1

Sport and exercise psychology in 2050

Markus Raab

Institute of Psychology, German Sport University Cologne, Germany and School of Applied Sciences, London South Bank University, England

Address: German Sport University Cologne
Institute of Psychology
Am Sportpark Müngersdorf 6
50933 Cologne, Germany
raab@dshs-koeln.de

Tel. ++49/221/49825491

Fax ++49/221/49828320

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank Anita Todd for English copy editing and the PhD students Uirasso Borges, Laura Bröker, Jonna Löffler, Lisa Musculus, and Clara Schweinitz for their feedback on an earlier version. The new generation of excellent researchers will certainly be improving the future of sport and exercise psychology. I would like to thank the presidents of national and international associations who submitted their own predictions, which I have summarized in Table 1.

Sport and exercise psychology in 2050

Abstract

Sport and exercise psychology by definition describes, explains, and predicts human behaviour. Yet exact predictions of human behaviour are more the exception than the rule and thus it is no wonder that sport and exercise psychologists are not able to predict very well who will be a talent or win a gold medal in 10 years. In the same vein, it is somewhat easier to describe scientific endeavours in hindsight or by analysis of the current state of affairs than to predict what a discipline will be like in, say, 2050. Other disciplines that face similar levels of complexity have, however, tested their models on (near) future events, such as the mathematical prediction of president elections, climate change, or which team will win the next soccer World Cup. Some disciplines have even tried to forecast what will be in 2050, for instance, predicting that soccer-playing robots will win an official soccer game against humankind. In this opinion statement about sport and exercise psychology that starts with the current state of affairs in our field, I predict sport and exercise psychology activities and potential successes in 2050, enabling a discussion of our mission as well as goal setting for the coming years.

Zusammenfassung

Sportpsychologie bei Definition beschreibt, erklärt und sagt menschliches Verhalten vorher. Allerdings sind Vorhersagen menschlichen Verhaltens eher die Ausnahme als die Regel und es verwundert nicht, dass Sportpsychologen und Sportpsychologinnen nicht gut Talente vorhersagen können oder wer in zehn Jahren eine Goldmedaille gewinnen wird. Gleichermaßen ist wissenschaftliche Leistung in der Rückschau als auch durch die Analyse des aktuellen Zustandes leichter zu erklären als die Entwicklung einer Disziplin bis 2050. Andere Wissenschaften mit gegebenenfalls gleicher Komplexität haben zukünftige Ereignisse beispielsweise durch mathematische Vorhersagen von Präsidentenwahlen, Klimawandel oder welche Mannschaft den nächsten Weltmeister stellt vorhergesagt. Einige Wissenschaften haben sogar bis 2050 gewettet, dass fußballspielende Roboter ein offizielles Fußballspiel gegen die Menschheit gewinnen werden. In diesem Positionspapier über die Sportpsychologie beschreibe ich aktuelle Entwicklungen des Feldes Sportpsychologie, sage Handlungen und mögliche Erfolge bis 2050 vorher und erhoffe damit eine Diskussion unserer Mission und der Zielsetzungen für die Zukunft.

Sport and exercise psychology as a prediction task

Predicting the future is a building block of human behaviour. As far back as the 8th century B.C., the Delphic Oracle was well known to serve solely this purpose. Today predictions about the future have a meaningful impact on choices in every area of society. In sports, predictions serve as an essential tool for resource allocations, whether by national Olympic committees in the preparation of the next Olympic cycle or by health practitioners designing prevention strategies for sport programs. Likewise in research, predictions appear as hypotheses in experimental studies or assumptions about the development of research areas in position papers.

To date, few predictions have been made about the future of sport and exercise psychology, however. In Seiler and Wylleman (2009) and a follow-up (Wylleman & Seiler, 2016), the authors listed challenges that they believed needed to be met, such as the unification of psychologists and sport-related stakeholders in the field of sport and exercise psychology and the diversification of different specialisations in research, practice, and education. The future of some of these challenges have been described as predictions that can be assessed: Have sport and exercise psychology practitioners come together in joint professional associations, cooperated on contracts, or taken specific joint actions? Other predictions are not easy to evaluate such as whether the diversity in education in the field has been sufficient.

