#### **Keith Topping & Steve Trickey**

University of Dundee & American University

# Developing Thinking Capacity in Children: The role of Philosophy for Children (P4C)



#### What is P4C?

#### NOT:

About facts on the lives of great philosophers

NOT EVEN:

The thoughts of great philosophers BUT:

The practical process of philosophizing, i.e. thinking

## Why P4C?

For teachers, the principal goal is to enhance thinking abilities to raise attainment across the curriculum – which P4C does

BUT.....



## Why P4C?

If children can think for themselves:

They can be more adaptable and flexible in their jobs,

Distinguish real from fake news and be better informed citizens,

Feel less confused by a bewildering world,

Etc. ....



## Mathew Lipman

Professor Matthew Lipman started the Institute for the Advancement of Philosophy for Children (IAPC) in 1972 in Montclair University, USA.

(<a href="https://www.montclair.edu/cehs/academics/centers-and-institutes/iapc">https://www.montclair.edu/cehs/academics/centers-and-institutes/iapc</a>)

Lipman introduced the Community of Enquiry idea and wrote novels as Stimuli

## Community of Enquiry

A group engaged in Exploring ideas through Dialogue:

Pupils and teachers **Ask** each other questions

They **Discuss** the different responses

They work towards a **Consensus** – or a **Conflict** - of substantiated views

## Thinking through Dialogue

Questions and Discussion
between teacher and children
AND
between children and children

(the Socratic Methodonly 2500 years old)



## What Do You Do? (Teachers and Children)

- Ask open and inviting questions
- Seek clarification
- Give examples and evidence
- Make comparisons and contrasts
- Summarise
- Evaluate



## Rules of the Community

- Focus attention on the speaker
- Don't "put down" others
- You are not forced to speak
- Respect other's views
- Be open-minded
- Be truthful

## Role of the Teacher

- Focus attention on important points
- Model good questioning
- Encourage appropriate behaviours
- Praise positive contributions
- Divert vapid conversation
- Direct the discussion towards truth

## Facilitative Questions

Can you say more about that?
What makes you say that?
How do you know that?
Do you have any evidence for that?
Why?

Is it possible to know if that is true?

Does anyone else support that view?

## **Good Questions**

NOT Closed, Multiple or Leading

BUT Clarifying, Probing the Superficial, Exploring Alternative Views, Scaffolding, Seeking Evidence, Testing Implications, Evaluating

## Teacher Strategies

- Ask ALL Pupils encourage the quietest
- Give Thinking Time don't hurry
- Slow the Rate of Questioning
- Listen
- Second-Questioning
- Provide Cues and Assistance
- Withhold Judgement
- Keep It Simple

## Skills Developed

(in relation to the Scottish curriculum)

- Information Handling
- Enquiry
- Reasoning
- Evaluating
- Creative Thinking

#### Daniel Goleman

- Self-Awareness
- Motivation
- Self-Regulation
- Empathy
- Social Skills

## Paul Cleghorn

(www.aude-education.co.uk)

## Thinking Through Philosophy

Series of 4 books: For upper 3 years of primary & first year of secondary

Eprint Publishing www.eprint.co.uk

#### Lesson Structure

- 1. Focusing/Calming Exercise
- 2. Connection with previous session: TFTW
- 3. Summarise rule(s) for good thinking
- 4. Present the Stimulus
- 5. Ask pupils to remember three things
- 6. Teacher-led Enquiry through Dialogue
- 7. Pair/Group Work
- 8. Closure: Think of the most important idea
- 9. Discuss Thought for the Week

#### The Stimulus

Often a Story (e.g. Aesop's Fables)
But can be:

- Poem
- Picture
- Video (short)
- Activity (e.g. Thinking Map)
- Dance/Drama

## Aesop's Fables

Aesop was a slave who lived in Greece from 620 to 564 BC

(see <a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aesop%27s\_Fables">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aesop%27s\_Fables</a>)

