogymnoas cus destructans	
without mass		may be present	documented in	hibernation	(Pd) in	
		but <u>is neither</u>		Indernation	` '	
mortality		necessary nor	wild, banded bats.		laboratory (e.g. BSL-2)	
		specific for WNS	bats.		DSL-2)	
			Europe, Asia:		Reduce	
			Disease present		disturbance of	
			with little noted		hibernating bats:	
			morbidity or		restrict human	
			mortality		access to	
					hibernacula	

Fact Sheet compiled by: Michelle L. Verant and Carol U. Meteyer

Sheet completed on: 3 August 2011; updated 10 July 2013; updated 15 February 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: David Blehert, Anne Ballmann

Susceptible animal groups: Microchiropteran bats and primarily hibernating species. In North America, species confirmed with WNS include: little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*), tri-colored bat (*Perimyotis subflavus*), northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*), big brown bat (*Eptesicus fuscus*), eastern small-footed bat (*Myotis leibii*), Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), gray bat (*Myotis grisescens*), southeastern bat (*Myotis austroriparius*), yuma bat (*Myotis yumanensis*), western long-legged bat (*Myotis volans*) and cave bat (*Myotis velifer*). Species or subspecies that have been detected with *Pd* but no diagnostic signs of WNS include: eastern red bat (*Lasiurus borealis*), silver-haired bat (*Lasionycteris noctivagans*), cave bat (*Myotis velifer*), Rafinesque's big-eared bat (*Corynorhinus rafinesquii*), Virginia big-eared bat (*Corynorhinus townsendii virginianus*), Townsend's big-eared bat (*Corynorhinus townsendii*), western small-footed bat (*Myotis ciliolabrum*) and Mexican free-tailed bat (*Tadarida brasiliensis*). In Europe and Asia (Russia, Mongolia, and China), 14 species of bats have been confirmed with WNS and an additional seven species have been detected with *Pd* but no diagnostic signs of WNS. For updates on affected species, see www.whitenosesyndrome.org

Causative organism: Pseudogymnoascus (formerly Geomyces) destructans

Zoonotic potential: Not likely; psychrophilic character of fungus makes warm hosts unsuitable, although related *Geomyces* species have been known to rarely induce superficial infection of the skin and nails in humans.

Distribution: Since its first diagnosis in a New York cave in early 2007, WNS has continued its spread across eastern North America with newly affected sites identified annually. At this sheet completion, WNS has been

WHITE NOSE SYNDROME: Cutaneous Invasive Ascomycosis in Hybernating Bats

confirmed in hibernating bats in 32 states and 7 Canadian provinces. Additionally, *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* has been found in Mississippi, Texas and Wyoming without confirmation of disease to-date. The fungus remains viable in suitable underground environments year-round even in the absence of bats. Up-to-date distribution maps for North America can be found at: http://whitenosesyndrome.org/resources/map. The *Pd* fungus has also been found on bats or in caves across Europe and in Russia, Mongolia, and China, but without mass morbidity or mortality.

Incubation period: In the wild, WNS occurs seasonally with the earliest confirmed case in late September and peak infections and mortality occurring about 120 days after bats enter hibernation. Experimentally-induced infections result in epidermal pathology and mortality as early as 88 days post-infection.

Clinical signs: White-nose syndrome was named for the characteristic white fungal growth on the muzzles, pinnae, and wings of hibernating bats. However, this sign is not always apparent in bats with WNS, nor is it specific for the disease as other non-pathologic dermatophytes may have a similar appearance. Epidermal erosions and destruction of wing tissue by *Pd* cause disruptions in homeostasis resulting in dehydration, electrolyte imbalances and acid-base disturbances. Abnormal behaviors associated with WNS include increased frequency of arousal from torpor, movement to roosting areas near cave entrances or other exposed sites, and increased day flights from hibernacula during mid-winter. This increased activity likely contributes to premature depletion of fat reserves seen in infected individuals. Bats with WNS may present with obvious damage to wing membranes (increased fragility, decreased elasticity, irregular pigmentation, and tears or holes in the patagium) as they emerge from hibernation and become euthermic. Wing damage may increase over the first few weeks post-emergence due to an excessive inflammatory response, but these lesions can heal completely by mid-summer.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Visible white fungal material on the muzzle and wings often disappears when a bat is removed from the hibernaculum. Infected wings typically look normal during hibernation, but areas of 'contraction' or tears can be present and wing membrane may stick together when the wing is extended. Bats that die from WNS during hibernation often have reduced subcutaneous fat and when touched with a gloved finger during necropsy, exposed pectoral muscle may be tacky suggesting ante-mortem dehydration. Microscopic findings are characterized by dense aggregations of Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS)-positive hyphae eroding through epidermis forming distinctive 'cups' filled with fungus. Invasion may extend into the deeper connective tissue. Hyphae are often seen replacing adnexal structures, filling skin glands and follicles. Curved conidia may be present on the surface of infected skin. Cellular inflammation is usually not present during hibernation but can become intense following emergence from hibernation as the bat becomes active and euthermic.

Diagnosis: Although gross lesions can be suggestive of WNS, confirmation of WNS requires histopathologic visualization of lesions (cupping erosion of dermis) with PAS stain and confirmation of presence of *Pd* by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of wing tissue or a swab sample from the wing. Molecular detection of *Pd* has also been demonstrated in guano collected from bats. Alternatively, *Pd* may be cultured from samples using fungal media (Sabouraud dextrose agar or dextrose-peptone-yeast extract agar) and incubated at cold temperatures (approximately 5 - 10 °C) for six weeks until calling the culture negative. Curved conidia produced by *Pd* are morphologically distinct from other fungi generally found on bats, but molecular identification of the isolate by PCR is necessary for definitive confirmation. Biopsies of wing tissue can be taken as non-lethal samples (*vide infra*) for histological examination, but should be guided by visible signs to increase sensitivity. Ultraviolet light can be used as a screening tool to assist with targeted specimen selection in the field; the cupping dermal erosions have been associated with fluorescence under long-wave (368-385 nm) UVA light. The unknown specificity of UV fluorescence precludes this technique from being diagnostic.