Researchers in other fields have potentially even more specified their predictions. For instance, in robotics they have bet humanoid robots will win a

soccer game in 2050 against a human team. The precise bet is as follows: "By the mid-21st century, a team of fully autonomous humanoid robot soccer players shall win a soccer game, complying with the official rules of the FIFA, against the winner of the most recent World Cup" (Kitano & Asada, 1998, p. 419). Such a prediction (or vision) has had an impact on the field; researchers from various backgrounds have discussed developments in robotics, informatics, and technology, such as how to treat fouls between humans and robots according to the laws of the game in 2050 (e.g., Haddadin, Laue, Frese, & Hirzinger, 2007).

With the prediction of sport and exercise psychology in 2050 I aim to (a) relate the present activities and goals of the field to future desired successes, (b) stimulate discussion about what we want for the future and partly how we can achieve it, and (c) increase interactions with other fields. So let me state my bet:

By 2050, a soccer team that loses against fully autonomous humanoid robot soccer players can pick any accredited sport and exercise psychologist to give the team 4 weeks of preparation, leading the team to win the next game based on the competences they gain from sport-psychological training.

If no robots have won against a human team, this bet can be rephrased so that it relates to a game between two human teams. All other things being equal (e.g., games won; physical, technical, and tactical skills), the team that integrates sport-psychological training in their training regimen will win. The main rationale of the bet is to test the additional effect of sport-psychological training.

Sport and exercise psychology from now to 2050

3S (science, sport, society) for sport and exercise psychology

As stated by the European Federation of Sport Psychology (FEPSAC), the field of sport and exercise psychology (often abbreviated sport psychology)

"is concerned with the psychological foundations, processes and consequences of the psychological regulation of sport-related activities of one or several persons acting as the subject(s) of the activity. The focus may be on behaviour or on different psychological dimensions of human behaviour, i.e. affective, cognitive, motivational or sensori-motor dimensions." (FEPSAC, 1995, p. 1)

Sport psychology focuses in particular on human behaviour related to physical activity that "can take place in competitive, educational, recreational, preventative and rehabilitation settings and includes health-related exercise. Subjects are all persons involved in the different sport and exercise settings, e.g. athletes, coaches, officials, teachers, physiotherapists, parents, spectators, etc." (FEPSAC, p. 1).

In many of the statutes or position statements of the international associations of sport and exercise psychology, it is stated that both performance and health are important areas of investigation. Further it is clear that sport psychology as a field of investigation draws on important relations to other areas of research, such as the disciplines of psychology and sport sciences. In Figure 1 I illustrate some of these relations that sport psychology has within what I call the 3S: science, sport, and society. These relations to science, sport and society

structure the definitions and goals of the societies of sport and exercise psychology. In terms of science, I highlight the relation to other scientific disciplines of interest beyond psychology and sport sciences, such as performance psychology and performance science, cognitive science, and health science as well as action science. In the area of sports I focus on performance and health as currently represented in sport and exercise psychology. Finally, I discuss the potential impact in three exemplary areas of relevance for society, which I have labelled mind and motion, humans and technology, and sport and policy. These areas are based on a subjective perspective of importance challenges to society in which sport and exercise psychology may be important.

Figure 1 about here

With the 3S concept, I illustrate a current trend that is extending sport and exercise psychology to larger fields. Again the following selection is not complete and represents subjective samples to illustrate the argument. One such larger field that will be stronger connected to sport and exercise psychology is action science. Action science is an emerging field in movement science and psychology in which the importance of human actions in the interaction with our environment are investigated (see Prinz, Beisert, & Herwig, 2013). Another field is performance psychology (e.g., Raab, Lobinger, Hoffmann, Pizzera & Laborde, 2016; Murphy, 2012), which has recently integrated findings from different applications of psychology, such as music, medicine, and sport. Researchers

have provided theoretical (Nitsch & Hackfort, 2016), methodological (Tenenbaum & Filho, 2016), and practical (Clark & Williamon, 2016) recommendations that may bridge experience and best practice.

One indication of activities that may foster future developments is the founding of targeted journals, such as *Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology,* among others. More broadly, performance science, a rather new discipline that is developing rapidly, integrates performance psychology with many other disciplines. The sixth world congress on performance science is scheduled for 2017, and sport and exercise researchers as well as researchers from other fields and disciplines can publish in a Journal called *Performance Science*, a Frontiers in Psychology journal. Similarly, cognitive science and health science have established interdisciplinary activities in which sport and exercise science is combined with societal impact such as jobs or technological innovations (see Raab & Gigerenzer, 2015, for an example).