Fables used from the Renaissance onward for the education of children

See Library of Congress for examples

(<a href="http://read.gov/aesop/001.html">http://read.gov/aesop/001.html</a>) and others

## Organizations

- SAPERE (Society for Philosophical Enquiry and Reflection in Education) – UK (<a href="https://www.sapere.org.uk">https://www.sapere.org.uk</a>)
- SOPHIA Network Europe (<u>www.sophianetwork.eu</u>)
- The Philosophy Foundation
   (<a href="https://www.philosophy-foundation.org/p4c">https://www.philosophy-foundation.org/p4c</a>)
- International Council of Philosophical Inquiry with Children (ICPIC) (<a href="http://icpic.org">http://icpic.org</a>)

## Spread of P4C

Now in 60 countries across the world

Including developing and developed countries

Ireland has included it in their national curriculum

### **But Does it Work?**

#### Research on Effects

## Trickey & Topping Review (2004):

10 short term studies Cohens's  $\delta$  (effect size) = 0.43 low variance

Trickey, S. & Topping, K. J. (2004). "Philosophy for Children": A systematic review. *Research Papers in Education*, 19(3), 363-378.

## **Empirical Studies in Scotland**

All primary schools in a school district involved eventually

Some but not all had P4C throughout the school

## Sampling

Eight primary schools involved
Four schools selected **randomly**Four classes from 8 selected randomly
(n=96)

From schools not then involved: Four **matched** control schools/classes (n=52)



#### Measures

Cognitive Abilities Test
(Lohman, Thorndike, Hagen, 2001)
Standardised, norm-referenced
Correlates with examination performance

Pre-post = 12 months

Follow-up - Two years later after secondary transfer



## Cognitive Results

```
Pre-post study:
e gained 6 points (\delta = 0.46),
c gained nothing
```

```
Follow-up study:
e remained same (\delta= 0.01),
c declined further
```

#### Other Results

- Video analysis of specimen lessons for analysis of implementation integrity/fidelity:
- (1) Reduction in teacher talk,
- (2) Increased use of open-ended questions by teacher,
- (3) increased participation of pupils in classroom dialogue,
- (4) improved pupil reasoning in justification of opinions.

### Other Results #2

Participant views: Most students enjoyed it. Improvement in listening and concentration commonly reported. Half the students reported gains in relationships, social behavior and empathy, self-confidence, and selfregulation of emotion. Two thirds of students reported generalization of effects outside the enquiry sessions.

### Other Results #3

Socio-emotional effects:

On a test of self-esteem as a learner (MALS), experimental pupils (n=119) gained significantly while controls (n=52) did not.

Girls gained more in self-esteem than boys.

#### References

- Trickey, S. & Topping, K. J. (2006). Collaborative philosophical enquiry for school children: Socio-emotional effects at 11-12 years. *School Psychology International*, 27(5), 599-614.
- Topping, K. J. & Trickey, S. (2007). Collaborative philosophical enquiry for school children: Cognitive effects at 10-12 years. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 77, 271–288.
- Trickey, S. & Topping, K. J. (2007). Collaborative philosophical enquiry for school children: Participant evaluation at 11 years. *Thinking: The Journal of Philosophy for Children, 18*(3), 23-34.
- Topping, K. J. & Trickey, S. (2007). Impact of philosophical enquiry on school students' interactive behaviour. *International Journal of Thinking Skills and Creativity 2*(2), 73-84.
- Topping, K. J. & Trickey, S. (2007). Collaborative philosophical enquiry for school children: Cognitive gains at two-year follow-up. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 77(4), 787-796.