Material required for laboratory analysis:

Non-lethal swab samples of the wing skin surface can be collected to test for the presence of *Pd*. However, confirmation of WNS requires histopathological examination of skin. Skin tissue from the wing and/or muzzle

WHITE NOSE SYNDROME: Cutaneous Invasive Ascomycosis in Hybernating Bats

can be submitted for PCR analysis, fungal culture, and histopathology (PAS stain). Areas submitted should preferably demonstrate white fungal growth or abnormal appearance. The use of long-wave UVA light can aid in identifying areas likely to be affected, particularly when non-lethal sampling is desired. A 3-5 mm biopsy of wing tissue may be submitted for histopathology if analysis of a whole carcass is not available or otherwise not an option. Although tape impressions of fungal growth on bats can be mounted on glass slides to search for conidia characteristic of *Pd*, suggestive samples should be confirmed by PCR and histopathology.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

Samples known or suspected to harbor viable *Pd* should, at minimum, be handled in a biosafety cabinet in a Biosafety Level-2 laboratory. Guidelines for decontamination of personal and equipment should be followed. http://whitenosesyndrome.org/topics/decontamination

Treatment and Management: At this time, the only effective treatment for WNS is supportive care of homeothermic bats. Natural recovery of free-ranging bats that survive infection during hibernation and subsequently clear all signs of disease has been documented. However, in wild free-flying bats, wing damage may prevent successful foraging, causing additional mortality. Disease management options are still in the discovery phase, including use of vaccination, antifungal compounds, ultraviolet light and biologic control. Although there has been some demonstration of effectiveness against *Pd* in the laboratory, safety, efficacy and transferability of this research to wild bats, as well as potential ecological impacts of these management actions, have not been determined. At this time, improving survival of bats outside of hibernation is a management action directed at population recovery in the face of WNS.

Prevention and control: Current prevention and control strategies focus on biosecurity and restricting access to hibernacula (primarily caves and mines) to limit movement of people and contaminated equipment between hibernacula and other sites used by bats. To support this effort, a national cave access advisory and standardized decontamination protocols have been developed (see www.whitenosesyndrome.org). Other studies assessing the utility of artificial hibernacula, chemical and biocontrol agents, and vaccination are currently in progress.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: To minimize the spread of *Pd*, decontamination protocols should be followed whenever moving bats or equipment that may have been exposed to *Pd* or contaminated environments (see http://whitenosesyndrome.org/topics/decontamination). Biosecurity and decontamination procedures should also be implemented for rehabilitation facilities to limit spread of *Pd* between individuals and geographic areas following release of bats back into the wild. To date, there are no disinfection methods that are considered safe and effective for natural hibernacula.

Notification: At this time, notification of WNS or detection of the *Pd* fungus is voluntary. Reports of WNS observations can be sent to the state wildlife resources agency, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, or the USGS National Wildlife Health Center. Instructions for reporting mortality events to the USGS can be found here: http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/mortality_events/reporting.jsp. For inclusion of information on the WNS Occurrence Map (https://www.whitenosesyndrome.org/resources/map), report updates to: GS_wnsmap@usgs.gov

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: There are no national requirements. See section above (Notification) for recommendations for reporting pathogen detections and disease observations. (see Bat Submission Guidelines:

http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/disease_information/white-nose_syndrome/

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: None. Underground sites where *Pd* has been detected are considered permanently contaminated until an effective environmental treatment method is identified.

WHITE NOSE SYNDROME: Cutaneous Invasive Ascomycosis in Hybernating Bats

Experts who may be consulted:

Jeremy Coleman

National WNS Coordinator (USFWS)

Phone: 413-253-8223

Jeremy_Coleman@fws.gov

USGS National Wildlife Health Center

6006 Schroeder Road Madison, WI 53711-6223 Phone: 608, 270, 2400

Phone: 608-270-2400 Fax: 608-270-2415

Anne Ballmann: aballmann@usgs.gov David Blehert: dblehert@usgs.gov

References:

An updated full WNS bibliography can be found at: https://www.whitenosesyndrome.org/wns-bibliography

- 1. Ballmann AE, Torkelson MR, Bohuski EA, Russell RE, Blehert DS. Dispersal hazards of *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* by bats and human activity at hibernacula in summer. J Wildl Dis. 2017;53: 725-735.
- 2. Blehert DS, Hicks AC, Behr MJ, Meteyer CU, Berlowski-Zier BM, Buckles EL, Coleman JTH, Darling SR, Gargas A, Niver R, Okoniewski JC, Rudd RJ, Stone WB. Bat white-nose syndrome: an emerging fungal pathogen? Science. 2009;323: 227.
- 3. Cheng TL, Mayberry H, McGuire LP, J.R. Hoyt, Langwig KE, Nguyen H, Parise KL, Foster JT, Willis CKR, Kilpatrick AM, Frick WF. Efficacy of a probiotic bacterium to treat bats affected by the disease whitenose syndrome. J Appl Ecol. 2016;54:701-708.
- 4. Cornelison C, Keel M, Gabriel K, Barlament C, Tucker T, Pierce G, Crow Jr. A. A preliminary report on the contact-independent antagonism of *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* by *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* strain DAP96253. BMC Microbiol. 2014;14:1-7.
- 5. Cryan PM, Meteyer CU, Boyles JG, Blehert DS. Wing pathology of white-nose syndrome in bats suggests life-threatening disruption of physiology. BMC Biology 2010;8:135.
- 6. Drees KP, Lorch JM, Puechmaille SJ, Parise KL, Wibbelt G, Hoyt JR, Sun K, Jargalsaikhan A, Dalannast M, Palmer JM, Lindner DL, Kilpatrick AM, Pearson T, Keim PS, Blehert DS, Foster JT. 2017. Phylogenetics of a fungal invasion: Origins and widespread dispersal of white-nose syndrome. mBio 2017;8:e01941-17. Available from doi:10.1128/mBio.01941-17
- 7. Frick WF, Puechmaille SJ, Hoyt JR, Nicke BA, Langwig KE, Foster JT. Disease alters macroecological patterns of North American bats. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 2015;24(7):741-9.
- 8. Fuller NW, Reichard JD, Nabhan ML, Fellows SR, Pepin LC, Kunz TH. Free-ranging little brown myotis (*Myotis lucifugus*) heal from wing damage associated with white-nose syndrome. EcoHealth 2011;8:154-162.
- 9. Gargas A, Trest MT, Christensen M, Volk TJ, and Blehert DS. *Geomyces destructans* sp. nov. associated with bat white-nose syndrome. Mycotaxon. 2009;108:147-154.
- 10. Hoyt JR, Sun K, Parise KL, Lu G, Langwig KE, Jiang T, Yang S, Frick WF, Kilpatrick AM, Foster JT, Feng J. Widespread bat white-nose syndrome fungus, northeastern China. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22:140-2.
- 11. Langwig KE, Frick WF, Hoyt JR, Parise KL, Drees KP, Kunz TH, Foster JT, Kilpatrick AM. Drivers of variation in species impacts for a multi-host fungal disease of bats. Phil Trans R Soc B. 2016;371(1709):20150456.
- 12. Langwig KE, Frick WF, Reynolds R, Parise KL, Drees KP, Hoyt JR, Cheng TL, Kunz TH, Foster JT, Kilpatrick AM. Host and pathogen ecology drive the seasonal dynamics of a fungal disease, white-nose

WHITE NOSE SYNDROME: Cutaneous Invasive Ascomycosis in Hybernating Bats

syndrome. Proc Biol Sci. 2015;282: 20142335.

