9-10 = Excellent

Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

5-6 = Average 7-8 = Above Average

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1-2 = Poor

3-4 = Fair

	SCORE	NOTES
UNDERSTANDING: To what extent did participants demonstrate a clear understanding of the basic issues involved in the question?		
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent		

did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles? 3. **REASONING:** To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning? 4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations? 5. **RESPONSIVENESS:** To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked? 6. **PARTICIPATION:** To what extent did most group members contribute to the group's presentation? **GROUP TOTAL** JUDGE: **TIE BREAKER***

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points

9-10 = Excellent

Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

7-8 = Above Average

TIE BREAKER*

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the <u>best</u> score. Use a separate form for each group.

3-4 = Fair 5-6 = Average

1-2 = Poor

JUDGE:

	SCORE	NOTES
1. UNDERSTANDING: To what extent did participants demonstrate a clear understanding of the basic issues involved in the question?		
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?		
REASONING: To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning?		
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?		
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked?		
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent did <u>most</u> group members contribute to the group's presentation?		

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

GROUP TOTAL

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points



For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the <u>best</u> score. Use a separate form for each group.

1-2 = Poor 3-4 = Fair 5-6 = Average 7-8 = Above Average 9-10 = Excellent

		SCORE	NOTES
1. UNDERSTANDING: To what exten demonstrate a clear understanding of involved in the question?			
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION did participants appropriately apply k constitutional history and principles?	knowledge of		
3. REASONING: To what extent did p positions with sound reasoning?	articipants support		
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?			
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked?			
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent d members contribute to the group's p			
	GROUP TOTAL		
JUDGE:		TIE BREAKER*	

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the <u>best</u> score. Use a separate form for each group.

1-2 = Poor	3-4 = Fair	5-6 = Average	7-8 = Above Average	9-10 = Excellent

		SCORE	NOTES
UNDERSTANDING: To what extended demonstrate a clear understanding of involved in the question?			
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?			
REASONING: To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning?			
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?			
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked?			
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent d members contribute to the group's p			
	GROUP TOTAL		
JUDGE:		TIE BREAKER*	

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the <u>best</u> score. Use a separate form for each group.

1-2 = Poor	3-4 = Fair	5-6 = Average	7-8 = Above Average	9-10 = Excellent

	_		
		SCORE	NOTES
 UNDERSTANDING: To what extend demonstrate a clear understanding of involved in the question? 			
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?			
REASONING: To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning?			
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?			
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what exter answers address the question asked	•		
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent d members contribute to the group's p			
	GROUP TOTAL		
JUDGE:		TIE BREAKER*	

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the <u>best</u> score. Use a separate form for each group.

1-2 = Poor	3-4 = Fair	5-6 = Average	7-8 = Above Average	9-10 = Excellent

	_		
		SCORE	NOTES
UNDERSTANDING: To what extent did participants demonstrate a clear understanding of the basic issues involved in the question?			
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?			
REASONING: To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning?			
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?			
RESPONSIVENESS: To what exter answers address the question asked			
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent did <u>most</u> group members contribute to the group's presentation?			
	GROUP TOTAL		
JUDGE:	<u> </u>	TIE BREAKER*	

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points