#### More Recent References

- Topping, K. J. & Trickey, S. (2014). The role of dialogue in Philosophy for Children. In R. Gillies (Ed.), special issue on Classroom-based Discourse, *International Journal of Educational Research*, 63, 69-78. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2013.01.002">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2013.01.002</a>
- Trickey, S. & Topping, K. J. (2013). Assessing the outcomes of philosophical thinking with children. In S. Goering, N. J. Shudak, & T. E. Wartenberg (Eds.), *Philosophy in schools: An introduction for philosophers and teachers* (pp. 288-298). New York & London: Routledge.
- Topping, K. J., & Trickey, S. (2015). The role of dialogue in Philosophy for Children. In L. B. Resnick, C. S. C. Asterhan, & S. N. Clarke (Eds.), *Socializing intelligence through academic talk and dialogue*. (pp. 99-110). Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association and Rowman & Littlefield.
- Trickey, S., & Topping, K. J. (2015). Collaboration using Philosophy for Children. In Gillies, R. (Ed.), Collaborative learning: Developments in research and practice. (pp. 69-84). New York: Nova Science Publishers.
- Topping, K. J., & Trickey, S. (2017). Philosophy for Children: Short and long term effects. In Wegerif, R., Li, L., & Kaufman, J. C. (Eds.), *International handbook of research on teaching thinking.* (pp. 103-112). Abingdon, Oxon & New York: Routledge.



## Fair et al. (2015) - Texas

Randomised controlled trial (RCT) **Secondary** -  $7^{th/8}$ <sup>th</sup> grade Cognitive Abilities test e=363, c=177

1 hour week; 22-26 vs. 4-10 weeks long was effective; short was not.



## Fair et al. - Texas #2

Followed up 7<sup>th</sup> graders (12-13 year old) Three years later without P4C Texas students more ethnically diverse Higher attrition than Scotland e = 133/186, c = 50/79Cognitive Abilities Test e Cohen's  $\delta = 0.68$ , c  $\delta = 0.28$ 



#### **Effect on Traditional Achievements**

EEF report:

Primary - Years 4-5

P4C once weekly for a year

Cognitive Abilities test + reading, maths, writing achievement

48 schools across England

#### Gains

Significant impact in reading and maths

No gain cf. controls in writing

Biggest impact among disadvantaged pupils

#### Costs

T&T gave on-cost as £9 per pupil

EEF give on-cost as £16 per pupil per year

but this included out-of-authority input



#### References

- Fair, F., Hass, L. E., Gardosik, C., Johnson, D. D., Price, D. P., & Leipnik, O. (2015). Socrates in the schools from Scotland to Texas: Replicating a study on the effects of a Philosophy for Children program. *Journal of Philosophy in Schools, 2(1), 18-37*. DOI: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.21913/JPS.v2i1.1100">http://dx.doi.org/10.21913/JPS.v2i1.1100</a>
- Fair, F., Hass, L. E., Gardosik, C., Johnson, D. D., Price, D. P., & Leipnik, O. (2015). Socrates in the schools: Gains at three-year follow-up. *Journal of Philosophy in Schools, 2(2), 5-16.*DOI: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.21913/JPS.v2i2.1268">http://dx.doi.org/10.21913/JPS.v2i2.1268</a>
  - Gorard, S., Nadia Siddiqui, N., & See, B. H. (2015). *Philosophy for Children: Evaluation report and executive summary*.

    London: Education Endowment Foundation.

## and if you want something Australian....

Stephan Millett & Alan Tapper (2012). Benefits of Collaborative Philosophical Inquiry in Schools.

Educational Philosophy and Theory, 44(5), 546-567.

doi: 10.1111/j.1469-5812.2010.00727.x

Centre for Applied Ethics and Philosophy,

Curtin University

## University of Queensland

Critical Thinking Project

https://critical-thinking.project.uq.edu.au

## Coming soon....

Topping, K. J., Trickey, S., & Cleghorn, P. (2019).

A Teacher's Guide to Philosophy for Children.

New York & London: Routledge

## Questions



#### Contact

k.j.topping@dundee.ac.uk

https://www.dundee.ac.uk/esw/staff/details/toppingkeith-j-.php#tab-bio