- 13. Lorch JM, Lindner DL, Gargas A, Muller LK, Minnis AM, Blehert DS. A culture-based survey of fungi in soil from bat hibernacula in the eastern United States and its implications for detection of *Geomyces destructans*, the causal agent of bat white-nose syndrome. Mycologia. 2013;105:237-52.
- 14. Lorch JM, Meteyer CU, Behr MJ, Boyles JG, Cryan PM, Hicks AC, Ballmann AE, Coleman JTH, Redell D, Reeder DM, Blehert DS. Experimental infection of bats with *Geomyces destructans* causes white-nose syndrome. Nature. 2011;480:376-8.
- 15. Lorch JM, Minnis AM, Meteyer CU, Redell JA, White JP, Kaarakka HM, Muller LK, Lindner DL, Verant ML, Shearn-Bochsler V, Blehert DS. The fungus *Trichophyton redellii* sp. nov. causes skin infections that resemble white-nose syndrome of hibernating bats. J Wildl Dis. 2015;51:36-47.
- 16. Lorch JM, Muller LK, Russell RE, O'Connor M, Lindner DL, Blehert DS. Distribution and environmental persistence of the causative agent of white-nose syndrome, Geomyces destructans, in bat hibernacula of the Eastern United States. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013;79:1293-301.
- 17. Maslo B, Valent M, Gumbs JF, Frick WF. Conservation implications of ameliorating survival of little brown bats with white-nose syndrome. Ecol Appl. 2015;25:1832-40.
- 18. Meteyer CU, Barber D, Mandl JN. Pathology in euthermic bats with white-nose syndrome suggests a natural manifestation of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome. Virulence 2012; 3(7):583-8.
- 19. Meteyer CU, Buckles EL, Blehert DS, Hicks AC, Green DE, Shearn-Bochsler V, Thomas NJ, Gargas A, Behr MJ. Histopathologic criteria to confirm white-nose syndrome in bats. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2009;21:411-4.
- 20. Meteyer CU, Valent M, Kashmer J, Buckles EL, Lorch JM, Blehert DS, Lollar A, Berndt D, Wheeler E, White CL, Ballmann AE. 2011. Recovery of little brown bats (*Myotis lucifugus*) from natural infection with *Geomyces destructans*, white-nose syndrome. J Wildl Dis. 2011;47:618-26.
- 21. Minnis AM, Lindner DL. Phylogenetic evaluation of *Geomyces* and allies reveals no close relatives of *Pseudogymnoascus destructans*, comb. nov., in bat hibernacula of eastern North America. Fungal Biology 2013;117:638-649.
- 22. O'Shea TJ, Cryan PM, Hayman DTS, Plowright RK, Streicker DG. Multiple mortality events in bats: a global review. Mammal Rev. 2016;46:175-190.
- 23. Palmer JM, Drees KP, Foster JT, Lindner DL. Extreme sensitivity to ultraviolet light in the fungal pathogen causing white-nose syndrome of bats. Nat Commun. 2018;9:35.
- 24. Puechmaille SJ, Wibbelt G, Korn V, Fuller H, Forget F, Muehldorfer K, Kurth A, Bogdanowicz W, Borel C, Bosch T, Cherezy T, Drebet M, Goerfoel T, Haarsma AJ, Herhaus F, Hallart G, Hammer M, Jungmann C, Le Bris Y, Lutsar L, Masing M, Mulkens B, Passior K, Starrach M, Wojtaszewski A, Zoephel U, Teeling EC. Pan-European distribution of white-nose syndrome fungus (*Geomyces destructans*) not associated with mass mortality. PLoS One. 2011;6(4):e19167.
- 25. Reeder DM, Frank CL, Turner GG, Meteyer CU, Kurta A, Britzke ER. Frequent arousal from hibernation linked to severity of infection and mortality in bats with white-nose syndrome. PLoS One. 2012;7(6):e38920.
- 26. Reichard JD, Fuller NW, Bennett AB, Darling SR, Moore MS, Langwig KE. Interannual survival of *Myotis lucifugus* (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) near the epicenter of white-nose syndrome. Northeast Nat. 2014;21(4):N56.
- 27. Reichard JD, Kunz TH. White-nose syndrome inflicts lasting injuries to the wings of little brown myotis (*Myotis lucifugus*). Acta Chiropterol. 2009;11:457-464.
- 28. Russell RE, Thogmartin WE, Erickson RA, Szymanski J, Tinsley K. Estimating the short-term recovery potential of little brown bats in the eastern United States in the face of white-nose syndrome. Ecol Modell. 2015;314:111-7.
- 29. Turner GG, Meteyer CU, Barton H, Gumbs JF, Reeder DM, Overton B. Nonlethal screening of bat-wing skin with the use of ultraviolet fluorescence to detect lesions indicative of white-nose syndrome. J Wildl Dis. 2014;50(3):563-77.
- 30. Turner GG, Reeder DM, Coleman JC. A five-year assessment of mortality and geographic spread of white-

WHITE NOSE SYNDROME: Cutaneous Invasive Ascomycosis in Hybernating Bats

nose syndrome in North American bats and a look to the future. Bat Res News. 2011;52:13-27.