Sport and exercise psychology topics

As mentioned above, the research and applications that fall under the umbrella of sport and exercise psychology include investigations on performance and health, but here I discuss just a limited number of them. There are—as in any scientific discipline—many ways to identify and group research areas. For instance, it has been well documented that expert enquiries or bibliometric studies are useful methods for presenting the current state of a field or subfield.

Wylleman and Seiler's (2016) discussion about the past, present, and future of sport and exercise psychology, described above, serves as an example

of expert enquiries. For bibliometric studies I use an example from the International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology. Lindahl, Stenling, Lindwall, and Colliander (2015) analysed 1,140 papers from five sport and exercise psychology journals published between 2008 and 2011 using principal component analysis based on author co-citation relationships. Lindahl et al. followed Eom's approach (2009), who analysed cited publications into principal components. In Lindahl et al's' study this procedure resulted in groups of 158 highly cited authors' papers to detect important research in sport and exercise psychology. The analysis of Lindahl et al's resulted in 73 clusters of topics and 14 principal components (e.g., motivation, performance, stress, talent, leadership, and imagery, among others). The relation between the 158 authors and the principal components led Lindahl et al. to declare this as the "overall structure of sport and exercise psychology" (p. 82, Figure 1). Further the number of citations or the number of authors was used as a quantitative analysis of the size of these research areas. For instance some research areas such as motivation and a theory such as self-determination is researched by 26 authors and about 3,000 citations, whereas morality is cited 148 times and three authors published work on that topic. This example can be extended to other studies focussing on specific aspects of the quality of research (Schweitzer & Furley, 2016), such as power and replication, specific methods used in practice, such as single-case studies (Barker, Mellalieu, McCarthy, Jones, & Moran, 2013), or specific research strategies, such as using longitudinal designs (Stenling, Ivarsson, & Lindwall, 2016).

A problem with any of these methods is that one infers from the current analyses of research topics their importance and predicts the field's future on the basis of the simple principle that past behaviour predicts future behaviour. Although this may be valid for individual behaviour, it may not be possible to predict new areas of research on the basis of the current state of affairs.

Predictions about the future of sport and exercise psychology

How does one predict the future of sport and exercise psychology beyond forecasting activities as described above? One important lesson I have learned from working with athletes is to reframe situations if I want to change their perception. For instance, for the prediction of sport and exercise psychology in 2050, it may be better to discuss the goals of the stakeholders (i.e., members of sport associations, sport psychologists, and researchers) in the field, as they may influence the future of the field through their actions in support of their beliefs or goals. This reasoning led me to ask the presidents of our current sport and exercise psychology associations to provide me with a brief statement about their vision of sport and exercise psychology in 2050. If these visions will be used to influence their members or the research, application, or education of future sport psychologists, then this may be the best predictor of the future we have. As predictions about the future by definition can only be judged in 2050, it makes sense to predict that the responses of the current presidents may have a higher validity for the next few years than for 2050.

In Table 1, I have summarized the responses from the presidents of sport and exercise psychology associations. The list is in alphabetical order by association name.

insert Table 1 about here

In Table 1 the predictions of the presidents could be classified in relation to science, sport and society. For instance, Angus Mugford (AASP) predicts that science will allow us to individually tailor recovery strategies down to our DNA. In sport predictions of change refer to the importance to focus on older athletes as indicated by Anne-Marie Elbe (FEPSAC) and Angus Mugford (AASP). Finally for society predictions of the role of sport and exercise psychology will even more relate to societal challenges such as obesity as predicted by Anne-Marie Elbe (FEPSAC).

The predictions in Table 1 could be equally well classified in dimensions of structure, processes and culture. For instance, predictions about *structure* in Vincent Granitos' statement (APA, Devision 47) are related to partnerships between medical and sport psychology professionals or a job market in high schools or Junior Colleges. Changes of *processes* could be illustrated in statement of Anne-Marie Elbe (FEPSAC) about how technology will be used in the future or by Pier-Èric Chamberland (SCAPPS) about aligning education and internships. Finally Gangyan Si (ISSP) provides a nice example on *cultural* changes that encompass the dynamics of cultural diversity.

