21.05.18

gLAWcal COMMENT #243

SHOULD NATIONS BE ABLE TO RE-STRUCTURE THEIR DEBTS?

Based on

Vassili Paliouras "State of necessity and sovereign insolvency"





A gLAWcal comment on Vassili Paliouras "State of necessity and sovereign insolvency" in Antonio Segura Serrano (Ed.) "The Reform of International Economic Governance".

It is often a necessity that one nation borrow capital from another. More often, the borrowing nation will pay back their debts over time. If they are unable to make good on their obligations to the crediting nation(s) they will be at the mercy of those that they owe. On occasion the nation will diminish the debts or outright forgive the debt for other concessions by the borrowing nation. However, when the creditors wish to get their debts paid in full it is often complicated when you would attempt to compare it to the complications experienced by an individual debtor in a bankruptcy case. As the chapter outlines, there is an incredibly high threshold of satisfaction that must be reached in order to reach the point of insolvency of an entire nation; bankruptcy. A modern occurance of a default by a nation was experienced in 2001 by Argentina. Complicating the defaulting of debts by a nation is the Articles on State Responsibility for Internationally Wrong Acts of the International Law Commission. The scope of Argentina's debts owed included many to individual private creditors. While it is laudable that a government cannot forgo all debts, it seems incredibly debilitating for a government to have an increased difficulty negotiating the restructuring of state-owed obligations compared to that of an individual who is restructuring their own debts. It is likely to extend the overall process and prevent the nation from improving their own economic position when they have such lofty standards to meet. An improvement on the laws governing state-owed obligations are in order.



Cite as: gLAWcal Comment #243"Should Nations be able to restructure their debts?" (2018) based on Vassili Paliouras "State of necessity and sovereign insolvency" in Antonio Seguro Serrano (Ed.) "The Reform of International Economic Governance", gLAWcal Book Series, Routledge Publishing (New-York/London), 2017, ISBN 9781315553603.

The gLAWcal comments are insight and short analytical pieces written by the gLAWcal team. The gLAWcal comments are based on, and inspired by, the books and chapters published within one of the gLAWcal book series published by Routledge Publishing (New York/London).

gLAWcal is an independent non-profit research organization (think tank) that aims at providing a new focus on issues related to economic law, globalization and development, namely the relationship between international economy and trade, with special attention to a number of non-trade-related values and concerns. Through research and policy analysis, gLAWcal sheds a new light on issues such as good governance, human rights, right to water, rights to food, social, economic and cultural rights, labour rights, access to knowledge, public health, social welfare, consumer interests and animal welfare, climate change, energy, environmental protection and sustainable development, product safety, food safety and security.

OUR MISSION

To collaborate with Government, Civil society and business community to balance the excess of globalization with Non Trade Concerns.

OUR GOALS

To influence policymakers, to raise awareness over Non Trade Concerns, to encourage stakeholder participation, and to disseminate gLAWcal's publication results.





Email: research@glawcal.org.uk

Website: glawcal.org.uk

Facebook: gLAWcal - Global Law Initiatives for

Sustainable Development

Twitter: @gLAWcal LinkedIn: gLAWcal