- 31. Vanderwolf KJ, Malloch D, McAlpine DF. Detecting viable Pseudogymnoascus destructans (Ascomycota: Pseuduerotiaceae) from walls of bat hibernacula: effect of culture media. J Cave Karst Stud. 2016;78:158-162.
- 32. Verant ML, Boyles JG, Waldrep Jr W, Wibbelt G, Blehert DS. Temperature-dependent growth of *Geomyces destructans*, the fungus that causes bat white-nose syndrome. PloS One. 2012;7:e46280.
- 33. Verant ML, Meteyer CU, Speakman JR, Cryan PM, Lorch JM, Blehert DS. White-nose syndrome initiates a cascade of physiologic disturbances in the hibernating bat host. BMC Physiol. 2014;14(1):10.
- 34. Verant ML, Minnis AM, Lindner DL, Blehert DS. Geomyces and Pseudogymnoascus: Emergence of a primary pathogen, the causative agent of bat white-nose syndrome. In. The Fungal Community: Its Organization and Role in the Ecosystem, Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2017. p. 405-415.
- 35. Warnecke L, Turner JM, Bollinger TK, Lorch JM, MisraV, Cryan PM, Wibbelt G, Blehert DS, and Willis CKR. 2012. Inoculation of bats with European *Geomyces destructans* supports the novel pathogen hypothesis for the origin of white-nose syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109:6999-7003.
- 36. Warnecke L, Turner JM, Bollinger TK, Misra V, Cryan PM, Blehert DS, Wibbelt G, Willis CKR. Pathophysiology of white-nose syndrome in bats: a mechanistic model linking wing damage to mortality. Biol Lett. 2013;9: 20130177.
- 37. Wilcox A, Willis CKR. Energetic benefits of enhanced summer roosting habitat for little brown bats (*Myotis lucifugus*) recovering from white-nose syndrome. Conserv Physiol. 2016;4(1).

YABAPOXVIRUS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Primates, including human	Accidental inoculation, insect vectors, body fluids, wounds. Humans infected via skin wounds.	Benign growths on the face and limbs (histiocytoma) which may reach several cm in diameter	Mild to severe	Supportive. Usually spontaneous regression in 3-6 weeks	Careful handling of nonhuman primates. Disinfection of fomites and vector control.	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: E. Marie Rush

Sheet completed on: 3 December 2010; 25 March 2013; May 1 2018

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Marc Valitutto

Susceptible animal groups: Primates, human and non-human

Causative organism: Yabapoxvirus (genus Yatapoxviridae)

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Western Africa (originated in Yaba, Nigeria)

Incubation period: Unknown, but clinical signs can appear within days of inoculation

Clinical signs: In non-human primates, subcutaneous tumors begin as small erythematous areas, but can quickly proliferate once the histiocytes become infected. The infected animal develops a high titer during tumor growth, and regression of the tumor is likely caused by *in vivo* cytopathic effects of virus. Signs in humans are similar to nonhuman primates. Lesions typically regress spontaneously within 3-6 weeks. Pruritus may accompany lesions. This disease is different from Yaba-like disease virus, which is in the same genus *Yatapoxviridae*.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Grossly apparently subcutaneous tumors that when biopsied, show large pleomorphic histocytic cells loosely arranged in a vascular network.

Diagnosis: History of direct or indirect contact with non-human primates or transport from and travel to west Africa, ELISA, PCR, histopathology of tumors, EM

Material required for laboratory analysis: Serum, tissue for histopathology or EM.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: This is an uncommon disease, but has been noted in North American collections. Most laboratories that process non-human primate samples can either run the PCR for this virus or can direct personnel accordingly to an appropriate laboratory facility for testing of samples. Histopathology and EM can be done at most laboratories that normally process tissues and have the capabilities for these procedures.

Treatment: Supportive – spontaneous resolution usually in ~3-6 weeks

Prevention and control: Avoid contact with primates that have had potential exposure. Proper quarantine and testing of animals with history of exposure or recent shipment from west Africa. Humans should keep all skin wounds cleaned, bandaged and covered when working with non-human primates. Thorough disinfection of all potential fomites in housing areas for primates in collections and protection of animal care staff through education and proper clothing and protective wear (gloves, long sleeves). Vector control.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: Detergents, hypochlorite, alkalis, Virkon® and glutaraldehyde.

Notification: Public health officials may need to be notified if zoonotic transmission occurs, depending on the state.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Currently none

YABAPOXVIRUS

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Do not introduce animals with clinical disease (active or resolving pustules/lesions) to non-infected or new animals. Allow resolution of all lesions completely prior to introduction and follow proper quarantine measures for individual facility.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Condition typically spontaneously resolves within weeks with supportive care. Treatment of any secondary infections should assist in wound healing. Immunosuppressed animals may be more susceptible to infection and secondary disease and complications. Proper disinfection of animal area and fomites should be done following an outbreak or care of an infected animal prior to housing new animals in the area.

Experts who may be consulted:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Poxvirus and Rabies Branch, Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology 1600 Clifton Rd

Atlanta, GA 30333

800-CDC-INFO

- 1. Brunetti CR, Amano H, Ueda Y, Qin J, Miyamura T, Suzuki T, Li X, Barrett JW, McFadden G. Complete genomic sequence and comparative analysis of the tumorigenic poxvirus Yaba monkey tumor virus. J Virol. 2003;77:13335-13347.
- 2. Downie AW, Espana C. A Comparative Study of Tanapox and Yaba Viruses. J Gen Virol. 1973;19:37-49.
- 3. Downie AW, Espana C. Comparison of Tanapox virus and Yaba-like viruses causing epidemic disease in monkeys. Epidemiol Infect. 1972 70:23-32.
- 4. International Veterinary Information Service [Internet]. Contageous Ecthyma; [cited 2013 July 18]. Available from: http://www.ivis.org/advances/Disease_Factsheets/contagious_ecthyma.pdf.
- 5. Joslin J. Other primates excluding great apes. In: Fowler, M.E., and R.E. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 5th ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2003. p.346-381.
- 6. USAF [Internet]. Zoonotic Diseases, Viral Diseases Yabapox; 2006 [cited 2013 July 18]. Available from: http://www.phsource.us/PH/ZD/VD/Yabapox.htm
- 7. Zimmermann P, Thordsen I, Frangoulidis D, Meyer H. Real-time PCR assay for the detection of tanapox virus and yaba-like disease virus. J Virol Method. 2005;130:149-153.

YELLOW FEVER

Animal	Transmission	Clinical	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and	Zoonotic
Group(s)		Signs			Control	
Affected						
Non-human primates; humans	Mosquito bites	Bleeding diathesis, fever, hepatopathy, death	Mild to severe to fatal.	Supportive	Mosquito control, vaccination	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Ellen Wiedner

Sheet completed on: 11 November 2010; updated 1 March 2013 **Fact Sheet Reviewed by**: Jim Wellehan; Alan Barrett; Ramiro Isaza

Susceptible animal groups:

Africa: Colobus, Cercopithecus, Cercocebus, Papio, Galago, Pan

South America: Alouatta, Aotus, Saguinus, Ateles, Callicebus, Cebus, Saimiri

Causative organism: Family Flaviviridae, Genus *Flavivirus* at least 7 genotypes. Mosquito genera vectors include *Aedes*, *Haemagogus*, *and Sabethes*.