SPC model of the future of sport and exercise psychology

I argued above that the visions of the future of sport and exercise psychology can be categorized according to the 3S: science, sport, and society. Further I illustrated through the responses from the professional association presidents that they could fall into the dimensions of structure, processes, and culture (SPC). Such SPC descriptions are often used in change management and specifically in areas of interest such as health (Evans, Baker, Berta, & Barnsley, 2015). Applying these change management strategies to health or performance could lead to discussions of the changes needed to promote the future of sport and exercise psychology. In the following sections, I look at each of the parts of the SPC framework as they apply to the 3S of exercise and sport psychology (see Figure 2). These ideas could form the basis of goals to be met by 2050.

insert Figure 2 about here

Science

Structure. First, a scientific strategy that integrates the areas of performance science, cognitive science, and health science as well as the subdivisions of sport, exercise, and performance psychology would need theoretical clusters. A cluster would be a structure that serves as a "melting pot" for stakeholders from these different disciplines and areas of interest. On a global level, such a cluster addressing the theory of human performance might take the form of a worldwide commission or continental associations in which, for instance, experts from the Association of Performance Science, the International

Society of Sport Psychology, and other associations would meet. Their task would be to develop and evaluate common theories that describe, explain, and predict behaviour in human performance in general as well as in specific areas of exercise and sport. A structure of joint working groups could potentially lead to continental or national levels of structure for governmental or nongovernmental organizations and a specific funding and scientific dissemination strategy.

Second, clusters of these kinds may need to include members who work on other important developments, such as methodology. It seems quite important that by 2050 we standardize the methods we use in a way that is accepted beyond the boundaries of exercise and sport psychology (Clark & Williamon, 2016) by sport science, psychology, or the cognitive, performance, or health sciences in general. The influence of sport and exercise psychology on other fields might be measured by the number of citations and documented changes in theory, methods, and applications. More practically, sport and exercise psychology could develop a catalogue, similar to those used in clinical psychology (e.g., International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, ICD-10), to describe our phenomena and diagnostics using the same vocabulary. This would require defining diagnostics and interventions such that, for instance, stress, burnout, and depression can be differentiated and the roles of sport and exercise psychologist and clinician can be easily distinguished.

Processes. Processes in change management are important to optimize developments. For predicting the future of sport and exercise psychology, two aspects—the quality of basic research and the quality of translational science—

seem to be important, as discussed in previous predictions (Wylleman & Seiler, 2016).

A number of challenges in basic research could be met by 2050. For instance, the quality of basic research will be improved if the structural clusters discussed above have developed theoretical and methodological standards that can be judged in real practice. By 2050 the confidence crisis in psychology (Pashler & Wagenmakers, 2012) that has also affected sport psychology (Schweitzer & Furley, 2016) should be resolved, and guidelines for allowing for both specific standards and variance in the way to conduct research are warranted. A vision for 2050 would include processes that allow sufficient resources for quality to be established by national and international bodies; cooperation among associations, clubs, teams, and individuals in sport and exercise should lead to quality in basic research in more applied settings as well. Current strategies such as the Open Science Framework (OSF, https://osf.io/) and future developments should be adapted to the specific scientific needs and conditions in sport and exercise psychology. For instance, OSF standards may not fully apply to scientific investigations in elite sports. Sport and exercise psychologists need to develop standards based on reliable scientific findings to implement in elite sports and increase scientific reproducibility.

The quality of basic research needs to have a counterpart in translational science that makes it possible to translate research into practice. In 2050 institutions and dedicated experts may transfer research findings that are validated and checked for their effects in real situations. These should be directly

communicated to stakeholders in practice. Infrastructures that optimize the processes of individually tailored communication should become as frequently used as our current messenger systems. Whereas this has become common practice in physical health disciplines (e.g., Lewis et al., 2015), for mental health and sport and exercise psychology, this is still an aim for 2050.

Culture. The scientific culture of 2050 may be different in terms of its bidirectionality and in the extent to which global challenges are taken into account. First, bidirectionality needs to surpass current strategies. For instance, currently in basic research often a unidirectional transfer from, say, psychological theories to application in the sport and exercise psychology content is realized. Likewise, unidirectionality is often seen when researchers use a sport context to test their ideas in practice. With bidirectionality I envision that in 2050 research conducted in sport and exercise psychology will influence research in psychology, sport science, performance, and cognitive and health sciences as much as vice versa. An indication of change would be researchers publishing and working more often in interdisciplinary teams, using sport and exercise psychology findings to test theories in other areas of research (see, e.g., Raab, 2012). Further I envision that a culture of cooperation between researchers and practitioners will be fostered in which questions that emerged from previous research as well as from applied situations will be addressed.