Zoonotic potential: Yes. Sylvatic cycle has monkey reservoir; transmission to humans occurs when virus-infected mosquito bites a person. Urban cycle involves man and mosquitoes only.

Distribution: Disease has been eliminated in North America and Europe but it still occurs in tropical South America, Caribbean, and Sub-Saharan Africa.

Incubation period: In humans, 3-6 days; in monkeys, 2-3 days.

Clinical signs:

New World monkeys: fever, leukopenia, death

<u>Old World monkeys</u>: none, except in *Galago* which has high mortality rate and may show signs as in New World monkeys. In *Galago*, serum may turn green for 2 to 5 days during period of viremia.

<u>Humans</u>: variable ranging from mild and self-limiting febrile disease to severe hepatitis to fulminant hemorrhagic fever. In humans, mortality rate from up to 50%.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings:

New world monkeys: bleeding diathesis, shock, severe hepatocellular necrosis

Diagnosis: Serology: paired serum titers showing four-fold increase in IgG or presence of yellow fever specific IgM. Isolation of virus in tissues, particularly liver, can be performed or PCR identification of viral genome in blood or tissues. Immunohistochemical detection of viral antigen in tissues is possible.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Liver, other organ tissues, whole blood, serum

Relevant diagnostic laboratories:

CDC Arbovirus Diagnostic Laboratory. For details and contact information, refer to:

http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/misc/arboviral_shipping.htm

Treatment: Symptomatic, including fluids, anti-inflammatories, and blood transfusions. Ribavirin has been used in some cases.

Prevention and control: Vaccination is recommended for travelers and for personnel in face of outbreak. (Specific documentation required for movement into and between yellow fever endemic countries per International Health Regulations guidelines). Yellow fever 17D vaccine is a live attenuated vaccine. Mosquito control necessary in primate facilities.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities Mosquito control required.

Notification: As eliminated, it is a reportable disease and state health department should be contacted. All yellow fever cases must be reported to WHO within 24 hours of confirmation.

YELLOW FEVER

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None.

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: The disease is arthropod borne. However, infected animals can infect mosquitoes and contribute to the transmission cycle. Thus, insect control is essential. Experimentally, contact with contaminated blood can infect some primate species, so do not introduce animals to each other when they are clinically sick.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak:: Outbreak control requires elimination of infected mosquitoes and their larvae.

Experts who may be consulted

Centers for Disease Control & Prevention Division of Vector-Borne Diseases Arboviral Diseases Branch 3156 Rampart Road Ft. Collins, CO 80521 (970) 221-6400

World Health Organization Department of Pandemic and Epidemic Diseases Avenue Appia 20 1211 Geneva 27 Switzerland

http://www.who.int/csr/disease/en/

- 1. Beeching, N.J., T.E. Fletcher, D.R. Hill, and G.L. Ghomson. 2010. Travelers and viral haemorrhagic fevers: what are the risks? Intl. J. Antimicrob. Agents 365: 526-535.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control. 2007. Yellow fever fact sheet. National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases.
- 3. Holzmann, I., I. Agostini, J.I. Areta, H. Ferreyra, P. Beldomenico, and M.S. Di Bitetti. 2010. Impact of yellow fever outbreaks on two howler monkey species (*Alouatta guariba clamitans* and *A. caraya*) in Misiones, Argentina. Am. J. Primat. 72: 475-480.
- 4. Kalter, S.S. 1989. Infectious diseases of nonhuman primates in a zoo setting. Zoo Biol 8: 61-76.
- 5. Monath, T.P. 2008. Treatment of yellow fever: review. Antiviral Res. 78: 116-124.
- 6. Pastorino, B., A. Nougairede, N. Wurtz, E. Gould, and X. de Lamballerie. 2010. Review: role of host cell factors in flavivirus infection: implications for pathogenesis and development of antiviral drugs. Antiviral Res. 87: 281-294.
- 7. Smithburn, K.C., and A.J. Haddow. 1949. The susceptibility of African wild animals to Yellow Fever: I. Monkeys. Am. J. Trop. Med. S1-29: 389-423.
- 8. World Health Organization. 2003. Yellow fever. *In*: WHO-recommended standards for surveillance of selected vaccine-preventable diseases. World Health Organization, Geneva. Pp. 40-44.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Committee Manual 2013 **PLAGUE** (*Yersinia pestis*)

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Rodents, human and non-human primates, felids, mustelids, lagomorphs	1) Vector-borne >30 species of fleas, possibly lice and ticks 2) Aerosol 3) Direct contact with infected tissues, bite from infected animal 4) Oral -ingestion of infected tissue or feces 5) Fomites	Peracute mortality without signs; swelling, abscess and hemorrhage at inoculation site; lymphadenopathy; lethargy; fever Three classic forms in humans 1) Bubonic 2) Septicemic 3) Pneumonic	Subclinical in resistant species, 80-100% mortality in others	Streptomycin, gentamicin, tetracyclines, ciprofloxacin, sulfonamides	Flea and rodent control program; quarantine prairie dogs and other rodents; personal protective equipment	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Rae Gandolf

Sheet completed on: 1 January 2011; updated 1 November 2012

Fact Sheet Reviewed by: Tonie E. Rocke, Mark Drew, Genevieve Vega Weaver

Susceptible animal groups: > 200 different species of mammals including humans, rodents, felids, and black-footed ferrets. Between 30 and 40 rodent species are considered important as reservoir hosts. In the literature, susceptible species have commonly been grouped into four categories: (1) enzootic hosts (California voles, deer mice, grasshopper mice) (2) epizootic hosts (prairie dogs, ground squirrels) (3) resistant non-rodent hosts (coyotes, badgers, domestic dogs, ungulates) and (4) susceptible non-rodent hosts (bobcats, mountain lions, Canada lynx, black-footed ferrets, lagomorphs, primates including humans, domestic cats). More recently, however, the distinction between enzootic and epizootic host species has become less clear; it appears that both cycles can occur in the same species.

Causative organism: *Yersinia pestis* is a small, non-spore forming Gram-negative facultative anaerobic coccobacilli in the Enterobacteriaceae family consisting of one serotype that is divided into four biovars: Antiqua, Medievalis, Orientalis, and Microtus.

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Primary disease concerns: Urban human plague pandemics may occur; sylvatic plague is a major threat to black-footed ferret and prairie dog populations; felids (domestic cats, Canada lynx) are susceptible; they can develop a highly contagious form of the disease (pneumonic plague) and can further represent a health threat to people who come in contact with them.

Distribution: *Y. pestis* has a patchy global distribution in semi-arid regions of Africa, Middle East, Asia, and South America. In North America, it occurs in the western one third of the continent from Canada to Mexico. Plague is also divided into two epidemiologic forms: sylvatic and urban.

Incubation period: 1-6 days in humans; 1-4 days in felids; 3-7 days in black-footed ferrets

Clinical signs: Rodent species, such as prairie dogs, frequently present with peracute mortality and without

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Committee Manual 2013 **PLAGUE** (*Yersinia pestis*)

demonstrating signs of disease. In all animal species affected, swelling and hemorrhage can develop at the inoculation site and progress to abscessation. Other signs may include fever, depression and lymphadenopathy. In resistant species, such as canids and some rodents, infection may be subclinical or mild. Wild ungulates (mule deer and the black-tailed deer) have been reported to acquire ocular plague characterized by keratoconjunctivitis, endophthalmitis and panophthalmitis.

In humans, there are three classic forms of plague: bubonic, septicemic, and pneumonic. Similar signs may be seen in animals, although this terminology is generally restricted to human and felid cases:

- 1) <u>Bubonic</u>: fever, anorexia, lethargy, lymphadenopathy, draining lymph nodes, abscesses, cellulitis, oral ulceration, vomiting, diarrhea, ocular discharge, dehydration, and weight loss. If acquired via ingestion, severe pharyngitis and tonsillitis can occur. If not treated, this form can progress to the septicemic or pneumonic form.
- 2) <u>Septicemic</u>: shock, DIC, respiratory distress due to secondary pneumonia. No obvious involvement of the lymph nodes in primary septicemic plague may be seen but the other signs of bubonic plague may be present.
- 3) <u>Pneumonic</u>: dyspnea, hemoptysis, cough, neurologic signs. This form can occur via primary inhalation of the organism or following blood-borne dissemination to the lungs from bubonic or septicemic plague.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: Lesions are variable depending on host susceptibility and route of infection, and may include: large numbers of the organism in lesions; necrotic foci in liver, spleen, lungs, and other internal organs; hepatomegaly and splenomegaly; enlarged, hemorrhagic and necrotic lymph nodes; soft tissue abscesses with cellulitis; hemorrhagic gastritis and colitis; interstitial pneumonia, pulmonary edema, and pulmonary hemorrhage; keratoconjunctivitis, panophthalmitis, and endophthalmitis; subcutaneous vascular hemorrhage.

Diagnosis: Presumptive diagnosis can be made by identifying the characteristic organism in stained samples of lymph node aspirates or draining lesions. *Yersinia pestis* has a bipolar or safety pin-like staining pattern with Wright-Giemsa or Wayson stain and will be positive with an immune-fluorescence stain for the presence of *Y. pestis* F1 antigen. Definitive diagnosis is made by *Y. pestis* isolation, rapid immunoassays, PCR, and paired sera demonstrating a four- fold titer increase to *Y. pestis* F1 antigen using agglutination testing. Differentials include bacterial infections such as *Pasteurella, Franciella tularensis*, *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, and *Y. enterocolitica*.

Material required for laboratory analysis: Blood, nasal/oral swabs, lymph node aspirates, swabs of draining lesions, transtracheal aspirates, tissue samples from liver, spleen, lungs, and lymph nodes

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Plague diagnosis should be conducted by state public health laboratories or the CDC under Biosafety level-2 practices. Contact the laboratory before collecting samples.

Treatment: Prompt treatment within 24 hours is necessary for survival from pneumonic plague. *Yersinia pestis* is susceptible to streptomycin, fluoroquinolones, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and tetracyclines. Personal protective gear consisting of gown, gloves, surgical mask/respirator, and eye protection is important to prevent transmission when treating affected animals. Clinical cases should also be given a flea treatment.

Prevention and control:

- Close parks and campgrounds during plague outbreaks to prevent transmission to humans from rodents.
- Quarantine any wild caught rodents, including prairie dogs, for at least two weeks and treat all animals
 with an insecticide. Flea and rodent control programs are critical in facilities that are located in plague
 endemic regions.
- Insecticides like deltamethrin and flea growth regulators like pyriproxyfen can be sprayed into prairie dog burrows to control flea populations to slow or stop outbreaks.
- Private ownership of prairie dogs is restricted or prohibited in some states in the U.S. Interstate shipment in

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Committee Manual 2013 **PLAGUE** (*Yersinia pestis*)

the U.S. is regulated by the Center for Disease Control.

- Personal protective gear should be used when handling any potential cases including during post-mortem examinations.
- An F1-V fusion protein vaccine for subcutaneous injection is used in black-footed ferrets. An oral vaccine has been recently developed for use in prairie dogs and appears to confer better immunity than the subcutaneous vaccine.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 1% sodium hypochlorite, 70% ethanol, 2% glutaraldehyde, iodines, phenolics, formaldehyde, moist heat (121° C for at least 15 min), dry heat (160-170° C for at least 1 hour).

Notification: Nationally notifiable infectious disease. Report cases to the CDC.

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: Reportable disease

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended. Potential carrier animals should be screened for disease before introduction, and diseased animals must be quarantined during curative course of treatment.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: *Yersinia pestis* is endemic to certain regions of the world. Sporadic and seasonal outbreaks occur in endemic regions. Within a limited environment such as a zoological facility, elimination of the rodent and flea population, along with proper disposal of infected tissues is critical to eliminating disease.

Experts who may be consulted:

Tonie E. Rocke, PhD, Epizootiologist

National Wildlife Health Center, 6006 Schroeder Rd., Madison, WI 53711

608-270-2451

- 1. Abbott, R.C., and T.E. Rocke. 2012, Plague: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1372, 79 p., plus appendix. (Also available at http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/1372.) Accessed 11 July 2013.
- 2. Eisen, R., and K. Gage. 2009a. Adaptive strategies of *Yersinia pestis* to persist during inter-epizootic and epizootic periods. Vet. Res. 40: 1.
- 3. Gage, K.L., and M.Y. Kosoy. 2005. Natural history of plague: perspectives from more than a century of research: Ann. Rev. Entomol. 50: 505-28.
- 4. Gasper, P.W., and R.P. Watson. Plague and yerisniosis. *In*: Williams, E.S., and I.K. Barker (eds), Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals, Ames, Iowa, 2001, Iowa State University Press, Pp. 313-329.
- 5. Matchett, M.R., D.E. Biggins, V. Carlson, B. Powell, and T. Rocke. 2010. Enzootic plague reduces black-footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*) survival in Montana. 27-35. Vector-Borne Zoonot. 10: 27-35.
- 6. Rocke, T.E. 2012. Sylvatic plague vaccine and management of prairie dogs: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2012–3087, 2 p. (Also available at http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2012/3087/). Accessed 11 July 2013.
- 7. Rocke, T.E., N. Pussinis, S.R. Smith, J. Williamson, B. Powell, and J.E. Osorio. 2010. Consumption of baits containing raccoon pox-based plague vaccines protects Black-tailed prairie dog (*Cynomys ludovicianus*). Vector-Borne Zoonot. 10: 53-58.
- 8. Rocke, T.E., S. Smith, P.J. Marinari, J. Kreeger, J.T. Enama, and B.S. Powell. 2008. Vaccination with F1-V fusion protein protects black-footed ferrets (*Mustela nigripes*) against plague upon oral challenge with *Yersinia pestis*. J Wild Dis. 44: 1-7.
- 9. The Center for Food Security and Public Health at Iowa State University http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/plague.pdf. Accessed 11 July 2013.
- 10. United States Department of Agriculture. National Wildlife Disease Program: Plague Surveillance.

American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Infectious Disease Committee Manual 2013 **PLAGUE** (*Yersinia pestis*)

http://www.aphis.usda.gov/wildlife_damage/nwdp/plague.shtml. Accessed 11 July 2013.

- 11. United States Geological Survey National Wildlife Health Center. Protecting Black-Footed Ferrets and Prairie Dogs Against Sylvatic Plague http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/disease_information/sylvatic_plague/publications/protecting_black-footed_ferrets.pdf. Accessed 11 July 2013.
- 12. Williams, E.S. 2003. Plague. *In:* Fowler, M. E., and R.E. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, 5th ed. Elsevier Science, St. Louis, Missouri. Pp. 705-709.

YERSINIOSIS

Animal Group(s) Affected	Transmission	Clinical Signs	Severity	Treatment	Prevention and Control	Zoonotic
Mammals (including humans), Birds Reptiles Fish	Ingestion of fecal contaminated food and water; ingestion of raw meat and milk; blood transfusions (humans)	Diarrhea, abdominal pain, fever, weakness, septicemia, weight loss, enlarged lymph nodes, sudden death	Ranges from subclinical to acutely fatal or a chronic wasting form, depending on individual and species	Third generation cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones; supportive therapy	Good hygiene protocols; pest control program; vaccination; minimize stress	Yes

Fact Sheet compiled by: Rae Gandolf and Genevieve Vega Weaver

Sheet completed on: 15 January 2018 **Fact Sheet Reviewed by**: Lynnette Waugh

Disease Significance: Yersiniosis is considered among the most important diseases of farmed deer in the U.S. as well as New Zealand and Australia. Among zoological facilities in the U.S., major outbreaks with mortalities have occurred among captive antelope, birds and non-human primates, with sporadic events in other species such as a cougar, lion and Siberian tiger. Enzootics have affected free-ranging musk ox in Canada, and brown hares and hedgehogs in Europe. Yersiniosis is also zoonotic; human exposure is typically foodborne.

Susceptible animal groups: *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* has been detected in >110 species including humans, other mammals (squirrels and other rodents, non-human primates, hedgehogs, hares, meerkats, domestic dogs, ruminants, bats, suids and felids), birds (guinea fowl, turkey, collared doves, parrots), reptiles, and fish. Rodents, wild boar, deer, insects, and wild birds are believed to be reservoirs; however, there has been some debate about their exact role in transmission. In *Amazona* spp. parrots, hemosiderosis may predispose to systemic infection with *Y. pseudotuberculosis* after enteric disease. Outbreaks occur in farmed 4-8 mo old deer in fall/winter in the U.S. *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* can also survive for months to years in the soil, water and vegetation. Sensitive species and groups include: callitrichids, capybaras, agouti, mara, turacos, toucans, lemurs, guenons, fruit bats, squirrels, and deer.

Yersinia entercolitica, in contrast, is a less common cause of yersiniosis and has only been reported to cause disease in a few species of non-human primates such as the African Green monkey, chinchillas, guinea pigs, domestic pigs, wild boars, deer, dogs, cats, and humans. Young, old, immunosuppressed, and animals with chronic liver illness appear to be most susceptible to severe disease associated with both *Yersinia* species. Alpine ibex have been identified as a potential carrier of pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica*. Pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica* has also been detected in asymptomatic dogs, cats, Djungarian hamsters, pigs, cattle, goats, rats, mice, voles, shrews, mongooses and beavers, and a bird species, the dunnock. Carriers have the potential to cause water and soil contamination as well as direct zoonotic transmission.

Outbreaks of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* most commonly occur during winter months, due to stress and overcrowding as well as the enhanced virulence factors of the organism at lower temperatures. In contrast, *Y. entercolitica* occurs more commonly in the summer and autumn.

Causative organisms: *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. entercolitica* are non-spore forming Gram-negative aerobic coccobacilli belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family. They are facultative intracellular bacteria. *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* consists of 15 serotypes plus additional subtypes, while *Y. entercolitica* has over 60 serotypes of which four (O3, O5/27, O8, and O9) are believed to be pathogenic.

Zoonotic potential: Yes

Distribution: Worldwide except Antarctica, especially in temperate climates. Highly prevalent in Europe.

Incubation period: < 10 days

Clinical signs: Disease is predominantly gastrointestinal, although extraintestinal versiniosis also occurs.

Disease may be acute, subacute or chronic. Signs vary by species and individual, but most typically consist of gastroenteritis. Severe cases progress to septicemia. Signs may include lethargy, anorexia, green to bloody diarrhea, respiratory distress, incoordination, emaciation, and sudden death. Other forms of the disease include: abortion and mastitis in ungulates; chronic disease resulting in wasting syndrome and enlarged, palpable mesenteric lymph nodes in various species; skin rash, desquamation, erythema nodosum and arthritis caused by certain serotypes; granulomatous conjunctivitis in dairy goats; and appendicitis, gastroenteritis, abdominal pain and reactive arthritis in humans. A carrier state can also develop. Clinical symptoms are similar to salmonellosis.

Post mortem, gross, or histologic findings: *Yersina pseudotuberculosis* can cause ulcerative enterocolitis, hepatomegaly and splenomegaly, multifocal necrosis seen as white-gray nodules on the liver and spleen and possibly the lungs and kidneys (organisms are seen in the lesions), interstitial pneumonia, enlargement and abscessation of abdominal lymph nodes and adhesive peritonitis. *Yersinia entercolitica* often results in lesions in the lymphoid tissue of the head and neck, particularly the tonsils and submandibular lymph nodes. Subclinical cases may demonstrate minimal gross and histologic changes.