Second, global challenges in sport and exercise psychology require global attention. For instance, recent advances in science that could enhance peak performance have generated a culture of national proprietary interest that may

prevent early dissemination of scientific findings. Currently sport and exercise psychology is not equally accepted worldwide, and relevant activities of governments, funding agencies, international societies, and journal publishers in developing countries are not yet optimal. I envision in 2050 this may still be the case if the sport system and competitions remain similar. However, researchers in sport and exercise psychology may be much more involved in global activities, such as coach education on ethics, psychological aspects of doping, that would allow for fair, transparent competition in which psychological preparation becomes a crucial component, as indicated in my bet for 2050. In areas of health I think these global challenges have been addressed much more; I predict that the specific role and impact of sport and exercise psychologists will be clearly acknowledged in 2050.

Sport

Structure. The sport structure could change by 2050 in meaningful ways using sport-research consortia and stakeholder roundtables. For sport-research consortia there are a couple of visions that could be developed at the local or global level. Locally, sport clubs could create teams consisting of coaches, sport psychologists, and a researcher. The team would be accountable for successes and failures and thus their cooperation and jobs would depend on their joint activities. Within clubs and associations we need researchers and sport and exercise psychologists to be the representatives of the club. Just as a main coaching philosophy in a club is needed, so too a psychological philosophy

beyond individual coach-psychologist or athlete-psychologist dyads is critical to success.

Globally, associations could provide the same structure bringing together national/international sport associations and sport psychologists and researchers. Again, hiring and firing them as a team will increase cooperation among coaches, sport psychologists, and researchers. Some current activities such as professional soccer academies already show a structural integration for some research areas, such as performance analysis (Raya-Castellano & Fradua, 2015).

I envision that stakeholders will have roundtables that develop new structures. For instance, currently research, advanced education in sport and exercise psychology, and sport administration are not conducted in the same place. I can envision that we will build places in which they all come together in the same building to allow daily exchanges. Some universities do host sport associations or scientific associations and some sport structures such as the Wembley campus integrate education in the sport system (http://ucfb.com/ucfb-experience/campus-locations/ucfb-wembley/). Nevertheless, a united structure that integrates all these components in the same place is missing on national and international levels and would be a vision for 2050. Needless to say, to implement such changes, concrete action plans need to be developed that would use such new structures.

Processes. Sport processes that may need attention are the research—practice transfer and the quality of practice; both processes could be very

different in 2050. For research–practice transfer, processes could be instituted that validate research in an area that summarizes current trends via meta-analyses or other forms of reviews (Raab, Wylleman, Seiler, Elbe & Hatzigeourgiadis, 2016). These transfer processes could be summarized on slides for use in teaching at universities as well as disseminated via tweets to stakeholders. Further, such material needs to be translated by research coordinators in sport associations for use in the different coach education systems and communicated to club and association management. Sharing databases and knowledge management systems between, for instance, diagnostics centres at universities or Olympic training centres and sport associations would benefit all sports at the local and international level (e.g., https://www.dshs-koeln.de/en/momentum/).

For the quality of practice, I envision that in 2050 there will be computer-based processes that support decisions of sport psychologists. For instance, "big data" has arrived in sports, but it seems that different members of the sport system have access to it for specific judgments, such as talent selection and development, competition, and training analyses. Sport and exercise psychologists certainly should be members of the expert groups that determine what data should be used in diagnostics, contributing to the kind of analyses used and interpretations that add a psychological perspective to decisions. For instance, there could be a database and online system that directly integrates information from different sensors and feeds it to sport psychologists. Whereas today we use different devices to gather global positioning system tracking data,

heart rate variability, or psychological states, in the future there may be a device such as a ring an athlete wears that transmits such data to an online database (for a current device see http://www.thebioring.com/). Again the process to interpret the data and decide on interventions will in in the future still require the expertise of sport and exercise psychologists I believe a 'computer bot' would be unable to handle in 2050.

Culture. A change towards a stronger acceptance of the different competences and interests of sport psychologists and other stakeholders seems warranted for building the structures and processes described above. Regarding the acceptance of competences, in the future, education, accreditation, and certification are needed to identify the competences a sport psychologist possesses that differ from those of the other professionals on a team of experts in sports. Acceptance of these different competences would also allow for different beliefs about the differentiation of expert teams and could lead to the adoption of a set of clearly defined competences that takes into account different educational backgrounds and job titles in the profession (e.g., performance enhancers, personal coaches, mental coaches, sport psychologists, clinical psychologists, psychiatrists, sport psychological experts, among others). Whether in 2050 it will be meaningful and manageable to have an internationally recognized certificate in sport and exercise psychology that unites them all is to be seen. Communication culture may also change here, as well as the roles of these different stakeholders in sport and exercise psychology. The ambiguity surrounding these roles is one reason why individuals, teams, clubs, and associations do not rate the importance of our field as high as it deserves.

For acceptance of interests. I could envision a cultural change that encourages cooperative teams as described above. In their professions, a coach's value is measured in winning games, a researcher's value is measured in publishable outcomes, and a sport psychologist's value is measured in the personal development of an athlete. Accepting that these goals and the measures of success at least partly differ and sometimes conflict would be such a change. A special issue of Athletic Insight (Schinke, Hancock, Dubuc, & Dorsch, 2006) summarized some of the applied sport psychology challenges for accepting these different goals. Asking Swedish sport psychology students, the sport psychologist Urban Johnson (2006) envisioned that 10 years later (so at the time of the writing of the current paper you read), theory and methodology would develop and licensed sport psychologists might be fighting over a limited job market. It may still be that in 2050 full certification of sport psychologists will not yet be internationally recognized. Whereas some certifications have been established on national levels, broader agreements are still needed. This is still a work in progress for groups such as the European Federation of Sport Psychology (FEPSAC) and the European Federation of Psychologists' Associations (EFPA).

Society

Structure. Currently the structures of performance and health aspects of sport and exercise psychology are treated separately. The International Olympic

Committee (IOC) and the World Health Organization (WHO) do not work together, and sport and exercise are not high on the agenda of the United Nations. In Figure 1, I identified mind and motion, humans and technology, and sport and policy as target areas in which sport and exercise psychology can show that exercise and movement have an impact on the mind (see, e.g., the area of embodied cognition; Fischer & Coello, 2016). Further, the influence of sport and exercise psychology on human—technology interaction is almost absent but has been well documented in general psychology (Vogeley & Bente, 2010).

For goals involving health and performance, I envision a structure that merges policy and sport, such as one in which the WHO and the IOC work together to fight, for instance, doping in elite sports or doping in body-building contrasting economic interests of business stakeholders. Further, the two associations could develop joint strategies to encourage more young people to participate in sports and fight societal challenges such as obesity together, potentially achieving performance and health goals through sports participation. A structure of cooperation would potentially lead to the development of joint standards, integrating for instance the body-mass index (BMI) used by the WHO or the muscle-fat ratio used by sport scientists. Certainly constructs such as selfefficacy have explanatory value across different branches of health and performance research. The field of sport and exercise psychology therefore would need to address both perspectives of health and performance together in education and in relating these areas of interest to the areas of science and sport as discussed above. Examples include joint work, published meta-analyses beyond the performance and health areas, and transfer from performance to health and vice versa.

For a structure such as a United Nations commission on sport and exercise psychology, a demonstration of importance is needed. If the performance and health dimensions of sport and exercise psychology could be merged to reduce, say, obesity and doping through behavioural changes based on psychological interventions, a 2050 United Nations commission could be an achievable goal. In work and organizational psychology there is well-documented evidence that health and performance goals can be achieved at the same time (e.g., Genaidy et al., 2007) and that these common goals are jointly implemented in organizational structures. A joint organizational structure of the IOC and the WHO does not exist, but current IOC prevention activities targeting injury in elite athletes or doping (http://www.ioc-preventionconference.org/) and WHO activities on health promotion (http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/9gchp/en/) could be jointly developed under the patronage of the United Nations in the future. For instance, the United Nations has included the global issues of good health/well-being and decent work and economic growth in the 17 sustainable 2030 development goals be reached to by (http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/).

Processes. Stakeholder–public communications and research–society transfer need to be improved by 2050. For stakeholder–public communications, currently large institutions such as the WHO do communicate standards of exercise to the public (http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs385/en/).