Diagnosis: Diagnosis is based on characteristic gross and histopathologic lesions with the presence of gramnegative coccobacilli, identification from bacterial culture (cold enrichment), and identification using conventional or real-time PCR. A commercially available IgM ELISA for domestic pigs has been used with muscle and tonsillar tissue. Serotyping can be done by slide agglutination or PCR. Isolates can be further characterized using pulse field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Rule out similar diseases including salmonellosis by culture. Yersinia enterocolitica O:9 shares common antigenic epitopes with B. abortus and is known to crossreact in diagnostic testing of African buffalo.

Material required for laboratory analysis: The organism is most reliably cultured from organs demonstrating lesions, particularly liver and spleen, but also lungs, mesenteric lymph nodes, and intestines. Blood culture is used in humans and can be used in non-human primates in cases of suspected septicemia. Culture may also be performed on feces and postmortem tissues showing lesions. However, shedding of the organism can be intermittent; therefore, fecal culture is not always reliable. For PCR: Rectal or cloacal swab, 0.5 g feces, 0.5 g fresh, frozen or fixed tissue, or (*Y. pseudotuberculosis*) 0.5 ml whole blood in EDTA (purple top) or ACD (yellow top) tube.

Relevant diagnostic laboratories: Any diagnostic laboratory with Biosafety Level 2 practices that can perform bacterial culture and sensitivity. Care should be taken because of the zoonotic potential. *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. entercolitica* do not grow well on routine culture media, therefore submitted samples should indicate that these organisms are suspected. For more rapid detection, PCR is available: Zoologix (B0062) for qualitative ultra-sensitive detection of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*, and (B0073) for detection of *Yersinia enterocolitica*.

Treatment: There has been little success with treatment of clinical cases. Prophylactic treatment of animals in contact with an individual demonstrating clinical signs is recommended. Antibiotic treatment should be based on sensitivity. Although different strains have demonstrated variable sensitivities, most strains are susceptible to third generation cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones and chloramphenicol. There have been reports of some resistance by certain strains, particularly those of *Y. enterocolitica*, to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, ampicillin, tetracyclines, sulfonamides, macrolides, florfenicol, and fluorquinolones. Multi-drug resistant strains of *Y. enterolitcia* have been found in humans and pigs. In patients with chronic liver lesions, long term antibiotic treatment might be needed. Fluid therapy should be administered as dehydration is a common development.

Prevention and control: The bacteria can survive in animal and environmental reservoirs. Outbreaks of are associated with stressors such as cold and wet weather, decreases or changes in food availability, overcrowding, intestinal parasitism, or animal capture. Measures should therefore be taken to minimize these stressors. Affected animals should be isolated and enclosures should be disinfected. In some cases, euthanasia of groups of animals may be necessary. Preventive measures include: implementing a rodent and bird control program; practicing good hygiene including disinfection, changing substrate, removing contaminated or old food and water from enclosures; minimizing stress, competition, and overcrowding in enclosures; and avoiding raw meat in non-human primates.

YERSINIOSIS

A killed whole cell vaccine for *Y. pseudotuberculosis* (Pseudovac®, Department of Veterinary Pathology, Utrecht University, The Netherlands) is available and used mainly in European zoos before the winter, and a killed vaccine (Yersiniavax®, Intervet) used for cervid farms in New Zealand. A new vaccine of a live, attenuated strain (IP32680) of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* administered orally has shown to provide adequate protection against severe infection in experimentally infected guinea pigs and mice and has demonstrated superior efficacy over Pseudovac®. The development of a recombinant vaccine for *Y. pseudotuberculosis* is in the research phase but has also had positive results.

Suggested disinfectant for housing facilities: 1% sodium hypochlorite, 70% ethanol, 2% glutaraldehyde, iodines, phenolics, formaldehyde, moist heat (121° C for at least 15 min), dry heat (160-170° C for at least 1 hour). Be aware that organic material, such as soils, plant debris, blood, manure, can inactivate some disinfectants (e. g. chlorine-based products). Removal of organic material should be conducted prior to disinfection.

Notification: Notification of public health officials is required in human cases

Measures required under the Animal Disease Surveillance Plan: None

Measures required for introducing animals to infected animal: Not recommended. In some cases, euthanasia of symptomatic individuals may be warranted to avoid a carrier state. Following exposure, only animals free of clinical signs and with multiple negative cultures should be allowed to comingle with new animals.

Conditions for restoring disease-free status after an outbreak: Since the organism is ubiquitous in the environment and appears sporadically in some zoos, it is problematic to designate an institution disease-free. Yersiniosis appears to be endemic in some European zoos.

Experts who may be consulted:

Shuping Zhang, DVM, PhD

Professor and Director of VMDL

University of Missouri

zhangshup@missouri.edu

- 1. Allchurch, AF. Yersiniosis in all taxa. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE (eds.). Zoo and wild animal medicine, 5th edition. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier; 2003. p. 724–727.
- 2. Food and Drug Administration [Internet]. Bad Bug Book. *Yersinia enterocolitica*; 2012 [cited 2019 February 20]. Available from
 - https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodborneIllnessContaminants/UCM297627.pdf
- 3. Galosi L, Farneti S, Rossi G, Cork SC, Ferraro S, Magi GE, Petrini S, Valiani A, Cuteri V, Attili AR. Yersinia pseudotuberculosis, serogroup O:1A, infection in two amazon parrots (Amazona aestiva and Amazone oratrix) with hepatic hemosiderosis. J Zoo Wild Med. 2015;46(3):588-591
- 4. Gasper PW, Watson RP. Plague and yerisniosis. In: Williams ES, and Barker IK (eds.). Infectious diseases of wild mammals. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 313-329.
- 5. Gorsich EE, Bengis RG, Ezenwa VO, Jolles AE. Evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for diagnosing brucellosis in African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*). J Zoo Wild Med. 2015;51(1):9-18.
- 6. Quintard B, Petit T, Ruvoen N, Carniel E, Demeure CE. Efficacy of an oral live vaccine for veterinary use against pseudotuberculosis. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 2010;33:e59-e65.
- 7. WildPro [Internet]. Yersiniosis; [cited 2019 February 20]. Available from http://wildpro.twycrosszoo.org/S/0zM_Gracilicutes/yersinia/Yersinia.htm
- 8. Williams C. Approaches to control *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* in European zoos. In Proc European Assoc Zoo and Wildl Vet; 2004. p. 19-25.