These have been refined and specified on the continental and national level (see white papers on physical activity by the EU Commission on Sport [http://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52007DC03911 and the American College of Sports Medicine [http://www.acsm.org/publicinformation/acsm-journals/guidelines]). A process that has recently started is to personalize recommendations that are additionally supported by more data from individuals and devices (e.g., http://guantifiedself.com/). A system that integrates all stakeholders as mentioned above via the IOC, WHO, and United Nations and makes use of personalized communication processes to achieve both health and performance goals may come to be in 2050, aided by the sport and exercise psychologist's knowledge of diagnostics and interventions.

For the transfer of research to society, there are currently many activities aimed at increasing access to research for the public. For instance, national and international funding bodies have instituted open-access strategies for making data and publications publicly available. Researchers, agencies, and politicians have established open-access policies to set up standards of transparency and informed decision making. However, given the number of papers published and the amount of data compiled and given the style in which research is typically written up, future research transfer to society needs to adopt styles and formats that are more accessible to the public without lowering the scientific standards or jeopardizing confidence in the evidence being presented. Whereas scientists are well versed about scientific standards and are familiar with current activities such

as the Open Science Collaboration (2015), for society at large this is certainly not the case today.

Social and societal demands change the needs of individuals and thereby their sport behaviour, and thus the relation is bidirectional in nature. For instance, changes in the education structure, the use of electronic devices by youth, and long working hours in business may produce a perception of limited time and desire for sports. This perception has already had an impact on the way people train, with shorter, intensive programs such as CrossFit gaining in popularity. The role of sport and exercise psychology is yet to be defined for such new sport behaviour developments.

Culture. Sport and exercise psychologists can impact society by increasing the acceptance of performance—health integration and by becoming key players in promoting societal change. Sport and exercise psychologists can push for the acceptance of performance—health integration on both the international (e.g., United Nations, IOC, WHO) and the national (e.g., sport and Olympic associations) and encourage joint goal setting. If stakeholders with their different interests accept that global problems such as doping and obesity can be better targeted together, a cultural shift would allow the structures suggested above to work in 2050.

In the coming decades before 2050, sport and exercise psychologists should demonstrate to the public that they can be key players in promoting societal changes through the dissemination of their diagnostic methods and successful interventions. I envision that we need to specify and quantify the

effects of interventions. For instance, any strength training has a clear baseline and a target value that is matched to specific durations, intensities, and structures of the training regime. By 2050 our interventions should come as close to those prescriptive levels as possible. We further need to personalize and describe the effects, given the complexity of the behavioural changes we want to predict.

Conclusions

I bet at the beginning of this essay that providing sport-psychological training to a World Cup team would make it possible for that team to win against humanoid robots that had just won against such a team without training. I do believe that to win such a bet there must be enormous developments in sport and exercise psychology in relation to science, sport, and society. These changes need to be realized on a structural level as well as by optimizing processes and making changes in society. A bet by default is a prediction of the future with an uncertain outcome. Are we ready to take the challenge?

References

- Barker, J. B., Mellalieu, S. D., McCarthy, P. J., Jones, M. V., & Moran, A. (2013).

 A review of single case research in sport psychology 1997-2012:

 Research trends and future directions. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 25(1), 4-32.
- Clark, T. & Williamon, A. (2016). Applications within performance psychology. In M. Raab, B. Lobinger, S. Hoffmann, A. Pizzera, & S. Laborde (Eds.), Performance psychology: Perception, action, cognition, and emotion (pp. 46-67). Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier.
- Eom, S. (2009). Author co-citation analysis: Quantitative methods for mapping the intellectual structure of an academic discipline. Hershey, PA: Information Science Reference.
- Evans, J. M., Baker, G. R., Berta, W. & Barnsley, J. (2015). Culture and cognition in health systems change. *Journal of health Organisation and Management*, 29(7), 874-892.
- European Federation of Sport Psychology (FEPSAC). (2016). *Position statement*#1. www.fepsac.com/activities/position_statements/ retrieved from 4th of
 November 2016.
- Fischer, M. H. & Coello, Y. (Eds.). (2016). Foundations of embodied cognition Volume 1. Perceptual and Emotional Embodiment. London UK: Taylor & Francis Ltd.

- Genaidy, A., Salem, S., Karwowski, W. et al. (2007). The work compatibility improvement framework: an integrated perspective of the human-at-work system. *Ergonomics*, *50*(1), 3-25.
- Haddadin, S., Laue, T., Frese, U. & Hirzinger G. (2007). Foul 2050: Thoughts on Physical Interaction in Human-Robot Soccer. *Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems San Diego, CA, USA, 2007.* http://www.phriends.eu/IROS 07b.pdf
- Johnson, U. (2006). Sport Psychology Past, Present and Future: The Perceptions of Swedish Sport Psychology Students. *Athletic Insight*, 8, http://www.athleticinsight.com/Vol8Iss3/IntroFuture.htm
- Kitano, H. & Asada, M. (1998). "RoboCup Humanoid Challenge: That's One Small Step for A Robot, One Giant Leap for Mankind,". In IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS1998), Victoria, 1998, pp. 419–424.
- Lewis, Z. H., Lyons, E. J., Jarvis, J. M. & Baillargeon J. (2015). Using an electronic activity monitor system as an intervention modality: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, *15*, 585, doi: 10.1186/s12889-015-1947-3.
- Lindahl, J., Stenling, A., Lindwall, M. & Colliander, C. (2015). Trends and knowledge base in sport and exercise psychology research: a bibliometric review study. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 8(1), 71-94.

- Murphy, S. M. (Ed.). (2012). *The Oxford handbook of sport and performance psychology*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Nitsch, J. R. & Hackfort, D. (2016). Theoretical Framework of Performance Psychology: An Action Theory Perspective. In. M. Raab, B. Lobinger, S. Hoffmann, A. Pizzera, & S. Laborde (Eds.), *Performance psychology: Perception, action, cognition, and emotion* (pp. 12-26). Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier.
- Open Science Collaboration. (2015). Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. *Science*, *349*(6251), aac4716. Doi: 10.1126/science.aac4716
- Pashler, H. & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2012). Editors' Introduction to the special issue on replicability in psychological science: A crisis of confidence?

 Perspectives on Psychological Science, 7(6), 528-530.
- Prinz, W., Beisert, M., & Herwig, A. (2013). *Action science: Foundations of an emerging discipline*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Raab, M. (2012). Simple heuristics in sports. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *5*,104–120. doi: 10.1080/1750984X.2012.654810
- Raab, M. & Gigerenzer, G. (2015). The power of simplicity: a fast-and-frugal heuristics approach to performance science. *Frontiers in Psychology.*
- Raab, M., Lobinger, B., Hoffmann, S., Pizzera, A. & Laborde, S. (2016).

 *Performance Psychology. Perception, Action, Cognition, and Emotion.

 *Amsterdam: Academic Press Elsevier.

- Raab, M., Wylleman, P., Seiler, R., Elbe, A.-M. & Hatzigeorgiadis, A. (Eds.).

 (2016). Sport and Exercise Psychology Research: From Theory to Practice. Amsterdam: Academic Press Elsevier.
- Raya-Castellano, P. E. & Fradua, L. (2015). A review of the multidisciplinary approach to develop elite players at professional football academies:

 Applying science to a professional context. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport, 15*(1), 1-19.
- Schinke, R. J., Hancock D. J., Dubuc, N. G., & Dorsch, K. G. (2006). Looking to the future of sport psychology: An introduction. *Athletic Insight*, 8, http://www.athleticinsight.com/Vol8Iss3/IntroFuture.htm
- Schweizer, G., & Furley, P. (2016, iFirst). Reproducible research in sport and exercise psychology: The role of sample sizes. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*.
- Seiler, R., & Wylleman, P. (2009). FEPSAC's role and position in the past and in the future of sport psychology in Europe. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*. 10, 403–409.
- Stenling, A., Ivarsson, A. & Lindwall, M. (2016). The only constant is change:

 Analysing and understanding change in sport and exercise psychology research. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 10(1), 230-251.
- Tenenbaum, G., & Filho, E. (2015). Measurement considerations in performance psychology. In. M. Raab, B. Lobinger, S. Hoffmann, A. Pizzera, & S.

- Laborde (Eds.), *Performance psychology: Perception, action, cognition,* and emotion (pp. 31-44). Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier.
- Vogeley, K., & Bente, G. (2010). "Artificial humans": Psychology and neuroscience perspectives on embodiment and nonverbal communication.

 Neural Networks, 23(8-9), 1077-1090. doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2010.06.003
- Wylleman, P. & Seiler, R. (2016). European Perspective on Sport Psychology. In
 M. Raab, P. Wylleman, R. Seiler, A.-M. Elbe & A. Hatzegeorgiadis (Eds.),
 Sport and Exercise Psychology Research (pp. 1-25). Academic Press,
 San Diego.